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## About

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (The NCS) report is about the "livability" of Loudoun County. The phrase "livable community" is used here to evoke a place that is not simply habitable, but that is desirable. It is not only where people do live, but where they want to live.

Great communities are partnerships of the government, private sector, community-based organizations and residents, all geographically connected. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement).

The Community Livability Report provides the opinions of a representative sample of 1,783 residents of the County of Loudoun. The margin of error around any reported percentage is $3 \%$ for all respondents. The full description of methods used to garner these opinions can be found in the Technical Appendices provided under separate cover.


# Quality of Life in Loudoun County 

Most residents (87\%) rated the quality of life in Loudoun County as excellent or good. This was similar to ratings given in other communities across the nation (see Appendix B of the Technical Appendices provided under separate cover).

Shown below are the eight facets of community. The color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated it across the three sections of the survey that represent the pillars of a community -

Overall Quality of Life
 Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings across the three pillars were higher than the benchmark, the color for that facet is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

In addition to a summary of ratings, the image below includes one or more stars to indicate which community facets were the most important focus areas for the community. Residents identified Safety, Mobility and Economy as priorities for the Loudoun County community in the coming two years. These facets, as well as all other facets of community livability, received ratings that were positive and similar to the national benchmark. This overview of the key aspects of community quality provides a quick summary of where residents see exceptionally strong performance and where performance offers the greatest opportunity for improvement. Linking quality to importance offers community members and leaders a view into the characteristics of the community that matter most and that seem to be working best.

Details that support these findings are contained in the remainder of this Livability Report, starting with the ratings for Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation and ending with results for Loudoun County's unique questions.

```
Legend
Higher than national benchmark
```

```Similar to national benchmark
Lower than national benchmark
* Most important
```



## Community Characteristics

## What makes a community livable, attractive and a place where people want to be?


#### Abstract

Overall quality of community life represents the natural ambience, services and amenities that make for an attractive community. How residents rate their overall quality of life is an indicator of the overall health of a community. In the case of Loudoun County, $92 \%$ rated the County as an excellent or good place to live. Respondents' ratings of Loudoun County as a place to live were similar to ratings in other communities across the nation.


Respondents also rated several other aspects of community quality including Loudoun County as a place to raise children and to retire, their neighborhood as a place to live, the overall image or reputation of Loudoun County and its overall appearance. About 9 in 10 residents gave positive ratings to the overall image and overall appearance of the County, their neighborhood as a place to live and Loudoun County as a place to raise children, while 4 in 10 gave favorable marks to the County as a place to retire. Ratings for the overall image and overall appearance of the County were higher than average while the rating for the County as a place to retire was lower.

Delving deeper into Community Characteristics, survey respondents rated over 40 features of the community within the eight facets of Community Livability. Generally, most aspects of community livability received ratings similar to the national benchmarks. In Safety, at least 9 in 10 residents gave positive reviews to the overall feeling of safety in the County and feelings of safety in their neighborhoods and in Loudoun County's
downtown/ commercial areas; these ratings were on par with

those given in other communities.
Ratings for aspects of Economy were particularly strong: at least three-quarters of residents gave favorable evaluations to the overall economic health of the County, shopping opportunities and the County as a place to visit and to work, while two-thirds were pleased with employment opportunities. These ratings were all higher than average.

Resident sentiment toward aspects of Mobility tended to be less positive. About half of respondents awarded positive ratings to the overall ease of travel in the County, ease of travel by car and ease of walking, while about one third of residents or fewer gave favorable marks to ease of travel by bicycle and by public transportation as well as traffic flow on major streets. These ratings were all lower than those given in other communities across the country.

Respondents also indicated that affordability was an issue in the County; only 2 in 10 residents gave positive evaluations to the availability of affordable quality housing and cost of living in Loudoun County and both of these ratings were lower than those seen elsewhere.

Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)
Comparison to national benchmark ■Higher $\quad$ Similar $\quad$ Lower


The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Figure 1: Aspects of Community Characteristics


## Governance

## How well does the government of Loudoun County meet the needs and expectations of its residents?

The overall quality of the services provided by Loudoun County as well as the manner in which these services are provided is a key component of how residents rate their quality of life. In Loudoun County, 8 in 10 residents gave positive reviews to the overall quality of County services while 4 in 10 favorably rated the services provided by the Federal Government; both of these ratings were similar to the national benchmark.

Survey respondents also rated various aspects of Loudoun County's leadership and governance. About 8 in 10 respondents positively rated the overall customer service provided by the County while roughly half were pleased with the remaining aspects of government performance. These ratings were similar to those given elsewhere across the country.

Respondents evaluated over 30 individual services and amenities available in Loudoun County. Most County services received positive ratings from at least 7 in 10 residents and were rated similar to the national benchmarks. Emergency preparedness and economic development received higher than average ratings, while the rating for natural areas preservation was lower than average.


Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)
Comparison to national benchmark
$\square$ Higher $\quad$ Similar $\quad$ Lower


The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Figure 2: Aspects of Governance


## Participation

## Are the residents of Loudoun County connected to the community and each other?

An engaged community harnesses its most valuable resource, its residents. The connections and trust among residents, government, businesses and other organizations help to create a sense of community, a shared sense of membership, belonging and history. About 6 in 10 residents described the sense of community in Loudoun County as excellent or good, while nearly 9 in 10 would recommend living in the County to someone who asked and planned to remain in the County for the next five years. These ratings were similar to the national benchmarks.

The survey included over 30 activities and behaviors for which respondents indicated how often they participated in or performed each, if at all. Levels of participation varied widely across the different facets, making the comparison to the benchmarks useful for understanding the results. Residents tended to participate in most activities at rates similar to those observed in other communities nationwide. Loudoun County residents were less likely than those who lived elsewhere to have walked or biked instead of driving, but were more likely to have stocked supplies for an emergency, used County libraries, contacted County officials, volunteered or attended a local public meeting.

## Sense of Community




The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{T M}$
Figure 3: Aspects of Participation


## Special Topics

Loudoun County included several questions of special interest on The NCS. Topic areas included use of County services, the importance of various County issues and residents' willingness to pay to address them, modes of transportation to work and amounts of different housing types, among others.

Of residents who had an opinion, 7 in 10 gave excellent or good ratings to senior services, about 6 in 10 positively rated family services and about half were pleased with mental health, substance abuse and developmental services. It is important to note that at least 6 in 10 residents said "don't know" when asked to rate each of these services (for more information see the Technical Appendices Report under separate cover).

Figure 4: Line Additions to Question 10
Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County:


These services were included as line additions to a standard question on the National Citizen Survey and are thus discussed separately from other County services. As a result, benchmarks are not provided.

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
About 8 in 10 respondents had used County parks, recreation programs or recreation centers in the last two years and three-quarters had used public library services. Roughly 4 in 10 had attended County-sponsored special events or used health services. About one-third of residents or fewer had used the remaining listed County services in the past two years.

Figure 5: Use of County Services
Have you or anyone in your household used the following services in the last two years?


The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Thinking about how important a variety of issues were for the County to focus on in the next two years, 9 in 10 thought it was essential or very important for the County to focus on traffic and at least 8 in 10 thought that road maintenance, schools and crime/ druguse were important areas of focus. Between $68 \%$ and $77 \%$ of residents thought that it was important for the County to focus on the cost of living/ cost of housing, youth activities/ reduction of youth crime or employment opportunities. Slightly more than half of respondents thought it was essential or very important for the County to focus on growth/ development.

Figure 6: Importance of County Issues
Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years.


It is important to note that maintenance of roads within Loudoun County is primarily a responsibility of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Residents were asked whether they would be willing to incur a tax increase to address each of the previously listed issues. Only about half of residents, at best, were willing to pay higher taxes for any of the issues; those that residents were most willing to pay for were schools, traffic, road maintenance, crime/ drug use or youth activities/ reduction of youth crime (roughly 5 in 10 ). Only 2 in 10 residents were willing to incur a tax increase to address cost of living/ cost of housing, growth/ development or employment opportunities.

Figure 7: Willingness to Pay to Improve County Issues
Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue.


It is important to note that maintenance of roads within Loudoun County is primarily a responsibility of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Thinking about different modes of transportation that they or other household members used to get to work, respondents were most likely to have used a taxi, hired car, limo, Uber or Lyft to get to work at least once in the past 12 months (32\%). One-quarter had used the Metrorail and more than 1 in 10 had carpooled or used the bus. Very few had used the train, van pool or a government/ nonprofit transportation service.

Figure 8: Use of Alternative Transportation to Get to Work
In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation to get to work?


More than 8 in 10 residents who had used the Metrorail to get to places other than work had used it to go to recreational/ entertainment locations and events and about 6 in 10 had used the Metrorail to get to sporting events. Two in 10 residents used the Metrorail to go shopping.

Figure 9: Reasons for Using Metrorail
If you use Metrorail to get to places other than work - for what reasons? (Mark all that apply.)
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## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Thinking about the amount of existing housing in Loudoun County, about 7 in 10 residents felt there were too few housing options for young residents and 6 in 10 thought there were too few housing options for seniors. About one-quarter of residents felt there were too few single-family detached homes while half thought this amount was about right. Similar proportions of residents felt there was about the right amount of multi-family housing or too much (36\%) while slightly fewer felt there was too little (27\%). Only 1 in 10 residents felt there were too few townhouses in the County; one-third felt the amount of townhouses was about right and half thought this amount was too much.

Figure 10: Amount of Housing Types
Please indicate if you feel there is too little, too much, or about the right amount of each of the following housing types in Loudoun County to meet the community's needs in the coming years:


Nearly half of survey respondents indicated that they teleworked at least some days of the week, and about 3 in 10 identified as a federal contractor or self-employed. One-quarter indicated that they were a federal employee and more than 1 in 10 were working in a home-based business.

Figure 11: Resident Work Status
Are you or another household member (please mark all that apply):


[^1]
## Conclusions

## Loudoun County residents enjoy a positive quality of life.

About 9 in 10 respondents rated their overall quality of life in Loudoun County and the County as a place to live as excellent or good. Additionally, 9 in 10 residents gave positive marks to the overall image and overall appearance of the County (ratings which were higher than average), their neighborhood as a place to live and Loudoun County as a place to raise children. Nine in 10 residents would recommend living in the County to someone who asked and planned to remain in the County for the next five years.

## Safety is important to residents.

Residents indicated that Safety was an important area of focus for the County in the next two years and ratings in this facet tended to be positive. At least 9 in 10 residents gave positive reviews to the overall feeling of safety in the County and feelings of safety in their neighborhoods and in Loudoun County's downtown/ commercial areas; these ratings were on par with those given in other communities. At least three quarters of residents were pleased with all Safety-related services, including Sheriff, fire, ambulance/ EMS, crime prevention, fire prevention, animal control and emergency preparedness; further, the rating for emergency preparedness was higher than those give in other communities. Nine in 10 respondents had not been the victim of a crime and 8 in 10 had not reported a crime. The proportion of residents who had stocked supplies for an emergency was also higher than average.

Thinking about their use of County services in the past two years, one-third of residents had used the Sheriff's department and 2 in 10 had used fire or rescue services or animal control. When asked how important a variety of issues were for the County to focus on in the next two years, 8 in 10 residents thought it was essential or very important for the County to focus on crime/ drug use and 7 in 10 thought youth activities/reduction of youth crime was an important focus area.

## Economy is also a priority and ratings are strong, but affordability is an issue.

Residents also selected Economy as an important area for the County to focus on in the comingyears, and ratings within this facet tended to be quite positive and higher than the national benchmarks. At least two-thirds of residents gave favorable reviews to the overall economic health of the County, shopping opportunities, employment opportunities, the County as a place to visit and to work and economic development; all of these ratings were higher than those seen in other communities nationwide. Nearly all residents had purchased goods or services in the County and about half worked in Loudoun County.

However, measures related to affordability, such as the availability of affordable quality housing and cost of living, were lower than those given elsewhere across the country (only 2 in 10 gave positive ratings to each of these aspects). Thinking about County issues to focus on in the next two years, three-quarters of residents thought that cost of living/ cost of housing was essential or very important while two-thirds thought employment opportunities were important (though it is noteworthy that only 2 in 10 residents were willing to incur a tax increase to address each of these issues).

## Mobility is an area of opportunity for the County.

Ratings for several Mobility-related aspects of livability were lower than the national averages. These aspects included the overall ease of travel in the County, ease of travel by car, by bicycle, by walking and by public transportation, and traffic flow on major streets; only about half of residents or fewer awarded favorable marks to each of these items. Loudoun County residents were less likely than those who lived elsewhere to have walked or biked instead of driving in the 12 months prior to the survey. Only 2 in 10 residents had used bus or transit services in the past two years. Finally, when asked about a number of County issues to address, about 9 in 10 thought it was essential or very important for the County to focus on traffic (this issue emerged as the most important, out of a list of eight) and about one-half indicated that they were willing to incur a tax increase to address this.

# THENCS The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {m }}$ 
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## Summary

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (The NCS ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/ County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Loudoun County's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Loudoun County's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it - Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Broadly, ratings across all three pillars of community livability tended to be positive and similar to the national benchmark. In Community Characteristics, ratings for aspects of Mobility were lower than average, while evaluations for Economy were higher. Ratings for Economy-related services were also higher than those given in other communities across the nation. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas of community strength or areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

|  | Community Characteristics |  |  | Governance |  |  | Participation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower |
| Overall | 8 | 35 | 9 | 2 | 29 | 1 | 5 | 30 | 1 |
| General | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Safety | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Mobility | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Natural Environment | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Built Environment | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Economy | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Recreation and Wellness | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Education and Enrichment | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Community Engagement | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |


| National Benchmark |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Higher |
|  | Similar |
|  | Lower |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

|  | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\Gamma} \\ & \stackrel{\Gamma}{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Overall appearance | * | $\uparrow$ | 87\% | Customer service | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 80\% | Recommend Loudoun County | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 88\% |
|  | Overall quality of life | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% | Services provided by Loudoun County | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 81\% | Remain in Loudoun County | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% |
|  | Place to retire | * | $\downarrow$ | 41\% | Services provided by the Federal Government | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 44\% | Contacted Loudoun County employees | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 46\% |
|  | Place to raise children | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Place to live | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 92\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Neighborhood | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 89\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall image | * | $\uparrow$ | 88\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\vec{\rightharpoonup}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}$ | Overall feeling of safety | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 90\% | Sheriff | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 86\% | Was NOT the victim of a crime | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 93\% |
|  | Safe in neighborhood | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 96\% | Crime prevention | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 81\% | Did NOT report a crime | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 82\% |
|  | Safe downtown/commercial areas | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 94\% | Fire | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 96\% | Stocked supplies for an emergency | * | $\uparrow$ | 51\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fire prevention | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 86\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ambulance/EMS | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 94\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Emergency preparedness | * | $\uparrow$ | 78\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Animal control | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 76\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Traffic flow | * | $\downarrow$ | 28\% | Traffic enforcement | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 63\% | Carpooled instead of driving alone | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 39\% |
|  | Travel by car | * | $\downarrow$ | 49\% | Bus or transit services | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 47\% | Walked or biked instead of driving | * | $\downarrow$ | 42\% |
|  | Travel by bicycle | * | $\downarrow$ | 36\% |  |  |  |  | Used public transportation instead of driving | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 24\% |
|  | Ease of walking | * | $\downarrow$ | 47\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Travel by public transportation | * | $\downarrow$ | 19\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall ease travel | * | $\downarrow$ | 54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Public parking | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 57\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paths and walking trails | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 58\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall natural environment | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 76\% | Recycling | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 73\% | Recycled at home | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 94\% |
|  | Air quality | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 83\% | Open space | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 51\% | Conserved water | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 77\% |
|  | Cleanliness | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% | Natural areas preservation | * | $\downarrow$ | 46\% | Made home more energy efficient | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 74\% |
|  | New development in Loudoun County | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 62\% | Land use, planning and zoning | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 36\% | NOT experiencing housing cost stress | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 69\% |
|  | Affordable quality housing | * | $\downarrow$ | 23\% | Code enforcement | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 54\% | Did NOT observe a code violation | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 56\% |
|  | Housing options | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall built environment | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 55\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Public places | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 78\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

|  | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bे } \\ & \text { EO } \\ & \text { COU } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Overall economic health | * | $\uparrow$ | 85\% | Economic development | * | $\uparrow$ | 71\% | Economy will have positive impact on income | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 36\% |
|  | Shopping opportunities | * | $\uparrow$ | 80\% |  |  |  |  | Purchased goods or services in Loudoun County | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 98\% |
|  | Employment opportunities | * | $\uparrow$ | 66\% |  |  |  |  | Work in Loudoun County | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 52\% |
|  | Place to visit | * | $\uparrow$ | 79\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cost of living | * | 1 | 18\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vibrant downtown/commercial areas | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 66\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Place to work | * | $\uparrow$ | 76\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Business and services | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 78\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fitness opportunities | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 75\% | County parks | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% | In very good to excellent health | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 70\% |
|  | Recreational opportunities | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 75\% | Recreation centers | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 84\% | Used Loudoun County recreation centers | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 63\% |
|  | Health care | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 73\% | Recreation programs | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 82\% | Visited a County park | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 85\% |
|  | Food | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 73\% | Health services | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 80\% | Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 85\% |
|  | Mental health care | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 50\% |  |  |  |  | Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 88\% |
|  | Health and wellness | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 78\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Preventive health services | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 76\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | K -12 education | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 87\% | Public libraries | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 92\% | Used Loudoun County public libraries | * | $\uparrow$ | 73\% |
|  | Cultural/arts/music activities | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 59\% | Special events | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 72\% | Participated in religious or spiritual activities | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 51\% |
|  | Child care/preschool | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 42\% |  |  |  |  | Attended a County-sponsored event | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 56\% |
|  | Religious or spiritual events and activities | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 82\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adult education | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 63\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall education and enrichment | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 81\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Opportunities to participate in community matters | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 70\% | Public information | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 80\% | Sense of community | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 58\% |
|  | Opportunities to volunteer | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 79\% | Overall direction | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 50\% | Voted in local elections | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 92\% |
|  | Openness and acceptance | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 65\% | Value of services for taxes paid | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 55\% | Talked to or visited with neighbors | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 91\% |
|  | Social events and activities | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 72\% | Welcoming citizen involvement | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 54\% | Attended a local public meeting | * | $\uparrow$ | 36\% |
|  | Neighborliness | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 57\% | Confidence in County government | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 55\% | Watched a local public meeting | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 29\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Acting in the best interest of Loudoun County | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 54\% | Volunteered | * | $\uparrow$ | 51\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Being honest | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 55\% | Participated in a club | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 32\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Treating all residents fairly | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 57\% | Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 28\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Contacted Loudoun County elected officials | * | $\uparrow$ | 29\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Read or watched local news | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 88\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Done a favor for a neighbor | * | $\leftrightarrow$ | 81\% |

## Legend
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## About

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (The NCS ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/ County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. Communities conducting The NCS can choose from a number of optional services to customize the reporting of survey results. Loudoun County's Comparisons by Demographic Subgroups is part of a larger project for the County and additional reports are available under separate cover. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by length of residency, the presence of children in the household, age, annual household income and race/ ethnicity.

## Understanding the Tables

For most of the questions, one number appears for each question. Responses have been summarized to show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who participated in an activity at least once. It should be noted that when a table that does include all responses (not a single number) for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

The subgroup comparison tables contain the crosstabulations of survey questions by selected respondent characteristics. Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a $5 \%$ probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant. Statistical testing was not performed on multiple response questions.

Each column in the following tables is labeled with a letter for each subgroup being compared. The "Overall" column, which shows the ratings for all respondents, also has a column designation of "(A)", but no statistical tests were done for the overall rating.

For each pair of subgroups ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no upper case letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table A below, respondents age 55 and over (C) gave significantly higher rating to the overall quality of life than those age 18 to 34 (A) and 35 to 54 (B), as denoted by the "A B" listed in the cell of the ratings for those $55+$. This was also true of women (A) over men (B); people who were white alone, not Hispanic (A) over those who were Hispanic and/ or other race (B); homeowners (B) over renters (A); and those living in detached housing (A) over those living in attached housing (B).

Figure 1: Community Characteristics - General (Example Only)

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Housing tenure |  | Housing unit type |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35- \\ 54 \end{array}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | Rent | Own | Detached | Attached |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| The overall quality of life in ABC | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 71\% | 73\% | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 76\% | 78\% |
| Overall image or reputation of ABC | 69\% | 69\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 69\% | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 64\% | 68\% | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 69\% | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 70\% |
| ABC as a place to live | 81\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 83\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 78\% | 79\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 82\% | 84\% |

## Findings

Notable differences between demographic subgroups included the following:

- Residents who did not have children in the household were more likely than those who did to positively rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County, the County as a place to retire and the overall appearance of Loudoun County.
- In Community Characteristics, residents who did not have children or who earned less than \$100,000 per year tended to give higher ratings to aspects of Mobility (including overall ease of travel, traffic flow and ease of travel by car and by walking) than other residents.
- Respondents without children in the home or who were white alone, not Hispanic gave more favorable reviews to Community Characteristics related to Economy (including the County as a place to work and visit as well as employment and shopping opportunities) and Recreation and Wellness (including fitness opportunities and recreational opportunities) than other respondents.
- Residents who had lived in the County for 5 years or less gave higher ratings than those who had lived in the County for a longer period of time to some aspects of government performance: overall direction of the County, overall confidence in County government, government acting in the best interest of the community and being honest.
- Those who had lived in Loudoun County for 5 years or less or who were younger than 35 tended to give lower ratings than their counterparts to Sheriff, fire and ambulance/ EMS services.
- Residents who had lived in Loudoun County for 11 years or more or who were at least 35 years old were more likely than others to participate in aspects of Community Engagement, including campaigning for an issue, cause or candidate, participating in a club or doing a favor for a neighbor.
- Where differences emerged, residents who were age 18-34 or who did not have children in the home were less likely than their counterparts to have used County services in the past two years.
- Respondents who were age 18-34 or who were white alone, not Hispanic tended to rate various County issues (such as crime/ drug use, youth activities/reduction of youth crime and employment opportunities) as less important than other residents. Perhaps predictably, those who earned $\$ 100,000$ or less per year were less willing than those who earned more to incur a tax increase to address most of these issues.
- Residents who were age 35-54 or who were Hispanic and/ or another race were more likely than others to use bus, Metrorail or train to get to work.

