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## I. Executive Summary

## Background of the Survey

Loudoun County has periodically conducted a telephone survey of its residents to gain feedback on satisfaction with county services as well as opinions on major issues facing the county. Many questions remain the same over the years, with some changes with each survey reflecting current topics of interest to the Board of Supervisors.
The 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents was carried out by the Center for Survey Research (CSR) at the University of Virginia. The survey was based closely on the last such survey in 2007. For the 2012 survey, questions in the 2007 survey about childcare and whether the respondent owned or rented their housing were dropped. The wording for a small number of questions was modified. Questions about transportation priorities, use of public transportation, the impact of federal spending, and desire for additional county facilities were added. The question about satisfaction with county services was asked for three additional departments: family services, health services and animal services. The questionnaire was developed in conjunction with county staff and was pilot tested by CSR.

In the 2012 survey, as in 2007, two geographic regions within the county were defined using ZIP codes. The "rural" area covered the western part of the county, and the "non-rural" area covered the eastern part of the county (see Figure I-1). The sample was designed to provide quality statistics of residents in both areas.

## Overview

Many of the 2012 results parallel those from the 2007 survey. Residents of Loudoun County continue to give very high marks to the county for its overall quality of life, public safety, value provided for the tax dollar, quality of county services, rural character and proximity to amenities.
Most employed residents work at jobs located in Loudoun County or Fairfax County. Federal expenditures appear to be important to job security for many households in the county.
However, opinions about the county's strengths are tempered by concerns about traffic congestion and the negative impacts of growth. Overall, residents value some qualities of the county that depend on
development or close proximity to developed areas, and others that depend on having undeveloped areas or being separated from development.

## Major Findings

About 98 percent of respondents said they feel "safe" or "very safe" in their neighborhoods, and nearly 94 percent said overall quality of life in the county is "excellent" or "good." In addition, about 80 percent agreed "strongly" or "somewhat" that the county provides a good value for the tax dollar.
Respondents were asked if they or someone in their household had used any of eleven county services in the last two years. Users were asked to rate their satisfaction with each service they used. County fire, rescue and emergency management services, libraries and senior services were very highly rated, with more than half of users saying they were "very satisfied" and about 40 percent more saying they were "somewhat satisfied." Animal services, parks, public schools, the sheriff's office and county health services were highly rated. County building and development services, mental health services and family services were rated less highly, but even in the worst case more than 70 percent of those who had used the service said they were either "very satisfied" or "somewhat satisfied" with the service.

As in 2007, the things that residents most like about Loudoun County are the rural and open countryside, and the location and convenience to amenities such as shopping, cultural activities and all that the Washington, D.C. area has to offer. Comments that did not easily fit into the predetermined answer categories, shown in this summary as "Other," also were fairly common. These three main answers were each named by about 20 to 25 percent of respondents. About 15 percent named the quality of the community in terms of design, variety or the feel of the neighborhood. Schools, friendly people, beauty and scenic views, safety, and environmental cleanliness were each mentioned by about five to 10 percent.
By far, the biggest problems facing the county in the opinion of residents are traffic issues and growth that is excessive. About one-third of respondents named traffic and about one-quarter named growth. High taxes or school issues were each mentioned by about 10 percent of the respondents. Again, comments classified as "Other" were fairly common.

Figure I-1: Rural and Non-rural Area Designations


## Transportation Issues

Several questions about transportation issues were added to the survey in 2012. They covered residents' use of public transportation and their opinions about transportation spending priorities.
More than one-quarter of residents use public transportation. Among them, two-thirds use the bus and more than half use Metro. Other options were mentioned by fewer than 10 percent, often by fewer than two percent. ${ }^{1}$
Residents were asked to rate the importance of focusing on five different transportation options over the next few years, given that the county has limited resources. ${ }^{2}$ Loudoun County residents appear to prefer an "all of the above" strategy, at least when considering the five options they were presented. Support was greatest for roads and rail, but the least-supported option still garnered onethird of residents saying it was "very important" and one-third saying it was "somewhat important" to work on over the next few years.

The five options are listed below from greater to relatively lesser support. The percentage shown in parentheses represents those who said this option was either "very" or "somewhat" important. When sampling error is considered, there is not great separation among these priorities.

- Improving and building roads (88\%)
- Providing or improving commuter bus service (78\%)
- Providing rail transit service (78\%)
- Improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways (75\%)
- Providing or improving local bus service (69\%)

[^0]
## Employment and Federal Expenditures

Employment data was collected for the resident who responded to the survey if that person was 25 years of age or older, and for all other members of the household who were 25 years of age or older. The survey estimates that $65 \%$ of the residents who responded to the survey are employed full-time, $12 \%$ part-time, and $23 \%$ are not currently employed or are retired. Overall, $92 \%$ of the households contacted in the survey contained at least one person who was employed part-time or full-time at the time of the survey.
Among employed residents who responded to the survey, more than forty percent work at jobs located in Loudoun County, about one-third work in Fairfax County and close to fourteen percent work in Washington, DC, Alexandria or Arlington.

Bond rating services - Moody’s Investors Service in particular - have been interested in the level of economic reliance on federal expenditures found in the different jurisdictions within the national capital region. The survey addressed the issue of how much of the county's employment base might be associated with federal government expenditures. Nearly thirteen percent of the county's households that contained at least one employed person had at least one person working for the federal government.

In households without a federal employee, more than four in ten residents who responded to the survey (43\%) said that federal expenditures were better for their own job security, $46 \%$ said federal expenditures did not matter or they did not know if they mattered for their own job security, and $11 \%$ said that federal expenditures were worse for their own job security.

Overall, these results indicate that almost half (47.3\%) of the county's households that contain at least one employed person appear to have some aspect of their job security related to federal expenditures. This is either because the household contains one or more federal employees, or federal expenditures are better for job security for the responding resident or for another employed person living in the household.

## Demographics of the County

The survey was weighted to reflect several aspects of the county's demographics. Therefore, the weighted survey demographics for age, race, Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and gender are essentially identical to 2010 Decennial Census data for residents of the county aged 18 and over because the Census data were used as the criterion data for the weighting process.
Based on the 2010 Census data, the county population 18 years of age and older is about $71 \%$ White, $14 \%$ Asian, $7 \%$ African-American and 8\% other races or multiple races. About $12 \%$ of the county population is of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity.
Overall, the county population is primarily under age 18 (31\%) or between ages 25 and 54 (49\%). The rural area tends to have more residents aged 55 and older.

Among survey respondents, the average household size was 3.4 people, and the median household size was four people. ${ }^{3}$

As in 2007, more than $90 \%$ of residents said they moved to Loudoun County from somewhere else, with Fairfax County and places outside the metropolitan area being the most frequently mentioned origins. Once again in 2012, work location and housing affordability were the main reasons for relocating to Loudoun County (about one-quarter of residents cited each of those reasons), with family considerations mentioned by about one-sixth of residents.

## Methods

The survey was conducted by telephone ${ }^{4}$ using a probability sample drawn from landline and cellphone numbers. This approach provides good coverage of all types of residences, including those that are cellphone-only households.

[^1]Interviewing started on Tuesday, May 8 and ended on Sunday, June 10. The data include English- and Spanish-language completions. ${ }^{5}$ The survey averaged 15 minutes in length.

This report is based on 1,096 usable completed interviews - 261 from the rural portion of the county and 835 from the non-rural area. After accounting for unreachable telephone numbers and numbers for which eligibility was unknown, the estimated response rate for this survey is approximately $14.3 \% .{ }^{6}$

The sample was designed to provide quality statistics of residents in both the less populated rural area of the county and the more populated non-rural area. About one quarter of the completed surveys came from residents of the rural area. This rural oversample provided roughly twice the number of residents from the rural area of the county that one would expect without a special sample design. See Figure I-1 for a map of the rural and non-rural areas used for this survey.
The data were weighted by type of telephone service, rural or non-rural status (to adjust the rural oversample so as not to bias countywide totals), age, race, Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and gender to better represent Loudoun County residents. All results in this summary are based on weighted data.

Because the survey is based on a probability sample, the results are generalizable to all residents in Loudoun County. The sampling error in this survey for a question answered by all respondents is approximately $\pm 1.6$ percentage points at the $95 \%$ level of confidence.

This means that if 100 iterations of this survey were conducted with samples of this size drawn from Loudoun County, the results obtained in 95 of those 100 surveys would fall in a range of about $\pm 1.6$ percentage points from each other.

The $95 \%$ level of confidence also means that if there were no sources of error in the survey other than sampling error, the results reported here are likely to be within $\pm 1.6$ percentage points of the results that would have been obtained if all

[^2]Loudoun County households had participated in the survey. This calculation includes the estimated effects of weighting and stratification. ${ }^{7}$

Larger sampling errors are present when analyzing subsets of the sample or questions that were not asked of all respondents; smaller sampling errors are present when a lopsided majority gives the same answer.

For the subset of residents in the rural area, the sampling error is $\pm 4.8$ percentage points. For the subset of residents in the non-rural area, the sampling error is $\pm 2.0$ percentage points.
There are other sources of error found in surveys besides sampling error, such as biases in question wording, misunderstood question wording or survey instructions, systematic data processing errors and so forth. Users of survey data should be mindful that those other types of potential errors, unlike sampling error, may be difficult or impossible to measure.

[^3]
## II. Introduction

## About the Survey

Loudoun County has periodically conducted a telephone survey of its residents to gain feedback on satisfaction with county services as well as opinions on major issues facing the county. Many questions remain the same over the years, with some changes with each survey reflecting current topics of interest to the Board.
The 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents was carried out by the Center for Survey Research (CSR) at the University of Virginia. The survey was based closely on the last such survey in 2007, though some minor changes were made (see "Questionnaire Design" below for more information about these changes).
In the 2012 survey, as in 2007, two geographic regions within the county were defined using ZIP codes. The "rural" area covered the western part of the county, and the "non-rural" area covered the eastern part of the county (see Figure II-1). The sample was designed to provide quality statistics of residents in both areas.

## Respondent Selection

While past surveys sought to target heads of households, this survey asked first for the youngest male resident of the household who was home at the time of the call, then the youngest female who was home at the time of the call if there was no youngest male or he was unavailable. This approach counters the overrepresentation of females (a common occurrence in telephone surveys), avoids upsetting residents in households where the concept of "head of household" is seen as old-fashioned, and allows for a more complete and generalizable understanding of the county's entire adult population.

## Questionnaire Design

For the 2012 survey, questions in the 2007 survey about childcare and whether the respondent owned or rented their housing were dropped. The wording for a small number of questions was modified. Questions about transportation priorities, use of public transportation, the impact of federal spending, and desire for additional county facilities were added. The question about satisfaction with county services was asked for three additional
departments: family services, health services and animal services. The questionnaire was developed in conjunction with county staff and was pilot tested by CSR.

## Survey Process

The survey was conducted by telephone ${ }^{8}$ using a probability sample drawn from landline and cellphone numbers. This approach provides good coverage of all types of residences, including those that are cellphone-only households.

Interviewing started on Tuesday, May 8 and ended on Sunday, June 10. The data include English- and Spanish-language completions. ${ }^{9}$ The survey averaged 15 minutes in length.
This report is based on 1,096 usable completed interviews - 261 from the rural portion of the county and 835 from the non-rural area. After accounting for unreachable telephone numbers and numbers for which eligibility was unknown, the estimated response rate for this survey is approximately $14.3 \% .^{10}$

## Rural and Non-rural Areas

The sample was designed to provide quality statistics of residents in both the less populated rural area of the county and the more populated non-rural area. The rural and non-rural areas were defined by ZIP codes. ZIP codes that straddled U.S. Route 15 were designated as non-rural because the majority of their population is located in Leesburg or to the east of Route 15. About one quarter of the completed surveys came from residents of the rural area. This rural oversample provided roughly twice the number of respondents from the rural area of the county that one would expect without a special sample design. See Figure II-1 for a map of the rural and non-rural areas used for this survey.

[^4]Figure II-1: Rural and Non-rural Area Designations


## Weighting the Data

The data were weighted by type of telephone service, rural or non-rural status (to adjust the rural oversample so as not to bias countywide totals), age, race, Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and gender to better represent Loudoun County residents. The weighting process compares the survey data to population totals for county residents aged 18 and older, and adjusts the survey data to conform to the population data. The population data were obtained from U.S. Census data. See Appendix H for details about the weighting process.

A separate weight was calculated for use when comparing residents in the rural and non-rural areas. This weight made all the demographic adjustments but preserved the oversample in the rural area so that test of statistical significance could take into account the full number of rural resident who participated in the survey.
All results in this report are based on weighted data except where noted otherwise.

## Sampling Error

Because the survey is based on a probability sample, the results are generalizable to all residents in Loudoun County. The sampling error in this survey for a question answered by all respondents is approximately $\pm 1.6$ percentage points at the $95 \%$ level of confidence.

This means that if 100 iterations of this survey were conducted with samples of this size drawn from Loudoun County, the results obtained in 95 of those 100 surveys would fall in a range of about $\pm 1.6$ percentage points from each other. This calculation includes the estimated effects of weighting and stratification. ${ }^{11}$

The $95 \%$ level of confidence also means that if there were no sources of error in the survey other

[^5]than sampling error, the results reported here are likely to be within $\pm 1.6$ percentage points of the results that would have been obtained if all Loudoun County households had participated in the survey.

Larger sampling errors are present when analyzing subsets of the sample or questions that were not asked of all respondents; smaller sampling errors are present when a lopsided majority gives the same answer.

For the subset of residents in the rural area, the sampling error is $\pm 4.8$ percentage points. For the subset of residents in the non-rural area, the sampling error is $\pm 2.0$ percentage points.
There are other sources of error found in surveys besides sampling error, such as biases in question wording, misunderstood question wording or survey instructions, systematic data processing errors and so forth. Users of survey data should be mindful that those other types of potential errors, unlike sampling error, may be difficult or impossible to measure.

## About the Report

## Overview

This report covers the survey methods and discusses the overall results. After the Acknowledgments, Section I is the Executive Summary and Section II is this Introduction. Sections III through VII report the findings from the survey, with content divided into five broad categories - Demographics of the Respondents, Profile of Residents, Opinions of Loudoun County Residents, Transportation, and Usage and Satisfaction with County Services and Programs. Section VIII briefly summarizes the conclusions from the survey. Section IX contains data tables that show the 2012 results overall, and broken out by rural and non-rural areas. Section X features data tables that compare results from this year's survey to those of previous years for selected items.

Appendices provide a copy of the questionnaire; weighted frequencies; cross-tabulation tables showing the results for all survey items by demographic categories defined by rural/non-rural status, respondent age, and respondent race/ethnicity; some additional cross-tabulation tables for selected items; $t$-tests for selected survey items by rural/non-rural status, respondent age, and
respondent race/ethnicity; and a comprehensive discussion of the survey methodology.

## Questionnaire Scales

Many of the questions about satisfaction with county services and overall satisfaction with Loudoun County use answer choices that are ordered - for example, "Very satisfied," "Satisfied," "Dissatisfied," and "Very dissatisfied." A code number is used to record each response in the data - for example, if a resident said she was "Very satisfied" with fire and rescue services, a " 1 " would be recorded to represent "Very satisfied." Similarly, a "2" would be recorded to represent "Satisfied," a " 3 " would represent "Dissatisfied," and a " 4 " would represent "Very dissatisfied."

These "Likert-type" items are treated as scales in this analysis - that is, the average ("mean") of the code number answers for a particular queston is treated as useful information. If the mean rating for fire and rescue services were 1.50 , then we would conclude that on average, residents were halfway between "Very satisfied" and "Satisfied" with fire and rescue services. If the mean were 1.10 , we would conclude that almost every resident was "Very satisfied" with fire and rescue services. If the mean were 3.73 , we would conclude that most residents were "Very dissatisfied" with fire and rescue services.

Note that lower means are more favorable and higher means are less favorable.

## How to Read the Survey Results

There are several things to understand and keep in mind when reading and interpreting the data shown in the tables in this report and in the appendices.

## Multiple Response Questions

For some questions, respondents could give more than one answer. For these questions, the percentage associated with each answer category is based on the total number of people responding to the question. Therefore, the total percentages for these questions can sum to more than 100 percent.

## Don't Know/Refused and No Opinion

For most questions, a small number of people said they did not know an answer or preferred not to answer. These cases are usually excluded from the tables and from the base total used for percentages
for each item. However, questions about satisfaction with specific county services include separate categories for no opinion and don't know/refused. To be consistent with prior surveys, if a respondent had no opinion of a service those responses were included in the frequencies tables. (They were excluded from the means of those questions.) Responses of "no opinion/don't care" are also included for the question asking whether the county has sufficient nightlife activities, because this was viewed as a substantively important response that differed from "yes" or "no."

## "Other" responses

Most of the data collected in the 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents come from "closedended" survey questions - that is, questions with pre-defined answer choices. The survey also included some "open-ended" questions that asked residents to talk about their opinions in their own words. Interviewers are instructed to type these comments verbatim. The survey also included questions that had an "other-specify" answer choice in case residents gave answers that did not fit a predefined list of choices. When the "other-specify" choice was used, the interviewer typed in some key words to represent the response.
Residents were also free to make additional comments at any time, and these comments are typed in as "notes" associated with the question that was displaying at the time. Open-ended responses that were recorded as notes will be marked with "(notes)" at the beginning of the response.
All of these text responses - verbatim answers to open-ended questions, information about "otherspecify" responses, and notes - are collected in Appendix I to this report (bound separately).

For some questions with pre-coded answer choices and an "other-specify" option, it was apparent that some of the "other-specify" responses could be recategorized into existing answer choices, or were numerous enough to justify the creation of new answer choices. Responses were reviewed for the following questions (question numbers match those shown in the questionnaire text in Appendix A):

- Question 4: Location Prior to Moving to Loudoun County
- Question 5: What Single Factor Most

Influenced Your Decision to Locate in Loudoun County?

- Question 11 Location of Employment
- Question 17: How Do You Access the Internet?
- Question 25: Biggest Problem Facing Loudoun County
- Question 26: Best Thing About Loudoun County
- Question 31: Missing Entertainment and Nightlife Activities
- Question 38: What Kinds of Public Transportation Do You Use?
- Question 39: Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation
- Question 40: Additional Facilities Needed

This review and reclassification did not include "notes" responses - notes are supplemental information only and not subject to reclassification.

## Statistical Significance and the Number of Responses to a Question

Appendix G contains three tables showing tests of statistical significance for selected survey items by rural/non-rural status, age of respondent, and race/ethnicity of respondent.

Only differences that are identified in Appendix G as being statistically significant are identified as differences in the text of this report.
Most of the data tables in this report display response statistics only, without indications of statistical significance. However, tests of statistical significance can be approximated by seeing if appropriately estimated sampling errors for the test statistics overlap (indicating a probable lack of statistical significance) or do not overlap (indicating the likelihood of statistical significance). Of course, judgment should be applied to determine if differences that are statistically significant are also meaningful differences in a more general sense.

When comparing countywide 2012 results to 2007 results, differences of more than about 5 or 6 percentage points likely would indicate a statistically significant difference - as long as the
questions compared were answered by most of the respondents in each year. ${ }^{12}$

The concept of sampling error and statistical significance does not apply in any practical sense to questions where there were small sample sizes, particularly those with fewer than 30 responses. For example, the question about why the respondent feels unsafe in his or her neighborhood was answered by fewer than 20 people. The concept of sampling error and statistical significance does not apply to this question. The answers to questions like this one are essentially anecdotal reports generalizable only to those respondents who answered the question.
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## III. Demographics of the Respondents

## Unweighted Demographics

While data shown later in the report are weighted, all of the data below are unweighted data. The purpose of this section is to describe the residents who actually took part in the survey, before the data set was statistically adjusted.

## Rural/Non-rural

Over three quarters (76.2\%) of respondents live in non-rural portions of Loudoun County and the rest (23.8\%) reside in rural areas.

Figure III-1: Rural and Non-rural Respondents


## Gender

In the unweighted data, 51.1 percent of respondents are male and 48.9 percent are female.

Figure III-2: Gender of Respondents


Age
Slightly under half of the respondents (46.7\%) are between the ages of 35 and 54 . Young adults (ages 18 to 24) make up 5.2 percent of the respondents, while adults ages 75 and up represent 8.8 percent.

Figure III-3: Age of Respondents


## Race

A majority of respondents (86.1\%) describe themselves as White or Caucasian. Asian American (7.2\%) is the most common non-White racial group with which respondents identify. ${ }^{13}$ See Figure III-4.

Figure III-4: Race of Respondents
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## Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity

Slightly more than five percent of respondents consider themselves to be of Hispanic or Latino origin.

Figure III-5: Ethnicity of Respondents


## Combining Race and Ethnicity

In keeping with U.S. Census Bureau definitions, this survey treated race and ethnicity as separate concepts that can overlap and exist simultaneously for individuals. To enhance the analysis of the data, a variable was created that combined the information from the race and Hispanic/Latino ethnicity questions. This combined variable is used in Appendix E and Appendix G.
In this variable, residents who identified themselves as being of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity are classified as Hispanic/Latino regardless of the race with which they identified. The "other" category includes residents who were not Hispanic/Latino and who identified their race as American Indian, Native American, Alaskan Native, Hawaiian, other Pacific Islander, multiracial or something else. In all, about five percent of residents fell into the "other" category and roughly five percent did not offer a response. White non-Hispanic/Latino respondents constitute the majority of residents in the survey data set.

Figure III-6: Combined Race and Ethnicity


## Results of Weighting

As noted earlier, to better represent Loudoun County residents the data were weighted by type of telephone service, rural or non-rural status (to adjust the rural oversample so as not to bias countywide totals) and four demographic variables: age, race, Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and gender. The data were not weighted to ZIP codes.

Table 1 below shows the unweighted demographics of the residents who responded to the survey, the demographics of the residents after the survey is weighted, and the actual population percentages for each demographic category as determined by the 2010 Decennial Census data for residents aged 18 or older.

Table 2 below shows the unweighted and weighted survey frequencies by ZIP code along with the full counts of Loudoun County households in each ZIP code. The 2012 survey was not intended to match ZIP code population data; it was weighted on several other factors. The 2007 survey was weighted only to the number of households in each ZIP code. However, the weighted percentages of survey cases by ZIP code in the 2012 survey align fairly closely with the percentages of all households found in each ZIP code.

Table 1: Comparison of Variables Used to Weight the Survey Data

|  |  | Survey data Unweighted |  | Survey data Weighted |  | 2010 Decennial Census, population 18+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | u N | u \% | w N | w \% | \% |
| Gender | Male | 560 | 51.1\% | 534.7 | 48.8\% | 48.8\% |
|  | Female | 536 | 48.9\% | 561.3 | 51.2\% | 51.2\% |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0\% | 1096.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Rural/Non-rural status | Rural | 261 | 23.8\% | 156.3 | 14.3\% | 12.4\% |
|  | Non-rural | 835 | 76.2\% | 939.7 | 85.7\% | 87.6\% |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0\% | 1096.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Hispanic/Latino ethnicity | Yes | 59 | 5.4\% | 106.4 | 10.0\% | 11.7\% |
|  | No | 1005 | 91.7\% | 960.0 | 90.0\% | 88.3\% |
| Total |  | 1064 | 100.0\% | 1066.4 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Race | White | 882 | 84.6\% | 768.1 | 73.2\% | 70.1\% |
|  | Asian | 71 | 6.8\% | 129.3 | 12.3\% | 14.6\% |
|  | Black | 50 | 4.8\% | 67.7 | 6.5\% | 7.5\% |
|  | Other | 28 | 2.7\% | 55.9 | 5.3\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Two or more races | 12 | 1.2 | 27.8 | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
| Total |  | 1043 | 100.0\% | 1048.7 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Age | 18-34 | 144 | 14.6\% | 266.4 | 27.2\% | 28.5\% |
|  | 35-44 | 199 | 20.1\% | 262.4 | 26.8\% | 27.2\% |
|  | 45-54 | 263 | 26.6\% | 225.6 | 23.1\% | 22.5\% |
|  | 55-64 | 182 | 18.4\% | 131.3 | 13.4\% | 12.4\% |
|  | 65+ | 200 | 20.2\% | 92.1 | 9.4\% | 9.4\% |
| Total |  | 998 | 100.0\% | 977.9 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Table 2: Distribution of households by ZIP code

| ZIP <br> Code | Loudoun County Government Estimates, 2012 |  | 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Weighted survey data |  | Unweighted survey data |  | ZIP type |
|  | Households | \% | Responded | \% | Responded | \% |  |
| 20105 | 4,231 | 3.9\% | 45 | 4.1\% | 35 | 3.2\% | Non-rural |
| 20117 | 1,150 | 1.0\% | 7 | 0.7\% | 16 | 1.5\% | Rural |
| 20120 | 17 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.1\% | Non-rural |
| 20129 | 200 | 0.2\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 5 | 0.5\% | Rural |
| 20130 | 15 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | Rural |
| 20132 | 5,268 | 4.8\% | 57 | 5.2\% | 97 | 8.9\% | Rural |
| 20135 | 342 | 0.3\% | 4 | 0.4\% | 6 | 0.6\% | Rural |
| 20141 | 1,995 | 1.8\% | 26 | 2.4\% | 46 | 4.2\% | Rural |
| 20147 | 19,576 | 17.8\% | 157 | 14.4\% | 166 | 15.2\% | Non-rural |
| 20148 | 10,791 | 9.8\% | 102 | 9.4\% | 78 | 7.2\% | Non-rural |
| 20152 | 8,527 | 7.8\% | 58 | 5.3\% | 40 | 3.7\% | Non-rural |
| 20158 | 1,424 | 1.3\% | 15 | 1.3\% | 24 | 2.2\% | Rural |
| 20164 | 12,054 | 11.0\% | 90 | 8.3\% | 88 | 8.1\% | Non-rural |
| 20165 | 11,526 | 10.5\% | 135 | 12.4\% | 134 | 12.3\% | Non-rural |
| 20166 | 3,393 | 3.1\% | 15 | 1.4\% | 14 | 1.3\% | Non-rural |
| 20170 | 6 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.2\% | 2 | 0.2\% | Non-rural |
| 20175 | 10,111 | 9.2\% | 164 | 15.1\% | 112 | 10.3\% | Non-rural |
| 20176 | 15,695 | 14.3\% | 162 | 14.9\% | 159 | 14.6\% | Non-rural |
| 20180 | 2,381 | 2.2\% | 38 | 3.4\% | 49 | 4.5\% | Rural |
| 20184 | 139 | 0.1\% | 2 | 0.2\% | 3 | 0.3\% | Rural |
| 20197 | 674 | 0.6\% | 6 | 0.6\% | 13 | 1.2\% | Rural |
| 22066 | 313 | 0.3\% | 2 | 0.2\% | 2 | 0.2\% | Non-rural |
| Total | 109,827 | 100.0\% | 1,090 | 100.0\% | 1,090 | 100.0\% |  |

NOTE: Six cases were excluded from the table because they reported living in the county, but in ZIP codes that were not on the list.

## Weighted Demographics

This section of the report presents weighted data for demographic variables in the survey that were not used in the weighting process. The weighted results for these demographic variables represent the residents of Loudoun County as a whole. Note that the survey is an approximation; therefore data from other sources may differ slightly from the results shown below.

The Loudoun Survey of Residents’ demographics provide insight to understanding differing responses to survey questions. However, the Loudoun Survey of Residents is not the primary
source for demographics. Please refer to the U.S. Census Bureau as the primary source.

## Household Size

Residents of Loudoun County report an average household size of 3.37 (including the respondents themselves). Three out of ten residents who responded to the survey live in a household of four people, which is the most common household size, while 8.7 percent of the residents who responded to the survey live alone and only about five percent live in households of six or more. See Figure III-7.

Figure III-7: Household Size


## Household Size by Rural/Non-rural Status

Households in the rural area tended to have either two members or five or more members (including the resident who responded to the survey). Households in the non-rural area tended to have one member, or three to four members. See Figure III-8. The complete data tables for this analysis can be found in Appendix F.

Figure III-8: Size of Household by Rural/Nonrural Status


## Ages of Household Members

Including children of all ages (under 18), the average age of a Loudoun County resident is 32.5 .

## Type of Housing

Almost two thirds of residents (66.1\%) live in single family detached homes, making them the most commonly mentioned type of housing. About one-quarter (22.4\%) of residents live in townhomes or duplexes and 11.4 percent live in apartments or condominiums.

Figure III-9: Type of Housing


## Household Income

When asked to place their income within a range of categories, a plurality of residents (18.6\%) reported annual incomes of over $\$ 200,000$. About 15 percent of residents reported incomes lower than $\$ 50,000$.

Figure III-10: Household Income


## Education

More than 85 percent of residents reported that they have attended at least some college, and more than two-thirds have earned at least a four-year college degree. One-third of residents have earned a postgraduate degree. See Figure III-11.

Figure III-11: Education


## Summary

The 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents captures the demographic diversity of the county. The sampling plan oversamples the rural areas of the county to provide enough cases to accurately represent the rural area of the county. The weighted survey data provide a useful estimate of how all residents of the county would respond if a census were conducted.

## IV. Profile of Residents

## Household Characteristics

## Public School Attendance

Residents with school-aged (5 to 18) children were asked how many of their children attend public schools. Only 11.2 percent of residents with schoolaged children indicated that none of their children attended public schools. Overall, including households with no children, $38.3 \%$ of households contain at least one public school student.

Figure IV-1: Public School Attendance


## Young Adults

About three in ten households include a college-age or post-college-age individual. (Residents aged 18 to 22 years were defined as college-age, and residents aged 23 to 28 years were defined as post-college-age.)
About one in six households (15.2\%) contain a college-age person and at least one parent ${ }^{14}, 7.5$ percent contain a post-college-age person and at least one parent, and 3.6 percent contain both college-aged and post-college people plus at least one parent.

Figure IV-2: Presence of Young Adults


## Residence

## Length of Residence in Loudoun County

A majority of residents (51.8\%) have lived in Loudoun County for at least ten years, and only 20.1 percent have lived there for fewer than five years.

## Figure IV-3: Length of Residence in Loudoun County



African Americans, Whites, and residents who selfidentify as "other race" have lived in Loudoun County longer than have Asian-Americans and Hispanic/Latino residents. ${ }^{15}$ Residents aged 25 to 34 have lived in the county for a shorter period of time than have members of other age groups. (See Appendix G.)
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## Length of Residence in Loudoun County by Rural/Non-rural Status

Residents in the rural area of the county have lived in Loudoun County longer than have residents in the non-rural area. See Figure IV-4. The complete data tables for this analysis can be found in Appendix F.

Figure IV-4: Length of Residence by Rural/Nonrural Status


## Reasons for Locating in Loudoun County

Residents cited a variety of factors that influenced their decisions to locate in Loudoun County. About one-third (31.3\%) indicated that Loudoun County was the location of their work site, and another 24.8 percent cited the affordability of housing in the county.

Figure IV-5: Reasons for Locating in Loudoun County


## Place of Residence Prior to Locating in Loudoun County

When asked where they had lived before moving to Loudoun County, residents were most likely to name either Fairfax County (42.5\%) or a city or
county outside of the Washington metropolitan area (42.0\%). Only 15.5 percent of residents moved to Loudoun County from a part of the Washington metropolitan area other than Fairfax County.