Table 1: Community Characteristics - General

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 90\% | 85\% | 87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 86\% | 91\% | 87\% | 87\% | 84\% | 87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 89\% | 86\% | 87\% |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | 90\% | 90\% | 86\% | 88\% | 87\% | 89\% | 88\% | 88\% | 87\% | 85\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 85\% | 88\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | 92\% | 92\% | 93\% | 93\% | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 92\% | 91\% | 90\% | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 93\% | 93\% | 92\% |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 88\% | 90\% | 89\% | 90\% | 88\% | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 89\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 90\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | 89\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 90\% | 91\% | 91\% | 91\% | 88\% | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 92\% | 91\% | 92\% | 90\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 35\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 37\% | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \% \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 35\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 40\% | 36\% | 39\% | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 41\% |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | 87\% | 88\% | 88\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 85\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 86\% | 89\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 84\% | 89\% | 88\% | 87\% | 87\% |

Table 2: Community Characteristics - Safety

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 88\% | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 93\% | 89\% | 90\% | 89\% | 89\% | 92\% | 90\% | 91\% | 90\% |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 97\% | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% | 97\% | 97\% | 96\% | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | 92\% | 95\% | 94\% | 94\% | 93\% | 93\% | 95\% | 92\% | 94\% | 91\% | 95\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |

Table 3: Community Characteristics - Mobility

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | $11$ <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 57\% | 53\% | 53\% | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 47\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 50\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 52\% | 46\% | 53\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 54\% |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 30\% | 26\% | 28\% | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 24\% | 26\% | 26\% | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 25\% | 23\% | 28\% | 29\% | 28\% |
| Ease of public parking | 59\% | 59\% | 56\% | 57\% | 58\% | 53\% | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 50\% | 59\% | 56\% | 59\% | 55\% | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 57\% |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 50\% | 45\% | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 47\% | 54\% | 49\% | 48\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 46\% | 44\% | 46\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 49\% |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 21\% | 23\% | 16\% | 17\% | 20\% | 18\% | 19\% | 18\% | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 17\% | 16\% | 17\% | 20\% | 19\% |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 37\% | 31\% | 34\% | 36\% | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 34\% | 30\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 32\% | 31\% | 38\% | 32\% | 36\% |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 48\% | 51\% | 45\% | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 42\% | 50\% | 45\% | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 44\% | 44\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 43\% | 47\% |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 54\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 53\% | 56\% | 59\% | 59\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 53\% | 59\% | 60\% | 56\% | 58\% |

Table 4: Community Characteristics - Natural Environment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County | 76\% | 78\% | 74\% | 76\% | 76\% | 72\% | 76\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 70\% | 76\% | 75\% | 77\% | 76\% |
| Air quality | 86\% | 81\% | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 81\% | 84\% | 83\% | 82\% | 84\% | 81\% | 84\% | 84\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 86\% | 87\% | 86\% | 87\% | 87\% | 87\% | 86\% | 85\% | 89\% | 88\% | 86\% | 87\% |

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 5: Community Characteristics - Built Environment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 50\% | 57\% | 54\% | 59\% | 54\% | 55\% | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 55\% | 57\% | 55\% |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 78\% | 79\% | 79\% | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 76\% | 81\% | 78\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 75\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 73\% | 78\% |
| Variety of housing options | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 51\% | 51\% | 52\% | 54\% | 45\% | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 49\% | 45\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 53\% | 54\% | 54\% |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 25\% | 26\% | 21\% | 23\% | 23\% | 18\% | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 23\% | 24\% | 19\% | 24\% | 19\% | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 23\% |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 62\% | 59\% | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 59\% | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 60\% | 58\% | 60\% | 60\% | 66\% | 64\% | 62\% | 62\% |

Table 6: Community Characteristics - Economy

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 85\% | 83\% | 86\% | 83\% | 81\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 85\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 82\% | 85\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 72\% | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 73\% | 79\% | 79\% | 73\% | 75\% | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 72\% | 76\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 77\% | 76\% | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 74\% | 78\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 79\% | 77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 72\% | 79\% |
| Employment opportunities | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 62\% | 67\% | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 63\% | 67\% | 66\% | 70\% | 65\% | 64\% | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 57\% | 66\% |
| Shopping opportunities | 80\% | 77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 75\% | 79\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 74\% | 80\% |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 18\% | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 17\% | 19\% | 17\% | 12\% | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 18\% | 20\% | 18\% | 17\% | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 18\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 80\% | 77\% | 78\% | 79\% | 78\% | 82\% | 77\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 80\% | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 74\% | 78\% |
| Vibrant downtown/commercial areas | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 68\% | 64\% | 68\% | 66\% | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 63\% | 64\% | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 64\% | 64\% | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 62\% | 66\% |

Table 7: Community Characteristics - Recreation and Wellness

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | $11$ <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 80\% | 81\% | 76\% | 80\% | 76\% | 76\% | 78\% | 79\% | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 74\% | 77\% | 79\% | 77\% | 78\% |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 73\% | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 72\% | 78\% | 74\% | 74\% | 76\% | 73\% | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 70\% | 75\% |
| Recreational opportunities | 76\% | 77\% | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 72\% | 79\% | 74\% | 74\% | 77\% | 74\% | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 67\% | 75\% |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 73\% | 74\% | 73\% | 74\% | 72\% | 75\% | 72\% | 74\% | 70\% | 73\% | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 68\% | 73\% |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 76\% | 71\% | 72\% | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 69\% | 69\% | 73\% | 76\% | 64\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 68\% | 73\% |
| Availability of preventive health services | 76\% | 73\% | 75\% | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 69\% | 73\% | 75\% | 78\% | 68\% | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 70\% | 76\% |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 46\% | 50\% | 50\% | 53\% | 51\% | 45\% | 42\% | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 51\% | 50\% | 50\% |

Table 8: Community Characteristics - Education and Enrichment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 150,000 \\ \text { or more } \end{gathered}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 82\% | 83\% | 82\% | 83\% | 80\% | 82\% | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% | 81\% | 83\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 77\% | 81\% |
| Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | 39\% | 43\% | 42\% | 46\% | 41\% | 26\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 36\% | 36\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 42\% | 41\% | 42\% |
| K -12 education | 86\% | 84\% | 88\% | 89\% | 85\% | 90\% | 87\% | 85\% | 85\% | 87\% | 89\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 83\% | 87\% |
| Adult educational opportunities | 57\% | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 63\% | 63\% | 66\% | 61\% | 66\% | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 56\% | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 54\% | 63\% |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 58\% | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 57\% | 59\% | 57\% | 59\% | 58\% | 60\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 54\% | 59\% | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 50\% | 59\% |
| Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities | 80\% | 79\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 78\% | 81\% | 81\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 81\% | 84\% | 83\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 78\% | 82\% |

Table 9: Community Characteristics - Community Engagement

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 73\% | 73\% | 72\% | 72\% | 73\% | 72\% | 74\% | 71\% | 75\% | 73\% | 71\% | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 67\% | 72\% |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 76\% | 79\% | 79\% | 82\% | 80\% | 81\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 76\% | 79\% |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 65\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 71\% | 69\% | 63\% | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 68\% | 69\% | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 65\% | 70\% |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 68\% | 61\% | 64\% | 65\% | 63\% | 65\% | 65\% | 64\% | 65\% | 59\% | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 57\% | 65\% |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County | 59\% | 56\% | 58\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 55\% | 58\% | 58\% | 59\% | 59\% | 55\% | 59\% | 60\% | 55\% | 57\% |

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 10: Governance - General

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Loudoun County | 82\% | 83\% | 79\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 78\% | 82\% | 80\% | 82\% | 81\% | 79\% | 81\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 76\% | 81\% |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | 59\% | 55\% | 53\% | 57\% | 53\% | 50\% | 57\% | 57\% | 54\% | 54\% | 57\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 50\% | 55\% |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 47\% | 46\% | 52\% | 49\% | 52\% | 51\% | 46\% | 52\% | 46\% | 51\% | 51\% | 51\% | 50\% |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming citizen involvement | 54\% | 56\% | 53\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 50\% | 52\% | 54\% | 56\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 48\% | 53\% | 55\% | 52\% | 54\% |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 48\% | 53\% | 58\% | 53\% | 56\% | 56\% | 54\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 53\% | 54\% | 55\% | 58\% | 55\% |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 50\% | 53\% | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 51\% | 58\% | 55\% | 52\% | 59\% | 52\% | 54\% | 56\% | 56\% | 54\% |
| Being honest | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 47\% | 54\% | 58\% | 52\% | 51\% | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 54\% | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 50\% | 56\% | 56\% | 55\% | 55\% |
| Treating all residents fairly | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 51\% | 56\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 52\% | 50\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 56\% | 59\% | 51\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 53\% | 57\% |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (sheriff, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 81\% | 80\% | 79\% | 81\% | 78\% | 79\% | 80\% | 80\% | 78\% | 78\% | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 72\% | 80\% |
| The Federal Government | 42\% | 44\% | 44\% | 44\% | 43\% | 39\% | 44\% | 46\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 38\% | 41\% | 44\% | 42\% | 44\% |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 11: Governance - Safety

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Sheriff services | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 86\% | 86\% | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 88\% | 85\% | 86\% | 88\% | 84\% | 86\% |
| Fire services | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 96\% | 95\% | 93\% | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 96\% | 97\% | 95\% | 96\% | 95\% | 96\% |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 88\% | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 95\% | 94\% | 89\% | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 95\% | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% |
| Crime prevention | 77\% | 82\% | 82\% | 81\% | 82\% | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 81\% | 82\% | 81\% | 83\% | 78\% | 81\% |
| Fire prevention and education | 87\% | 87\% | 85\% | 84\% | 87\% | 85\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 84\% | 86\% | 87\% | 87\% | 85\% | 86\% |
| Animal control | 76\% | 73\% | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 71\% | 74\% | 76\% | 77\% | 76\% | 80\% | 73\% | 77\% | 73\% | 76\% |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 79\% | 80\% | 75\% | 76\% | 77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 77\% | 73\% | 77\% | 76\% | 78\% | 78\% | 75\% | 78\% |

Table 12: Governance - Mobility

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Traffic enforcement | 61\% | 60\% | 64\% | 64\% | 61\% | 56\% | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 60\% | 60\% | 61\% | 66\% | 63\% |
| Bus or transit services | 45\% | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 43\% | 45\% | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { BC } \end{gathered}$ | 42\% | 41\% | 47\% | 47\% | 46\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 41\% | 47\% |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 13: Governance - Natural Environment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Recycling | 74\% | 76\% | 72\% | 75\% | 71\% | 67\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 72\% | 76\% | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 67\% | 73\% |
| Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 41\% | 44\% | 47\% | 44\% | 42\% | 47\% | 47\% | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 40\% | 47\% | 44\% | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 46\% |
| Loudoun County open space | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 50\% | 48\% | 52\% | 52\% | 49\% | 54\% | 51\% | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 46\% | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 51\% |

Table 14: Governance - Built Environment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 150,000 \\ \text { or more } \end{gathered}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Land use, planning and zoning | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 38\% | 34\% | 33\% | $\begin{array}{r} 39 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 37\% | 36\% | 37\% | 39\% | 33\% | 37\% | 34\% | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 36\% |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 52\% | 57\% | 52\% | 56\% | 51\% | 52\% | 52\% | 55\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 50\% | 52\% | 53\% | 54\% | 54\% |

Table 15: Governance - Economy

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Economic development | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 68\% | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 68\% | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 71\% | 68\% | 73\% | 68\% | 73\% | 70\% | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 71\% |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 16: Governance - Recreation and Wellness

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| County parks | 86\% | 85\% | 87\% | 86\% | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 84\% | 87\% | 85\% | 87\% | 87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 82\% | 87\% |
| Recreation programs or classes | 84\% | 84\% | 81\% | 83\% | 82\% | 86\% | 81\% | 82\% | 83\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 84\% | 80\% | 82\% |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 85\% | 83\% | 85\% | 85\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 84\% | 83\% | 84\% | 84\% | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 81\% | 84\% |
| Health services | 82\% | 77\% | 80\% | 81\% | 78\% | 80\% | 79\% | 82\% | 78\% | 79\% | 83\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 76\% | 80\% |

Table 17: Governance - Education and Enrichment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Public library services | 90\% | 91\% | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 89\% | 89\% | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 89\% | 91\% | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 88\% | 92\% |
| County-sponsored special events | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 72\% | 68\% | 71\% | 72\% | 72\% | 73\% | 69\% | 74\% | 67\% | 73\% | 73\% | 69\% | 72\% |

Table 18: Governance - Community Engagement

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Public information services | 81\% | 78\% | 80\% | 80\% | 79\% | 81\% | 81\% | 78\% | 80\% | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 81\% | 78\% | 80\% |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 19: Participation General

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Sense of community | 61\% | 58\% | 57\% | 56\% | 60\% | 51\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 58\% | 57\% | 59\% | 58\% | 61\% | 58\% |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks | 86\% | 91\% | 87\% | 86\% | 89\% | 85\% | 89\% | 86\% | 85\% | 85\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 88\% | 87\% | 88\% |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | 84\% | 89\% | 87\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 87\% | 88\% | 84\% | 86\% | 86\% | 90\% | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 87\% |
| Contacted Loudoun County (inperson, phone, email or web) for help or information | 45\% | 48\% | 46\% | 48\% | 44\% | 44\% | 45\% | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 47\% | 49\% | 44\% | 45\% | 49\% | 46\% |

Table 20: Participation - Safety

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Was NOT the victim of a crime | 93\% | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 91\% | 93\% | 92\% | 93\% | 91\% | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 91\% | 93\% | 93\% | 91\% | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 93\% |
| Did NOT report a crime | 83\% | 85\% | 80\% | 83\% | 81\% | 78\% | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 83\% | 79\% | 82\% | 81\% | 85\% | 82\% |
| Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency | 52\% | 47\% | 50\% | 51\% | 49\% | 53\% | 50\% | 48\% | 49\% | 53\% | 49\% | 54\% ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 42\% | 51\% |

Table 21: Participation - Mobility

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 44\% | 43\% | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 35\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 46\% | 39\% | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 39\% | 42\% |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 38\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 38\% | 35\% | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 32\% | 43\% | 38\% | 39\% | 39\% | 42\% | 39\% |
| Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving | 21\% | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 22\% | 24\% | 24\% | 17\% | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% |

Table 22: Participation - Natural Environment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Recycle at home | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% | 93\% | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 94\% | 93\% | 94\% | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 91\% | 94\% |
| Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient | 73\% | 76\% | 75\% | 73\% | 76\% | 77\% | 72\% | 76\% | 71\% | 75\% | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% |
| Made efforts to conserve water | 71\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 73\% | 73\% | 75\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 74\% | 75\% | 78\% | 73\% | 77\% |

Table 23: Participation - Built Environment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| NOT under housing cost stress | 67\% | 65\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 65\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 61\% | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 64\% | 31\% | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 70\% | 69\% | 69\% |
| Did NOT observe a code violation | 58\% | 55\% | 55\% | 56\% | 56\% | 58\% | 54\% | 59\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 48\% | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 57\% | 54\% | 56\% |

Table 24: Participation - Economy

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Purchase goods or services from a business located in Loudoun County | 96\% | 97\% | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 97\% | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 96\% | 98\% | 99\% | 96\% | 96\% | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 94\% | 98\% |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | 38\% | 37\% | 35\% | 31\% | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30\% | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 32\% | 27\% | 31\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 38\% | 34\% | 36\% |
| Work in Loudoun County | 46\% | 48\% | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 48\% | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 41\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 50\% | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \% \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 43\% | 52\% |

Table 25: Participation - Recreation and Wellness

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Used Loudoun County recreation centers or their services | 54\% | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 50\% | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 55\% | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 54\% | 59\% | 62\% | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 62\% | 64\% | 63\% |
| Visited a neighborhood park or County park | 82\% | 83\% | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 72\% | 83\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 82\% | 85\% |
| Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day | 83\% | 86\% | 86\% | 80\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 81\% | 83\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 86\% | 84\% | 85\% |
| Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 85\% | 83\% | 87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 88\% | 88\% | 88\% |
| Reported being in "very good" or "excellent" health | 68\% | 69\% | 71\% | 67\% | 72\% | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 65\% | 57\% | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 70\% | 69\% | 70\% |

Table 26: Participation - Education and Enrichment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Used Loudoun County public libraries or their services | 63\% | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 63\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 63\% | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 68\% | 74\% | 75\% | 72\% | 72\% | 75\% | 73\% |
| Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Loudoun County | 44\% | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 47\% | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 40\% | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 44\% | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 47\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 51\% |
| Attended a County-sponsored event | 48\% | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 50\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 54\% | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 60\% } \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 48\% | 55\% | 56\% | 57\% | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 50\% | 56\% |

Table 27: Participation - Community Engagement

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 20\% | 21\% | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 24\% | 17\% | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 28\% | 30\% | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 28\% |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion | 22\% | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 30\% | 27\% | 20\% | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 26\% | 32\% | 29\% | 30\% | 26\% | 29\% |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 38\% | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 49\% | 52\% | 42\% | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 48\% | 53\% | 51\% | 50\% | 52\% | 51\% |
| Participated in a club | 29\% | 24\% | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 32\% | 31\% | 22\% | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 30\% | 36\% | 32\% | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 28\% | 32\% |
| Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors | 83\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 89\% | 91\% | 80\% | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 81\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 86\% | 91\% |
| Done a favor for a neighbor | 72\% | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 63\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 75\% | 81\% |
| Attended a local public meeting | 30\% | 35\% | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 35\% | 36\% | 32\% | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 35\% | 33\% | 38\% | 38\% | 35\% | 36\% | 36\% |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 25\% | 30\% | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 30\% | 27\% | 29\% | 29\% | 29\% | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 29\% | 26\% | 30\% | 26\% | 29\% |
| Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) | 85\% | 89\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 89\% | 88\% | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 85\% | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 88\% | 90\% | 88\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Vote in local elections | 85\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 93\% | 91\% | 89\% | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 85\% | 92\% |

Table 28: Community Focus Areas

| Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { to } \\ & 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 91\% | 91\% | 92\% | 90\% | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 88\% | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 90\% | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 92\% |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 89\% | 92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 90\% | 92\% | 87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 91\% | 92\% | 91\% |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County | 83\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 87\% | 87\% | 86\% | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 86\% | 88\% | 85\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 86\% |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 75\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 77\% | 75\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 81\% | 79\% | 82\% | 80\% |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 65\% | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 71\% | 64\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 75\% | 74\% | 72\% | 69\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 74\% |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 79\% | 82\% | 81\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 83\% | 80\% | 81\% | 80\% | 82\% | 81\% | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 81\% |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 88\% | 87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 91\% | 89\% | 89\% | 90\% | 91\% | 88\% | 91\% | 90\% | 90\% | 91\% | 90\% |
| Sense of community | 69\% | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 74\% | 74\% | 68\% | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 74\% | 72\% | 71\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 75\% |

Table 29: Line Additions to Question 10

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County: (Percent rating as "excellent" or "good"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 47\% | 50\% | 52\% | 52\% | 46\% | 56\% | 50\% | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 44\% | 54\% | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 52\% |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) | 60\% | 49\% | 55\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 50\% | 46\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 53\% | 54\% | 58\% | 55\% | 52\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 57\% |
| Senior services | 69\% | 76\% | 66\% | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 61\% | 69\% | 66\% | 70\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 65\% | 61\% | 69\% | 67\% | 69\% |

Table 30: Use of County Services

| Have you or anyone in your household used the following services in the last two years? (Percent "yes"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Sheriff services | 29\% | 33\% | 33\% | 28\% | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 25\% | 33\% | 35\% | 30\% | 33\% | 30\% | 32\% |
| Fire or rescue services | 13\% | 18\% | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20\% | 18\% | 16\% | 19\% | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 21\% | 20\% | 17\% | 20\% | 16\% | 19\% |
| Bus or transit services | 19\% | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 22\% | 18\% | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 15\% | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 20\% | 25\% | 22\% |
| County parks, recreation programs, or recreation centers | 75\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 72\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 69\% | 77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 80\% | 84\% | 81\% |
| Land use, planning and zoning, or code enforcement | 9\% | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 18\% | 16\% | 20\% | 20\% | 18\% | 20\% | 19\% |
| Animal control | 13\% | 19\% | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 16\% | 18\% | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 17\% | 14\% | 19\% | 17\% | 16\% | 18\% | 16\% | 17\% |
| Health services | 37\% | 40\% | 42\% | 40\% | 42\% | 33\% | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 36\% | 38\% | 39\% | 44\% | 40\% |
| Public library services | 62\% | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 63\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 67\% | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 67\% | 72\% | 71\% | 75\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% |
| County-sponsored special events | 40\% | 48\% | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 41\% | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 43\% | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 39\% | 42\% | 46\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \% \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 40\% | 46\% |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services | 7\% | 4\% | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 7\% | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 10\% | 8\% | 7\% | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 8\% | 6\% | 8\% | 10\% | 8\% |


| Have you or anyone in your household used the following services in the last two years? (Percent "yes"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) | 5\% | 9\% | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6\% | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 7\% | 7\% | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 5\% | 2\% | 6\% | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 8\% |
| Senior services | 6\% | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 9\% | 7\% | 10\% | 12\% | 11\% |