Figure IV-6: Place of Residence Prior to Locating in Loudoun County


## Plans to Stay in Loudoun County

When asked where they expected to be living in ten years, approximately seven out of ten residents said they planned to be living in either their current residence or in a different residence in Loudoun County. Residents who expected to be living outside of Loudoun County were asked why they might leave. "Other" was the most common response choice, with residents selecting this option frequently mentioning family and work considerations as reasons for leaving. Almost 20 percent of respondents who expect to leave point to the county's cost of living as their reason for doing so, and another 15.7 percent cite retirement.

Figure IV-7: Where Do You Plan to Live Ten Years from Now?


## Employment

## Employment for Household Members

Employment status was asked for people aged 25 and older. Employment status was collected for
residents who were responding to the survey and who met the age qualification. Employment status was also collected for any other household members who met the age qualification.

Nine out of ten residents indicated that at least one employed person (aged 25 or older) lived in their household. A plurality of residents (36.0\%) reside in households with two full-time workers, 31.8 percent live in households with one full-time worker and 6.7 percent of residents live in households with more than two workers.

In Figure IV-8 below, "FT" is the abbreviation for full-time worker and "PT" is the abbreviation for part-time worker.

Figure IV-8: Employment Status (All Household Members Age 25 and Older)


## Location of Employment

Residents were asked for the location of employment for all of the employed people in their household. Many of the employed residents (44.9\%) work in Loudoun County, while about one-third (31.7\%) work in Fairfax County and 7.0 percent work in Washington, D.C. These figures for all employed residents in the household are very similar to the figures that apply only to the residents who responded to the survey.

Figure IV-9: Location of Employment (All Household Members Age 25 and Older)


## Employment Security and Federal Expenditures

Bond rating services - Moody's Investors Service in particular - have been interested in the level of economic reliance on federal expenditures found in the different jurisdictions within the national capital region. The survey addressed the issue of how much of the county's employment base might be associated with federal government expenditures.
Overall, $92 \%$ of the households contacted in the survey contained at least one person who was employed part-time or full-time at the time of the survey. Nearly thirteen percent of the county's households that contained at least one employed person had at least one person working for the federal government. See Figure IV-10.

Figure IV-10: Households with at Least One Employed Person and Federal Employment


In households without a federal employee, more than four in ten employed residents who responded to the survey $(43.0 \%)$ said that federal expenditures were better for their own job security, $46.2 \%$ said federal expenditures did not matter or they did not know if they mattered for their own job security, and $10.8 \%$ said that federal expenditures were worse for their own job security.

Overall, these results indicate that nearly half (47.3\%) of the county's households that contain at least one employed person appear to have some aspect of their job security related to federal expenditures. This is either because the household contains one or more federal employees, or federal expenditures are better for job security for the responding resident or for another employed person living in the household.

Figure IV-11: Impact of Federal Expenditures on Job Security for Employed Respondents in Households without a Federal Employee


## Telecommuting and Home-Based Businesses

Among residents with at least one employed person in the household, about one-third (35.3\%) reports that someone in their household telecommutes or works full time in a home-based business. Figure IV-12 shows the number of people in the respondent's household who are telecommuting or working in a home-based business.

Figure IV-12: Telecommuting and Home-Based Businesses


## Internet Access

## Access to the Internet

Internet access is widespread in Loudoun County, with 95 percent of residents reporting that they have access to the Internet in their homes.

## Type of Internet Access

Residents use a variety of technologies to access the internet. A plurality (42.5\%) uses fiber optic technology such as OpenBand or Verizon. Other common methods include wireless connections
such as Loudoun Broadband (26.5 \%) and Comcast cable (20.2\%).

Figure IV-13: Type of Internet Access


## Summary

The survey data reflect Loudoun County's status as an affluent county with a significant business presence and close proximity to Washington, D.C. The residents of Loudoun County are well-educated with high household incomes. Single-family detached homes account for a majority of the housing stock.
Most residents have moved to the county from somewhere else - either from outside the metropolitan region or from Fairfax County. But most residents expect to be in Loudoun County ten years from now. Jobs, relatively affordable housing and family considerations tend to bring new residents to the county.

Most employed residents work in Loudoun County or Fairfax County. About one in eight employed residents works for the federal government, and nearly half the county's households containing at least one employed person appear to rely on federal expenditures for at least some of their job security.

## V. Opinions of Loudoun County Residents Neighborhood Safety

Loudoun County residents perceive a high level of safety in their neighborhoods. Almost two thirds of residents $(66.0 \%)$ describe themselves as feeling "very safe" in their neighborhoods, and less than two percent feel either "unsafe" or "very unsafe." Within this small group of residents, the most commonly mentioned reasons for feeling unsafe were break-ins and car damage/theft.

Figure V-1: Neighborhood Safety


Residents between the ages of 25 and 34 are more likely to feel safe in their neighborhood than are residents from other age groups, and rural residents feel safer than non-rural residents. African Americans, Asian Americans, and Whites feel safer than Hispanic/Latino residents and residents in the "other race" category. (See Appendix G.)

## Overall Quality of Life

Residents are enthusiastic about the overall quality of life in Loudoun County: 47.7 percent say it is "excellent" and another 44.8 percent say it is "good." The overall quality of life in Loudoun County is rated "poor" by less than one percent of residents.

Figure V-2: Overall Quality of Life


African Americans, Asians Americans, and Hispanic/Latino residents give the quality of life lower marks than do Whites and people of other races. Residents aged 18 to 24 think more highly of the county's quality of life than do older residents. African Americans, Asian Americans, Whites, and Hispanic/Latino residents all give the overall quality of life lower ratings than do people of other races. Among the five racial categories, ratings for the county's overall quality of life are lowest among Hispanic/Latino residents. (See Appendix G.)

## Value for the Tax Dollar

Residents were asked to rate their agreement or disagreement with the statement "The county provides good value for the tax dollar." Residents generally agreed with this statement, with 58.4 percent saying they "somewhat agree" with the statement and 23.0 percent saying they "strongly agree." Just 6.6 percent said they "strongly disagree" with the statement.

Figure V-3: Value for the Tax Dollar


Non-rural residents are more likely to agree that the county provides good value for the tax dollar than are rural residents. (See Appendix G.)

## Biggest Problem Facing Loudoun County

Residents could choose up to two responses to this question. The most popular choice, selected by $38.9 \%$ of residents, was "traffic/transportation." Concerns over the rate of growth and development also figured prominently. Residents who named "other" issues frequently mentioned concerns related to the economy in general, a lack of community, and Lyme disease.

Figure V-4: Biggest Problem Facing Loudoun County


## Best Thing about Loudoun County

Residents could choose up to two responses to this question. The two most popular responses were the county's location or proximity to amenities, and its rural and open quality. Each of those responses was given by about 20 percent of residents.

Figure V-5: Best Thing about Loudoun County


## Entertainment and Nightlife

Residents generally said there are sufficient entertainment and nightlife options in Loudoun County. About one quarter (28.2\%) of residents said there were not sufficient nighttime activities in Loudoun County for members of their household.

Figure V-6: Entertainment and Nightlife Sufficiency


When dissatisfied residents were asked what sorts of activities were missing, they frequently mentioned bars and nightclubs, live entertainment and music, and downtown events such as art galleries, concerts, and special events. In the "other" category, residents often cited a need for activities oriented toward children and teenagers.

Figure V-7: Missing Entertainment and Nightlife Activities


## Entertainment and Nightlife by Presence of Children in the Household

Residents with children in the household were slightly more likely to say there were not sufficient nighttime activities for members of their household. See Figure V-8.

Figure V-8: Nightlife Sufficiency by Presence of Children


Among those who indicated that additional activities were needed (about one-third of residents overall), residents with children in the household preferred sporting events while residents without children in the houshold preferred bars and nightclubs. Otherwise, there were no striking differences in preferences by presence of children in the household. See

Figure V-9. The complete data tables for this analysis are in Appendix F.

Figure V-9: Preferred Nighttime Activities by Presence of Children


## Entertainment and Nightlife by Age of Resident

The younger the resident who responded to the survey, the more likely he or she said there were not sufficient nighttime activities for members of the household. About one-third of those aged 18-34 indicated a need for nighttime activities, while only 13 percent of those aged 65 and older said so. See Figure V-10.

Figure V-10: Nightlife Sufficiency by Age Group


Among those who indicated that additional activities were needed (about one-third of residents overall), residents in different age groups focused on different activties. Younger residents saw a need for more bars and nightclubs, middle-aged residents saw a need for live entertainment and music, and older residents prefered downtown events and other types of activities. See Figure V-11. The complete data tables for this analysis can be found in Appendix F.

Figure V-11: Preferred Nighttime Activities by Age Group


## Need for Additional County Facilities

When asked what sorts of county facilities they would like to see more of, almost two-thirds (63.5\%) of residents indicated that there were already enough county facilities available. When respondents did mention a desire for more county facilities, they most often pointed to recreation centers and parks, both active (such as athletic fields) and passive (such as nature preserves), recreation centers, teen centers and community centers. Those response have been grouped under the term "Parks, Recreation, Community Centers" in Figure V-12 below. Common responses originally in the "other" category included indoor swimming pools, which were reclassified as recreation centers. Additional "other" responses included public transportation and school-related ideas.

Figure V-12: Additional Facilities Needed


## Summary

Loudoun County residents generally view the county in a positive light, both as a place to live and as a government entity.
More than 90 percent of residents rate the quality of life in the county as excellent (48\%) or good (45\%). More than 80 percent of resident strongly agree or somewhat agree that the county provides good value for the tax dollar. Almost all residents feel very safe or somewhat safe in their neighborhoods.

About one-quarter of residents said there were insufficient nighttime activities for their household. Younger residents who felt this way were interested in more bars and nightclubs, while older residents who felt this way were interested in live entertainment and music or downtown events and attractions.

The two most frequently mentioned problems facing the county were traffic and transportation issues, and growth and development issues.

## VI. Transportation

## Use of Public Transportation

New for 2012, the survey questionnaire included several questions about transportation issues. About one-quarter of Loudoun County residents (26.2\%) indicate that they use public transportation.

Figure VI-1: Use of Public Transportation


## Types of Public Transportation Used

Of those residents who use public transportation, the bus is the most commonly used method (67.4\%), followed by Metro (54.8\%). Trains, taxis, and car pools are used by fewer than ten percent of residents who use public transportation.

Figure VI-2: Types of Public Transportation Used


## Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation

Residents who do not use public transportation were asked why not. The most commonly cited reasons were that public transportation doesn't go where residents need it to go (29.0\%), they have a car (18.8\%) and that they have to go too far from home to get to it (17.7\%). Answers classified as
"other" included lifestyle choices, inconvenience and work reasons.

Figure VI-3: Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation


## Priorities for Transportation Funding

Residents were asked to rate the importance of focusing on five different transportation options over the next few years, given that the county has limited resources. The five options were rated independently. The order in which they were presented during the interview was randomized across interviews to avoid response effects related to the order of items on the list.

Loudoun County residents appear to prefer an "all of the above" strategy, at least when considering the five options they were presented. Support was greatest for roads and rail, but the least-supported option still garnered one-third of residents saying it was "very important" and one-third saying it was "somewhat important" to work on over the next few years.

Figure VI-4: Improving Pedestrian Walkways and Bikeways


Figure VI-5: Improving and Building Roads


Figure VI-6: Providing or Improving Commuter Bus Service


Figure VI-7: Providing Rail Transit Service


Figure VI-8: Providing or Improving Local Bus Service


The five options are listed below from greater to relatively lesser support. The percentage shown in parentheses represents those who said this option was either "very" or "somewhat" important. When sampling error is considered, there is not great separation among these priorities.

Figure VI-9: Support for Transportation Initiatives


White residents generally viewed the five transportation priorities as being less important than did non-Whites. Young adults (ages 18 to 24) see roads and rail transit service as less important than do older residents, while the oldest residents (ages 65 and up) see pedestrian walkways and bikeways and bus service as being less important than do younger residents. Rural residents view each of the five transportation priorities as being less important than do non-rural residents. (See Appendix G.)

## Use of Public Transportation by Location of Work

More than half (58.9\%) of the employed residents who responded to the survey and worked in Washington DC/Alexandria/Arlington were more likely to say they used public transportation, while
a little fewer than one-quarter of their counterparts who worked in other locations said they used public transportation. (Note that employment was asked only for residents aged 25 years and older. Also note that the question about use of public transportation was open - it was not limited to commuting.) See Figure VI-10. The complete data tables for this analysis can be found in Appendix F.

Figure VI-10: Use of Public Transportation by Location of Work


## Type of Public Transportation Used by Location of Work

Among employed residents who responded to the survey and who used public transportation, about three-quarters of those who worked in outlying areas said they used the bus ( $80.1 \%$ ) or Metro (73.1\%). Close to three-quarters (71.5\%) of those who worked in Washington DC/ Alexandria/Arlington said they used the bus, and about three-quarters (70.6\%) of those who worked in Fairfax County said they used Metro. See Figure VI-11. The complete data tables for this analysis can be found in Appendix F.

Figure VI-11: Type of Public Transportation Used by Location of Work


## Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation

Among employed residents who responded to the survey and who did not use public transportation, the most frequently mentioned problem was that public transportation did not go where the resident needed it to go. This response was frequent regardless of the work location of the resident. Those who worked in Washington DC/Alexandria/Arlington were more likely to also say it was too far from home to use it. Residents who worked in Loudoun County were relatively more likely to say they did not use public
transportation because they have a car. See Figure VI-12. The complete data tables for this analysis can be found in Appendix F.

Figure VI-12: Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation by Location of Work


## Transportation Priorities by Location of Work

Priorities for transportation funding differed depending on the work location of the resident who responded to the survey. Residents who work in Washington, DC, Alexandria or Arlington were more likely to rate roads, rail and commuter bus service as "very important" priorities, while residents who work in Loudoun County or locations not in DC/Alexandria/Arlington and not in Fairfax County were more likely to say that local bus service and pedestrian walkways and bikeways were "very important" priorities. Note that these are the transportation priorities of employed residents who responded to the survey, and employment was asked only for residents aged 25 years and older. The complete data tables for this analysis can be found in Appendix F.

Figure VI-13: Transportation Priorities by Location of Work - Percent Saying "Very Important"


## Summary

About one-quarter of the county's residents use public transportation, with bus and Metro being the most popular forms of public transportation. The main reason for residents not using public transportation is that it does not go where they need it to go.

The survey findings indicate that Loudoun County residents see transportation issues as warranting considerable attention. The findings suggest interest in seeing greater opportunities for access to and use of public transportation. While Loudoun County residents express greatest support for improving and building roads, four other transportation priorities are also strongly supported. Residents appear to prefer an "all of the above" strategy for allocating county resources to transportation issues.
Priorities for transportation improvements and use of public transportation vary somewhat depending on the location of work for the resident responding to the survey.

## VII. Use of and Satisfaction with County Services and Programs

County residents were asked whether they or anyone in their household has used 11 different county services in the past two years. If the service had been used, the resident was asked to rate their satisfaction with the service. The order in which the services were asked was randomly varied for each survey to avoid biasing the results due to question order.

Figure VII- 1 below shows all eleven services and the percentage of households that reported using them in the past two years.

Figure VII-1: Overall Usage of County Services


Figure VII-2 below shows all eleven services and the mean ratings they received from their users, on a scale from 1 ("Very Satisfied") to 4 ("Very Dissatisfied").

Figure VII-2: Overall Satisfaction with County Services


## Animal Services

About one in six households (16.8\%) have used animal services within the past two years. Almost
two-thirds of users are "very satisfied" with county animal services and only 6.2 percent are either dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.

Figure VII-3: Satisfaction with Animal Services


Whites and Asian Americans give animal services lower ratings than do members of other racial groups. Among age groups, residents ages 18 to 24 give animal services the highest marks. (See Appendix G.)

## Building and Development Services

Around one out of ten households used county building and development services in the past two years. Of those users, 46.1 percent are "satisfied" with the service, and another 37.5 percent are "very satisfied," while 14.4 percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-4: Satisfaction with Building and Development Services


## Family Services

About five percent of households reported using county family services in the past two years. A plurality of users (36.9\%) is "very satisfied" with the services, while 35.7 percent are "satisfied" and 23.3 percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-5: Satisfaction with Family Services


White residents offered lower ratings for county family services than do non-Whites. (See Appendix G.)

## Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Management Services

Fire, rescue, and emergency management services have been used by slightly under one fifth of households in the past two years. Almost four out of five users are "very satisfied" with the services, while three percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-6: Satisfaction with Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Management Services


Asian American and Hispanic/Latino residents give fire, rescue, and emergency management services lower ratings than did African Americans, Whites, and residents in the "other race" category. (See Appendix G.)

## Health Services

About one in eight households (12.6\%) have used county health services in the past two years. A majority of users (53.2\%) are "very satisfied" and
another 42 percent are "satisfied." Only 5 percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-7: Satisfaction with Health Services


Non-rural residents give health services higher marks than do rural residents. Among racial groups, Hispanic/Latino residents give health services particularly high marks, while Asian Americans are the least satisfied. Among age groups, respondents ages 25 to 34 give health services the highest marks. (See Appendix G.)

## Mental Health, Substance Abuse and Developmental Services

Only about five percent of households have used county mental health, substance abuse or developmental services in the past two years. A plurality of users, 36.6 percent, is "very satisfied" with these services, while 26.6 percent of users are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-8: Satisfaction with Mental Health, Substance Abuse and Developmental Services


Asian American and White residents are less satisfied county mental health, substance abuse or developmental services than are members of other racial groups. Residents age 35 and older are less satisfied with mental health services than are younger residents. (See Appendix G.)

## Parks and Recreation

Seven out of ten households have used the county's parks and recreation facilities in the past two years. A majority of users (56.7\%) are "very satisfied" with county parks and recreation services, while 4.2 percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-9: Satisfaction with Parks and Recreation


Asian Americans give county parks and recreation facilities lower marks than do other residents. (See Appendix G.)

## Public Libraries

The county's public libraries also receive extensive use, with seven out of ten households having used them in the past two years. Users give high marks to the county's public libraries; seven out of ten patrons are "very satisfied," while 2.8 percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-10: Satisfaction with Public Libraries


Rural residents are more satisfied with the libraries than are non-rural residents, and Asian Americans give them poorer ratings than do residents from all other racial groups. The public libraries receive higher ratings from residents ages 25 to 34 and 65 and up than from others. (See Appendix G.)

## Public Schools

Over half (52.4\%) of households have used the public schools in the past two years. A majority (55.9\%) of those who have used the public schools are "very satisfied" with them, while 7.3 percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-11: Satisfaction with Public Schools


Asian American residents are less satisfied with the public schools than are Whites, Hispanic/Latino residents, and residents in the "other race" category. The public schools receive higher ratings from residents ages 25 to 34 and 65 and older than from residents in other age categories. (See Appendix G.)

## Senior Services

Around five percent of households have utilized county senior services. Almost two thirds (62.0\%)
of users are "very satisfied" with senior services, while 7.7 percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-12: Satisfaction with Senior Services


Senior services receive higher ratings from senior residents than from the few younger residents who report that their households used the service in the last two years. (See Appendix G.)

## Sheriff's Office

Approximately one-quarter of households have used the services of the sheriff's office in the past two years. Almost all users say that they are "very satisfied" (44.4\%) or "satisfied" (45.7\%) with the sheriff's office, while 7.4 percent are either "dissatisfied" or "very dissatisfied."

Figure VII-13: Satisfaction with Sheriff's Office


Among racial groups, the sheriff's office receives its highest ratings from those in the "other race" category, while African Americans and Whites give higher ratings than do Asian Americans and Hispanic/Latino residents. Residents aged 18 to 24 give the sheriff's office lower ratings than do older residents. (See Appendix G.)

## Sources of Information about County Programs and Services

About two-thirds (66.4\%) of residents reported using newspapers (online and hard copy) to obtain information about county programs and services. The county website (42.6\%) and word of mouth (35.9\%) are also popular sources of information about county programs and services.

Figure VII-14: Sources of Information about County Programs and Services


## Newspapers Read

Residents who use newspapers to learn about county programs and services were most likely to turn to the Loudoun Times-Mirror for this information, with over two-thirds (66.8\%) of newspaper users having done so. Leesburg Today (38.6\%) and The Washington Post (27.8\%) were also popular sources. Residents selecting "other" frequently mentioned Ashburn Today and The Washington Times.

Figure VII-15: Newspapers Read


## Summary

Loudoun County residents are generally satisfied with the services they receive from the county, with libraries and fire, rescue and emergency management services receiving particularly high marks. Even the services trailing their counterparts in a ranked list receive average ratings of "satisified."
Public libraries and parks and recreation receive greater usage - about three-quarters of all households used them in the past two years - than do county services that are targeted at more specific audiences. Usage rates over the past two years for fire, rescue and emergency management services ( $18.1 \%$ of households) and the sheriff's office ( $24.2 \%$ of households) fall in the middle of the range.

Traditional sources of information about county programs and services such as newspapers and word of mouth continue to be used by residents, along with newer channels of communication such as the county website.

## VIII. Conclusions

The results of the 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents offer the county's residents and public servants much to be proud of. Residents report widespread satisfaction with county services and with the county in general as a place to live. Moreover, a number of key indicators registered marked improvements since the previous edition of this survey (see Section X).
The best-liked aspects of the county and the areas of greatest concern illustrate the balancing act required of county leadership. Appreciation for the county's rural character is rivaled only by appreciation for the availability of housing, employment, public services and amenities delivered by the private sector. Concerns about growth and development are accompanied by support for an "all of the above" strategy for transportation funding priorities. These desires exist in a context of sluggish economic conditions and relatively scarce public funds. Rural and non-rural residents sometimes have different opinions and different priorities.

The survey results cannot prescribe solutions. But they can inform the discussions that lead to solutions.

## IX. Summary of 2012 Data

The following tables summarize data from the survey. All tables show weighted data unless otherwise noted. All percentages are subject to rounding. Weighted counts are also subject to rounding. Therefore, in some cases response categories that display the same rounded number of weighted respondents may account for slightly different percentages of the total responses. This is due to the rounding of respondent numbers that takes place when the data is weighted. Some tables display items for which more than one answer was accepted. See the introduction to Appendix B for more information about interpreting statistics obtained from weighted data and multiple-response items. The reader may also wish to refer to "How to Read the Survey Results" in Section II of this report when consulting the tables below.

## Which of the following housing types do you live in?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Townhouse or duplex | $5.0 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | 245 | $22.4 \%$ |
| Single family home | $93.2 \%$ | $61.6 \%$ | 723 | $66.1 \%$ |
| Apartment or condo | $1.7 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | 125 | $11.4 \%$ |
| Other | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,095 | $100 \%$ |

How many years have you lived in Loudoun County?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One year or less | $3.9 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | 69 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Two to four years | $7.9 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | 150 | $13.7 \%$ |
| Five to nine years | $19.9 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | 308 | $28.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ to 14 years | $23.0 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | 211 | $19.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ to 19 years | $12.0 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | 128 | $11.7 \%$ |
| 20 years or longer | $33.3 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | 227 | $20.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,094 | $100 \%$ |

Did you move to Loudoun County from somewhere else?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $90.1 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ | 1,010 | $92.1 \%$ |
| No | $9.9 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | 86 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,096 | $100 \%$ |

In what city or county did you live before moving to Loudoun County? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fairfax County, VA | $31.8 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | 424 | $42.5 \%$ |
| Outside Metro DC | $49.0 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | 420 | $42.0 \%$ |
| Other part of Metro DC | $5.2 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | 38 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Prince William County, VA | $2.6 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | 35 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Montgomery County, MD | $5.1 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | 32 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Arlington County, VA | $1.7 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | 24 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Alexandria City, VA | $1.7 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | 15 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Washington, DC | $1.9 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | 6 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Frederick County, MD | $0.9 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 999 | $100 \%$ |

What single factor most influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location of work site | $25.7 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | 312 | $31.3 \%$ |
| Housing affordability | $18.1 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | 246 | $24.8 \%$ |
| Family considerations | $22.5 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | 168 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Schools or community services | $10.9 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | 93 | $9.3 \%$ |
| Rural character/Beauty | $17.8 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | 60 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Other** | $2.9 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 56 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Quality of overall community | $1.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | 51 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Taxes | $0.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 9 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 994 | $100 \%$ |

**The "Other" category includes open-ended responses. Examples of more frequent responses include the possibility of owning land/a home, being a native of the area, and wanting to escape traffic.

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood? (For respondents who moved to Loudoun County.)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Safe | $77.3 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | 662 | $65.7 \%$ |
| Safe | $21.8 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | 329 | $32.6 \%$ |
| Unsafe | $0.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | 15 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Very Unsafe | $0.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 3 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,009 | $100 \%$ |

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood? (For lifelong residents of Loudoun County.)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Safe | $81.1 \%$ | $67.4 \%$ | 60 | $69.9 \%$ |
| Safe | $18.9 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ | 25 | $28.5 \%$ |
| Unsafe | $0.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 1 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Very Unsafe | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 86 | $100 \%$ |

## Why do you feel unsafe? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Break-ins | $22.4 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | 7 | $38.7 \%$ |
| Other** | $27.3 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | 6 | $28.8 \%$ |
| Car damage/Theft | $22.6 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | 5 | $27.2 \%$ |
| Crime is everywhere, not just | $0.0 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | 1 | $6.9 \%$ |
| here | $0.0 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | 1 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Drug crime | $0.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 1 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Lack of street patrol | $55.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Just higher crime rate here | $0.0 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | 1 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Street crimes | $0.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | 0 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Gangs | $127.3 \%^{*}$ | $122.0 \% *$ | 23 | $122.4 \%^{*}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

## Base $=19$ weighted cases

*More than one answer was accepted. Percentages show responses divided by number of residents answering the question. Therefore, percentages can add to more than 100.
**The "Other" category includes open-ended responses. "Other" responses include robberies in the area, immigrants, murder, and assaults.

Including yourself, all adults, and all children, how many people live in your household?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $5.7 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | 95 | $8.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $28.1 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | 254 | $23.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $12.0 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | 193 | $17.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $28.7 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | 328 | $30.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $19.5 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | 167 | $15.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $4.9 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | 43 | $3.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | 9 | $0.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,094 | $100 \%$ |

What are the ages of the people in your household?*

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{4}$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | 140 | $4.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ to $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $14.6 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | 482 | $15.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $8.8 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | 230 | $7.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ to $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | 277 | $9.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ to 34 | $6.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | 230 | $7.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ to $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $10.1 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | 412 | $13.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ to 54 | $17.2 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | 568 | $18.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ to 64 | $15.6 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | 372 | $12.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ to 74 | $8.2 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | 205 | $6.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ or $\mathbf{~ 0 l d e r}$ | $6.4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | 146 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 3,062 | $100 \%$ |

*Unweighted data
Employed full time or part time? (All members of households)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full time | $65.9 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ | 1,452 | $66.7 \%$ |
| At home or telecommuting | $16.7 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | 196 of 1,452 | $13.5 \%$ |
| Part time | $9.8 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | 230 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Not employed | $24.3 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | 494 | $22.6 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 2,176 | $100 \%$ |

Average workers per household: 1.53

In which of the following counties or cities are you employed? (Responding resident only)

| Response | Full Time \% | Part Time \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County, VA | $39.5 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | 330 | $43.8 \%$ |
| Fairfax County, VA | $33.5 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | 237 | $31.4 \%$ |
| Washington, DC | $9.3 \%$ | $.5 \%$ | 61 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Arlington County, VA | $5.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | 40 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Other part of Metro DC area | $3.1 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | 26 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Outside Metro DC area | $3.5 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | 24 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Montgomery County, MD | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 17 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Prince William County, VA | $1.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 11 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Alexandria City, VA | $.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 5 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Frederick County, MD | $.4 \%$ | $.4 \%$ | 3 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 754 | $100 \%$ |

In which of the following counties or cities are you employed? (All persons in household)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County, VA | $58.1 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | 731 | $44.9 \%$ |
| Fairfax County, VA | $16.4 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | 515 | $31.7 \%$ |
| Washington, DC | $6.6 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | 114 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Other part of Metro DC area | $7.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | 74 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Arlington County, VA | $1.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | 62 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Outside Metro DC area | $6.2 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | 57 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Montgomery County, MD | $1.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | 35 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Prince William County, VA | $0.7 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | 17 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Alexandria City, VA | $0.9 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | 15 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Frederick County, MD | $1.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 6 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,626 | $100 \%$ |

How many members of your household work full-time in a business based in your home or telecommute? (All employed members of household)

| Response | Number | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | 34 (out of 204) | $16.7 \%$ |
| Non-Rural | 162 (out of 1,248) | $13.0 \%$ |
| Total | 196 (out of 1,452) | $13.5 \%$ |

Do you or anyone else in your household work for the federal government?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $17.5 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | 125 | $12.6 \%$ |
| No | $82.5 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | 867 | $87.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 992 | $100 \%$ |

In your opinion, are federal expenditures better for your own job security, worse for you own job security, or do they not matter for your own job security?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Better | $44.6 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | 241 | $43.0 \%$ |
| Worse | $5.4 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | 60 | $10.8 \%$ |
| Don't matter | $49.9 \%$ | $45.6 \%$ | 259 | $46.2 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 560 | $100 \%$ |

Is household's job security dependent upon federal expenditures? (Constructed variable)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $49.2 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ | 469 | $47.3 \%$ |
| No | $50.8 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | 523 | $52.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 992 | $100 \%$ |

Do you have access to the Internet in your home?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $95.3 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ | 1,042 | $95.1 \%$ |
| No | $4.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | 53 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,095 | $100 \%$ |

How do you access the Internet?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiber (e.g., OpenBand, Verizon) | $5.4 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ | 438 | $43.8 \%$ |
| Wireless (e.g., Loudoun Wireless) | $34.8 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | 267 | $26.7 \%$ |
| Comcast cable | $31.3 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | 204 | $20.4 \%$ |
| DSL | $14.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | 79 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 3 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Dial-up connection | $4.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | 19 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Satellite (such as DirecWay) | $11.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | 19 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Total | $102.3 \%^{*}$ | $102.9 \%^{*}$ | 1,029 | $102.7 \%^{*}$ |

Base $=1,009$ weighted cases
*More than one answer was accepted. Percentages show responses divided by number of residents answering the question. Therefore, percentages can add to more than 100.

In which of the following ways do you receive information about Loudoun County programs and services?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newspapers | $79.0 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | 718 | $66.4 \%$ |
| County website | $43.3 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | 461 | $42.6 \%$ |
| Word of mouth | $38.2 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | 389 | $35.9 \%$ |
| Parks and Recreation brochures | $32.3 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | 327 | $30.3 \%$ |
| Alert Loudoun subscription | $35.0 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | 305 | $28.2 \%$ |
| Television | $26.4 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | 257 | $23.8 \%$ |
| Public Libraries | $22.5 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | 216 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Radio | $26.6 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | 210 | $19.5 \%$ |
| Social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.) | $21.3 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | 210 | $19.4 \%$ |
| Other | $8.7 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | 143 | $13.2 \%$ |
| Public meetings | $15.1 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | 131 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Total | $348.4 \% *$ | $305.2 \% *$ | 3,367 | $311.3 \% *$ |

## Base $=1,081$ weighted cases

*More than one answer was accepted. Percentages show responses divided by number of residents answering the question. Therefore, percentages can add to more than 100.