Table 31: Importance of County Issues

| Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Growth/development | 57\% | 52\% | 54\% | 54\% | 56\% | 51\% | 55\% | 58\% | 51\% | 55\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 51\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 55\% |
| Schools | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 85\% | 82\% | 79\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 78\% | 81\% | 84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 85\% |
| Crime/drug use | 78\% | 81\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 81\% | 83\% | 73\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 82\% | 82\% | 84\% | 81\% | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 82\% |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 69\% | 71\% | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 73\% | 73\% | 63\% | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 74\% | 71\% | 73\% | 68\% | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 72\% |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 76\% | 81\% | 76\% | 78\% | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 68\% | 74\% | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 77\% |
| Employment opportunities | 66\% | 72\% | 68\% | 66\% | 70\% | 61\% | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 72\% | 67\% | 67\% | 65\% | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 68\% |
| Traffic | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 90\% | 91\% | 85\% | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 86\% | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 90\% | 93\% | 91\% |
| Road maintenance | 80\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 87\% | 86\% | 76\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 84\% | 87\% | 87\% | $89 \%$ B | 82\% | 87\% |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 32: Willingness to Pay to Improve County I ssues

| Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. (Percent "yes"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Growth/development | 19\% | 20\% | 22\% | 20\% | 21\% | 19\% | 22\% | 19\% | 18\% | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 21\% | 21\% | 20\% | 20\% |
| Schools | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | 53\% | 54\% | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \% \\ \text { C } \end{array}$ | 44\% | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 57\% | 57\% | 56\% |
| Crime/drug use | 49\% | 45\% | 49\% | 49\% | 48\% | 46\% | 50\% | 49\% | 39\% | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 47\% | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 49\% |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 44\% | 41\% | 46\% | 41\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 45\% | 39\% | 38\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 43\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 44\% |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 21\% | 24\% | 24\% | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 21\% | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 21\% | 23\% | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 18\% | 24\% | 22\% | 23\% |
| Employment opportunities | 15\% | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 19\% | 19\% | 19\% | 13\% | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 18\% | 17\% | 21\% | 19\% | 17\% | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 19\% |
| Traffic | 53\% | 61\% | 55\% | 56\% | 56\% | 54\% | 58\% | 54\% | 45\% | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 46\% | 55\% |
| Road maintenance | 48\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 54\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 51\% | 53\% | 53\% | 55\% | 42\% | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 44\% | 53\% |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 33: Use of Alternative Transportation to Get to Work

| In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation to get to work? (Percent "at least once in the last 12 months"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Bus | 14\% | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 13\% | 11\% | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 11\% | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 8\% | 13\% | 12\% | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 11\% | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 15\% |
| Metrorail | 26\% | 29\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 23\% | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 21\% | 21\% | 24\% | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 24\% | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 26\% |
| Train (such as MARC) | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% | 1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% \\ & \text { A C } \end{aligned}$ | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 5\% |
| Van pool | 4\% | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & \text { A C } \end{aligned}$ | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 3\% |
| Carpool or "slugging" | 16\% | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{array}$ | 14\% | 11\% | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 8\% | 17\% | 16\% | 18\% | 14\% | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 17\% |
| Taxi/hired car/limo/Uber/Lyft | 34\% | 36\% | 30\% | 29\% | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | 26\% | 28\% | 32\% | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 28\% | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 32\% |
| Government/nonprofit transportation service (e.g., for elderly or disabled residents) | 1\% | 4\% | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 2\% | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 3\% |
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## Summary

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (The NCS ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/ County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. Communities conducting The NCS can choose from a number of optional services to customize the reporting of survey results. Loudoun County's Comparisons by Geographic Subgroups is part of a larger project for the County and additional reports are available under separate cover. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by geographic subarea (rural or non-rural).

## Understanding the Tables

For most of the questions, one number appears for each question. Responses have been summarized to show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who participated in an activity at least once. It should be noted that when a table that does include all responses (not a single number) for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

The subgroup comparison tables contain the crosstabulations of survey questions by geographic area. Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5\% probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant. Statistical testing was not performed on multiple response questions.

Each column in the following tables is labeled with a letter for each subgroup being compared. The "Overall" column, which shows the ratings for all respondents, also has a column designation of "(A)", but no statistical tests were done for the overall rating.

For each pair of subgroup ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no upper case letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table A below, respondents in Districts 1 (A) and 2 (B) gave significantly lower ratings to overall quality of life than respondents in Districts 3 (C) and 4 (D), as denoted by the "A B" listed in the cell of the ratings for Districts 3 and 4. The overall quality of life rating in District 4(D) also was significantly lower than that of District 3 (C) (as indicated by the " D " in the rating for District 3).

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) |  |
| The overall quality of life in ABC | 73\% | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { AB D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 78\% |
| Overall image or reputation of ABC | 65\% | 66\% | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \% \\ \text { A B C } \end{array}$ | 70\% |
| $A B C$ as a place to live | 80\% | 81\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \% \\ \text { ABD } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{array}$ | 84\% |

Two geographic subareas were tracked for comparison and the number of completed surveys for each are in the figure below.

Figure 2: Geographic Areas

| Area | Number of Completed Surveys |
| :--- | :---: |
| Non-rural | 1,270 |
| Rural | 513 |
| Total | 1,783 |

- In the pillars of Community Characteristics and Governance, where differences in opinion were noted, nonrural Loudoun County residents were more likely to award positive ratings than rural County residents. However, there were a few exceptions: for example, residents who lived in rural Loudoun County gave higher ratings to the County as a place to visit, vibrancy of the downtown/ commercial areas and opportunities to attend cultural/ arts/ music activities than those who lived in non-rural areas.
- Rural residents tended to participate in various community activities at higher rates than non-rural residents. This was particularly true for aspects of Community Engagement such as volunteering, attending public meetings and voting. However, when it came to aspects of Mobility, non-rural residents were more likely to have walked or biked instead of driving or to have used public transportation instead of driving. Further, when asked a special interest question about commuting, non-rural residents were more likely to report using various modes of public transportation to get to work than their rural counterparts.
- Non-rural residents were more likely than rural residents to think that various community focus areas, such as the overall feeling of safety and the overall built environment in the County, were essential or very important.
- Non-rural residents gave higher quality ratings to mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services, family services and senior services than rural residents.
- Rural residents tended to rate various County issues such as schools, crime/ drug use and cost of living/ cost of housing as less important than non-rural residents and therefore, also tended to be less willing to incur a tax increase to improve these issues.

Table 1: Community Characteristics - General

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 87\% | 86\% | 87\% |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 84\% | 88\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 89\% | 92\% |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 88\% | 92\% | 89\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | 90\% | 91\% | 90\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | 41\% | 37\% | 41\% |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | 87\% | 88\% | 87\% |

Table 2: Community Characteristics - Safety

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 95\% | 98\% | 96\% |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 90\% | 94\% |

Table 3: Community Characteristics - Mobility

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 40\% | 54\% |
| Traffic flow on major streets | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 20\% | 28\% |
| Ease of public parking | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 50\% | 57\% |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 33\% | 49\% |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 19\% | 18\% | 19\% |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | 36\% | 33\% | 36\% |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 40\% | 47\% |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 49\% | 58\% |

Table 4: Community Characteristics - Natural Environment

|  | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
|  | $75 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Air quality | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ |

Table 5: Community Characteristics - Built Environment

|  |  | Geographic Area | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non- <br> rural | Rural |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation  <br> systems) $57 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $55 \%$ |  |
| Public places where people want to spend time | $78 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Variety of housing options | $54 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | $24 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | $64 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $62 \%$ |

Table 6: Community Characteristics - Economy

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 85\% | 84\% | 85\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 76\% | 75\% | 76\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 79\% |
| Employment opportunities | 65\% | 71\% | 66\% |
| Shopping opportunities | 80\% | 82\% | 80\% |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 18\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 78\% | 79\% | 78\% |
| Vibrant downtown/commercial areas | 65\% | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 66\% |

Table 7: Community Characteristics - Recreation and Wellness

|  | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | $79 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | $75 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Recreational opportunities | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Availability of affordable quality food | $73 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | $74 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Availability of preventive health services | B |  |  |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | $76 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $76 \%$ |

Table 8: Community Characteristics - Education and Enrichment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | $81 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | $42 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| K-12 education | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Adult educational opportunities | $63 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | $58 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities |  | A |  |

Table 9: Community Characteristics - Community Engagement

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | $72 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Opportunities to volunteer | $79 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | $69 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | $64 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County | $56 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $57 \%$ |

Table 10: Governance - General

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Loudoun County | 82\% | 77\% | 81\% |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 45\% | 55\% |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 31\% | 50\% |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming citizen involvement | 55\% | 48\% | 54\% |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 43\% | 55\% |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 43\% | 54\% |
| Being honest | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 38\% | 55\% |
| Treating all residents fairly | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 44\% | 57\% |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (sheriff, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 80\% | 77\% | 80\% |
| The Federal Government | $45 \%$ B | 36\% | 44\% |

Table 11: Governance - Safety

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonrural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Sheriff services | 87\% | 83\% | 86\% |
| Fire services | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 91\% | 96\% |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | 89\% | 94\% |
| Crime prevention | 81\% | 82\% | 81\% |
| Fire prevention and education | 87\% | 82\% | 86\% |
| Animal control | 77\% | 74\% | 76\% |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 79\% | 73\% | 78\% |

Table 12: Governance - Mobility

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Traffic enforcement | 63\% | 60\% | 63\% |
| Bus or transit services | 47\% | 45\% | 47\% |

Table 13: Governance - Natural Environment

|  | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Recycling | $75 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts | B |  |  |
| Loudoun County open space | $48 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $46 \%$ |

Table 14: Governance - Built Environment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Land use, planning and zoning | $\begin{array}{r} 39 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 23\% | 36\% |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 54\% | 52\% | 54\% |

Table 15: Governance - Economy

|  | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |

Table 16: Governance - Recreation and Wellness

|  | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Recreation programs or classes | $87 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Recreation centers or facilities | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Health services | $85 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $84 \%$ |

Table 17: Governance - Education and Enrichment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Public library services | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 87\% | 92\% |
| County-sponsored special events | 73\% | 69\% | 72\% |

Table 18: Governance - Community Engagement

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Public information services | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 71\% | 80\% |

## Table 19: Participation General

|  | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Sense of community | $57 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $58 \%$ |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks | $88 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | $87 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information | $44 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $46 \%$ |

Table 20: Participation - Safety

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Was NOT the victim of a crime | 93\% | 92\% | 93\% |
| Did NOT report a crime | 83\% | 81\% | 82\% |
| Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 51\% |

Table 21: Participation - Mobility

|  | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | $44 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | B |  |  |
| Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving | $25 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ |

Table 22: Participation - Natural Environment

|  | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Recycle at home | $94 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient | $74 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Made efforts to conserve water | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $77 \%$ |

Table 23: Participation - Built Environment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Did NOT observe a code violation | $70 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $69 \%$ |

Table 24: Participation - Economy

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Purchase goods or services from a business located in Loudoun County | 97\% | 99\% | 98\% |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | 36\% | 38\% | 36\% |
| Work in Loudoun County | 49\% | $65 \%$ A | 52\% |

Table 25: Participation - Recreation and Wellness

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Used Loudoun County recreation centers or their services | $62 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Visited a neighborhood park or County park | $84 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day | $84 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity |  | A |  |
| Reported being in "very good" or "excellent" health | $87 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

Table 26: Participation - Education and Enrichment

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Loudoun County | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Attended a County-sponsored event | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ |

Table 27: Participation - Community Engagement

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 26\% | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 28\% |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion | 27\% | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 29\% |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 49\% | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 51\% |
| Participated in a club | 31\% | 36\% | 32\% |
| Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors | 90\% | 92\% | 91\% |
| Done a favor for a neighbor | 80\% | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ | 81\% |
| Attended a local public meeting | 35\% | 43\% | 36\% |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 29\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) | 88\% | 91\% | 88\% |
| Vote in local elections | 92\% | $97 \%$ A | 92\% |

## Table 28: Community Focus Areas

| Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important) | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-rural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 85\% | 92\% |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 91\% | 90\% | 91\% |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County | 86\% | 86\% | 86\% |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 71\% | 80\% |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 66\% | 74\% |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 72\% | 81\% |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 83\% | 90\% |
| Sense of community | $76 \%$ B | 69\% | 75\% |
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Table 29: Line Additions to Question 10

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County: (Percent rating as "excellent" or "good"). | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonrural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services | $54 \%$ | 41\% | 52\% |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \% \\ B \end{array}$ | 43\% | 57\% |
| Senior services | $71 \%$ B | 56\% | 69\% |

Table 30: Use of County Services

|  | Geographic Area | Overall |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Have you or anyone in your household used the following services in the last two years? (Percent "yes"). | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| Sheriff services | $32 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Fire or rescue services | $18 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Bus or transit services | $23 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| County parks, recreation programs, or recreation centers | B |  | Rural |
| Land use, planning and zoning, or code enforcement | $15 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Animal control | $39 \%$ | $19 \%$ |  |
| Health services | $15 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Public library services | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| County-sponsored special events | B |  |  |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services | $73 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) | $46 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Senior services | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

Table 31: Importance of County I ssues
$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|r|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Geographic } \\ \text { Area }\end{array} & \text { Overall } \\ \hline & \begin{array}{rl}\text { Non- } \\ \text { rural }\end{array} & \text { Rural }\end{array}\right)$

Table 32: Willingness to Pay to Improve County Issues


Table 33: Use of Public Transportation to Get to Work

| In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation to get to work? (Percent "at least once in the last 12 months"). | Geographic Area |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonrural | Rural | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) |  |
| Bus | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 10\% | 15\% |
| Metrorail | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 13\% | 26\% |
| Train (such as MARC) | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Van pool | 4\% ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 1\% | 3\% |
| Carpool or "slugging" | 17\% | 14\% | 17\% |
| Taxi/hired car/limo/Uber/Lyft | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \% \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ | 19\% | 32\% |
| Government/nonprofit transportation service (e.g., for elderly or disabled residents) | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% |
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## Summary

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (The NCS ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/ County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2018 ratings for the County of Loudoun County to its previous survey results in 2016. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Loudoun County represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

The 2016 survey for Loudoun County was conducted by telephone. A change in the method of survey data collection, by itself, will result in a change in results if the shift is from telephone administration to selfadministration or vice versa. The change occurs even without change in resident perspectives and is attributed to the different environment that a survey respondent confronts when providing answers to a person on the telephone compared to offering private anonymous opinions. Questions by phone elicit more positive, optimistic, socially-desirable responses than do the same questions asked on a written self-administered questionnaire. A self-administered questionnaire brings out more candid responses. Additionally, the question wording and scales for a number of questions in 2016 were different from those used in 2018 and a number of questions in 2016 were asked only of users of a particular service, all of which can influence resident ratings.

Because of the overall shift in the methodology and related survey changes, NRC recommends that any change in ratings or reported behaviors be viewed with caution and that Loudoun County consider differences of more than $10 \%$ to be large enough to signal a genuine change in opinion from 2016. Differences that do not reach this threshold should not be considered changes worthy of further interpretation. In future years when a mailed survey is administered, comparisons will be more robust.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" (differences greater than 10 percentage points between the 2016 and 2018 surveys), otherwise the comparisons between 2016 and 2018 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for 2018 are presented for reference.

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

|  | Percent ra | ent/good) | 2018 rating compared to 2016 | Comparison to national benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |
| Overall quality of life | 94\% | 87\% | Similar | Similar |
| Overall image | NA | 88\% | NA | Higher |
| Place to live | NA | 92\% | NA | Similar |
| Neighborhood | NA | 89\% | NA | Similar |
| Place to raise children | NA | 90\% | NA | Similar |
| Place to retire | NA | 41\% | NA | Lower |
| Overall appearance | NA | 87\% | NA | Higher |

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet


|  |  | Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) |  | 2018 rating compared to2016 | Comparison to national benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |
| Recreation and Wellness | Place to visit | NA | 79\% | NA | Higher |
|  | Place to work | NA | 76\% | NA | Higher |
|  | Health and wellness | NA | 78\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Mental health care | NA | 50\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Preventive health services | NA | 76\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Health care | NA | 73\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Food | NA | 73\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Recreational opportunities | NA | 75\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Fitness opportunities | NA | 75\% | NA | Similar |
| Education and Enrichment | Education and enrichment opportunities | NA | 81\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Religious or spiritual events and activities | NA | 82\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Cultural/arts/music activities | NA | 59\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Adult education | NA | 63\% | NA | Similar |
|  | K-12 education* | 92\% | 87\% | Similar | Higher |
|  | Child care/preschool | NA | 42\% | NA | Similar |
| Community Engagement | Social events and activities | NA | 72\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Neighborliness | NA | 57\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Openness and acceptance | NA | 65\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to participate in community matters | NA | 70\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to volunteer | NA | 79\% | NA | Similar |

*In 2016, "Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel in your neighborhood during the day" was worded "How safe do you feel in your neighborhood" and the response options were very safe
 satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, very dissatisfied or have no opinion of the service.

Table 3: Governance General

|  | Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) |  | 2018 rating compared to 2016 | Comparison to national benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |
| Services provided by Loudoun County | NA | 81\% | NA | Similar |
| Customer service | NA | 80\% | NA | Similar |
| Value of services for taxes paid* | 85\% | 55\% | Lower | Similar |
| Overall direction | NA | 50\% | NA | Similar |
| Welcoming citizen involvement | NA | 54\% | NA | Similar |
| Confidence in County government | NA | 55\% | NA | Similar |
| Acting in the best interest of Loudoun County | NA | 54\% | NA | Similar |
| Being honest | NA | 55\% | NA | Similar |
| Treating all residents fairly | NA | 57\% | NA | Similar |
| Services provided by the Federal Government | NA | 44\% | NA | Similar |

 somewhat agree, disagree or strongly disagree.

Table 4: Governance by Facet

|  |  | Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) |  | 2018 rating compared to 2016 | Comparison to national benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |
| Safety | Sheriff* | 89\% | 86\% | Similar | Similar |
|  | Fire | NA | 96\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Ambulance/EMS | NA | 94\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Crime prevention | NA | 81\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Fire prevention | NA | 86\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Animal contro** | 95\% | 76\% | Lower | Similar |
|  | Emergency preparedness | NA | 78\% | NA | Higher |
| Mobility | Traffic enforcement | NA | 63\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Bus or transit services | NA | 47\% | NA | Similar |
| Natural Environment | Recycling | NA | 73\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Natural areas preservation | NA | 46\% | NA | Lower |
|  | Open space | NA | 51\% | NA | Similar |
| Built Environment | Land use, planning and zoning | NA | 36\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Code enforcement | NA | 54\% | NA | Similar |
| Economy | Economic development | NA | 71\% | NA | Higher |
|  | County parks | NA | 87\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Recreation programs | NA | 82\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Recreation centers | NA | 84\% | NA | Similar |
| Recreation and Wellness | Health services* | 91\% | 80\% | Lower | Similar |
|  | Special events | NA | 72\% | NA | Similar |
| Education and Enrichment | Public libraries* | 99\% | 92\% | Similar | Similar |
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Table 6: Participation by Facet

|  |  | Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) |  | 2018 rating compared to 2016 | Comparison to national benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |
| Safety | Stocked supplies for an emergency | NA | 51\% | NA | Higher |
|  | Did NOT report a crime | NA | 82\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Was NOT the victim of a crime | NA | 93\% | NA | Similar |
| Mobility | Used public transportation instead of driving | NA | 24\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Carpooled instead of driving alone | NA | 39\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Walked or biked instead of driving | NA | 42\% | NA | Lower |
| Natural <br> Environment | Conserved water | NA | 77\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Made home more energy efficient | NA | 74\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Recycled at home | NA | 94\% | NA | Similar |
| Built Environment | Did NOT observe a code violation | NA | 56\% | NA | Similar |
|  | NOT under housing cost stress | NA | 69\% | NA | Similar |
| Economy | Purchased goods or services in Loudoun County | NA | 98\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Economy will have positive impact on income | NA | 36\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Work in Loudoun County | NA | 52\% | NA | Similar |
| Recreation and Wellness | Used Loudoun County recreation centers | NA | 63\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Visited a County park | NA | 85\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables | NA | 85\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity | NA | 88\% | NA | Similar |
|  | In very good to excellent health | NA | 70\% | NA | Similar |
| Education and Enrichment | Used Loudoun County public libraries | NA | 73\% | NA | Higher |
|  | Participated in religious or spiritual activities | NA | 51\% | NA | Similar |


|  |  | Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) |  | 2018 rating compared to 2016 | Comparison to national benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |
|  | Attended a County-sponsored event | NA | 56\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate | NA | 28\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Contacted Loudoun County elected officials | NA | 29\% | NA | Higher |
|  | Volunteered | NA | 51\% | NA | Higher |
|  | Participated in a club | NA | 32\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Talked to or visited with neighbors | NA | 91\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Done a favor for a neighbor | NA | 81\% | NA | Similar |
|  | Attended a local public meeting | NA | 36\% | NA | Higher |
|  | Watched a local public meeting | NA | 29\% | NA | Similar |
| Community | Read or watched local news | NA | 88\% | NA | Similar |
| Engagement | Voted in local elections | NA | 92\% | NA | Similar |

Table 7: Line Item Additions to Question 10

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County: | Percent rating as "excellent" or "good" |  | 2018 rating compared to 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016* | 2018 |  |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services | 88\% | 58\% | Lower |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) | 81\% | 88\% | Similar |
| Senior services | 87\% | 87\% | Similar |

*In 2016, the question wording was "How satisfied are you with..." and the response options were very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, very dissatisfied or have no opinion of the service. For County Family Services, the wording in 2016 was "County Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance)".