In what newspapers do you get information about Loudoun County programs and services? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun Times-Mirror | $64.5 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | 479 | $66.8 \%$ |
| Leesburg Today | $64.7 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | 277 | $38.6 \%$ |
| Washington Post | $21.3 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | 199 | $27.8 \%$ |
| Other | $8.2 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | 95 | $13.2 \%$ |
| Purcellville Gazette | $39.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 51 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Blue Ridge Leader | $12.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 17 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Connection | $2.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | 11 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Patch.com | $1.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 6 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total | $213.7 \% *$ | $147.0 \% *$ | 1,135 | $158.1 \%^{*}$ |

## Base=718 weighted cases

*More than one answer was accepted. Percentages show responses divided by number of residents answering the question. Therefore, percentages can add to more than 100.

How many of the school age children in your household attend public school?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $10.6 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | 53 | $11.2 \%$ |
| At least one | $89.4 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | 420 | $88.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 473 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with the public schools?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $62.3 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | 319 | $55.9 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $30.7 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | 206 | $36.1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $3.5 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | 31 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $2.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 11 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $1.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 4 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 571 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with the parks and recreation facilities?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $55.3 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | 442 | $56.7 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $41.4 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | 298 | $38.3 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $3.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | 31 | $4.0 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | 6 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 779 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with the public libraries?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $77.2 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ | 551 | $70.0 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $21.4 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | 211 | $26.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $0.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | 21 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $0.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | 3 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 787 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with the sheriff's office?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $48.2 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | 118 | $44.4 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $37.3 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | 121 | $45.7 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $3.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 6 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $6.3 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | 14 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $5.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | 6 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 265 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with the building and development services?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $40.9 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | 48 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $28.4 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ | 59 | $46.1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $12.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 10 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $18.2 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | 8 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $0.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | 3 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 127 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with the fire, rescue, and emergency management services?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $79.5 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ | 157 | $79.3 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $17.0 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | 35 | $17.7 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $3.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 2 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | 4 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 198 | $100 \%$ |


| How satisfied are you with the mental health, substance abuse, and developmental services? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| Very satisfied | $63.6 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | 19 | $36.6 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $13.7 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | 16 | $29.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | 8 | $15.4 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $22.7 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | 6 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $0.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | 4 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 52 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with family services? (Affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance, etc.)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $51.9 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | 20 | $36.9 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $12.5 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | 19 | $35.7 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $35.6 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | 8 | $14.3 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | 5 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $0.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | 2 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 54 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with health services?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $33.0 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | 70 | $53.2 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $43.9 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | 55 | $41.6 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $8.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | 4 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $14.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 3 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 132 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with animal services?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $67.9 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | 119 | $64.5 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $25.7 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | 51 | $27.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $4.5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | 9 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | 2 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $1.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | 3 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 184 | $100 \%$ |

How satisfied are you with senior services?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | $60.6 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | 37 | $62.0 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $20.5 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | 15 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $5.6 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | 3 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $13.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Have no opinion of the service | $0.0 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | 3 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 59 | $100 \%$ |

Would you agree or disagree with the following statement: The county provides good value for the tax dollar.

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | $18.9 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | 235 | $23.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat agree | $56.3 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | 595 | $58.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat disagree | $13.4 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | 122 | $11.9 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $11.4 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | 67 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,019 | $100 \%$ |

What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic/Transportation | $32.5 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | 383 | $38.9 \%$ |
| Growth/Development | $31.0 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | 241 | $24.6 \%$ |
| Taxes | $19.1 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | 154 | $15.7 \%$ |
| Schools | $9.7 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | 111 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Other** | $8.9 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | 109 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Cost of living or housing; no jobs*** | $0.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | 35 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Inadequate services | $2.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | 31 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Government | $4.2 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | 30 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Illegal immigration | $2.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | 18 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Youth activities | $0.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | 15 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Increase in crime; safety issues*** | $0.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | 13 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Total | $112.7 \% *$ | $116.5 \% *$ | 1,139 | $116.0 \% *$ |

Base=982 weighted cases
*Up to 2 answers were accepted. Percentages show responses divided by number of residents answering the question. Therefore, percentages can add to more than 100.
**The "Other" category includes open-ended responses. Examples of more frequent responses include concerns related to the economy in general, a lack of community and Lyme disease. ***Category was added after reviewing "Other" responses

What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Proximity | $15.9 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | 212 | $20.6 \%$ |
| Rural/Open/Country | $45.0 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | 210 | $20.4 \%$ |
| Neighborhood/Community | $10.2 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | 168 | $16.4 \%$ |
| Schools | $11.4 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | 118 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Other** | $3.9 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | 112 | $10.9 \%$ |
| People/Friendly/Personal | $10.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | 100 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Safe/Safety | $3.0 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | 91 | $8.9 \%$ |
| Beauty/Scenic views | $11.8 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | 76 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Environment/Cleanliness | $2.6 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | 72 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Services, amenities, programs*** | $1.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | 41 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Affordability | $0.5 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | 20 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Quality of life; quiet; balance of | $2.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | 16 | $1.6 \%$ |
| rural and suburban or urban*** |  |  |  |  |
| Job, work; access to work*** | $0.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | 10 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Good value for the tax dollar | $0.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | 4 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | $119.3 \% *$ | $121.8 \% *$ | 1,249 | $121.5 \% *$ |

Base $=1,028$ weighted cases
*Up to 2 answers were accepted. Percentages show responses divided by number of residents answering the question. Therefore, percentages can add to more than 100.
**The "Other" category includes open-ended responses. Examples of more frequent responses include the opportunities for success, and the fact that the County is well-run and well-staffed. ***Category was added after reviewing "Other" responses

How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | $47.7 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | 522 | $47.7 \%$ |
| Good | $45.5 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ | 491 | $44.8 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.8 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | 73 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Poor | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | 9 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,095 | $100 \%$ |

In ten years, do you see yourself living in...

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Your current residence | $59.3 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | 469 | $47.0 \%$ |
| A different residence in Loudoun County | $10.6 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | 231 | $23.1 \%$ |
| Outside Loudoun County | $30.0 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | 299 | $29.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 998 | $100 \%$ |

What would be your reason for leaving Loudoun County?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other** | $46.9 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | 141 | $47.5 \%$ |
| Cost of living | $23.3 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | 57 | $19.0 \%$ |
| Retirement | $6.4 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | 47 | $15.7 \%$ |
| Local taxes | $19.5 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | 29 | $9.8 \%$ |
| Lack of public transportation | $0.0 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | 10 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Lack of affordable housing | $2.2 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | 8 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Lack of recreation and entertainment | $1.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | 6 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Lack of hospital/emergency care services | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Lack of assisted living facilities | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Lack of age-restricted housing | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 297 | $100 \%$ |
| **The "Other" category includes open-ended responses. Examples of more frequent responses |  |  |  |  |
| include just wanting to go to a different area, family reasons, and too much growth/declining |  |  |  |  |
| quality of life. |  |  |  |  |

Are there sufficient nighttime activities in Loudoun County for members of your household?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $61.5 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | 661 | $63.4 \%$ |
| No | $27.0 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | 294 | $28.2 \%$ |
| No opinion/don't care | $11.5 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | 87 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,042 | $100 \%$ |

What nighttime activities are missing?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bars and nightclubs | $17.8 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | 72 | $28.6 \%$ |
| Downtown events such as art galleries, <br> concerts, and special events | $26.6 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | 72 | $28.5 \%$ |
| Live entertainment and music | $28.8 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | 60 | $23.9 \%$ |
| Live theater (e.g., plays and musicals) | $18.1 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | 50 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Child, youth, family-friendly events or | $22.9 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | 48 | $18.8 \%$ |
| programs*** | $19.7 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | 46 | $18.0 \%$ |
| Fine Dining | $20.5 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | 40 | $16.0 \%$ |
| Sporting events, parks | $10.6 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | 22 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Movie theaters | $13.3 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | 22 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Other** | $8.1 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | 20 | $8.1 \%$ |
| International dining | $3.8 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | 20 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Symphony or orchestra | $7.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | 6 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Things need to stay open later*** | $197.6 \% *$ | $188.4 \% *$ | 479 | $189.6 \% *$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Base $=253$ weighted cases
*More than one answer was accepted.
**The "Other" category includes open-ended responses. Examples of more frequent responses include activities for seniors and the disabled, and more parks and recreation amenities. ***Category was added after reviewing "Other" responses

How important is it for the county to focus on improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways in the next few years?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very important | $37.4 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | 445 | $41.1 \%$ |
| Somewhat important | $30.1 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | 362 | $33.5 \%$ |
| Not too important | $20.9 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | 196 | $18.1 \%$ |
| Not important at all | $11.6 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | 80 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,082 | $100 \%$ |

How important is it for the county to focus on improving or building roads in the next few years?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural $\%$ | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very important | $54.7 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ | 651 | $60.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat important | $29.8 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | 296 | $27.4 \%$ |
| Not too important | $11.1 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | 97 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Not important at all | $4.4 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | 35 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,079 | $100 \%$ |

How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving commuter bus service in the next few years?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very important | $32.7 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | 474 | $45.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat important | $39.7 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | 339 | $32.6 \%$ |
| Not too important | $14.8 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | 126 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Not important at all | $12.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | 101 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,039 | $100 \%$ |

How important is it for the county to focus on providing rail transit service in the next few years?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very important | $37.1 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | 593 | $55.5 \%$ |
| Somewhat important | $20.5 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | 239 | $22.3 \%$ |
| Not too important | $20.1 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | 120 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Not important at all | $22.3 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | 118 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,069 | $100 \%$ |

How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving local bus service in the next few years?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very important | $28.2 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | 365 | $34.7 \%$ |
| Somewhat important | $33.1 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | 357 | $33.9 \%$ |
| Not too important | $22.4 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | 194 | $18.4 \%$ |
| Not important at all | $16.4 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | 138 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,052 | $100 \%$ |

Do you use public transportation?

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $19.7 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | 287 | $26.2 \%$ |
| No | $80.3 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | 807 | $73.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,094 | $100 \%$ |

What sort of public transportation do you use? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | $68.0 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | 192 | $67.4 \%$ |
| Metro | $53.2 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | 156 | $54.8 \%$ |
| Train | $21.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | 21 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Other | $6.8 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 17 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Taxi/Hired car/Limo | $0.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | 3 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Car pool/Slugging | $0.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Government transportation <br> service | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $149.5 \% *$ | $135.5 \%^{*}$ | 390 | $137.0 \%^{*}$ |

## Base $=284$ weighted cases

*More than one answer was accepted.

What are the main reasons you do not use public transportation? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doesn't go where I need it to go | $26.1 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | 232 | $29.0 \%$ |
| I have a car*** | $20.6 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | 150 | $18.8 \%$ |
| I have to go too far from home to get <br> to it <br> Takes too much time/Too inefficient <br> with time/Wait time | $22.4 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | 141 | $17.7 \%$ |
| I need flexibility to leave work for <br> errands or in an emergency <br> Other** | $8.5 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | 91 | $11.4 \%$ |
| There is none available, no <br> information about it*** <br> Retired/unemployed/carpool*** | $8.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | 77 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Work from home/work is close by*** | $3.6 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | 73 | $9.2 \%$ |
| It costs too much money | $7.8 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | 51 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Safety issues | $0.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | 44 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Total | $115.4 \% *$ | $114.8 \% *$ | 32 | $4.0 \%$ |

Base $=800$ weighted cases
*More than one answer was accepted.
**The "Other" category includes open-ended responses. Examples of more frequent responses include lifestyle choices, inconvenience and work reasons.
***Category was added after reviewing "Other" responses

Are there types of County facilities that you would like to see more of throughout the county, or are there enough County facilities, in your opinion? (List not read to respondent)

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There are already enough | $56.9 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | 641 | $63.5 \%$ |
| Parks, Recreation, Community Centers** | $31.1 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | 367 | $36.4 \%$ |
| Other | $13.6 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | 103 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Library | $3.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | 33 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Sheriff station | $0.2 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | 14 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Health clinic | $0.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | 12 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Senior center | $2.4 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | 12 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Fire/Rescue station | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | 7 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Animal shelter | $0.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Mental health residential facility | $3.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Park and ride lot | $1.6 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Recycling drop-off center | $0.8 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 4 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Developmental services residential facility | $0.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Government offices | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Respite center | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $114.7 \%$ | $120.6 \%$ | 1,211 | $120.0 \% *$ |

Base $=1,009$ weighted cases
*More than one answer was accepted.
**Five response categories were grouped for this row: Community Center, Parks (active), Parks (passive), Recreation Center and Teen Center.

What is your age?*

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ to $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | 51 | $5.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $6.3 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | 93 | $9.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ to $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $15.5 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | 199 | $20.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ to $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $22.3 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | 263 | $26.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ to $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $24.4 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | 182 | $18.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ to $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $13.0 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | 113 | $11.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ or older | $12.6 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | 87 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 988 | $100 \%$ |

*Unweighted data
What is your gender?*

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $52.5 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | 560 | $51.1 \%$ |
| Female | $47.5 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ | 536 | $48.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,096 | $100 \%$ |

*Unweighted data

What race do you consider yourself to be?*

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American or Black | $2.2 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 50 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Asian | $2.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | 71 | $7.2 \%$ |
| White or Caucasian | $93.9 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | 850 | $86.1 \%$ |
| American Indian, Native <br> American, or Alaskan Native <br> Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | $0.9 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | 9 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 7 | $0.7 \%$ |
| *Unweighted data |  |  | 987 | $100 \%$ |

*Unweighted data
Do you consider yourself to be of Hispanic or Latino origin?*

| Response | Rural \% | Non-Rural \% | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $4.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | 59 | $5.5 \%$ |
| No | $95.6 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ | 1,005 | $94.5 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 1,064 | $100 \%$ |

*Unweighted data
What is the highest level of education you completed?

| Response | Rural | Non-Rural | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ grade | $1.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 7 | $0.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{- 1 2}{ }^{\text {th }}$, but did not finish high school | $0.8 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | 13 | $1.2 \%$ |
| High school graduate or GED | $19.2 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | 114 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Some college but no degree | $12.2 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | 136 | $12.5 \%$ |
| 2 year college degree/AA/AS | $5.6 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | 77 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 4 year college degree/BA/BS | $27.3 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | 354 | $32.6 \%$ |
| Some graduate work | $2.2 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | 26 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Completed Masters or professional <br> degree <br> Advanced graduate work or Ph.D. | $28.8 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | 322 | $29.7 \%$ |
| Total | $3.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | 39 | $3.6 \%$ |

What is your total household income before taxes?

| Response | Rural | Non-Rural | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $\$ 10,000$ | $2.2 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | 16 | $1.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ to $\mathbf{3 0}$ thousand | $3.6 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 43 | $5.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{5 0}$ thousand | $3.6 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | 75 | $8.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ to 75 thousand | $8.7 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | 70 | $8.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ to 100 thousand | $17.5 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | 122 | $14.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ to 125 thousand | $26.1 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | 126 | $14.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ to 150 thousand | $5.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | 104 | $12.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ to 175 thousand | $5.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | 90 | $10.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ to 200 thousand | $9.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | 53 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Over \$200,000 | $18.9 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | 159 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 858 | $100 \%$ |

## X. Comparison Tables Previous Surveys

The following tables compare data from the current and previous surveys for selected items. All tables show weighted data for 2012 unless otherwise noted. All percentages are subject to rounding. Weighted counts are also subject to rounding. Therefore, in some cases response categories that display the same rounded number of weighted respondents may account for slightly different percentages of the total responses. This is due to the rounding of respondent numbers that takes place when the data is weighted. Some tables display items for which more than one answer was accepted. See the introduction to Appendix B for more information about interpreting statistics obtained from weighted data and multiple-response items. The reader may also wish to refer to "How to Read the Survey Results" in Section II of this report when consulting the tables below.