Table 8: Question 14

| Have you or anyone in your household used the following services in the last two years? | Percent "yes" |  | 2018 rating compared to 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016* | 2018 |  |
| Sheriff services | 24\% | 32\% | Similar |
| Fire or rescue services | 18\% | 19\% | Similar |
| Bus or transit services | 19\% | 22\% | Similar |
| Land use, planning and zoning, or code enforcement | 13\% | 19\% | Similar |
| Animal control | 16\% | 17\% | Similar |
| Health services | 13\% | 40\% | Higher |
| Public library services | 77\% | 73\% | Similar |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services | 4\% | 8\% | Similar |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) | 6\% | 8\% | Similar |
| Senior services | 7\% | 11\% | Similar |
| *In 2016 the question wording for each item was as follows: the Sheriff's Office; County Animal Services; County Health Services; The Public Libraries; County Mental Health, Substa counseling, financial assistance); and County Senior Services. | ansit S mental | s; Count ices; C | ing and Development services; mily Services (affordable housing |
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Table 9: Question 15

|  | Percent "yes" |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. | 2016 | 2018 | 2018 rating compared to 2016 |
| Schools | $51 \%$ | $56 \%$ |  |
| Growth/development | $11 \%$ | $20 \%$ | Similar |

*In 2016 the question wording was "Would you be willing or not to pay higher taxes to provide more funding for..." and was asked only of users of the service who provided a rating of dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

Table 10: Question 17

| If you use Metrorail to get to places other than work - for what reasons? (Mark all that apply.) | Percent of respondents |  | 2018 rating compared to 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016* | 2018 |  |
| Shopping | 16\% | 20\% | Similar |
| Sporting events | 20\% | 57\% | Higher |
| Recreational/entertainment locations and events | 72\% | 86\% | Higher |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.
*In 2016, respondents were first asked if they used public transportation (yes/no). If yes, they were asked what kinds of public transportation they used and were permitted to list all that applied. Those who said "Metrorail" were asked "When you use Metrorail, where do you usually go?" with response options of to work, shopping, sporting events, recreation/entertainment locations and events or other (specify). As such, in 2016 the percentage is of the people who used the Metrorail for these activities or for work, whereas in 2018 the percentage is of the people who used the Metrorail for these activities.

Table 11: Question 19

| Are you or another household member (please mark all that apply): | Percent of respondents |  | 2018 rating compared to 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016* | 2018 |  |
| A federal employee | 23\% | 23\% | Similar |
| A federal contractor | 13\% | 31\% | Higher |
| Self-employed | 14\% | 29\% | Higher |
| Working in a home-based business | 6\% | 15\% | Similar |
| A teleworker (work from home at least some days instead of traveling to/from the workplace) | 25\% | 46\% | Higher |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.
*In 2016, a series of questions were asked to garner these responses instead of a single question as in 2018. In 2016, respondents were asked whether they were a federal employee and then asked if any other member of their household was a federal employee. Then respondents were asked how many members of their household were: federal contractors, self-employed, work in a business based in the home or telecommute.
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## Appendix A: Complete Survey Responses

## Responses excluding "don't know"

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey, excluding the "don't know" responses. The percent of respondents giving a particular response is shown followed by the number of respondents (denoted with " $\mathrm{N}=$ ").

Table 1: Question 1

| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Loudoun County: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=792$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=837$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1770$ |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=791$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=714$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1699$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=756$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=629$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1531$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=440$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=591$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1359$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=603$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=697$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1642$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=354$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=377$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=482$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1446$ |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=527$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=943$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=199$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1688$ |

Table 2: Question 2

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 37\% | $N=649$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=913$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1738$ |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=284$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=623$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=515$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1687$ |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=465$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=800$ | 20\% | $N=336$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=73$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1674$ |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=212$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=703$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=548$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=207$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1670$ |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=437$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=828$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1623$ |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=521$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=777$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1599$ |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=608$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=782$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=215$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1639$ |
| Sense of community | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=704$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=518$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=169$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1646$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=578$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=876$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1655$ |

Table 3: Question 3


| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=57$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=407$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=655$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=561$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1681$ |
| Ease of public parking | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=696$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=508$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=186$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1607$ |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=617$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=541$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1637$ |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=296$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=531$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1022$ |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=357$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1044$ |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=179$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=533$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=505$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1509$ |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=608$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=469$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1537$ |
| Air quality | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=423$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=893$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1583$ |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=498$ | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=930$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $N=1641$ |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=557$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=875$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1638$ |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=391$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=862$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1599$ |
| Variety of housing options | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=431$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=299$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1572$ |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=483$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=655$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1477$ |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=450$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=737$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=353$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1589$ |
| Recreational opportunities | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=773$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=331$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=70$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1582$ |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=406$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=771$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=354$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1622$ |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=356$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=777$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=300$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1555$ |
| Availability of preventive health services | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=392$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=720$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=281$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=78$ | 100\% | $N=1472$ |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=289$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=281$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=164$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=897$ |

Table 6: Question 6

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=947$ |
| K-12 education | 41\% | $N=505$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=575$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1244$ |
| Adult educational opportunities | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 46\% | $N=486$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=311$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1048$ |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=239$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=606$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=439$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=147$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1431$ |
| Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=381$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=644$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=186$ | 3\% | N=35 | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1246$ |
| Employment opportunities | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=293$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=615$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=356$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=107$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1371$ |
| Shopping opportunities | 34\% | $N=546$ | 46\% | $N=744$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1608$ |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=239$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=671$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=655$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1613$ |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=304$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=917$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=309$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1570$ |
| Vibrant downtown/commercial areas | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=772$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=401$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1549$ |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=692$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=396$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=191$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1528$ |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=822$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=350$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1518$ |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 26\% | $N=336$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=686$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1292$ |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=271$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=669$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=339$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1345$ |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=336$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=621$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=368$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1484$ |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 42\% | $N=666$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=509$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=161$ | 100\% | $N=1576$ |

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 7: Question 7

| Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months. | No |  | Yes |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Made efforts to conserve water | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=373$ | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=1254$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1627$ |
| Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=414$ | 74\% | $\mathrm{N}=1209$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1624$ |
| Observed a code violation or other hazard in Loudoun County (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=908$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=706$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1614$ |
| Household member was a victim of a crime in Loudoun County | 93\% | $\mathrm{N}=1506$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1621$ |
| Reported a crime to the police in Loudoun County | 82\% | $\mathrm{N}=1333$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=285$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1618$ |
| Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=799$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=821$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1620$ |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 72\% | $\mathrm{N}=1163$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=455$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1618$ |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=877$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=745$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1622$ |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion | 71\% | $\mathrm{N}=1150$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=471$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1621$ |


| In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Loudoun County? | 2 times a week or more |  | 2-4 times a month |  | Once a month or less |  | Not at all |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used Loudoun County recreation centers or their services | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=558$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=594$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1588$ |
| Visited a neighborhood park or County park | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=202$ | 29\% | $N=460$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=675$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1583$ |
| Used Loudoun County public libraries or their services | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=463$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=535$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1581$ |
| Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Loudoun County | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=223$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=317$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=778$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1582$ |
| Attended a County-sponsored event | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=107$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=746$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=699$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1578$ |
| Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=71$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 76\% | $\mathrm{N}=1208$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1584$ |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=161$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 61\% | $\mathrm{N}=959$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1581$ |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=172$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=368$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=909$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1574$ |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=229$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=397$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=784$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1586$ |
| Participated in a club | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=199$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=208$ | 68\% | $\mathrm{N}=1076$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1572$ |
| Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=581$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=474$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=379$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=150$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1584$ |
| Done a favor for a neighbor | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=438$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=585$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=297$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1585$ |

Table 9: Question 9

| Thinking about local public meetings (of local elected officials like County Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.), in the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members attended or watched a local public meeting? | 2 times a week or more |  | 2-4 times a month |  | Once a month or less |  | Not at all |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attended a local public meeting | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=462$ | 64\% | $\mathrm{N}=1003$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1570$ |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=342$ | 71\% | $\mathrm{N}=1113$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1563$ |
| Table 10: Question 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County: |  | cellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | oor |  | tal |
| Sheriff services | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=484$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=600$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1256$ |
| Fire services | 60\% | $\mathrm{N}=700$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=410$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1157$ |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=618$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=383$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1063$ |
| Crime prevention | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=338$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=589$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1144$ |
| Fire prevention and education | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=364$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=476$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=977$ |
| Traffic enforcement | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=629$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=354$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=145$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1346$ |
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|  |  | Excellent |  |  | Good |  |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus or transit services |  | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ |  | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ |  | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=202$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=192$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=741$ |
| Recycling |  | 26\% N | $\mathrm{N}=363$ |  | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=654$ |  | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1387$ |
| County parks |  | 32\% N | $\mathrm{N}=435$ |  | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=740$ |  | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1356$ |
| Recreation programs or classes |  | 26\% N | $\mathrm{N}=266$ |  | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=577$ |  | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=148$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1026$ |
| Recreation centers or facilities |  | 31\% N | $\mathrm{N}=362$ |  | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=628$ |  | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=150$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1174$ |
| Land use, planning and zoning |  | 9\% N | $\mathrm{N}=102$ |  | 27\% $\mathrm{N}=321$ |  | 36\% $\mathrm{N}=420$ |  |  | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1168$ |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) |  | 12\% N | $\mathrm{N}=125$ |  | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ |  | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1021$ |
| Animal control |  | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ |  | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=500$ |  | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=167$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=962$ |
| Economic development |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=273$ |  | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ |  | 22\% $\quad \mathrm{N}=272$ |  | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1239$ |
| Health services |  | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=296$ |  | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=681$ |  | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=203$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1220$ |
| Public library services |  | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=692$ |  | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=482$ |  | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1276$ |
| Public information services |  | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=289$ |  | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=593$ |  | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 3\% | $N=32$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1096$ |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) |  | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=258$ |  | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=447$ |  | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=907$ |
| Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts |  | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ |  | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=390$ |  | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=353$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1273$ |
| Loudoun County open space |  | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ |  | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=466$ |  | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=367$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1291$ |
| County-sponsored special events |  | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ |  | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=552$ |  | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=216$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=969$ |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (sheriff, receptionists, planners, etc.) |  | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=327$ |  | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=599$ |  | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=209$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1161$ |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services |  | 16\% N | $\mathrm{N}=90$ |  | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=205$ |  | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=174$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=569$ |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) |  | 19\% N | $\mathrm{N}=89$ |  | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ |  | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=137$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=465$ |
| Senior services |  | 24\% N | $\mathrm{N}=117$ |  | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ |  | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=492$ |
| Table 11: Question 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following? |  | Excellent |  |  | Good |  |  |  | Fair |  | oor |  | tal |
| Loudoun County | 21\% | \% $\mathrm{N}=302$ | 302 | 60\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=854$ |  | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1427$ |
| The Federal Government | 8\% | N=9 | 98 | 36\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=465$ |  | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=506$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=224$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1293$ |
| Table 12: Question 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please rate the following categories of Loudoun County government performance: | Excel | ellent |  |  | Good |  |  | Fair |  | Poor |  |  | tal |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ |  | 43\% |  | 619 | 32\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=459$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1429$ |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ |  | 39\% |  | 555 | 33\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=475$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1425$ |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming citizen involvement | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=147$ |  | 41\% |  | 475 | 34\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=396$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1153$ |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=149$ |  | 45\% |  | 630 | 32\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=454$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1408$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=156$ |  | 43\% |  | 596 | 30\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=418$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=213$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1383$ |
| Being honest | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ |  | 42\% |  | 520 | 32\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=400$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1231$ |
| Treating all residents fairly | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=178$ |  | 42\% |  | 514 | 29\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=354$ | 14\% | $N=168$ | 100\% | $N=1214$ |
| Table 13: Question 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Loudoun County community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years: |  | ssential |  |  | Very portan |  |  | Somew impor |  |  | at all ortant |  | tal |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=832$ |  | 36\% |  | 527 | 7\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=97$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1483$ |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=714$ |  | 43\% |  | 634 | 9\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1483$ |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=725$ |  | 37\% |  | 550 | 13\% |  | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1478$ |
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| Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Loudoun County community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years: | Essential |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very } \\ & \text { important } \end{aligned}$ |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=535$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=650$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=261$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1480$ |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=505$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=586$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=348$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1482$ |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=605$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=585$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 100\% | $N=1477$ |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=660$ | 45\% | $N=671$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1481$ |
| Sense of community | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=480$ | 42\% | $N=626$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=337$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 100\% | $N=1480$ |


| Table 14: Question 14 |
| :--- |
| Have you or anyone in your household used the following services in the last two years? |
| Sheriff services |

Table 15: Question 15 - Importance

| Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. | Essential |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very } \\ & \text { important } \end{aligned}$ |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth/development | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=362$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=429$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=467$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=186$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1445$ |
| Schools | 51\% | $N=738$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=490$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ | 100\% | $N=1450$ |
| Crime/drug use | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=554$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=642$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=227$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1453$ |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=437$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=610$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=349$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1448$ |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=594$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=523$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=268$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 100\% | $N=1450$ |
| Employment opportunities | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=391$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=594$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=388$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 100\% | $N=1441$ |
| Traffic | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=841$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=481$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Road maintenance | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=719$ | 37\% | $N=538$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $N=1451$ |

Table 16: Question 15 - Willingness to Pay

| Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth/development | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 80\% | $\mathrm{N}=1073$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1350$ |
| Schools | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=759$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1361$ |
| Crime/drug use | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=658$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=697$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1356$ |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 44\% | $N=599$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=761$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1360$ |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=1037$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1353$ |
| Employment opportunities | 19\% | $N=252$ | 81\% | $\mathrm{N}=1096$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1347$ |
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| Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=757$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=608$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1365$ |
| Road maintenance | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=721$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=641$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1362$ |

Table 17: Question 16

| In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation to get to work? | 2 times a week or more |  | 2-4 times a month |  | Once a month or less |  | Not at all |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 85\% | $\mathrm{N}=1246$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1464$ |
| Metrorail | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 74\% | $\mathrm{N}=1080$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1464$ |
| Train (such as MARC) | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 95\% | $\mathrm{N}=1389$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1457$ |
| Van pool | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 97\% | $\mathrm{N}=1401$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1451$ |
| Carpool or "slugging" | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=126$ | 83\% | $\mathrm{N}=1217$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1460$ |
| Taxi/hired car/limo/Uber/Lyft | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=332$ | 68\% | $\mathrm{N}=987$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1459$ |
| Government/nonprofit transportation service (e.g., for elderly or disabled residents) | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 97\% | $\mathrm{N}=1413$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1455$ |

Table 18: Question 17

| If you use Metrorail to get to places other than work - for what reasons? (Mark all that apply.) | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shopping | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=143$ |
| Sporting events | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=413$ |
| Recreational/entertainment locations and events | 86\% | $\mathrm{N}=629$ |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.
Table 19: Question 18

| Please indicate if you feel there is too little, too much, or about the right amount of each of the following housing types in Loudoun County to meet the community's needs in the coming years: | Far too little |  | Somewhat too little |  | About right |  | Somewhat too much |  | Far too much |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-family detached homes | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=126$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=229$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=652$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 11\% | $N=149$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1318$ |
| Townhouses | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 36\% | $N=476$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=377$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1334$ |
| Multi-family housing (apartments/condominiums) | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=250$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=459$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=210$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=262$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1284$ |
| Housing options for seniors | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=962$ |
| Housing options for young residents | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=418$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=349$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1074$ |

Table 20: Question 19

| Are you or another household member (please mark all that apply): | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A federal employee | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=189$ |
| A federal contractor | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ |
| Self-employed | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=238$ |
| Working in a home-based business | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ |
| A teleworker (work from home at least some days instead of traveling to/from the workplace) | 46\% | $N=382$ |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 21: Question D1

| How often, if at all, do you do each of the following, considering all of the times you could? |  | ver |  | arely |  | times |  | ually |  | ways |  | tal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recycle at home | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 69\% | $\mathrm{N}=1001$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1457$ |
| Purchase goods or services from a business located in Loudoun County | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=242$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=740$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=436$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1453$ |
| Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=167$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=511$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=490$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1451$ |
| Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=137$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=537$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=447$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=286$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1447$ |
| Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=261$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=499$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=529$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1457$ |
| Vote in local elections | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=77$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 7\% | $N=104$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=228$ | 70\% | $\mathrm{N}=1015$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Table 22: Question D2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Would you say that in general your health is: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent |  |  |  | Number |  |
| Excellent |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=330$ |  |
| Very good |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=686$ |  |
| Good |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25\% |  |  |  | $N=370$ |  |
| Fair |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=57$ |  |
| Poor |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=17$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=1459$ |  |

Table 23: Question D3


Table 24: Question D4

| What is your employment status? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Working full time for pay | 75\% | $\mathrm{N}=1086$ |
| Working part time for pay | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ |
| Unemployed, looking for paid work | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Unemployed, not looking for paid work | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ |
| Fully retired | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1449$ |


| Do you work inside the boundaries of Loudoun County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, outside the home | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=532$ |
| Yes, from home | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=176$ |
| No | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=665$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1374$ |



| Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 78\% | $\mathrm{N}=1108$ |
| Yes | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=307$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1415$ |

Table 32: Question D12


Table 34: Question D14

| What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.) | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ |
| Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ |
| Black or African American | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=91$ |
| White | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=1088$ |
| Other | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.

| In which category is your age? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 to 24 years | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ |
| 25 to 34 years | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=315$ |
| 35 to 44 years | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=338$ |
| 45 to 54 years | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=394$ |
| 55 to 64 years | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ |
| 65 to 74 years | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ |
| 75 years or older | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1449$ |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Table 36: Question D16

| What is your sex? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $51 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=647$ |
| Male | $49 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=624$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=1271$ |

Table 37: Question D17

| Do you consider a cell phone or landline your primary telephone number? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell | 73\% | $\mathrm{N}=934$ |
| Land line | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ |
| Both | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=238$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1280$ |

## Responses including "don't know"

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey, including the "don't know" responses. The percent of respondents giving a particular response is shown followed by the number of respondents (denoted with " $\mathrm{N}=$ ").

Table 38: Question 1

| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Loudoun County: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=792$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=837$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1772$ |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=791$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=714$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1701$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | 45\% | $N=756$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=629$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1689$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=440$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=591$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=331$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1691$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=603$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=697$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1690$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=354$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=377$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=482$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=241$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1687$ |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=527$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=943$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=199$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1691$ |

Table 39: Question 2

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=649$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=913$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1742$ |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=284$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=623$ | 30\% | $N=515$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1691$ |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=465$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=800$ | 20\% | $N=336$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=73$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1676$ |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=212$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=703$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=548$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=207$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1681$ |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=437$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=828$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1678$ |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=521$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=777$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1679$ |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=608$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=782$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=215$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1675$ |
| Sense of community | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=704$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=518$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=169$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1666$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=578$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=876$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1676$ |

Table 40: Question 3

| Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following: Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks |  |  | Very likely |  | Somewhat likely |  | Somewhat unlikely |  |  | Very unlikely |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=853$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=638$ | 9\% |  | 149 | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1721$ |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years |  |  | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=973$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=451$ | 7\% |  | 118 | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1677$ |
| Table 41: Question 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel: |  | safe |  | newhat safe |  | her safe nor unsafe |  |  |  |  |  | Don | t know |  | tal |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 76\% | $\mathrm{N}=1263$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=331$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ |  | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1667$ |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | 61\% | $\mathrm{N}=1019$ | 29\% | $N=477$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=78$ |  | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=70$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1669$ |

Table 42: Question 5

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=57$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=407$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=655$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=561$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1686$ |
| Ease of public parking | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=218$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=696$ | 31\% | $N=508$ | 11\% | $N=186$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1651$ |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=617$ | 33\% | $N=541$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1645$ |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=296$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=531$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=618$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1641$ |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=357$ | 19\% | $N=316$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=597$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1641$ |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=179$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=533$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=505$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1643$ |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=608$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=469$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1642$ |
| Air quality | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=423$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=893$ | 14\% | $N=233$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1643$ |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=498$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=930$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1646$ |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=557$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=875$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1642$ |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=391$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=862$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1641$ |
| Variety of housing options | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=431$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=299$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1640$ |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=483$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=655$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1639$ |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=450$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=737$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=353$ | 3\% | N=50 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=53$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1642$ |
| Recreational opportunities | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=773$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=331$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=70$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=56$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1638$ |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=406$ | 47\% | $N=771$ | 22\% | $N=354$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1643$ |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=356$ | 47\% | $N=777$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=300$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1644$ |
| Availability of preventive health services | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=392$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=720$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=281$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=78$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=169$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1641$ |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=289$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=281$ | 10\% | $N=164$ | 45\% | $N=746$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1643$ |

Table 43: Question 6

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=694$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1641$ |
| K -12 education | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=505$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=575$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=378$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1622$ |
| Adult educational opportunities | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 30\% | $N=486$ | 19\% | $N=311$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=566$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1614$ |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=239$ | 38\% | $N=606$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=439$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=147$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1615$ |
| Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=381$ | 40\% | $N=644$ | 12\% | $N=186$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=373$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1619$ |
| Employment opportunities | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=293$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=615$ | 22\% | $N=356$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=107$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1614$ |
| Shopping opportunities | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=546$ | 46\% | $N=744$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1617$ |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 15\% | $N=239$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=671$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=655$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1621$ |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=304$ | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=917$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=309$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1615$ |
| Vibrant downtown/commercial areas | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=772$ | 25\% | $N=401$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1613$ |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=692$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=396$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=191$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1613$ |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=822$ | 22\% | $N=350$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1620$ |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 21\% | $N=336$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=686$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1617$ |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 17\% | $N=271$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=669$ | 21\% | $N=339$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ | 17\% | $N=270$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1615$ |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=336$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=621$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=368$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1616$ |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=666$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=509$ | 10\% | $N=161$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1617$ |

Table 44: Question 7

| Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months. | No |  | Yes |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Made efforts to conserve water | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=373$ | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=1254$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1627$ |
| Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=414$ | 74\% | $\mathrm{N}=1209$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1624$ |
| Observed a code violation or other hazard in Loudoun County (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=908$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=706$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1614$ |
| Household member was a victim of a crime in Loudoun County | 93\% | $\mathrm{N}=1506$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1621$ |
| Reported a crime to the police in Loudoun County | 82\% | $\mathrm{N}=1333$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=285$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1618$ |
| Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=799$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=821$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1620$ |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 72\% | $\mathrm{N}=1163$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=455$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1618$ |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=877$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=745$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1622$ |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion | 71\% | $\mathrm{N}=1150$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=471$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1621$ |


| In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Loudoun County? |  | 2 times a week or more |  |  | 2-4 times a month |  | Once a month or less |  | Not at all |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used Loudoun County recreation centers or their services |  | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 8 14\% |  | =218 | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=558$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=594$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1588$ |
| Visited a neighborhood park or County park |  | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=202$ | 2 29\% |  | =460 | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=675$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1583$ |
| Used Loudoun County public libraries or their services |  | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 2 29\% |  | =463 | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=535$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1581$ |
| Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Loudoun County |  | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 3 20\% |  | =317 | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=778$ | 100\% | $N=1582$ |
| Attended a County-sponsored event |  | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 7\% |  | =107 | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=746$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=699$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1578$ |
| Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving |  | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=71$ | 4\% |  | =61 | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 76\% | $\mathrm{N}=1208$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1584$ |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone |  | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 4 10\% |  | -161 | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 61\% | $\mathrm{N}=959$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1581$ |
| Walked or biked instead of driving |  | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 5 11\% |  | =172 | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=368$ | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=909$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1574$ |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County |  | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 7 14\% |  | 229 | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=397$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=784$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1586$ |
| Participated in a club |  | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 13\% |  | =199 | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=208$ | 68\% | $\mathrm{N}=1076$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1572$ |
| Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors |  | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 1 30\% |  | =474 | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=379$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=150$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1584$ |
| Done a favor for a neighbor |  | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 5 28\% |  | =438 | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=585$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=297$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1585$ |
| Table 46: Question 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thinking about local public meetings (of local elected officials like County Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.), in the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members attended or watched a local public meeting? |  |  | 2 times a week or more |  | 2-4 times a month |  | Once a month or less |  | Not at all |  | Total |  |
| Attended a local public meeting |  |  | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=462$ | 64\% | $\mathrm{N}=1003$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1570$ |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting |  |  | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=342$ | 71\% | $\mathrm{N}=1113$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1563$ |
| Table 47: Question 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County: |  | ellent |  | ood |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | know |  | tal |
| Sheriff services | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=484$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=600$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=282$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1538$ |
| Fire services | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=700$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=410$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=374$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1532$ |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=618$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=383$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=467$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1530$ |
| Crime prevention | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=338$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=589$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=384$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1528$ |
| Fire prevention and education | 24\% | $N=364$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=476$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=541$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1518$ |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic enforcement | 14\% | $N=218$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=629$ | 23\% | $N=354$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=145$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1531$ |
| Bus or transit services | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=234$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=202$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=192$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=788$ | 100\% | $N=1529$ |
| Recycling | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=363$ | 43\% | $N=654$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ | 100\% | $N=1526$ |
| County parks | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=435$ | 48\% | $N=740$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=170$ | 100\% | $N=1525$ |
| Recreation programs or classes | 17\% | $N=266$ | 38\% | $N=577$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=148$ | 2\% | $N=34$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=500$ | 100\% | $N=1526$ |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 24\% | $N=362$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=628$ | 10\% | $N=150$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=343$ | 100\% | $N=1517$ |
| Land use, planning and zoning | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 21\% | $N=321$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=420$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=355$ | 100\% | $N=1523$ |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 8\% | $N=125$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 33\% | $N=503$ | 100\% | $N=1524$ |
| Animal control | 15\% | $N=233$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=500$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=167$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 37\% | $N=560$ | 100\% | $N=1522$ |
| Economic development | 18\% | $N=273$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ | 18\% | $N=272$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 19\% | $N=284$ | 100\% | $N=1523$ |
| Health services | 19\% | $N=296$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=681$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=203$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 20\% | N=305 | 100\% | $N=1526$ |
| Public library services | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=692$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=482$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 16\% | $N=248$ | 100\% | $N=1524$ |
| Public information services | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=289$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=593$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 2\% | $N=32$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=427$ | 100\% | $N=1523$ |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=258$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=447$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=613$ | 100\% | $N=1521$ |
| Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=390$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=353$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=241$ | 100\% | $N=1514$ |
| Loudoun County open space | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=466$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=367$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=226$ | 100\% | $N=1516$ |
| County-sponsored special events | 10\% | $N=146$ | 36\% | $N=552$ | 14\% | $N=216$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 36\% | $N=554$ | 100\% | $N=1523$ |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (sheriff, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 21\% | N=327 | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=599$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=209$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 24\% | N=361 | 100\% | $N=1522$ |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=205$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=174$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | 63\% | $\mathrm{N}=952$ | 100\% | $N=1521$ |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=137$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 69\% | $N=1058$ | 100\% | $N=1523$ |
| Senior services | 8\% | $N=117$ | 15\% | $N=221$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | 4\% | $N=54$ | 68\% | $N=1031$ | 100\% | $N=1523$ |

Table 48: Question 11

| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following? | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=302$ | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=854$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=77$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=150$ |
| The Federa Gover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 49: Question 12

| Please rate the following categories of Loudoun County government performance: | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=166$ | 41\% | N=619 | 31\% | N=459 | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=77$ | 100 | $\mathrm{N}=1$ |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=555$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=475$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1503$ |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming citizen involvement | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=475$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=396$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 00\% | $\mathrm{N}=1504$ |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=149$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=630$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=454$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1501$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=156$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=596$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=418$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=213$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1501$ |
| Being honest | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=520$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=400$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=272$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1503$ |
| Treating all residents fairly |  | $\mathrm{N}=178$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=168$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ |

Table 50: Question 13

| Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Loudoun County community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years: | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=832$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=527$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=97$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1483$ |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=714$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=634$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1483$ |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=725$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=550$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 100\% | $N=1478$ |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=535$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=650$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=261$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1480$ |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=505$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=586$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=348$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1482$ |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=605$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=585$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1477$ |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=660$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=671$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1481$ |
| Sense of community | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=480$ | 42\% | $N=626$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=337$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1480$ |

Table 51: Question 14

| Have you or anyone in your household used the following services in the last two years? | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheriff services | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=470$ | 68\% | $\mathrm{N}=1012$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1482$ |
| Fire or rescue services | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 81\% | $\mathrm{N}=1205$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1482$ |
| Bus or transit services | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=328$ | 78\% | $\mathrm{N}=1154$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1482$ |
| County parks, recreation programs, or recreation centers | 81\% | $\mathrm{N}=1199$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=280$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1479$ |
| Land use, planning and zoning, or code enforcement | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=275$ | 81\% | $\mathrm{N}=1206$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1481$ |
| Animal control | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 83\% | $\mathrm{N}=1231$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1483$ |
| Health services | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=598$ | 60\% | $\mathrm{N}=881$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1480$ |
| Public library services | 73\% | $\mathrm{N}=1080$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=401$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1481$ |
| County-sponsored special events | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=685$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=794$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1479$ |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 92\% | $\mathrm{N}=1358$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1482$ |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 92\% | $\mathrm{N}=1363$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1482$ |
| Senior services | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=167$ | 89\% | $\mathrm{N}=1314$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1481$ |


| Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. | Essential |  | Veryimportant |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth/development | 25\% | $N=362$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=429$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=467$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=186$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1445$ |
| Schools | 51\% | $N=738$ | 34\% | $N=490$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1450$ |
| Crime/drug use | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=554$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=642$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=227$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1453$ |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=437$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=610$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=349$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1448$ |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=594$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=523$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=268$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1450$ |
| Employment opportunities | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=391$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=594$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=388$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1441$ |
| Traffic | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=841$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=481$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1458$ |
| Road maintenance | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=719$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=538$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1451$ |

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 53: Question 15 - Willingness to Pay

| Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth/development | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=277$ | 80\% | $\mathrm{N}=1073$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1350$ |
| Schools | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=759$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1361$ |
| Crime/drug use | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=658$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=697$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1356$ |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=599$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=761$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1360$ |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=1037$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1353$ |
| Employment opportunities | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 81\% | $\mathrm{N}=1096$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1347$ |
| Traffic | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=757$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=608$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1365$ |
| Road maintenance | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=721$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=641$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1362$ |


| In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation to get to work? | 2 times a week or more |  | 2-4 times a month |  | Once a month or less |  | Not at all |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 85\% | $\mathrm{N}=1246$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1464$ |
| Metrorail | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 74\% | $\mathrm{N}=1080$ | 100\% | $N=1464$ |
| Train (such as MARC) | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 95\% | $\mathrm{N}=1389$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1457$ |
| Van pool | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 97\% | $\mathrm{N}=1401$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1451$ |
| Carpool or "slugging" | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=126$ | 83\% | $\mathrm{N}=1217$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1460$ |
| Taxi/hired car/limo/Uber/Lyft | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=332$ | 68\% | $\mathrm{N}=987$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1459$ |
| Government/nonprofit transportation service (e.g., for elderly or disabled residents) | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 97\% | $\mathrm{N}=1413$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1455$ |

Table 55: Question 17

| If you use Metrorail to get to places other than work - for what reasons? (Mark all that apply.) | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shopping | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=143$ |
| Sporting events | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=413$ |
| Recreational/entertainment locations and events | 86\% | $\mathrm{N}=629$ |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.
Table 56: Question 18

| Please indicate if you feel there is too little, too much, or about the right amount of each of the following housing types in Loudoun County to meet the community's needs in the coming years: | Far too little |  | Somewhat too little |  | About right |  | Somewhat too much |  | Far too much |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-family detached homes | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=126$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=229$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=652$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=149$ | 9\% | $N=131$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1450$ |
| Townhouses | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 33\% | $N=476$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 26\% | $N=377$ | 8\% | $N=116$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1450$ |
| Multi-family housing (apartments/condominiums) | 7\% | $N=102$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=250$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=459$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=210$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=262$ | 11\% | $N=162$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1446$ |
| Housing options for seniors | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=486$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1448$ |
| Housing options for young residents | 29\% | $N=418$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=349$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 26\% | $N=376$ | 100\% | $N=1450$ |

Table 57: Question 19

| Are you or another household member (please mark all that apply): | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A federal employee | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=189$ |
| A federal contractor | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ |
| Self-employed | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=238$ |
| Working in a home-based business | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ |
| A teleworker (work from home at least some days instead of traveling to/from the workplace) | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=382$ |
| XX FORMAT AS NOTE |  |  |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.

| How often, if at all, do you do each of the following, considering all of the times you could? |  | ver |  | rely |  | times |  | ually |  | ways |  | tal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recycle at home | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 69\% | $\mathrm{N}=1001$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1457$ |
| Purchase goods or services from a business located in Loudoun County | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=242$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=740$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=436$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1453$ |
| Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=167$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=511$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=490$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1451$ |
| Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=40$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=137$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=537$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=447$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=286$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1447$ |
| Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=261$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=499$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=529$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1457$ |
| Vote in local elections | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=77$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=228$ | 70\% | $\mathrm{N}=1015$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Table 59: Question D2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Would you say that in general your health is: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent |  |  |  | Number |  |
| Excellent |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=330$ |  |
| Very good |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=686$ |  |
| Good |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25\% |  |  |  | $N=370$ |  |
| Fair |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=57$ |  |
| Poor |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=17$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=1459$ |  |

Table 60: Question D3


Table 61: Question D4

| What is your employment status? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Working full time for pay | 75\% | $\mathrm{N}=1086$ |
| Working part time for pay | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ |
| Unemployed, looking for paid work | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ |
| Unemployed, not looking for paid work | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ |
| Fully retired | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1449$ |

Table 62: Question D5

| Do you work inside the boundaries of Loudoun County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, outside the home | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=532$ |
| Yes, from home | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=176$ |
| No | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=665$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1374$ |
| Table 63: Question D6 |  |  |
| How many years have you lived in Loudoun County? | Percent | Number |
| Less than 2 years | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=147$ |
| 2 to 5 years | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=278$ |
| 6 to 10 years | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=262$ |
| 11 to 20 years | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=425$ |
| More than 20 years | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=350$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1462$ |


| Which best describes the building you live in? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One family house detached from any other houses | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=742$ |
| Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium) | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=622$ |
| Mobile home | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ |
| Other | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1391$ |


| Is this house, apartment or mobile home... | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rented | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=288$ |
| Owned | 79\% | $\mathrm{N}=1092$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1379$ |
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Table 66: Question D9

| About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and homeowners' association (HOA) fees)? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$300 per month | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ |
| \$300 to \$599 per month | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ |
| \$600 to \$999 per month | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ |
| \$1,000 to \$1,499 per month | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=136$ |
| \$1,500 to \$2,499 per month | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=544$ |
| \$2,500 or more per month | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=613$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1433$ |

Table 67: Question D10

| Do any children 17 or under live in your household? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=723$ |
| Yes | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=694$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1417$ |

Table 68: Question D11

| Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 78\% | $\mathrm{N}=1108$ |
| Yes | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=307$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1415$ |

Table 69: Question D12

| How much do you antic persons living in your | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$ 25,000 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999 | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=91$ |
| \$50,000 to \$99,999 | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=341$ |
| \$150,000 or more | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=605$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1401$ |


| Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | 90\% | $\mathrm{N}=1293$ |
| Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1433$ |
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Table 71: Question D14

| What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.) | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ |
| Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ |
| Black or African American | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=91$ |
| White | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=1088$ |
| Other | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.
Table 72: Question D15

| In which category is your age? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 to 24 years | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ |
| 25 to 34 years | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=315$ |
| 35 to 44 years | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=338$ |
| 45 to 54 years | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=394$ |
| 55 to 64 years | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ |
| 65 to 74 years | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ |
| 75 years or older | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1449$ |


| Table 73: Question D16 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| What is your sex? | Percent | Number |
| Female | $51 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=647$ |
| Male | $49 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=624$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=1271$ |

Table 74: Question D17

| Do you consider a cell phone or landline your primary telephone number? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell | 73\% | $\mathrm{N}=934$ |
| Land line | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ |
| Both | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=238$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1280$ |

## Appendix B: Benchmark Comparisons

## Comparison Data

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in surveys from over 500 communities whose residents evaluated the same kinds of topics on The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each community; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. The communities in the database represent a wide geographic and population range. Loudoun County chose to have comparisons made to the entire database (page 25) and a subset of similar jurisdictions from the database (communities selected by County staff for having populations and annual household incomes similar to Loudoun County, page 33).

## I nterpreting the Results

Ratings are compared when there are at least five communities in which a similar question was asked. Where comparisons are available, four columns are provided in the table. The first column is Loudoun County's "percent positive." The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe," etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/ participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating "yes" or participating in an activity at least once a month. The second column is the rank assigned to Loudoun County's rating among communities where a similar question was asked. The third column is the number of communities that asked a similar question. The final column shows the comparison of Loudoun County's rating to the benchmark.

In that final column, Loudoun County's results are noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark or "similar" to the

| Benchmark Database Characteristics |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Region | Percent |
| New England | $3 \%$ |
| Middle Atlantic | $5 \%$ |
| East North Central | $15 \%$ |
| West North Central | $13 \%$ |
| South Atlantic | $22 \%$ |
| East South Central | $3 \%$ |
| West South Central | $7 \%$ |
| Mountain | $16 \%$ |
| Pacific | $16 \%$ |
| Population | Percent |
| Less than 10,000 | $10 \%$ |
| 10,000 to 24,999 | $22 \%$ |
| 25,000 to 49,999 | $23 \%$ |
| 50,000 to 99,999 | $22 \%$ |
| 100,000 or more | $23 \%$ | benchmark, meaning that the average rating given by Loudoun County residents is statistically similar to or different (greater or lesser) than the benchmark. Being rated as "higher" or "lower" than the benchmark means that Loudoun County's average rating for a particular item was more than 10 points different than the benchmark. If a rating was "much higher" or "much lower," then Loudoun County's average rating was more than 20 points different when compared to the benchmark.

## National Benchmark Comparisons

Table 75: Community Characteristics General

|  | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | $87 \%$ | 162 | 447 | Similar |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun <br> County | $88 \%$ | 67 | 343 | Higher |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | $92 \%$ | 128 | 384 | Similar |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | $89 \%$ | 84 | 306 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | $90 \%$ | 101 | 373 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | $41 \%$ | 326 | 347 | Lower |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | $87 \%$ | 67 | 350 | Higher |

Table 76: Community Characteristics by Facet

|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 90\% | 130 | 342 | Similar |
|  | In your neighborhood during the day | 96\% | 126 | 350 | Similar |
|  | In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | 94\% | 125 | 310 | Similar |
| Mobility | Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 54\% | 236 | 259 | Lower |
|  | Availability of paths and walking trails | 58\% | 198 | 312 | Similar |
|  | Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 47\% | 248 | 299 | Lower |
|  | Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | 36\% | 237 | 299 | Lower |
|  | Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 19\% | 193 | 220 | Lower |
|  | Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | 49\% | 246 | 299 | Lower |
|  | Ease of public parking | 57\% | 105 | 217 | Similar |
|  | Traffic flow on major streets | 28\% | 297 | 335 | Lower |
| Natural Environment | Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County | 76\% | 160 | 271 | Similar |
|  | Cleanliness of Loudoun County | 87\% | 91 | 279 | Similar |
|  | Air quality | 83\% | 115 | 242 | Similar |
| Built <br> Environment | Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 55\% | 174 | 248 | Similar |
|  | Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | 62\% | 101 | 286 | Similar |
|  | Availability of affordable quality housing | 23\% | 244 | 297 | Lower |
|  | Variety of housing options | 54\% | 148 | 274 | Similar |
|  | Public places where people want to spend time | 78\% | 66 | 241 | Similar |
| Economy | Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 85\% | 28 | 254 | Higher |
|  | Vibrant downtown/commercial areas | 66\% | 66 | 231 | Similar |
|  | Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 78\% | 48 | 267 | Similar |
|  | Cost of living in Loudoun County | 18\% | 227 | 251 | Lower |
|  | Shopping opportunities | 80\% | 30 | 290 | Higher |
|  | Employment opportunities | 66\% | 23 | 303 | Higher |
|  | Loudoun County as a place to visit | 79\% | 61 | 268 | Higher |
|  | Loudoun County as a place to work | 76\% | 73 | 354 | Higher |
| Recreation and Wellness | Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 78\% | 84 | 249 | Similar |
|  | Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 50\% | 76 | 219 | Similar |
|  | Availability of preventive health services | 76\% | 51 | 233 | Similar |
|  | Availability of affordable quality health care | 73\% | 76 | 254 | Similar |
|  | Availability of affordable quality food | 73\% | 78 | 239 | Similar |
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|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recreational opportunities | 75\% | 95 | 290 | Similar |
|  | Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 75\% | 83 | 239 | Similar |
| Education and Enrichment | Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 81\% | 69 | 251 | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities | 82\% | 84 | 200 | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 59\% | 147 | 289 | Similar |
|  | Adult educational opportunities | 63\% | 88 | 228 | Similar |
|  | K-12 education | 87\% | 65 | 265 | Higher |
|  | Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | 42\% | 188 | 249 | Similar |
| Community Engagement | Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 72\% | 77 | 258 | Similar |
|  | Neighborliness of Loudoun County | 57\% | 159 | 243 | Similar |
|  | Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 65\% | 100 | 287 | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to participate in community matters | 70\% | 79 | 270 | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to volunteer | 79\% | 69 | 259 | Similar |

Table 77: Governance General

|  | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services provided by the County of Loudoun County | $81 \%$ | 151 | 421 | Similar |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees <br> (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | $80 \%$ | 122 | 374 | Similar |
| Value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | $55 \%$ | 175 | 397 | Similar |
| Overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | $50 \%$ | 208 | 310 | Similar |
| Job Loudoun County government does at welcoming citizen <br> involvement | $54 \%$ | 134 | 316 | Similar |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | $55 \%$ | 119 | 254 | Similar |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | $54 \%$ | 145 | 254 | Similar |
| Being honest | $55 \%$ | 141 | 246 | Similar |
| Treating all residents fairly | $57 \%$ | 125 | 252 | Similar |
| Services provided by the Federal Government | $44 \%$ | 66 | 246 | Similar |

Table 78: Governance by Facet

|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sheriff services | 86\% | 138 | 455 | Similar |
|  | Fire services | 96\% | 49 | 380 | Similar |
|  | Ambulance or emergency medical services | 94\% | 45 | 342 | Similar |
|  | Crime prevention | 81\% | 100 | 356 | Similar |
|  | Fire prevention and education | 86\% | 66 | 278 | Similar |
|  | Animal control | 76\% | 54 | 336 | Similar |
| Safety | Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 78\% | 26 | 273 | Higher |
|  | Traffic enforcement | 63\% | 200 | 365 | Similar |
| Mobility | Bus or transit services | 47\% | 131 | 225 | Similar |
|  | Recycling | 73\% | 251 | 353 | Similar |
| Natural | Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts | 46\% | 226 | 251 | Lower |
| Environment | Loudoun County open space | 51\% | 179 | 228 | Similar |
| Built | Land use, planning and zoning | 36\% | 238 | 295 | Similar |
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|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environment | Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 54\% | 166 | 382 | Similar |
| Economy | Economic development | 71\% | 41 | 279 | Higher |
|  | County parks | 87\% | 127 | 319 | Similar |
|  | Recreation programs or classes | 82\% | 84 | 319 | Similar |
| Recreation and | Recreation centers or facilities | 84\% | 52 | 272 | Similar |
| Wellness | Health services | 80\% | 52 | 216 | Similar |
| Education and | County-sponsored special events | 72\% | 135 | 273 | Similar |
| Enrichment | Public library services | 92\% | 39 | 333 | Similar |
| Community Engagement | Public information services | 80\% | 35 | 282 | Similar |