What single factor most influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location of work site | $31.3 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| Housing affordability | $24.8 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Family considerations | $16.9 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| Schools or community services | $9.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Rural character/beauty | $6.0 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| Other** | $5.6 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| Quality of overall community | $5.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Taxes | $0.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

**See Section IX for detail on the responses included in the "Other" category in 2012.

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood? (For people who moved to Loudoun County.)

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Safe | $65.7 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| Safe | $32.6 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ |
| Unsafe | $1.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Very Unsafe | $0.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood? (For lifelong residents of Loudoun County.)

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Safe | $69.9 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| Safe | $28.5 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ |
| Unsafe | $1.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Very Unsafe | $0.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | $\mathbf{4 7 . 7 \%}$ | $36.7 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ |
| Good | $\mathbf{4 4 . 8 \%}$ | $55.2 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.7 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Poor | $0.8 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



Do you feel the county provides good value for the tax dollar?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $81.4 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| No | $18.5 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Note: In prior years, this question was presented with yes/no answer choices. In 2012, respondents were asked to indicate their level of agreement with the statement "The county provides good value for the tax dollar." In the above chart, the responses "Strongly Agree" and "Somewhat Agree" were consolidated into "Yes," while the responses "Somewhat Disagree" and "Strongly Disagree" were consolidated into "No." The full table of responses for 2012 is presented below the chart.


How would you respond to the following statement: The county provides good value for the tax dollar. Would you say you...?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Strongly Agree | $23.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat Agree | $58.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat Disagree | $11.9 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $6.6 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ |

How do you receive information about Loudoun County programs and services?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newspapers | $66.4 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |
| County website | $42.6 \%$ | $50.2 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| Word of mouth | $35.9 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ |
| Parks and Recreation brochures | $30.3 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ |
| Alert Loudoun subscription | $28.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Television | $23.8 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ |
| Public libraries | $20.0 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ |
| Radio | $19.5 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| Social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.) | $19.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Other** | $13.2 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Public meetings | $12.1 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ |
| Guide to services in Loudoun County | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $32.7 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ |
| Total | $311.3 \% *$ | $383.0 \% *$ | $317.6 \%^{*}$ | $353.5 \% *$ |

Base $=1,081$ weighted cases in 2012
*More than one answer was accepted
**See Section IX for detail on the responses included in the "Other" category in 2012.

What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic/Transportation | $38.9 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| Growth/Development | $24.6 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $44.6 \%$ | $50.2 \%$ |
| Taxes | $15.7 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Schools | $11.3 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Other** | $11.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| Housing Cost/Value (includes "Cost of | $3.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| living" and "No jobs" in 2012)*** |  |  |  |  |
| Inadequate services | $3.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Government | $3.0 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Illegal immigration | $1.8 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Youth activities | $1.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Crime*** | $1.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Water issues | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $4.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Affordability | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $1.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total | $116.0 \% *$ | $140.7 \% *$ | $113.1 \% *$ | $103.7 \% *$ |

Base=982 weighted cases in 2012
*Up to two answers were accepted
**See Section IX for detail on the responses included in the "Other" category in 2012.
***Category was added in 2012 after reviewing "Other" responses


What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Proximity | $20.6 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| Rural/Open/Country | $20.4 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ |
| Neighborhood/Community | $16.4 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| Schools | $11.5 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Other** | $10.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| People/Friendly/Personal | $9.7 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| Safe/Safety | $8.9 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Beauty/Scenic views | $7.3 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Environment/Cleanliness | $7.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Services, amenities, programs*** | $3.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Affordability | $2.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Quality of life; quiet; balance of rural | $1.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| and suburban or urban*** | $0.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Job, work; access to work*** | $0.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Good value for the tax dollar | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $2.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Parks/Recreation | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $2.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Lived here all my life/everything | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $1.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Historical aspects | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $1.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Growth/New development | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $1.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Family oriented | $121.5 \% *$ | $125.2 \% *$ | $108.8 \% *$ | $108.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Base $=1,028$ weighted cases in 2012
*Up to two answers were accepted
**See Section IX for detail on the responses included in the "Other" category in 2012.
***Category was added in 2012 after reviewing "Other" responses

Are there sufficient nighttime activities in Loudoun County for members of your household?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $63.4 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ |
| No | $28.2 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| Not sure/No opinion/Don't care | $8.4 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

What nighttime activities are missing?

| Response | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bars and nightclubs | $28.6 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| Downtown events such as art galleries, concerts, | $28.5 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| and special events <br> Live entertainment and music | $23.9 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ |
| Live theater (e.g., plays, musicals) | $20.0 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ |
| Child, youth, family-friendly events or | $18.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| programs*** | $18.0 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ |
| Fine dining | $16.0 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| Sporting events | $8.8 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ |
| Movie theaters | $8.6 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |
| Other** | $8.1 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| International dining | $8.0 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| Symphony or orchestra | $2.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Things need to stay open later*** | $189.6 \% *$ | $262.6 \% *$ |
| Total |  |  |

Base=253 weighted cases in 2012
*More than one answer was accepted.
**See Section IX for detail on the responses included in the "Other" category in 2012.
***Category was added after reviewing "Other" responses

Appendix A:
Questionnaire

## 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents

Notes:

- Answer choices in capital letters were not read aloud to the respondent. Answer choices in mixed case were read aloud to the respondent unless a "do not read" instruction is present.
- Some aspects of this document are abstracted from the actual programming.


## INTRODUCTION (abstracted)

Hello. I'm calling from the University of Virginia on behalf of the Loudoun County government. Loudoun County is conducting a survey to find out how satisfied people are with the services that the County provides.

Your household was selected at random to be part of our sample. My name is $\qquad$ and it will take me just a minute to confirm your eligibility and select one person in your household to participate.

- Ensure we are talking to an adult who is a resident of Loudoun County
- Record ZIP code of residence, confirm the residence is inside Loudoun County if necessary
- If the household is eligible, select the respondent for the survey
- Assure selected respondent about confidentiality
- Ask questions about telephone service (for use in weighting the data)
- Start the body of the interview
(Questions in this document are numbered for reference. The numbering does not necessarily reflect the item names used in programming or analysis. Instructions for interviewers appear in ALL CAPS. "IV" refers to "interviewer.")

1. Which of the following housing types do you live in? (READ LIST)
2. Townhouse or duplex
3. Single family home
4. Apartment or condo
5. Other (SPECIFY)
6. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
2.How many years have you lived in Loudoun County? (WHOLE NUMBERS ONLY) years
[ENTER "98" FOR DON’T KNOW, "99" FOR REFUSED: LESS THAN 1 YEAR= 1] RANGE 1-97
3.Did you move to Loudoun County from somewhere else?
7. YES
8. NO
9. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

## (ASK ONLY IF ANSWER TO \#3 IS YES)

4.In what city or county did you live before moving to Loudoun County? (DO NOT READ LIST)

1. Alexandria City, VA
2. Arlington County, VA
3. Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas and Manassas Park)
4. Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities)
5. Frederick County, MD
6. Montgomery County, MD
7. Washington, DC
8. Other city or county in Metro DC area
9. A city or county outside the Metro DC area
10. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

## IV: METRO AREA IS AS DEFINED BY RESPONDENT

5. What single factor most influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County? (DO NOT READ LIST)
6. Schools or community services
7. Housing affordability
8. Location of work site
9. Taxes
10. Quality of overall community
11. Family considerations
12. Rural character/beauty
13. Other (specify)
14. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
15. How safe do you feel in your neighborhood? Would you say...
16. Very safe
17. Safe
18. Unsafe
19. Very unsafe
20. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[ASK ONLY IF ANSWER TO \#6 IS UNSAFE OR VERY UNSAFE] 7. Why do you feel unsafe? (DO NOT READ LIST. MARK ALL APPROPRIATE ANSWERS.)
21. Gangs
22. Break-ins
23. Street Crimes
24. Lack of street patrol
25. Traffic issues
26. Car damage/theft
27. Crime is everywhere/not just here
28. Just higher crime rate here
29. Youth crime
30. Drug crime
31. Other (specify) $\qquad$
32. Including yourself, all adults and all children, how many people live in your household? People in household
[ENTER "99" FOR DON’T KNOW, ENTER 8 IF MORE THAN 8] RANGE 1-8
33. Now, I will be asking you about the ages of everyone in your household. For persons 25 and older, I will also ask about their employment. What is your age?
(IF ONLY ONE PERSON IN HOUSEHOLD: Now, I will be asking you about your age and employment.)

Age__ [a] (RESPONDENT)
Age $\qquad$ [b]
Age [c]
Age $\qquad$ [d]
Age $\qquad$ [e]
Age [f]
Age $\qquad$ [g]
Age [h]
[ENTER "99" FOR DON’T KNOW] RANGE 1-98
[REPEAT \#10 FOR EVERY PERSON 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER]
10. Is the [insert age]-year-old person employed...

1. Full-time
2. Part-time, or
3. Not employed?
4. (VOL) RETIRED
5. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[REPEAT \#11 FOR EACH EMPLOYED PERSON FROM \#11]
6. In which of the following counties or cities is the person employed? (READ LIST)
7. Loudoun County
8. Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities)
9. Washington, DC
10. Arlington County, VA
11. Alexandria City, VA
12. Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas)
13. Frederick County, MD
14. Montgomery County, MD
15. Other city or county in Metro DC area
16. A city or county outside the Metro DC area
17. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED/NO MORE APPLY

## [ASK ONLY IF A HOUSEHOLD MEMBER WORKS FULL-TIME IN LOUDOUN COUNTY]

12. How many members of your household telecommute or work full-time in a business based in your home?

## __ People in household

[RECORD NUMBER, UP TO 8]

IV, ONLY IF ASKED: A telecommuter or teleworker is someone who spends a whole day or more per week working at home or at a telecommuting center closer to home, instead of going to their main place of work.

## [ASK ONLY IF ANSWER TO \#10 FOR THE RESPONDENT IS FULL-TIME OR PART-TIME]

13. Are you a federal employee?
14. Yes
15. No
16. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[ASK IF MORE THAN ONE ADULT IN THE HOUSEHOLD AND \#10 FOR ANY OTHER ADULT IS FULL-TIME OR PART-TIME]
17. Is any other member of your household a federal employee?
18. Yes
19. No
20. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

## [ASK ONLY IF ANSWER TO \#13 IS NO]

15. In your opinion, are federal expenditures better for your own job security, worse for your own job security, or do they not matter for your own job security?
16. Better
17. Worse
18. Don't matter
19. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
20. Do you have access to the Internet in your home?
21. Yes
22. No
23. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[ASK ONLY IF ANSWER TO \#16 IS YES]
24. How do you access the Internet? (MARK ALL APPROPRIATE ANSWERS.)
25. Dial-up connection using a phone line and modem
26. Comcast cable
27. DSL
28. Fiber (such as OpenBand or Verizon)
29. Satellite (such as DirecWay)
30. Wireless (such as Loudoun Broadband, Skynet, Verolan or Loudoun Wireless)
31. Other (please specify)
32. DO NOT HAVE INTERNET ACCESS
33. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

IV, IF RESPONDENT UNSURE ABOUT 1 AND 3: For dial-up you use your telephone and there is usually a funny connection sound. You usually cannot use the phone at the same time as the internet. For DSL (Digital Subscriber Line) the internet also comes through a phone cord but there is no start-up sound and you can make calls and use the internet at the same time.
18. In which of the following ways do you receive information about Loudoun County programs and services? (ACCEPT ALL ANSWERS. READ LIST.)

1. Newspapers (Online or Hard Copy)
2. Radio
3. Television
4. County Website
5. Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, YouTube)
6. Alert Loudoun (subscription to receive county news and information)
7. Public Libraries
8. Parks and Recreation brochure
9. Public meetings
10. Word of mouth
11. Anything I haven't mentioned? (please specify): $\qquad$
12. Other (please specify): $\qquad$
13. Other (please specify): $\qquad$
14. Other (please specify): $\qquad$
15. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[ASK IF NEWSPAPERS IS SELECTED IN \#18]
16. In what newspapers do you get information about Loudoun County programs and services? (DO NOT READ LIST. MARK ALL APPROPRIATE ANSWERS.)
17. Loudoun Times-Mirror
18. Leesburg Today
19. Washington Post
20. Patch.com
21. Blue Ridge Leader
22. Connection
23. Purcellville Gazette
24. Other (please specify): $\qquad$
25. Other (please specify): $\qquad$
26. Other (please specify): $\qquad$
27. Other (please specify) $\qquad$
[ASK IF ANY SCHOOL-AGED (AGE 5-18) CHILDREN IN \#9]
28. How many of the school age children in your household attend public school? Children in Public School
[ENTER "99" FOR DON’T NOW] RANGE 0-8
29. For each major county service or program I read, please answer "YES" or "NO" if someone in your household has used the service within the past 2 years. Has anyone used...?
[RANDOMIZE]
30. The Public Schools
31. County Parks and Recreation
32. The Public Libraries
33. The Sheriff's Office
34. County Building and Development services
35. County Fire and Rescue services
36. County Mental Health, Substance Abuse Services, and Developmental Services
37. County Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance)
38. County Health Services
39. County Animal Services
40. County Senior Services
[REPEAT \#22 FOR EVERY SERVICE USED IN \#21]
41. Please tell me how satisfied you are with [INSERT NAME OF SERVICE USED

FROM
\#21].

1. Very satisfied
2. Satisfied
3. Dissatisfied
4. Very dissatisfied
5. Have no opinion of the service
6. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

## [REPEAT \#23 FOR EVERY SERVICE THAT IS RATED DISSATISFIED OR

 VERY DISSATISFIED IN \#22]23. How can [NAME SERVICE FROM \#21] be improved?
24. How would you respond to the following statement: The county provides good value for the tax dollar. Would you say you...?
25. Strongly Agree
26. Somewhat Agree
27. Somewhat Disagree
28. Strongly Disagree
29. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED/NOT SURE
30. What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County? (DO NOT READ LIST. PROBE FOR ONE, ACCEPT TWO.)
31. Growth/development (too much, too fast, not planned well)
32. Schools (too crowded, not enough, not safe enough)
33. Youth activities (too few, gang problems)
34. Taxes (too high, tax wrong things)
35. Traffic/Transportation (roads too crowded, lack of public trans.)
36. Inadequate services (not enough, not right kind)
37. (General) Government
38. Illegal Immigration
39. Other (please list)
40. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
41. What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County? (DO NOT READ LIST.

PROBE
FOR ONE, ACCEPT TWO.)