Table 79: Participation General

|  | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sense of community | $58 \%$ | 197 | 306 | Similar |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who <br> asks | $88 \%$ | 146 | 282 | Similar |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | $87 \%$ | 95 | 274 | Similar |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email or <br> web) for help or information | $46 \%$ | 148 | 316 | Similar |

Table 80: Participation by Facet

|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency | 51\% | 32 | 219 | Higher |
|  | Did NOT report a crime to the police | 82\% | 92 | 246 | Similar |
| Safety | Household member was NOT a victim of a crime | 93\% | 54 | 267 | Similar |
|  | Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving | 24\% | 83 | 201 | Similar |
|  | Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 39\% | 160 | 233 | Similar |
| Mobility | Walked or biked instead of driving | 42\% | 200 | 242 | Lower |
|  | Made efforts to conserve water | 77\% | 161 | 228 | Similar |
| Natural | Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient | 74\% | 143 | 229 | Similar |
| Environment | Recycle at home | 94\% | 97 | 253 | Similar |
|  | Did NOT observe a code violation or other hazard in Loudoun County | 56\% | 105 | 235 | Similar |
| Built Environment | NOT experiencing housing costs stress | 69\% | 128 | 252 | Similar |
|  | Purchase goods or services from a business located in Loudoun County | 98\% | 92 | 239 | Similar |
|  | Economy will have positive impact on income | 36\% | 74 | 253 | Similar |
| Economy | Work inside boundaries of Loudoun County | 52\% | 75 | 240 | Similar |
|  | Used Loudoun County recreation centers or their services | 63\% | 68 | 235 | Similar |
|  | Visited a neighborhood park or County park | 85\% | 143 | 264 | Similar |
|  | Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day | 85\% | 91 | 231 | Similar |
| Recreation and | Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity | 88\% | 81 | 235 | Similar |
| Wellness | In very good to excellent health | 70\% | 65 | 235 | Similar |
| Education and Enrichment | Used Loudoun County public libraries or their services | 73\% | 41 | 241 | Higher |
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|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Loudoun County | 51\% | 66 | 198 | Similar |
|  | Attended County-sponsored event | 56\% | 117 | 242 | Similar |
|  | Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 28\% | 64 | 223 | Similar |
|  | Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (inperson, phone, email or web) to express your opinion | 29\% | 20 | 238 | Higher |
|  | Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 51\% | 56 | 259 | Higher |
|  | Participated in a club | 32\% | 64 | 238 | Similar |
|  | Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors | 91\% | 136 | 237 | Similar |
|  | Done a favor for a neighbor | 81\% | 135 | 232 | Similar |
|  | Attended a local public meeting | 36\% | 18 | 258 | Higher |
|  | Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 29\% | 62 | 225 | Similar |
| Community | Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) | 88\% | 51 | 240 | Similar |
| Engagement | Vote in local elections | 92\% | 12 | 253 | Similar |

Communities included in national comparisons
The communities included in Loudoun County's comparisons are listed on the following pages along with their population according to the 2010 Census.
Adams County, CO ..... 441,603
Airway Heights city, WA ..... 6,114
Albany city, OR ..... 50,158
Albemarle County, VA ..... 98,970
Albert Lea city, MN ..... 18,016
Alexandria city, VA ..... 139,966
Algonquin village, IL ..... 30,046
Aliso Viejo city, CA ..... 47,823
American Canyon city, CA ..... 19,454
Ames city, IA ..... 58,965
Ankeny city, IA ..... 45,582
Ann Arbor city, MI ..... 113,934
Apache Junction city, AZ. ..... 35,840
Arapahoe County, CO ..... 572,003
Arkansas City city, AR ..... 366
Arlington city, TX ..... 365,438
Arvada city, CO ..... 106,433
Asheville city, NC ..... 83,393
Ashland city, OR ..... 20,078
Ashland town, MA ..... 16,593
Ashland town, VA. ..... 7,225
Aspen city, CO ..... 6,658
Athens-Clarke County, GA ..... 115,452
Auburn city, AL ..... 53,380
Augusta CCD, GA ..... 134,777
Aurora city, CO ..... 325,078
Austin city, TX ..... 790,390
Avon town, CO ..... 6,447
Avon town, IN ..... 12,446
Avondale city, AZ ..... 76,238
Azusa city, CA ..... 46,361
Bainbridge Island city, WA. ..... 23,025
Baltimore city, MD ..... 620,961
Bartonville town, TX ..... 1,469
Battle Creek city, MI ..... 52,347
Bay City city, M ..... 34,932
Bay Village city, OH ..... 15,651
Baytown city, TX ..... 71,802
Bedford city, TX ..... 46,979
Bedford town, MA ..... 13,320
Bellevue city, WA ..... 122,363
Bellingham city, WA ..... 80,885
Benbrook city, TX ..... 21,234
Bend city, OR ..... 76,639
Bethlehem township, PA ..... 23,730
Bettendorf city, IA. ..... 33,217
Billings city, MT ..... 104,170
Bloomington city, IN ..... 80,405
Bloomington city, MN ..... 82,893
Blue Springs city, MO ..... 52,575
Boise City city, ID ..... 205,671
Bonner Springs city, KS ..... 7,314
Boone County, KY ..... 118,811
Boulder city, CO ..... 97,385
Bowling Green city, KY ..... 58,067
Bozeman city, MT ..... 37,280
Brentwood city, MO ..... 8,055
Brentwood city, TN ..... 37,060
Brighton city, CO ..... 33,352
Brighton city, MI ..... 7,444
Bristol city, TN ..... 26,702
Broken Arrow city, OK ..... 98,850
Brookline CDP, MA ..... 58,732
Brooklyn Center city, MN ..... 30,104
Brooklyn city, OH ..... 11,169
Broomfield city, CO ..... 55,889
Brownsburg town, IN ..... 21,285
Buffalo Grove village, IL ..... 41,496
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Burlingame city, CA...................................................................................88,011
Cabarrus County, NC............
Cambridge city, MA ..... 105,162
Canandaigua city, NY ..... 10,545
Cannon Beach city, OR ..... 1,690
Cañon City city, CO ..... 16,400
Canton city, SD. ..... 3,057
Cape Coral city, FL. ..... 154,305
Carlisle borough, PA ..... 18,682
Carlsbad city, CA ..... 105,328
Carroll city, IA. ..... 10,103
Cartersville city, GA. ..... 19,731
Cary town, NC ..... 135,234
Castine town, ME ..... 1,366
Castle Rock town, CO. ..... 48,231
Cedar Hill city, TX ..... 45,028
Cedar Rapids city, IA. ..... 126,326
Celina city, TX. ..... 6,028
Centennial city, CO. ..... 100,377
Chandler city, AZ ..... 236,123
Chandler city, TX ..... 2,734
Chanhassen city, MN. ..... 22,952
Chapel Hill town, NC ..... 57,233
Chardon city, OH ..... 5,148
Charles County, MD ..... 146,551
Charlotte city, NC. ..... 731,424
Charlotte County, FL ..... 159,978
Charlottesville city, VA ..... 43,475
Chattanooga city, TN. ..... 167,674
Chautauqua town, NY ..... 4,464
Chesterfield County, VA ..... 316,236
Clackamas County, OR ..... 375,992
Clarendon Hills village, IL ..... 8,427
Clayton city, MO ..... 15,939
Clearwater city, FL ..... 107,685
Cleveland Heights city, OH ..... 46,121
Clinton city, SC ..... 8,490
Clive city, IA ..... 15,447
Clovis city, CA ..... 95,631
College Park city, MD ..... 30,413
College Station city, TX ..... 93,857
Colleyville city, TX ..... 22,807
Columbia city, MO ..... 108,500
Columbia city, SC. ..... 129,272
Columbia Falls city, MT ..... 4,688
Commerce City city, CO ..... 45,913
Concord city, CA ..... 122,067
Concord town, MA ..... 17,668
Conshohocken borough, PA ..... 7,833
Coolidge city, AZ ..... 11,825
Coon Rapids city, MN ..... 61,476
Copperas Cove city, TX ..... 32,032
Coral Springs city, FL. ..... 121,096
Coronado city, CA ..... 18,912
Corvallis city, OR ..... 54,462
Cottonwood Heights city, UT ..... 33,433
Creve Coeur city, MO ..... 17,833
Cupertino city, CA ..... 58,302
Dacono city, CO ..... 4,152
Dakota County, MN ..... 398,552
Dallas city, OR ..... 14,583
Dallas city, TX. ..... 1,197,816
Danville city, KY ..... 16,218
Dardenne Prairie city, MO ..... 11,494
Darien city, IL ..... 22,086
Davenport city, FL ..... 2,888
Davidson town, NC. ..... 10,944
Dayton city, OH ..... 141,527
Dayton town, WY ..... 757
Dearborn city, MI ..... 98,153
Decatur city, GA ..... 19,335
Del Mar city, CA ..... 4,161
DeLand city, FL ..... 27,031
Delaware city, OH ..... 34,753
Denison city, TX ..... 22,682
Denton city, TX ..... 113,383
Denver city, CO ..... 600,158
Des Moines city, IA ..... 203,433
Des Peres city, MO .....  8,373
Destin city, FL ..... 12,305
Dover city, NH ..... 29,987
Dublin city, CA ..... 46,036
Dublin city, OH ..... 41,751
Duluth city, MN ..... 86,265
Durham city, NC ..... 228,330
Durham County, NC ..... 267,587
Dyer town, IN ..... 16,390
Eagan city, MN ..... 64,206
Eagle Mountain city, UT. ..... 21,415
Eagle town, CO ..... 6,508
Eau Claire city, WI ..... 65,883
Eden Prairie city, MN ..... 60,797
Eden town, VT ..... 1,323
Edgerton city, KS ..... 1,671
Edgewater city, CO ..... 5,170
Edina city, MN ..... 47,941
Edmond city, OK ..... 81,405
Edmonds city, WA ..... 39,709
El Cerrito city, CA ..... 23,549
El Dorado County, CA. ..... 181,058
El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles) city, CA ..... 29,793
Elk Grove city, CA ..... 153,015
Elko New Market city, MN. ..... 4,110
Elmhurst city, IL ..... 44,121
Englewood city, CO ..... 30,255
Erie town, CO ..... 18,135
Escambia County, FL ..... 297,619
Estes Park town, CO. ..... 5,858
Euclid city, OH ..... 48,920
Fairview town, TX .....  7,248
Farmers Branch city, TX ..... 28,616
Farmersville city, TX. ..... 3,301
Farmington Hills city, MI ..... 79,740
Farmington town, CT ..... 25,340
Fayetteville city, NC. ..... 200,564
Fernandina Beach city, FL. ..... 11,487
Flagstaff city, AZ ..... 65,870
Flower Mound town, TX ..... 64,669
Forest Grove city, OR ..... 21,083
Fort Collins city, CO. ..... 143,986
Franklin city, TN ..... 62,487
Frederick town, CO .....  8,679
Fremont city, CA. ..... 214,089
Friendswood city, TX ..... 35,805
Fruita city, CO. ..... 12,646
Gahanna city, OH ..... 33,248
Gaithersburg city, MD ..... 59,933
Galveston city, TX ..... 47,743
Gardner city, KS ..... 19,123
Georgetown city, TX. ..... 47,400
Germantown city, TN ..... 38,844
Gilbert town, AZ.

| Grand Blanc city, MI | 8,276 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Grants Pass city, OR. | 34,533 |
| Grass Valley city, CA | 12,860 |
| Greeley city, CO. | 92,889 |
| Greenville city, NC. | 84,554 |
| Greenwich town, CT | 61,171 |
| Greenwood Village city, CO.. | 13,925 |
| Greer city, SC | 25,515 |
| Gunnison County, CO | 15,324 |
| Haltom City city, TX | 42,409 |
| Hamilton city, OH. | 62,477 |
| Hamilton town, MA | 7,764 |
| Hampton city, VA. | . 137,436 |
| Hanover County, VA | 99,863 |
| Harrisburg city, SD. | 4,089 |
| Harrisonburg city, VA | 48,914 |
| Harrisonville city, MO | 10,019 |
| Hastings city, MN. | 22,172 |
| Hayward city, CA | 144,186 |
| Henderson city, NV | 257,729 |
| Herndon town, VA. | 23,292 |
| High Point city, NC. | .104,371 |
| Highland Park city, IL | 29,763 |
| Highlands Ranch CDP, CO | 96,713 |
| Homer Glen village, IL | 24,220 |
| Honolulu County, HI | . 953,207 |
| Hooksett town, NH.. | 13,451 |
| Hopkins city, MN. | 17,591 |
| Hopkinton town, MA | 14,925 |
| Hoquiam city, WA | .. 8,726 |
| Horry County, SC. | ..269,291 |
| Howard village, WI | 17,399 |
| Hudson town, CO. | 2,356 |
| Huntley village, IL | 24,291 |
| Hurst city, TX.. | 37,337 |
| Hutchinson city, MN . | 14,178 |
| Hutto city, TX . | 14,698 |
| Independence city, MO | 116,830 |
| Indianola city, IA | 14,782 |
| Indio city, CA. | 76,036 |
| I owa City city, IA | 67,862 |
| I Irving city, TX. | . 216,290 |
| Issaquah city, WA | 30,434 |
| J ackson city, MO.. | 13,758 |
| Jackson County, MI | .160,248 |
| J ames City County, VA | 67,009 |
| J efferson County, NY. | .116,229 |
| J efferson Parish, LA | .432,552 |
| J ohnson City city, TN.. | . 63,152 |
| J ohnston city, IA.. | 17,278 |
| J upiter town, FL. | 55,156 |
| Kalamazoo city, MI | 74,262 |
| Kansas City city, KS. | . 145,786 |
| Kansas City city, MO. | 459,787 |
| Keizer city, OR. | 36,478 |
| Kenmore city, WA | 20,460 |
| Kennedale city, TX | 6,763 |
| Kent city, WA.. | 92,411 |
| Kerrville city, TX. | 22,347 |
| Kettering city, OH | 56,163 |
| Key West city, FL | 24,649 |
| King City city, CA | 12,874 |
| Kirkland city, WA.. | 48,787 |
| Kirkwood city, MO. | 27,540 |
| Knoxville city, IA . | ... 7,313 |
| La Plata town, MD. | 8,753 |
| La Vista city, NE. | 15,758 |
| Laguna Niguel city, CA | 62,979 |
| Lake Forest city, IL | 19,375 |
| Lake in the Hills village, IL | 28,965 |


| Lake Stevens city, WA. | 28,069 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lake Worth city, FL | 34,910 |
| Lake Zurich village, IL | 19,631 |
| Lakeville city, MN | 55,954 |
| Lakewood city, CO | 142,980 |
| Lakewood city, WA. | 58,163 |
| Lancaster County, SC | 76,652 |
| Lane County, OR. | 351,715 |
| Lansing city, MI | 114,297 |
| Laramie city, WY | 30,816 |
| Larimer County, CO. | 299,630 |
| Las Cruces city, NM. | . 97,618 |
| Las Vegas city, NM. | 13,753 |
| Lawrence city, KS. | 87,643 |
| Lawrenceville city, GA | 28,546 |
| Lee's Summit city, MO. | 91,364 |
| Lehi city, UT | 47,407 |
| Lenexa city, KS | 48,190 |
| Lewisville city, TX | 95,290 |
| Lewisville town, NC | 12,639 |
| Libertyville village, IL | 20,315 |
| Lincolnwood village, IL | 12,590 |
| Lindsborg city, KS | 3,458 |
| Little Chute village, WI | 10,449 |
| Littleton city, CO. | 41,737 |
| Livermore city, CA. | 80,968 |
| Lombard village, IL | 43,165 |
| Lone Tree city, CO . | 10,218 |
| Long Grove village, IL | .. 8,043 |
| Longmont city, CO | 86,270 |
| Longview city, TX. | 80,455 |
| Lonsdale city, MN. | .. 3,674 |
| Los Alamos County, NM | 17,950 |
| Los Altos Hills town, CA | ... 7,922 |
| Loudoun County, VA | 312,311 |
| Louisville city, CO.. | .18,376 |
| Lower Merion township, PA | 57,825 |
| Lynchburg city, VA. | 75,568 |
| Lynnwood city, WA | 35,836 |
| Macomb County, MI | 840,978 |
| Manassas city, VA | . 37,821 |
| Manhattan Beach city, CA | 35,135 |
| Manhattan city, KS. | . 52,281 |
| Mankato city, MN. | 39,309 |
| Maple Grove city, MN | 61,567 |
| Maplewood city, MN. | 38,018 |
| Maricopa County, AZ | 3,817,117 |
| Marion city, IA. | .. 34,768 |
| Mariposa County, CA. | 18,251 |
| Marshfield city, WI | .. 19,118 |
| Martinez city, CA. | . 35,824 |
| Marysville city, WA. | 60,020 |
| Matthews town, NC. | . 27,198 |
| Maui County, HI | 154,834 |
| McAllen city, TX . | 129,877 |
| McKinney city, TX. | 131,117 |
| McMinnville city, OR | 32,187 |
| Mecklenburg County, NC. | .919,628 |
| Menlo Park city, CA | 32,026 |
| Menomonee Falls village, WI | 35,626 |
| Mercer Island city, WA | 22,699 |
| Meridian charter township, MI | 39,688 |
| Meridian city, ID | . 75,092 |
| Merriam city, KS. | 11,003 |
| Mesa city, AZ. | 439,041 |
| Mesa County, CO | 146,723 |
| Miami Beach city, FL | . 87,779 |
| Miami city, FL | .399,457 |
| Middleton city, WI | 17,442 |
| Midland city, MI . | .. 41,863 |