1. Rural/open/country
2. People/friendly/personal
3. Location/proximity (to shopping, to major city, etc.)
4. Neighborhood/community (size, design, offerings)
5. Beauty/scenic views
6. Schools (quality, safety, etc.)
7. Safe/safety (of county, communities)
8. Good value for the tax dollar
9. Environment/cleanliness
10. Affordability
11. Other (list below)
12. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
13. How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County?
14. Excellent
15. Good
16. Fair
17. Poor
18. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
19. In ten years, do you see yourself living in:
20. Your current residence
21. A different residence in Loudoun County
22. Outside Loudoun County
23. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[ASK ONLY IF ANSWER TO \#28 IS OUTSIDE LOUDOUN COUNTY]
24. What would be your reason for leaving Loudoun County?
25. Retirement
26. Lack of age-restricted housing
27. Lack of assisted living facilities
28. Lack of hospital and emergency care service
29. Lack of affordable housing
30. Cost of Living
31. Local Taxes
32. Lack of public transportation
33. Lack of recreation and entertainment
34. Other (specify)
35. Are there sufficient nighttime activities in Loudoun County for members of your household?
36. Yes
37. No
38. NO OPINION/DON'T CARE
39. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[ASK ONLY IF ANSWER TO \#30 IS NO]
40. What nighttime activities are missing?
41. Fine dining
42. International dining
43. Bars \& nightclubs
44. Live entertainment and music
45. Symphony or Orchestra
46. Live theater such as plays and musicals
47. Movie theaters
48. Downtown events such as art galleries, concerts, special events.
49. Sporting events
50. Or something else? (specify)
51. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

Keeping in mind that the County has limited resources, I am going to read a short list of transportation issues. For each issue, please tell me how important it is to you for the County to focus on that issue. [RANDOMIZE LIST]. The first issue is...
32. Improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways

How important is it for the county to focus on this issue in the next few years? Would you say:

1. Very important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not too important
4. Not important at all
5. (VOL) DON'T THINK BOARD SHOULD FOCUS RESOURCES ON TRANSPORTATION
6. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
7. And how about...Improving or building roads
(IF NEEDED: How important is it for the county to focus on this issue in the next few years? Would you say:)
8. Very important
9. Somewhat important
10. Not too important
11. Not important at all
12. (VOL) DON'T THINK BOARD SHOULD FOCUS RESOURCES ON TRANSPORTATION
13. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[REPEAT STRUCTURE FROM \#33]
14. Providing or improving commuter bus service
[REPEAT STRUCTURE FROM \#33]
15. Providing rail transit service
[REPEAT STRUCTURE FROM \#33]
16. Providing or improving local bus service
17. Do you use public transportation?
18. Yes
19. No
20. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
[ASK IF ANSWER TO \#37 IS YES]
21. What kinds? (DO NOT READ LIST - CHECK ALL MENTIONED, PROBE:

Any others?)

1. Bus
2. Train
3. Metro
4. Van pool
5. Taxi/hired car/limo
6. Government/nonprofit transportation service (e.g., elderly, handicapped)
7. Car pool/slugging
8. Other (specify)
[ASK IF ANSWER TO \#37 IS NO]
9. What are the main reasons you do not use public transportation? (DO NOT READ.

CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

1. Takes too much time, too inefficient with time, wait time
2. Doesn't go where I need it to go
3. I have to go too far from home to get to it
4. I need flexibility to leave work for errands or in an emergency
5. It costs too much
6. Safety issues
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
9. Are there types of County facilities that you would like to see more of throughout the county, or are there enough County facilities, in your opinion? (DO NOT READ LIST.)
10. THERE ARE ENOUGH
11. ANIMAL SHELTER
12. COMMUNITY CENTER
13. DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES RESIDENTIAL FACILITY
14. FIRE/RESCUE STATION
15. GOVERNMENT OFFICES
16. HEALTH CLINIC
17. LIBRARY
18. MENTAL HEALTH RESIDENTIAL FACILITY
19. PARK AND RIDE LOT
20. PARKS, ACTIVE (EX: ATHLETIC FIELDS, INCLUDING BASEBALL, SOFTBALL, \& SOCCER)
21. PARKS, PASSIVE (EX: NATURE PRESERVES, HIKING TRAILS, ETC)
22. RECREATION CENTER
23. RECYCLING DROP-OFF CENTER
24. RESPITE CENTER
25. SENIOR CENTER
26. SHERIFF STATION
27. TEEN CENTER
28. OTHER (SPECIFY)
29. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

## DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONS

41. You mentioned before that you have a landline telephone at home as well as a cell phone. Thinking about ALL the telephone calls that you and other members of your household make and receive, would you say that . . .
42. Almost all are on a landline phone,
43. Most of them are on a landline phone,
44. Amount of calls on a landline and cell phone are about equal,
45. Most of the calls are on a cell phone, or
46. Almost all of them are on a cell phone?
47. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED/UNABLE TO RATE
48. As far as you know, is the landline or regular phone for your household listed in the current telephone book?
49. YES
50. NO
51. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

IV, IF ASKED: Our center is doing some research on listed and unlisted telephone households.

## [ASK ONLY IF ANSWER TO \#42 IS NO]

43. Is the number not in the phone book because you chose to have an unlisted number, or because you got this number after the current phone book came out?
44. UNLISTED OR UNPUBLISHED
45. GOT NUMBER AFTER PHONE BOOK CAME OUT
46. OTHER [SPECIFY:]
47. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

Now, I have just a few final questions to ask. We ask these questions just for statistical purposes, and all your answers are strictly confidential. You can skip any questions you don't wish to answer.
44.[ENTER RESPONDENT'S GENDER. ASK ONLY IF NECESSARY, SAY:] "The survey requires that you tell me your gender."

1. MALE
2. FEMALE
3. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
45.Do you consider yourself to be of Hispanic or Latino origin?
4. YES
5. NO
6. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

IV, IF NECESSARY: Hispanic includes persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Central or South American or Spanish origin or culture
46.Next, I am going to read a list of racial categories. Would you tell me what category best describes you?

1. African American or Black?
2. Asian?
3. White or Caucasian?
4. American Indian, Native American, or Alaskan Native?
5. Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?
6. MULTI-RACIAL [RECORD IN THE ORDER GIVEN BY RESPONDENT]
7. OTHER [SPECIFY]
8. HISPANIC ONLY: PLEASE PROMPT (BELOW) BEFORE SELECTING THIS
9. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

IV, IF NECESSARY: In addition to $\qquad$ , how might you describe yourself.
IV, IF NECESSARY: In addition to Hispanic, how might you describe yourself.
IV, IF NECESSARY: Many Hispanic people may identify with a particular racial group, in addition to being Hispanic. They may think of themselves as "Black Hispanic," "White Hispanic," or some other racial group as well.
47. What is the highest level of education you completed?

## (IV: PAUSE, ONLY READ IF NECESSARY.)

1. Less than 9th grade
2. 9th-12th, but did not finish high school
3. High school graduate or G.E.D.
4. Some college but no degree
5. 2 year college degree/A.A./A.S.
6. 4 year college degree/B.A./B.S.
7. Some graduate work
8. Completed Masters or professional degree
9. Advanced graduate work or Ph.D.
10. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED
11. Finally, I'm going to read a list of income ranges. Please stop me when I reach your total household income before taxes:
12. Under \$10,000
13. $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 29,999$
14. \$30,000 to \$49,999
15. \$50,000 to \$74,999
16. \$75,000 to \$99,999
17. $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 124,999$
18. 125,000 to $\$ 149,999$
19. $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 174,999$
20. $\$ 175,000$ to 199,999
21. \$200,000 or more
22. DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED

Thank you very much for your help!

## Appendix B: <br> Weighted Frequencies

## Frequencies

A frequencies report is a simple counting of responses for each survey question. Frequencies tables usually also display percentages. Appendix B contains weighted frequencies for the survey questions. These tables are generated by the analysis software used for this project. In this appendix there are two types of frequencies tables.
The predominant type is a simple counting of responses for each survey question that allowed one and only one answer. These tables include three different columns of percentages.
The "Percent" column shows the percentage of cases in a particular category based on all 1,096 cases in the date file.

The "Valid Percent" column shows the percentage of cases in a particular category based on the number of cases in categories that are being used for analysis. The categories that are not being used for analysis are labeled as "excluded" categories in this report. Sometimes these are cases for which the answer is absent, such as when the question was skipped for some respondents due to the flow of the survey. Sometimes these are cases that have a response such as "don't know" or "have no opinion" (often labeled as DK, NA, REF, 9 or 99) but those answers were excluded from the frequencies because they were not substantive.
The "Cumulative Percent" column shows the cumulative percentage. On each row in the table, the cumulative percent is the sum of all valid percentages found on that row and all rows above that row.

The second type of frequencies table found in Appendix B is a multiple response table. This type of table reports responses for questions that allowed more than one response. These tables are more complicated to interpret. They have three columns of statistics - a column headed " N " and a column headed "Percent," both nested within a column headed "Responses," and a column headed "Percent of Cases."

The " N " column tallies the number of times any particular response was chosen, across all residents who responded to the question. The "Percent" column expresses the number of "votes" for a particular response as a percentage of all "votes" for all responses. The "Percent" column always has a total of $100.0 \%$. The "Percent of Cases" column expresses the number of "votes" for a particular response as a percentage of all residents who responded to the question. (Each resident is a "case.") Because residents could "vote" for more than one response, the total number of votes can be greater than the total number of residents. Therefore, the total of the "Percent of Cases" column can be greater than $100.0 \%$. In fact, if the total of the "Percent of Cases" column is thought of as its decimal equivalent, it is the average number of "votes" per resident. For example, if the of the "Percent of Cases" column adds to $143.7 \%$, then the average number of responses given per resident was 1.437. Multiple response tables do not show the number of cases who contributed "votes", but that number can be estimated as the total of the N column divided by the total of the "Percent of Cases" column.
Note that throughout the report and appendices, total percentages and total weighted numbers of respondents s may not add exactly due to rounding.

## Frequencies with Weighted Data

When data are weighted, each survey respondent no longer counts as exactly one case in statistical calculations. Each survey respondent counts as the value of its weight. Frequencies tables using weighted data show weighted counts of respondents rounded to the nearest integer. Therefore, it is possible for a frequency table based on weighted data to show a count of " 0 " but a percentage greater than zero. This would represent a number of cases whose weights sum to less than 0.5 being rounded down to zero for display in the frequencies table, but being accurately used as a fractional numerator to calculate a non-zero percentage.
With rounded frequencies based on weighted data, it is also possible that the "same" number of weighted cases will yield different percentages. For example, if the weighted count for a "Yes" response is 38.65 and the weighted count for a "No" response is 39.41 , both counts will display as 39 . But the percentages will display as $49.5 \%$ and $50.5 \%$.

Which of the following housing types do you live in?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 245 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 22.4 |
|  | Single family home | 723 | 66.0 | 66.1 | 88.5 |
|  | Apartment or condo | 125 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 99.9 |
|  | Other (SPECIFY) | 1 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA/REF | 1 | .1 |  |  |
| Dotal |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | One year or less | 69 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
|  | Two to four years | 150 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 20.1 |
|  | Five to nine years | 308 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 48.2 |
|  | Ten to fourteen years | 211 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 67.5 |
|  | Fifteen to nineteen years | 128 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 79.2 |
|  | Twenty years or longer | 227 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | NOT SURE | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 0 | . 0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Did you move to Loudoun County from somewhere else?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Yes | 1010 | 92.1 | 92.1 | 92.1 |
|  | No | 86 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1096 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

In what city or county did you live before moving to Loudoun County?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Alexandria City, VA | 15 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  | Arlington County, VA | 24 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.9 |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas and Manassas Park) | 35 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 7.4 |
|  | Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities) | 424 | 38.7 | 42.5 | 49.8 |
|  | Frederick County, MD | 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 50.4 |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 32 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 53.6 |
|  | Washington, DC | 6 | . 6 | . 6 | 54.2 |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 38 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 58.0 |
|  | A city or county outside the Metro DC area | 420 | 38.3 | 42.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 999 | 91.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA/REF | 10 | . 9 |  |  |
|  | System | 86 | 7.9 |  |  |
|  | Total | 97 | 8.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

What single factor influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Schools or community services | 93 | 8.5 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
|  | Housing affordability | 246 | 22.5 | 24.8 | 34.1 |
|  | Location of work site | 312 | 28.4 | 31.3 | 65.4 |
|  | Taxes | 9 | . 8 | . 9 | 66.3 |
|  | Quality of overall community | 51 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 71.5 |
|  | Family considerations | 168 | 15.3 | 16.9 | 88.4 |
|  | Rural character/beauty | 60 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 94.4 |
|  | Other (specify) | 56 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 995 | 90.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA/REF | 15 | 1.4 |  |  |
|  | System | 86 | 7.9 |  |  |
|  | Total | 101 | 9.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 723 | 65.9 | 66.0 | 66.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Safe | 353 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 98.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsafe | 16 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 99.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very unsafe | 3 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW | 1 | .1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood? (People who moved to Loudoun County)

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 662 | 65.6 | 65.7 | 65.7 |
|  |  | 329 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 98.2 |
|  | Unsafe | 15 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 99.7 |
|  | Very unsafe | 3 | .3 | .3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1009 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW | 1 | .1 |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 1010 | 100.0 |  |

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood? (Lifelong residents of Loudoun
County)

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very safe | 60 | 69.9 | 69.9 | 69.9 |
|  | Safe | 25 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 98.4 |
|  | Unsafe | 1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 86 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| Why do you feel unsafe? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| Why do you feel unsafe? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Gangs | 0 | 2.1\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Break-ins | 7 | 31.7\% | 38.7\% |
|  | Street Crimes | 1 | 2.4\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Lack of street patrol | 1 | 4.0\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Car damage/theft | 5 | 22.2\% | 27.2\% |
|  | Crime is everywhere/not just here | 1 | 5.6\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Just higher crime rate here | 1 | 2.8\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Drug crime | 1 | 5.6\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Other | 6 | 23.5\% | 28.8\% |
| Total |  | 23 | 100.0\% | 122.4\% |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ - multiple responses accepted

Including yourself, all adults and all children, how many people live in your
household?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 | 95 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
|  | 2 | 254 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 31.9 |
|  | 3 | 193 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 49.5 |
|  | 4 | 328 | 29.9 | 30.0 | 79.5 |
|  | 5 | 167 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 94.8 |
|  | 6 | 43 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 98.7 |
|  | 7 | 9 | . 8 | . 8 | 99.5 |
|  | 8 | 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1094 | 99.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | 99 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Is the resident responding to the survey employed...?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Full-Time | 643 | 58.7 | 64.6 | 64.6 |
|  | Part-Time | 120 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 76.6 |
|  | Not Employed | 157 | 14.3 | 15.7 | 92.4 |
|  | (Vol) Retired | 76 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 996 | 90.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA/REF | 12 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | System | 88 | 8.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 9.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Is resident employed...? (all persons in household)

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-Time | 1452 | 39.3 | 66.7 | 66.7 |
|  | Part-Time | 230 | 6.2 | 10.6 | 77.3 |
|  | Not Employed | 360 | 9.7 | 16.5 | 93.9 |
|  | (Vol) Retired | 134 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 2176 | 58.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA/REF | 78 | 2.1 |  |  |
|  | System | 1440 | 39.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1519 | 41.1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 3694 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Loudoun County, VA | 330 | 30.1 | 43.8 | 43.8 |
|  | Fairfax County, VA (includes <br> Fairfax and Falls Church cities) | 237 | 21.6 | 31.4 | 75.2 |
|  | Washington, DC | 61 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 83.3 |
|  | Arlington County, VA | 40 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 88.6 |
|  | Alexandria City, VA | 5 | . 4 | . 6 | 89.3 |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas) | 11 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 90.7 |
|  | Frederick County, MD | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 91.0 |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 17 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 93.3 |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 26 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 96.8 |
|  | A city or county outside the <br> Metro DC area | 24 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 754 | 68.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA/REF/NO MORE APPLY | 12 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | System | 330 | 30.1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 342 | 31.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

In which of the following counties or cities is the resident employed? (all persons in household)