Lake Worth city, FL ..... 34,910
Lake Zurich village, IL55,954
Lakewood city, CO58,163
Lancaster County, SC351,715
Lansing city, MI30,816
Larimer County, CO97,618
Las Vegas city, NM ..... 87,643
Lawrenceville city, GA ..... 28,546
Lee's Summit city, MO47,407
Lenexa city, KS95,290
Lewisville town, NC20,315
Lincolnwood village, IL ..... 3,458
Little Chute village, WI
,
Livermore city, CA ..... 80,968Lone Tree city, CO10,218
Long Grove village, IL ..... 86,270
Longview city, TX3,674
Los Alamos County, NM.7,922
Loudoun County, VA
18,376
Lower Merion township, PA ..... 75,568
Lynnwood city, WA ..... 35,836
Manassas city, VA37,821
Man52,281
Mankato city, MN61,567
Maplewood city, MN.
3,817,117
Marion city, IA. ..... 31, 251
Marshfield city, WI ..... 19,118
Marysvile60,020
Matthews town, NC154,834
McAllen city, TX131,117
McMinnville city, OR ..... 32,187
Mecklenburg County, NC32,026
Menomonee Falls village, WI22,699
Meridian charter township, MI75,092
Merriam city, KS439,041
Mesa County, CO87,719
Miami city, FL17,442
Midland city, MI ..... 41,863
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| Milford city, | 9,559 | Paradise Valley town, AZ | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milton city, GA | 32,661 | Park City city, UT | 7,558 |
| Minneapolis city, MN | . 382,578 | Parker town, CO | 45,297 |
| Minnetrista city, MN . | .. 6,384 | Parkland city, FL | 23,962 |
| Missouri City city, TX. | 67,358 | Pasco city, WA. | 59,781 |
| Modesto city, CA. | ..201,165 | Pasco County, FL | 464,697 |
| Monroe city, MI. | 20,733 | Payette city, ID. | 7,433 |
| Monterey city, CA. | 27,810 | Pearland city, TX. | 91,252 |
| Montgomery city, MN | 2,956 | Peoria city, AZ | 154,065 |
| Montgomery County, MD. | . 971,777 | Peoria city, IL | 115,007 |
| Monticello city, UT | ... 1,972 | Pflugerville city, TX | 46,936 |
| Montrose city, CO | 19,132 | Pinehurst village, NC | 13,124 |
| Monument town, CO | 5,530 | Piqua city, OH. | 20,522 |
| Moraga town, CA | 16,016 | Pitkin County, CO. | 17,148 |
| Morristown city, TN. | 29,137 | Plano city, TX | .259,841 |
| Morrisville town, NC | 18,576 | Platte City city, MO. | 4,691 |
| Morro Bay city, CA | 10,234 | Pleasant Hill city, IA | 8,785 |
| Mountain Village town, CO. | 1,320 | Pleasanton city, CA | 70,285 |
| Mountlake Terrace city, WA | 19,909 | Polk County, IA.. | 430,640 |
| Murphy city, TX | 17,708 | Pompano Beach city, FL | 99,845 |
| Naperville city, IL | . 141,853 | Port Orange city, FL | 56,048 |
| Napoleon city, OH. | . 8,749 | Port St. Lucie city, FL | 164,603 |
| Nederland city, TX | 17,547 | Portland city, OR | 583,776 |
| Needham CDP, MA. | 28,886 | Powell city, OH . | 11,500 |
| Nevada City city, CA. | . 3,068 | Powhatan County, VA.. | 28,046 |
| Nevada County, CA | 98,764 | Prince William County, VA. | 402,002 |
| New Braunfels city, TX | 57,740 | Prior Lake city, MN. | 22,796 |
| New Brighton city, MN. | 21,456 | Pueblo city, CO . | 106,595 |
| New Hope city, MN | 20,339 | Purcellville town, VA. | ... 7,727 |
| New Orleans city, LA. | . 343,829 | Queen Creek town, AZ | 26,361 |
| New Smyrna Beach city, FL | 22,464 | Raleigh city, NC | 403,892 |
| New Ulm city, MN . | 13,522 | Ramsey city, MN. | 23,668 |
| Newberg city, OR. | 22,068 | Raymond town, ME. | 4,436 |
| Newport city, RI. | 24,672 | Raymore city, MO | 19,206 |
| Newport News city, VA. | . 180,719 | Redmond city, OR. | 26,215 |
| Newton city, IA.. | 15,254 | Redmond city, WA . | 54,144 |
| Noblesville city, IN | 51,969 | Redwood City city, CA. | 76,815 |
| Norcross city, GA . | 9,116 | Reno city, NV. | .225,221 |
| Norfolk city, NE. | 24,210 | Reston CDP, VA | .. 58,404 |
| Norfolk city, VA. | . 242,803 | Richland city, WA. | 48,058 |
| North Mankato city, MN. | 13,394 | Richmond city, CA. | 103,701 |
| North Port city, FL | 57,357 | Richmond Heights city, MO | ... 8,603 |
| North Richland Hills city, TX | ... 63,343 | Rio Rancho city, NM. | 87,521 |
| North Yarmouth town, ME | .. 3,565 | River Falls city, WI | 15,000 |
| Novato city, CA. | 51,904 | Riverside city, CA. | 303,871 |
| Novi city, MI | 55,224 | Roanoke city, VA. | 97,032 |
| O'Fallon city, IL | 28,281 | Roanoke County, VA | 92,376 |
| O'Fallon city, MO. | ... 79,329 | Rochester Hills city, MI | .. 70,995 |
| Oak Park village, IL | 51,878 | Rock Hill city, SC. | ... 66,154 |
| Oakland city, CA | ..390,724 | Rockville city, MD. | 61,209 |
| Oakley city, CA . | 35,432 | Roeland Park city, KS . | ... 6,731 |
| Oklahoma City city, OK. | . 579,999 | Rogers city, MN . | . 8,597 |
| Olathe city, KS. | .125,872 | Rohnert Park city, CA | 40,971 |
| Old Town city, ME. | 7,840 | Rolla city, MO .. | 19,559 |
| Olmsted County, MN | . 144,248 | Roselle village, IL | 22,763 |
| Olympia city, WA . | .. 46,478 | Rosemount city, MN. | . 21,874 |
| Orange village, OH . | 3,323 | Rosenberg city, TX. | 30,618 |
| Orland Park village, IL | 56,767 | Roseville city, MN. | 33,660 |
| Orleans Parish, LA. | . 343,829 | Round Rock city, TX. | 99,887 |
| Oshkosh city, WI. | ... 66,083 | Royal Oak city, MI. | 57,236 |
| Oshtemo charter township, MI . | ... 21,705 | Royal Palm Beach village, F | 34,140 |
| Oswego village, IL. | 30,355 | Sacramento city, CA. | ..466,488 |
| Ottawa County, MI | ..263,801 | Sahuarita town, AZ | .. 25,259 |
| Overland Park city, KS | .173,372 | Sammamish city, WA | .. 45,780 |
| Paducah city, KY. | 25,024 | San Anselmo town, CA. | 12,336 |
| Palm Beach Gardens city, FL | 48,452 | San Diego city, CA . | ..1,307,402 |
| Palm Coast city, FL. | .. 75,180 | San Francisco city, CA | ..805,235 |
| Palo Alto city, CA . | .. 64,403 | San Jose city, CA | .945,942 |
| Palos Verdes Estates city, CA. | .. 13,438 | San Marcos city, CA . | 83,781 |
| Papillion city, NE... | .. 18,894 | San Marcos city, TX. | 44,894 |
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San Rafael city, CA..
Sangamon County, I ..... 57,713 ..... 197,465
Santa Fe city, NM Santa Fe city, NM.
144,170
Santa Monica city, CA. ..... 89,736
Sarasota County, FL ..... 379,448
Savage city, MN ..... 26,911
Schaumburg village, IL ..... 74,227
Schertz city, TX. ..... 31,465
Scott County, MN ..... 129,928
Scottsdale city, AZ ..... 217,385
Sedona city, AZ. ..... 10,031
Sevierville city, TN ..... 14,807
Shakopee city, MN ..... 37,076
Sharonville city, OH ..... 13,560
Shawnee city, KS ..... 62,209
Shawnee city, OK. ..... 29,857
Sherborn town, MA ..... 4,119
Shoreline city, WA. ..... 53,007
Shoreview city, MN ..... 25,043
Shorewood village, IL ..... 15,615
Shorewood village, WI ..... 13,162
Sierra Vista city, AZ ..... 43,888
Silverton city, OR ..... 9,222
Sioux Center city, IA ..... 7,048
Sioux Falls city, SD. ..... 153,888
Skokie village, IL ..... 64,784
Snoqualmie city, WA ..... 10,670
Snowmass Village town, CO. ..... 2,826
Somerset town, MA ..... 18,165
South J ordan city, UT ..... 50,418
South Lake Tahoe city, CA. ..... 21,403
Southlake city, TX ..... 26,575
Spearfish city, SD. ..... 10,494
Spring Hill city, KS. ..... 5,437
Springfield city, MO ..... 159,498
Springville city, UT ..... 29,466
St. Augustine city, FL ..... 12,975
St. Charles city, IL ..... 32,974
St. Cloud city, FL ..... 35,183
St. Joseph city, MO ..... 76,780
St. Joseph town, WI ..... 3,842
St. Louis County, MN. ..... 200,226
State College borough, PA. ..... 42,034
Steamboat Springs city, CO ..... 12,088
Sugar Grove village, IL ..... 8,997
Sugar Land city, TX ..... 78,817
Suisun City city, CA ..... 28,111
Summit County, UT ..... 36,324
Summit village, IL ..... 11,054
Sunnyvale city, CA ..... 140,081
Surprise city, AZ. ..... 117,517
Suwanee city, GA ..... 15,355
Tacoma city, WA ..... 198,397
Takoma Park city, MD ..... 16,715
Tamarac city, FL ..... 60,427
Temecula city, CA ..... 100,097
Tempe city, AZ ..... 161,719
Temple city, TX ..... 66,102
Texarkana city, TX ..... 36,411
The Woodlands CDP, TX ..... 93,847
Thousand Oaks city, CA. ..... 126,683
Tigard city, OR. ..... 48,035
Tracy city, CA ..... 82,922
Trinidad CCD, CO ..... 12,017
Tualatin city, OR ..... 26,054
Tulsa city, OK ..... 391,906
Tustin city, CA ..... 75,540
Twin Falls city, ID ..... 44,125
Unalaska city, AK ..... 4,376
University Heights city, OH ..... 13,539
University Park city, TX. ..... 23,068
Upper Arlington city, OH ..... 33,771
Urbandale city, IA ..... 39,463
Vail town, CO.. ..... 5,305
Ventura CCD, CA. ..... 111,889
Vernon Hills village, IL ..... 25,113
Vestavia Hills city, AL ..... 34,033
Victoria city, MN. ..... 7,345
Vienna town, VA ..... 15,687
Virginia Beach city, VA. ..... 437,994
Walnut Creek city, CA. ..... 64,173
Warrensburg city, MO ..... 18,838
Washington County, MN ..... 238,136
Washington town, NH .....  1,123
Washoe County, NV ..... 421,407
Washougal city, WA ..... 14,095
Wauwatosa city, WI ..... 46,396
Waverly city, IA ..... 9,874
Wentzville city, MO ..... 29,070
West Carrollton city, OH ..... 13,143
Western Springs village, IL ..... 12,975
Westerville city, OH ..... 36,120
Westlake town, TX ..... 992
Westminster city, CO ..... 106,114
Weston town, MA. ..... 11,261
Wheat Ridge city, CO ..... 30,166
White House city, TN ..... 10,255
Wichita city, KS ..... 382,368
Williamsburg city, VA. ..... 14,068
Willowbrook village, IL ..... 8,540
Wilmington city, NC ..... 106,476
Wilsonville city, OR ..... 19,509
Windsor town, CO ..... 18,644
Windsor town, CT ..... 29,044
Winnetka village, IL ..... 12,187
Winter Garden city, FL ..... 34,568
Woodbury city, MN ..... 61,961
Woodinville city, WA. ..... 10,938
Woodland city, CA ..... 55,468
Wyandotte County, KS ..... 157,505
Yakima city, WA ..... 91,067
York County, VA. ..... 65,464
Yorktown town, IN .....  9,405
Yorkville city, IL ..... 16,921
Yountville city, CA ..... 2,933

## Peer Community Benchmark Comparisons

Table 81: Community Characteristics General

|  | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | $87 \%$ | 8 | 19 | Similar |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun <br> County | $88 \%$ | 3 | 14 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | $92 \%$ | 7 | 17 | Similar |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | $89 \%$ | 5 | 12 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | $90 \%$ | 5 | 16 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | $41 \%$ | 15 | 16 | Lower |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | $87 \%$ | 1 | 13 | Higher |

Table 82: Community Characteristics by Facet
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|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 75\% | 2 | 10 | Similar |
| Education <br> and <br> Enrichment | Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 81\% | 5 | 11 | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities | 82\% | 4 | 6 | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 59\% | 5 | 11 | Similar |
|  | Adult educational opportunities | 63\% | 5 | 10 | Similar |
|  | K-12 education | 87\% | 2 | 9 | Higher |
|  | Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | 42\% | 6 | 12 | Similar |
| Community Engagement | Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 72\% | 3 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Neighborliness of Loudoun County | 57\% | 5 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 65\% | 5 | 15 | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to participate in community matters | 70\% | 4 | 11 | Similar |
|  | Opportunities to volunteer | 79\% | 3 | 9 | Similar |

Table 83: Governance General

|  | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services provided by the County of Loudoun County | $81 \%$ | 5 | 22 | Similar |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees <br> (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | $80 \%$ | 6 | 16 | Similar |
| Value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | $55 \%$ | 9 | 20 | Similar |
| Overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | $50 \%$ | 8 | 13 | Similar |
| Job Loudoun County government does at welcoming citizen <br> involvement | $54 \%$ | 4 |  | Similar |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | $55 \%$ | 5 | 14 | Similar |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | $54 \%$ | 7 | 11 | Similar |
| Being honest | $55 \%$ | 5 | 13 | Similar |
| Treating all residents fairly | $57 \%$ | 5 | 11 | Similar |
| Services provided by the Federal Government | $44 \%$ | 3 | 11 | Similar |

Table 84: Governance by Facet

|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Sheriff services | 86\% | 8 | 19 | Similar |
|  | Fire services | 96\% | 2 | 11 | Similar |
|  | Ambulance or emergency medical services | 94\% | 1 | 12 | Similar |
|  | Crime prevention | 81\% | 3 | 11 | Similar |
|  | Fire prevention and education | 86\% | 2 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Animal control | 76\% | 4 | 12 | Similar |
|  | Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 78\% | 1 | 13 | Higher |
| Mobility | Traffic enforcement | 63\% | 5 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Bus or transit services | 47\% | 4 | 8 | Similar |
| Natural <br> Environment | Recycling | 73\% | 10 | 15 | Similar |
|  | Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts | 46\% | 8 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Loudoun County open space | 51\% | 8 | 11 | Similar |
| Built <br> Environment | Land use, planning and zoning | 36\% | 10 | 13 | Similar |
|  | Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 54\% | 5 | 11 | Similar |
| Economy | Economic development | 71\% | 2 | 12 | Higher |
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|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | County parks | 87\% | 6 | 16 | Similar |
|  | Recreation programs or classes | 82\% | 4 | 14 | Similar |
| Recreation and | Recreation centers or facilities | 84\% | 2 | 11 | Higher |
| Wellness | Health services | 80\% | 2 | 12 | Similar |
| Education and | County-sponsored special events | 72\% | 2 | 9 | Similar |
| Enrichment | Public library services | 92\% | 3 | 14 | Similar |
| Community Engagement | Public information services | 80\% | 1 | 10 | Higher |

Table 85: Participation General

|  | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sense of community | $58 \%$ | 10 | 13 | Similar |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who <br> asks | $88 \%$ | 5 | 11 | Similar |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | $87 \%$ | 6 | 11 | Similar |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email or <br> web) for help or information | $46 \%$ | 9 | 15 | Similar |

Table 86: Participation by Facet

|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency | 51\% | 3 | 9 | Similar |
|  | Did NOT report a crime to the police | 82\% | 5 | 9 | Similar |
|  | Household member was NOT a victim of a crime | 93\% | 4 | 9 | Similar |
| Mobility | Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving | 24\% | 4 | 7 | Lower |
|  | Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 39\% | 6 | 9 | Similar |
|  | Walked or biked instead of driving | 42\% | 4 | 10 | Similar |
| Natural Environment | Made efforts to conserve water | 77\% | 7 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient | 74\% | 7 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Recycle at home | 94\% | 2 | 10 | Higher |
| Built Environment | Did NOT observe a code violation or other hazard in Loudoun County | 56\% | 6 | 9 | Similar |
|  | NOT experiencing housing costs stress | 69\% | 5 | 10 | Similar |
| Economy | Purchase goods or services from a business located in Loudoun County | 98\% | 4 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Economy will have positive impact on income | 36\% | 4 | 11 | Similar |
|  | Work inside boundaries of Loudoun County | 52\% | 4 | 10 | Similar |
| Recreation and Wellness | Used Loudoun County recreation centers or their services | 63\% | 2 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Visited a neighborhood park or County park | 85\% | 6 | 11 | Similar |
|  | Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day | 85\% | 4 | 10 | Similar |
|  | Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity | 88\% | 3 | 10 | Similar |
|  | In very good to excellent health | 70\% | 4 | 10 | Similar |
| Education and Enrichment | Used Loudoun County public libraries or their services | 73\% | 1 | 11 | Higher |
|  | Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Loudoun County | 51\% | 3 | 7 | Similar |
|  | Attended County-sponsored event | 56\% | 1 | 10 | Similar |
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|  |  | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 28\% | 2 | 8 | Similar |
|  | Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (inperson, phone, email or web) to express your opinion | 29\% | 1 | 9 | Similar |
|  | Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 51\% | 1 | 9 | Similar |
|  | Participated in a club | 32\% | 3 | 8 | Similar |
|  | Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors | 91\% | 4 | 8 | Similar |
|  | Done a favor for a neighbor | 81\% | 4 | 8 | Similar |
|  | Attended a local public meeting | 36\% | 1 | 10 | Higher |
|  | Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 29\% | 4 | 10 | Similar |
| Community | Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) | 88\% | 3 | 10 | Similar |
| Engagement | Vote in local elections | 92\% | 2 | 10 | Similar |

Communities included in peer comparisons
The communities included in Loudoun County's custom comparisons are listed below along with their population according to the 2010 Census.

| Albemarle County, VA. | 98,970 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alexandria city, VA | 139,966 |
| Arapahoe County, CO. | 572,003 |
| Boone County, KY | 118,811 |
| Broomfield city, CO | 55,889 |
| Charles County, MD | 146,551 |
| Chesterfield County, VA | 316,236 |
| Clackamas County, OR . | ..375,992 |
| Dakota County, MN. | ..398,552 |
| El Dorado County, CA. | ..181,058 |
| Hanover County, VA. | 99,863 |
| Honolulu County, HI. | ..953,207 |
| J ames City County, VA . | ... 67,009 |
| Los Alamos County, NM | . 17,950 |

Loudoun County, VA ..... 312,311
Maui County, HI ..... 154,834
Montgomery County, MD ..... 971,777
Olmsted County, MN ..... 144,248
Pitkin County, CO ..... 17,148
Powhatan County, VA. ..... 28,046
Prince William County, VA ..... 402,002
Roanoke County, VA ..... 92,376
San Francisco city, CA ..... 805,235
Scott County, MN. ..... 129,928
Summit County, UT ..... 36,324
York County, VA. ..... 65,464

## Appendix C: Detailed Survey Methods

The National Citizen Survey (The NCS ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ), conducted by National Research Center, Inc., was developed to provide communities an accurate, affordable and easy way to assess and interpret resident opinion about important local topics. Standardization of common questions and survey methods provide the rigor to assure valid results, and each community has enough flexibility to construct a customized version of The NCS.

Results offer insight into residents' perspectives about the community as a whole, includinglocal amenities, services, public trust, resident participation and other aspects of the community in order to support budgeting, land use and strategic planning and communication with residents. Resident demographic characteristics permit comparison to the Census as well as comparison of results for different subgroups of residents. Loudoun County funded this research. Please contact the Loudoun County Department of Management and Budget at budget@loudoun.gov if you have any questions about the survey.

## Survey Validity

The question of survey validity has two parts: 1) how can a community be confident that the results from those who completed the questionnaire are representative of the results that would have been obtained had the survey been administered to the entire population? and 2) how closely do the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do?

To answer the first question, the best survey research practices were used for the resources spent to ensure that the results from the survey respondents reflect the opinions of residents in the entire community. These practices include:

- Using a mail-out/ mail-back methodology, which typically gets a higher response rate than phone for the same dollars spent. A higher response rate lessens the worry that those who did not respond are different than those who did respond.
- Selecting households at random within the community to receive the survey to ensure that the households selected to receive the survey are representative of the larger community.
- Over-sampling multi-family housing units to improve response from hard-to-reach, lower income or younger apartment dwellers.
- Selecting the respondent within the household using an unbiased sampling procedure; in this case, the "birthday method." The cover letter included an instruction requesting that the respondent in the household be the adult (18 years old or older) who most recently had a birthday, irrespective of year of birth.
- Contacting potential respondents three times to encourage response from people who may have different opinions or habits than those who would respond with only a single prompt.
- Inviting response in a compelling manner (using appropriate letterhead/logos and a signature of a visible leader) to appeal to recipients' sense of civic responsibility.
- Providing a pre-addressed, postage paid return envelope.
- Offering the survey in Spanish or other language when requested by a given community.
- Weighting the results to reflect the demographics of the population.

The answer to the second question about how closely the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do is more complex. Resident responses to surveys are influenced by a variety of factors. For questions about service quality, residents' expectations for service quality play a role as well as the "objective" quality of the service provided, the way the resident perceives the entire community (that is, the context in which the service is provided), the scale on which the resident is asked to record his or her opinion and, of course, the opinion, itself, that a resident holds about the service. Similarly a resident's report of certain behaviors is colored by what he or she believes is the socially desirable response (e.g., reporting tolerant behaviors toward "oppressed groups," likelihood of voting for a tax increase for services to poor people, use of alternative modes of travel to work besides the single occupancy vehicle), his or her memory of the actual behavior (if it is not a question speculating about future actions, like a vote), his or her confidence that he or she can be honest without suffering any negative consequences (thus the need for anonymity) as well as the actual behavior itself.

How closely survey results come to recording the way a person really feels or behaves often is measured by the coincidence of reported behavior with observed current behavior (e.g., driving habits), reported intentions to behave with observed future behavior (e.g., voting choices) or reported opinions about current community quality
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with objective characteristics of the community (e.g., feelings of safety correlated with rates of crime). There is a body of scientific literature that has investigated the relationship between reported behaviors and actual behaviors. Well-conducted surveys, by and large, do capture true respondent behaviors or intentions to act with great accuracy. Predictions of voting outcomes tend to be quite accurate using survey research, as do reported behaviors that are not about highly sensitive issues (e.g., family abuse or other illegal or morally sanctioned activities). For self-reports about highly sensitive issues, statistical adjustments can be made to correct for the respondents' tendency to report what they think the "correct" response should be.

Research on the correlation of resident opinion about service quality and "objective" ratings of service quality vary, with some showing stronger relationships than others. NRC's own research has demonstrated that residents who report the lowest ratings of street repair live in communities with objectively worse street conditions than those who report high ratings of street repair (based on road quality, delay in street repair, number of road repair employees). Similarly, the lowest rated fire services appear to be "objectively" worse than the highest rated fire services (expenditures per capita, response time, "professional" status of firefighters, breadth of services and training provided). Resident opinion commonly reflects objective performance data but is an important measure on its own. NRC principals have written, "If you collect trash three times a day but residents think that your trash haul is lousy, you still have a problem."

## Selecting Survey Recipients

"Sampling" refers to the method by which households were chosen to receive the survey. All households within Loudoun County were eligible to participate in the survey. A list of all households within the zip codes serving Loudoun County was purchased from Go-Dog Direct based on updated listings from the United States Postal Service. Since some of the zip codes that serve County households may also serve addresses that lie outside of the community, the exact geographic location of each housing unit was compared to community boundaries using the most current municipal boundary file (updated on a quarterly basis) and addresses located outside of Loudoun County boundaries were removed from consideration. Each address identified as being within County boundaries was further identified as being within one of the two geographic subareas (rural and non-rural).

To choose the 3,000 survey recipients, a systematic sampling method was applied to the list of households previously screened for geographic location. Systematic sampling is a procedure whereby a complete list of all possible households is culled, selecting every Nth one, giving each eligible household a known probability of selection, until the appropriate number of households is selected. Multi-family housing units were selected at a higher rate as residents of this type of housing typically respond at lower rates to surveys than do those in singlefamily housing units. Figure 1 displays a map of the households selected to receive the survey. In general, because of the random sampling techniques used, the displayed sampling density will closely mirror the overall housing unit density (which may be different from the population density). While the theory of probability assumes no bias in selection, there may be some minor variations in practice (meaning, an area with only $15 \%$ of the housing units might be selected at an actual rate that is slightly above or below that).

An individual within each household was selected using the birthday method. The birthday method selects a person within the household by asking the "person whose birthday has most recently passed" to complete the questionnaire. The underlying assumption in this method is that day of birth has no relationship to the way people respond to surveys. This instruction was contained in the cover letter accompanying the questionnaire.

In addition to the scientific, random selection of households, a link to an online "opt-in" survey was publicized and posted to the Loudoun County website as well as promoted on County social media channels. This opt-in survey was identical to the scientific survey and open to all County residents.

Figure 1: Location of Survey Recipients


## Survey Administration and Response

Selected households received three mailings, one week apart, beginning on September 14, 2018. The first mailing was a prenotification postcard announcing the upcoming survey. The next mailing contained a letter from the County Administrator inviting the household to participate, a questionnaire and a postage paid return envelope. The final mailing contained a reminder letter, another survey and a postage paid return envelope. The second cover letter asked those who had not completed the survey to do so and those who had already done so to refrain from turning in another survey. The survey was available in English and Spanish. Both cover letters contained paragraphs in Spanish instructing participants to complete the Spanish version of the survey online; respondents could opt to take the survey online in their language of preference. Loudoun County chose to augment their administration of The NCS with several additional services, including demographic and geographic subgroup comparisons and custom benchmark comparisons. The results of these additional services have been provided under separate cover. Completed surveys were collected over the following seven weeks. The online "opt-in" survey became available to all residents on October 19, 2018 and remained open for two weeks.

About $1 \%$ of the 3,000 surveys mailed were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the remaining 2,956 households that received the survey, 617 completed the survey, providing an overall response rate of $21 \%$. Of the 617 completed surveys, 115 were completed online and of those, two were completed in Spanish. Additionally, responses were tracked by geographic subarea; response rates by area ranged from $20 \%$ to $29 \%$. The response rates were calculated using

AAPOR's response rate \#2 ${ }^{1}$ for mailed surveys of unnamed persons. Additionally, 1,166 residents completed the online opt-in survey, providing a grand total of 1,783 completed surveys.

Table 87: Survey Response Rates by Area

|  | Non-rural | Rural | Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample used | 2,623 | 377 | 3,000 |
| I=Complete Interviews | 504 | 105 | 609 |
| P=Partial Interviews | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| R=Refusal and break off | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NC=Non Contact | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{O}=$ Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UH=Unknown household | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UO=Unknown other | 2,076 | 263 | 2,339 |
| Response rate: $(I+P) /(I+P)+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO})$ | $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

## Confidence I ntervals

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" and accompanying "confidence interval" (or margin of error). A traditional level of confidence, and the one used here, is $95 \%$. The $95 \%$ confidence interval can be any size and quantifies the sampling error or imprecision of the survey results because some residents' opinions are relied on to estimate all residents' opinions. ${ }^{2}$

The margin of error for Loudoun County survey is no greater than plus or minus three ${ }^{3}$ percentage points around any given percent reported for all respondents (1,783 completed surveys).

For subgroups of responses, the margin of error increases because the number of respondents for the subgroup is smaller.

## Survey Processing (Data Entry)

Upon receipt, completed surveys were assigned a unique identification number. Additionally, each survey was reviewed and "cleaned" as necessary. For example, a question may have asked a respondent to pick two items out of a list of five, but the respondent checked three; in this case, NRC would use protocols to randomly choose two of the three selected items for inclusion in the dataset.

All surveys then were entered twice into an electronic dataset; any discrepancies were resolved in comparison to the original survey form. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

NRC used SurveyGizmo, a web-based survey and analytics platform, to collect the online survey data. Use of an online system means all collected data are entered into the dataset when the respondents submit the surveys. Skip patterns are programmed into system so respondents are automatically "skipped" to the appropriate question based on the individual responses being given. Online programming also allows for more rigid control of the data format, making extensive data cleaning unnecessary.

A series of quality control checks were also performed in order to ensure the integrity of the web data. Steps may include and not be limited to reviewing the data for clusters of repeat IP addresses and time stamps (indicating duplicate responses) and removing empty submissions (questionnaires submitted with no questions answered).

[^2]
## Survey Data Weighting

Upon completion of data collection for both the scientific (probability) and nonscientific open participation online opt-in (non-probability) surveys, data were compared in order to determine whether it was appropriate to combine, or blend, both datasets together. In the case of Loudoun County, characteristics of respondents to the non-probability survey were similar to the probability survey, in both respondent trait and opinion, indicating that the two datasets could be blended. This decision reflects a growing trend in survey research toward integration of traditional scientific probability survey respondents and non-probability survey respondents (opt-in).

The demographic characteristics of the survey respondents were compared to those found in the 2010 Census and American Community Survey estimates for adults in Loudoun County. The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey respondents reflective of the larger population of the community. Both survey datasets were weighted independently and then combined into one final dataset. The characteristics used for weighting were housing tenure (rent or own), housing unit type (attached or detached), race, ethnicity, sex, age and area. No adjustments were made for design effects. The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the following table.

Table 88: Loudoun County, VA 2018 Weighting Table

| Characteristic | Population Norm | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing |  |  |  |
| Rent home | 22\% | 12\% | 21\% |
| Own home | 78\% | 88\% | 79\% |
| Detached unit* | 55\% | 57\% | 54\% |
| Attached unit* | 45\% | 43\% | 46\% |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White | 70\% | 76\% | 72\% |
| Not white | 30\% | 24\% | 28\% |
| Not Hispanic | 88\% | 94\% | 90\% |
| Hispanic | 12\% | 6\% | 10\% |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |
| Female | 51\% | 48\% | 51\% |
| Male | 49\% | 52\% | 49\% |
| 18-34 years of age | 28\% | 8\% | 25\% |
| 35-54 years of age | 50\% | 44\% | 50\% |
| 55+ years of age | 22\% | 48\% | 25\% |
| Females 18-34 | 15\% | 4\% | 14\% |
| Females 35-54 | 25\% | 21\% | 25\% |
| Females 55+ | 12\% | 23\% | 12\% |
| Males 18-34 | 14\% | 3\% | 12\% |
| Males 35-54 | 25\% | 24\% | 26\% |
| Males 55+ | 10\% | 24\% | 11\% |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Non-rural | 85\% | 83\% | 85\% |
| Rural | 15\% | 17\% | 15\% |

* U.S. Census Bureau, 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates


## Survey Data Analysis and Reporting

The survey dataset was analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). For the most part, the percentages presented in the reports represent the "percent positive." The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe," "essential" and "very important," etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/ participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating "yes" or participating in an activity at least once a month.

On many of the questions in the survey respondents may answer "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix A. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the reports. In other words, the tables and graphs display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item. When a table for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.
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## Appendix D: Survey Materials

Dear Loudoun County Resident,
It won't take much of your time to make a big difference!

Your household has been randomly selected to participate in a survey Loudoun County conducts periodically about your community and the quality of government services. Your survey will arrive in a few days.

Thank you for helping create a better county!

Sincerely,
Estimado Residente del Condado de Loudoun,
iNo le tomará mucho de su tiempo para marcar una gran diferencia!
Su hogar ha sido elegido al azar para participar en una encuesta conduce periódicamente por Loudoun County sobre su comunidad y la calidad de servicios gubernamentales. Su encuesta le llegará dentro de pocos días.
iGracias por ayudar a crear una condado mejor!

Atentamente,


Tim Hemstreet
County Administrator/Administrador del Condado
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Dear Loudoun County Resident,
It won't take much of your time to make a big difference!

Your household has been randomly selected to participate in a survey Loudoun County conducts periodically about your community and the quality of government services. Your survey will arrive in a few days.
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Estimado Residente del Condado de Loudoun,
iNo le tomará mucho de su tiempo para marcar una gran diferencia!
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Loudoun County Government
MSC \#43
P.O. Box 7000

Leesburg, VA 20177-7000


## Dear Loudoun County Resident:

We want to hear from you! You have been selected at random to participate in the 2018 Loudoun County Citizen Survey.

This survey will provide an overview of how Loudoun County residents perceive the quality of local government services and how they participate in community life.

Please take a few minutes to fill out and return the enclosed survey or complete it online.

Your participation in this survey is very important - especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed.

## A few things to remember:

- Your responses are completely anonymous.
- In order to hear from a diverse group of residents, the adult 18 years or older in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey.
- You may return the survey by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or complete the survey online at:


## http://bit.ly/ xxplaceholder

If you have any questions about the survey please call 703-737-8853.

Thank you for your time and participation! Your perspectives are important to Loudoun County Government, as we make plans for serving you in the future.

Sincerely,

Estimado Residente del Condado de Loudoun:
¡Queremos escuchar de usted! Usted ha sido seleccionado al azar para participar en la Encuesta de Ciudadanos del Condado de Loudoun del 2018.

Esta encuesta proporcionará una visión general de cómo los residentes del Loudoun County perciben la calidad de los servicios del gobierno local y cómo participan en la vida de la comunidad.

Por favor tome unos minutos para completar y devolver la encuesta adjunta o completarla en línea. Si usted preferiría completar la encuesta en español, por favor siga las instrucciones abajo para acceder a la encuesta en español por medio de la red.

Su participación en esta encuesta es muy importanteespecialmente porque su hogar es uno de solamente un número pequeño de hogares que se están encuestando.

## Algunas cosas para recordar:

- Sus respuestas son completamente anónimas.
- Para poder escuchar a un grupo diverso de residentes, el adulto de 18 años o más en su hogar que haya celebrado su cumpleaños más recientemente debe completar esta encuesta.
- Puede devolver la encuesta por correo en el sobre pre-pagado adjunto, o completar la encuesta en línea en español en:


## http://bit.ly/ xxplaceholder

Para la versión en español haga clic en el botón en el parte superior de la pantalla.

Si tiene alguna pregunta sobre la encuesta por favor llame al 703-737-8853.
¡Gracias por su tiempo y participación! Sus perspectivas son importantes para el gobierno del condado de Loudoun, mientras hacemos planes para servirle en el futuro.

Atentamente,

Tim Hemstreet
County Administrator/ Administrador del Condado


Loudoun County, Virginia
www.loudoun.gov
Office of the County Administrator
1 Harrison Street, S.E., MSC \#2, 5th Floor, P.O. Box 7000, Leesburg, VA 20177-7000
Telephone (703) 777-0200 • Fax (703) 777-0325 • coadmin@loudoun.gov

September 2018

Dear Loudoun County Resident:
Here's a second chance if you haven't already responded to the 2018 Loudoun County Citizen Survey! (If you completed it and sent it back, we thank you for your time and ask you to recycle this survey. Please do not respond twice.)

We want to hear from you! You have been selected at random to participate in the 2018 Loudoun County Citizen Survey.

This survey will provide an overview of how Loudoun County residents perceive the quality of local government services and how they participate in community life.

Please take a few minutes to fill out and return the enclosed survey or complete it online.

Your participation in this survey is very important - especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed.

## A few things to remember:

- Your responses are completely anonymous.
- In order to hear from a diverse group of residents, the adult 18 years or older in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey.
- You may return the survey by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or complete the survey online at:


## http://bit.ly/ xxplaceholder

If you have any questions about the survey please call 703-737-8853.

Thank you for your time and participation! Your perspectives are important to Loudoun County Government, as we make plans for serving you in the future.

Sincerely,

Estimado Residente del Condado de Loudoun:
¡Aquí tiene una segunda oportunidad si usted aún no ha respondido a la Encuesta de Ciudadanos del Condado de Loudoun del 2018! (Si usted la completó y la devolvió, le damos las gracias por su tiempo y le pedimos que recicle esta encuesta. Por favor no responda dos veces.)
¡Queremos escuchar de usted! Usted ha sido seleccionado al azar para participar en la Encuesta de Ciudadanos del Condado de Loudoun del 2018.

Esta encuesta proporcionará una visión general de cómo los residentes del Loudoun County perciben la calidad de los servicios del gobierno local y cómo participan en la vida de la comunidad.

Por favor tome unos minutos para completar y devolver la encuesta adjunta o completarla en línea. Si usted preferiría completar la encuesta en español, por favor siga las instrucciones abajo para acceder a la encuesta en español por medio de la red.

Su participación en esta encuesta es muy importanteespecialmente porque su hogar es uno de solamente un número pequeño de hogares que se están encuestando.

## Algunas cosas para recordar:

- Sus respuestas son completamente anónimas.
- Para poder escuchar a un grupo diverso de residentes, el adulto de 18 años o más en su hogar que haya celebrado su cumpleaños más recientemente debe completar esta encuesta.
- Puede devolver la encuesta por correo en el sobre pre-pagado adjunto, o completar la encuesta en línea en español en:


## http://bit.ly/ xxplaceholder

Para la versión en español haga clic en el botón en el parte superior de la pantalla.

Si tiene alguna pregunta sobre la encuesta por favor llame al 703-737-8853.
¡Gracias por su tiempo y participación! Sus perspectivas son importantes para el gobierno del condado de Loudoun, mientras hacemos planes para servirle en el futuro.

Atentamente,


Tim Hemstreet
County Administrator/ Administrador del Condado


Office of the County Administrator
1 Harrison Street, S.E., MSC \#2, 5th Floor, P.O. Box 7000, Leesburg, VA 20177-7000
Telephone (703) 777-0200 • Fax (703) 777-0325 • coadmin@loudoun.gov


Tim Hemstreet
County Administrator/ Administrador del Condado

## Loudoun County 2018 Citizen Survey

Please complete this questionnaire if you are the adult (age 18 or older) in the household who most recently had a birthday. The adult's year of birth does not matter. Please select the response (by circling the number or checking the box) that most closely represents your opinion for each question. Your responses are anonymous and will be reported in group form only.

## 1. Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Loudoun County:

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County as a place to live ............................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live........................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children.............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County as a place to work ......................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit............................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire ......................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County ............................................ 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 5 |

2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole:

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County.............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County.......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) $\qquad$ .1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County ................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment..................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County ............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sense of community ............................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County......................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

3. Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { likely }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Somewhat } \\ \text { likely }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Somewhat } \\ \text { unlikely }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { unlikely }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Don't } \\ \text { know }\end{array}\right\}$
4. Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel:

|  | Very <br> safe | Somewhat <br> safe | Neither safe <br> nor unsafe | Somewhat <br> unsafe | Very <br> unsafe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your neighborhood during the day.............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Don't <br> know |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas <br> during the day............................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

5. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole:

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic flow on major streets ................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of public parking............................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County ................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County.......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County .............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County........................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of paths and walking trails ...................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Air quality ........................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County ............................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County ................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Public places where people want to spend time........................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Variety of housing options ..................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality housing ................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) ......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Recreational opportunities....................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality food....................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality health care ............................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of preventive health services .................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care ..................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |


| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| K-12 education ................................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Adult educational opportunities........................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities ................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities ......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Employment opportunities ................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Shopping opportunities...................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County ......................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County...... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Vibrant downtown/commercial areas.................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County ............................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities ........................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to volunteer................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters ................................... 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County....................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

7. Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last $\mathbf{1 2}$ months.

|  | No Tes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Made efforts to conserve water ................................................................................................................ 1 | . 12 |
| Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient ............................................................................. 1 | . 12 |
| Observed a code violation or other hazard in Loudoun County (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.).................... 1 | . 12 |
| Household member was a victim of a crime in Loudoun County ................................................................. 1 | 12 |
| Reported a crime to the police in Loudoun County................................................................................... 1 | . 12 |
| Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency .................................................................................... 1 | . 12 |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate ......................................................................... 1 | . 12 |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information.................................... 1 | . 12 |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion ............... 1 | . 2 |

8. In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Loudoun County?

| 2 times a week or more | 2-4 times $a$ month | Once a month or less | Not <br> at all |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used Loudoun County recreation centers or their services ........................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Visited a neighborhood park or County park............................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Used Loudoun County public libraries or their services............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Loudoun County................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Attended a County-sponsored event....................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving........................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Walked or biked instead of driving ........................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Participated in a club ........................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors ................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Done a favor for a neighbor................................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

9. Thinking about local public meetings (of local elected officials like the Board of Supervisors, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.), in the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members attended or watched a local public meeting?


## Loudoun County 2018 Citizen Survey

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheriff services ...................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Fire services........................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services ................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Crime prevention.................................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Fire prevention and education.................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Traffic enforcement ............................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bus or transit services.............................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Recycling .............................................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| County parks......................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Recreation programs or classes............................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Recreation centers or facilities .................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Land use, planning and zoning................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) .................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Animal control ....................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Economic development .......................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Health services ...................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Public library services ............................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Public information services ...................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations). $\qquad$ .1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts..... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County open space ................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| County-sponsored special events .............................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (sheriff, receptionists, planners, etc.) $\qquad$ .1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) .............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Senior services...................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

11. Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following?

12. Please rate the following categories of Loudoun County government performance:

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County.......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming citizen involvement. $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government ................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community ................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Being honest......................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Treating all residents fairly ...................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

13. Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Loudoun County community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years:

| Essential | Very important | Somewhat important | Not at all important |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County............................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit...................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Loudoun County........................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall "built environment" of Loudoun County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) $\qquad$ .1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County .............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County ........................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Sense of community ............................................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

## 14. Have you or anyone in your household used the following services in the last two years?

| Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sheriff services ............................................................................................................................ 1 | 2 |
| Fire or rescue services ................................................................................................................ 1 | 2 |
| Bus or transit services.................................................................................................................. 1 | 2 |
| County parks, recreation programs, or recreation centers................................................................. 1 | 2 |
| Land use, planning and zoning, or code enforcement....................................................................... 1 | 2 |
| Animal control .......................................................................................................................... 1 | 2 |
| Health services ........................................................................................................................... 1 | 2 |
| Public library services ............................................................................................................... 1 | 2 |
| County-sponsored special events .................................................................................................. 1 | 2 |
| Mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services............................................................ 1 | 2 |
| Family services (financial assistance, foster care, housing) ................................................................. 1 | 2 |
| Senior services......................................................................................................................... 1 | 2 |

15. First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. Then, indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue.

|  | Importance |  |  | Willing to pay higher taxes? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Very } \\ \text { important } \end{gathered}$ | Somerwhat important | important | Yes | No |
| Growth/development .......................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Schools............................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Crime/drug use ................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime.............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Cost of living/cost of housing ............................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Employment opportunities .................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Traffic .............................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Road maintenance............................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |

16. In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation to get to work?

17. If you use Metrorail to get to places other than work - for what reasons? (Mark all that apply.)
O Shopping
18. Please indicate if you feel there is too little, too much, or about the right amount of each of the following housing types in Loudoun County to meet the community's needs in the coming years:

|  | Far too <br> little | Somewhat <br> too little | About <br> right | Somewhat <br> too much | Far <br> too much | Don't <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-family detached homes ........................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Townhouses .................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Multi-family housing (apartments/condominiums) ............. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Housing options for seniors....................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Housing options for young residents.................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |

19. Are you or another household member (please mark all that apply):
O A federal employee
O Self-employed
O A federal contractor
O Working in a home-based business
O A teleworker (work from home at least some days instead of traveling to/from the workplace)

## Loudoun County 2018 Citizen Survey

Our last questions are about you and your household. Again, all of your responses to this survey are completely anonymous and will be reported in group form only.
D1. How often, if at all, do you do each of the following, considering all of the times you could?

| Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Usually | Always |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recycle at home ............................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Purchase goods or services from a business located in Loudoun County....... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day ................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity ................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) .................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Vote in local elections........................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

D2. Would you say that in general your health is:
O Excellent
O Very good
O Good
O Fair
O Poor

D3. What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:
O Very positive
O Somewhat positive
O Neutral
O Somewhat negative
O Very negative

D4. What is your employment status?
O Working full time for pay
O Working part time for pay
O Unemployed, looking for paid work
O Unemployed, not looking for paid work
O Fully retired
D5. Do you work inside the boundaries of Loudoun County?
O Yes, outside the home
O Yes, from home
O No
D6. How many years have you lived in Loudoun
County?
O Less than 2 years
O 11-20 years
O 2-5 years O More than 20 years
O 6-10 years

D7. Which best describes the building you live in?
O One family house detached from any other houses
O Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium)
O Mobile home
O Other
D8. Is this house, apartment or mobile home...
O Rented
O Owned
D9. About how much is your monthly housing cost
for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and homeowners' association (HOA) fees)?
O Less than $\$ 300$ per month
O $\$ 300$ to $\$ 599$ per month
O $\$ 600$ to $\$ 999$ per month
O $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,499$ per month
O \$1,500 to \$2,499 per month
O $\$ 2,500$ or more per month
D10. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?
O No O Yes
D11. Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older?
O No O Yes

D12. How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all persons living in your household.)
O Less than $\$ 25,000$
O $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 49,999$
O $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 99,999$
O \$100,000 to \$149,999
O \$150,000 or more
Please respond to both questions D13 and D14:
D13. Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino?
O No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino
O Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino

D14. What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you consider yourself to be.)
O American Indian or Alaskan Native
O Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander
O Black or African American
O White
O Other
D15. In which category is your age?
O 18-24 years
O 55-64 years
O 25-34 years
O 65-74 years
O 35-44 years
O 75 years or older
O 45-54 years

D16. What is your sex?
O Female O Male
D17. Do you consider a cell phone or land line your primary telephone number?
O Cell
O Land line
O Both

Thank you for completing this survey. Please return the completed survey in the postage-paid envelope to: National Research Center, Inc., PO Box 549, Belle Mead, NJ 08502


[^0]:    Total may exceed 100\% as respondents could select more than one option.

[^1]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See AAPOR's Standard Definitions here: http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions-(1).aspx for more information
    ${ }^{2}$ A $95 \%$ confidence interval indicates that for every 100 random samples of this many residents, 95 of the confidence intervals created will include the "true" population response. This theory is applied in practice to mean that the "true" perspective of the target population lies within the confidence interval created for a single survey. For example, if $75 \%$ of residents rate a service as "excellent" or "good," then the $4 \%$ margin of error (for the $95 \%$ confidence interval) indicates that the range of likely responses for the entire community is between $71 \%$ and $79 \%$. This source of uncertainty is called sampling error. In addition to sampling error, other sources of error may affect any survey, including the non-response of residents with opinions different from survey responders. Though standardized on The NCS, on other surveys, differences in question wording, order, translation and data entry, as examples, can lead to somewhat varying results.
    ${ }^{3}$ Although this has become the traditional way to describe survey research precision, when opt-in results are blended with scientific results, assumptions about randomness of responses are not the same as when results come only from the random sample. Consequently other terms sometimes are used in place of "confidence interval" or "margin of error," such as "credibility intervals." We hew to the traditional way of describing sample-driven uncertainty while we work with the industry to sort out the best ways to describe these new approaches.