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Loudoun County, VA | 731 | 19.8 | 44.9 | 44.9 |
|  | Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities) | 515 | 14.0 | 31.7 | 76.6 |
|  | Washington, DC | 114 | 3.1 | 7.0 | 83.7 |
|  | Arlington County, VA | 62 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 87.5 |
|  | Alexandria City, VA | 15 | . 4 | . 9 | 88.4 |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas) | 17 | . 4 | 1.0 | 89.4 |
|  | Frederick County, MD | 6 | . 2 | . 4 | 89.8 |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 35 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 91.9 |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 74 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 96.5 |
|  | A city or county outside the Metro DC area | 57 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1626 | 44.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA/REF/NO MORE APPLY | 57 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | System | 2012 | 54.5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2069 | 56.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 3694 | 100.0 |  |  |

Are you a federal employee?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 97 | 8.9 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
|  | NO | 661 | 60.3 | 87.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 759 | 69.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA | 3 | .2 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 2 | .2 |  |  |
|  | System | 333 | 30.4 |  |  |
|  | Total | 337 | 30.8 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 1096 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Is any other member of your household a federal employee?

| Is any other member of your household a federal employee? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  |  | 101 | 9.2 | 13.4 | 13.4 |
|  | NO | 653 | 59.6 | 86.6 | 100.0 |
| Excluded | Total | 754 | 68.8 | 100.0 |  |
|  | DK/NA | 2 | .2 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 6 | .5 |  |  |
|  | System | 334 | 30.5 |  |  |
| Total           <br> Total       342 31.2   |  |  |  |  |  |

Is anyone in the household a federal employee?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 125 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
|  | No, household employed | 867 | 79.1 | 87.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 992 | 90.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | No, household unemployed | 91 | 8.3 |  |  |
|  | Info missing | 12 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 104 | 9.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How are federal expenditures for your own job security?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Better | 241 | 22.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 |
|  | Worse | 60 | 5.5 | 10.8 | 53.8 |
|  | Don't matter | 259 | 23.6 | 46.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 560 | 51.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA | 70 | 6.4 |  |  |
|  | System | 465 | 42.5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 536 | 48.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How many members of your household telecommute or work full time in a

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 | 300 | 27.4 | 64.7 | 64.7 |
|  | 1 | 135 | 12.3 | 29.1 | 93.7 |
|  | 2 | 23 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 98.7 |
|  | 3 | 6 | . 5 | 1.3 | 99.9 |
|  | 6 | 0 | . 0 | . 1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 464 | 42.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | 9 | 7 | . 6 |  |  |
|  | System | 625 | 57.1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 632 | 57.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Do you have access to the Internet in your home?

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | 1042 | 95.1 | 95.1 | 95.1 |
|  | No | 53 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | REF | 1 | .1 |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How do you access the internet?

|  |  |  | ses | Percent of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent | Cases |
| How do you access the Internet? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dial-up connection using a phone line and modem | 19 | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Comcast cable | 204 | 19.8\% | 20.4\% |
|  | DSL | 79 | 7.6\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Fiber (such as OpenBand or Verizon) | 438 | 42.6\% | 43.8\% |
|  | Satellite (such as DirecWay) | 19 | 1.8\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Wireless (such as Loudoun Broadband, Skynet, Verolan or Loudoun Wireless) | 267 | 26.0\% | 26.7\% |
|  | Other | 3 | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Total |  | 1029 | 100.0\% | 102.7\% |

a - multiple responses accepted

In which of the following ways do you receive information about Loudoun County programs and services?

${ }^{\text {a }}$ - multiple responses accepted

In what newspapers do you get information about Loudoun County programs and services?

|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| In what newspapers do you get information about Loudoun County programs and services? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | LOUDOUN TIMES-MIRROR | 479 | 42.2\% | 66.8\% |
|  | LEESBURG TODAY | 277 | 24.4\% | 38.6\% |
|  | WASHINGTON POST | 199 | 17.6\% | 27.8\% |
|  | PATCH.COM | 6 | 0.5\% | 0.8\% |
|  | BLUE RIDGE LEADER | 17 | 1.5\% | 2.3\% |
|  | CONNECTION | 11 | 1.0\% | 1.5\% |
|  | PURCELLVILLE GAZETTE | 51 | 4.5\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Other | 95 | 8.4\% | 13.2\% |
| Total |  | 1135 | 100.0\% | 158.1\% |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ - multiple responses accepted

How many of the school age children in your household attend public school?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 | 53 | 4.8 | 11.2 | 11.2 |
|  | 1 | 172 | 15.7 | 36.3 | 47.5 |
|  | 2 | 157 | 14.3 | 33.2 | 80.7 |
|  | 3 | 77 | 7.0 | 16.3 | 97.0 |
|  | 4 | 14 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 473 | 43.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | 99 | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | System | 622 | 56.8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 623 | 56.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

In the past two years, have you used the public schools?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 573 | 52.3 | 52.4 | 52.4 |
|  | Have not used | 521 | 47.6 | 47.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK | 1 | .1 |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


| Parks and Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Have used | 779 | 71.1 | 71.3 | 71.3 |
|  | Have not used | 314 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 100.0 |
| Excluded | Total | 1094 | 99.8 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 2 | .2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Public Libraries

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 788 | 71.9 | 72.0 | 72.0 |
|  | Have not used | 306 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1094 | 99.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK | 2 | .2 |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

The Sheriff's Office

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 265 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 24.2 |
|  | Have not used | 830 | 75.7 | 75.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK | 1 | .1 |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Building and Development services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 128 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
|  | Have not used | 945 | 86.2 | 88.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1073 | 97.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK | 23 | 2.1 |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Fire and Rescue services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Have used | 198 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 18.1 |
|  | Have not used | 898 | 81.9 | 81.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1096 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Have used | 52 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
|  | Have not used | 1043 | 95.1 | 95.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance)

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Have used | 54 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
|  | Have not used | 1036 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1090 | 99.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK | 6 | . 5 |  |  |
|  | REF | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 6 | . 6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


| Health Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Have used | 138 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
|  | Have not used | 941 | 85.9 | 87.2 | 100.0 |
| Excluded | Total | 1079 | 98.4 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total |  | 17 | 1.6 |  |  |

Animal Services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 184 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 16.8 |
|  | Have not used | 911 | 83.1 | 83.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK | 1 | .1 |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Senior Services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Have used | 59 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
|  | Have not used | 1037 | 94.6 | 94.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1096 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

How satisfied are you with: The Public Schools

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 319 | 29.1 | 55.9 | 55.9 |
|  | Satisfied | 206 | 18.8 | 36.1 | 92.0 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 31 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 97.3 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 11 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 99.3 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 4 | . 4 | . 7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 571 | 52.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED | 2 | . 2 |  |  |
|  | System | 523 | 47.7 |  |  |
|  | Total | 525 | 47.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 442 | 40.3 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
|  | Satisfied | 298 | 27.2 | 38.3 | 95.1 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 31 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 99.0 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 2 | . 2 | . 2 | 99.2 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 6 | . 5 | . 8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 779 | 71.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW/NO <br> ANSWER/REFUSED | 1 | . 0 |  |  |
|  | System | 317 | 28.9 |  |  |
|  | Total | 317 | 28.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How satisfied are you with: The Public Libraries

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 551 | 50.3 | 70.0 | 70.0 |
|  | Satisfied | 211 | 19.3 | 26.8 | 96.8 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 21 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 99.5 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 99.6 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 787 | 71.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | System | 308 | 28.1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 309 | 28.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How satisfied are you with: The Sheriff's Office

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 118 | 10.8 | 44.4 | 44.4 |
|  | Satisfied | 121 | 11.1 | 45.7 | 90.2 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 6 | . 5 | 2.2 | 92.3 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 14 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 97.6 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 6 | . 6 | 2.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 265 | 24.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | System | 831 | 75.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How satisfied are you with: Building and Development Services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 48 | 4.3 | 37.5 | 37.5 |
|  | Satisfied | 59 | 5.3 | 46.1 | 83.6 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 10 | . 9 | 7.8 | 91.4 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 8 | . 8 | 6.6 | 97.9 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 3 | . 2 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 127 | 11.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | System | 968 | 88.3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 969 | 88.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 157 | 14.4 | 79.3 | 79.3 |
|  | Satisfied | 35 | 3.2 | 17.7 | 97.0 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 2 | . 2 | 1.1 | 98.1 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 4 | . 3 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 198 | 18.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | System | 898 | 81.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How satisfied are you with: Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 19 | 1.8 | 36.6 | 36.6 |
|  | Satisfied | 16 | 1.4 | 29.8 | 66.4 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 8 | . 7 | 15.4 | 81.8 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 6 | . 5 | 11.2 | 93.0 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 4 | . 3 | 7.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 52 | 4.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | System | 1044 | 95.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How satisfied are you with: Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 20 | 1.8 | 36.9 | 36.9 |
|  | Satisfied | 19 | 1.8 | 35.7 | 72.6 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 8 | . 7 | 14.3 | 86.9 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 5 | . 4 | 9.0 | 95.9 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 2 | . 2 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 54 | 4.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED | 0 | . 0 |  |  |
|  | System | 1042 | 95.1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1042 | 95.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How satisfied are you with: Health Services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 70 | 6.4 | 53.2 | 53.2 |
|  | Satisfied | 55 | 5.0 | 41.6 | 94.9 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 4 | . 3 | 2.9 | 97.7 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 3 | . 3 | 2.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 132 | 12.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED | 5 | . 5 |  |  |
|  | System | 958 | 87.4 |  |  |
|  | Total | 964 | 87.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 119 | 10.8 | 64.5 | 64.5 |
|  | Satisfied | 51 | 4.6 | 27.5 | 92.1 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 9 | . 9 | 5.1 | 97.2 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 2 | . 2 | 1.1 | 98.3 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 3 | . 3 | 1.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 184 | 16.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | System | 912 | 83.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How satisfied are you with: Senior Services

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very satisfied | 37 | 3.3 | 62.0 | 62.0 |
|  | Satisfied | 15 | 1.4 | 25.4 | 87.4 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | . 3 | 5.2 | 92.5 |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1 | . 1 | 2.5 | 95.0 |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 3 | . 3 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 59 | 5.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | System | 1037 | 94.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Agree or disagree: The county provides good value for the tax dollar (revised answer

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Strongly Agree | 235 | 21.4 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
|  | Somewhat Agree | 595 | 54.3 | 58.4 | 81.5 |
|  | Somewhat Disagree | 122 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 93.4 |
|  | Strongly Disagree | 67 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1019 | 93.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | NOT SURE/DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED | 66 | 6.0 |  |  |
|  | System | 11 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 77 | 7.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of <br> Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County? (Up to 2 answers accepted) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | GROWTH/DEVELOPMENT (TOO MUCH, TOO FAST, NOT PLANNED WELL) | 241 | 21.2\% | 24.6\% |
|  | SCHOOLS (TOO <br> CROWDED, NOT <br> ENOUGH, NOT SAFE <br> ENOUGH) | 111 | 9.7\% | 11.3\% |
|  | YOUTH ACTIVITIES (TOO <br> FEW, GANG PROBLEMS) | 15 | 1.3\% | 1.6\% |
|  | TAXES (TOO HIGH, TAX WRONG THINGS) | 154 | 13.5\% | 15.7\% |
|  | TRAFFIC/TRANSPORTATI ON (ROADS TOO CROWDED, LACK OF PUBLIC TRANS.) | 383 | 33.6\% | 38.9\% |
|  | INADEQUATE SERVICES <br> (NOT ENOUGH, NOT RIGHT KIND) | 31 | 2.7\% | 3.1\% |
|  | (GENERAL) GOVERNMENT | 30 | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
|  | ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION | 18 | 1.5\% | 1.8\% |
|  | OTHER (PLEASE LIST) | 109 | 9.6\% | 11.1\% |
|  | COST OF LIVING OR HOUSING; NO JOBS (added code) | 35 | 3.1\% | 3.6\% |
|  | INCREASE OF CRIME; SAFETY ISSUES (added code) | 13 | 1.1\% | 1.3\% |
| Total |  | 1139 | 100.0\% | 116.0\% |

a - multiple responses accepted

What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County?

|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County? (Up to 2 answers accepted) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | RURAL/OPEN/COUNTRY | 210 | 16.8\% | 20.4\% |
|  | PEOPLE/FRIENDLY/PERS ONAL | 100 | 8.0\% | 9.7\% |
|  | LOCATION/PROXIMITY <br> (TO SHOPPING, TO MAJOR CITY, ETC.) | 212 | 17.0\% | 20.6\% |
|  | NEIGHBORHOOD/COMMU <br> NITY (SIZE, DESIGN, OFFERINGS) | 168 | 13.5\% | 16.4\% |
|  | BEAUTY/SCENIC VIEWS | 76 | 6.0\% | 7.3\% |
|  | SCHOOLS (QUALITY, SAFETY, ETC.) | 118 | 9.5\% | 11.5\% |
|  | SAFE/SAFETY (OF COUNTY, COMMUNITIES) | 91 | 7.3\% | 8.9\% |
|  | GOOD VALUE FOR THE TAX DOLLAR | 4 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% |
|  | ENVIRONMENT/CLEANLIN ESS | 72 | 5.7\% | 7.0\% |
|  | AFFORDABILITY | 20 | 1.6\% | 2.0\% |
|  | OTHER (LIST BELOW) | 112 | 9.0\% | 10.9\% |
|  | JOB, WORK; ACCESS TO <br> THRU ROAD SYSTEM (added code) | 10 | 0.8\% | 0.9\% |
|  | SERVICES; AMENITIES; ACTIVITIES; PROGRAMS (added code) | 41 | 3.3\% | 3.9\% |
|  | QUALITY OF LIFE; QUIET; <br> BALANCE OF <br> URBAN/RURAL/SUBURB (added code) | 16 | 1.3\% | 1.6\% |
| Total |  | 1249 | 100.0\% | 121.5\% |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ - multiple responses accepted

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Excellent | 522 | 47.6 | 47.7 | 47.7 |
|  | Good | 491 | 44.8 | 44.8 | 92.5 |
|  | Fair | 73 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 99.2 |
|  | Poor | 9 | . 8 | . 8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1095 | 99.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/NA/REF | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Your current residence | 469 | 42.8 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
|  | A different residence in Loudoun County | 231 | 21.1 | 23.1 | 70.1 |
|  | Outside Loudoun County | 299 | 27.3 | 29.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 998 | 91.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOWKNOW/NO ANSWER/REFUSED | 98 | 8.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

What would be your reason for leaving?

|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| What would be your reason for leaving? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | RETIREMENT | 47 | 15.7\% | 15.7\% |
|  | LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING | 8 | 2.7\% | 2.7\% |
|  | COST OF LIVING | 57 | 19.0\% | 19.0\% |
|  | LOCAL TAXES | 29 | 9.8\% | 9.8\% |
|  | LACK OF PUBLIC <br> TRANSPORTATION | 10 | 3.3\% | 3.3\% |
|  | LACK OF RECREATION AND ENTERTAINMENT | 6 | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | OTHER (SPECIFY) | 141 | 47.5\% | 47.5\% |
| Total |  | 297 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

a - multiple responses accepted

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | YES | 661 | 60.3 | 63.4 | 63.4 |
|  | NO | 294 | 26.8 | 28.2 | 91.6 |
|  | NO OPINION / DON'T CARE | 87 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1042 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW | 52 | 4.8 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 2 | . 2 |  |  |
|  | Total | 54 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

a - multiple responses accepted

What nighttime activity is missing in Loudoun County?

|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| What nighttime activity is missing in Loudoun County? <br> (Respondent can pick all that apply) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | FINE DINING | 46 | 9.5\% | 18.0\% |
|  | INTERNATIONAL DINING | 20 | 4.3\% | 8.1\% |
|  | BARS \& NIGHTCLUBS | 72 | 15.1\% | 28.6\% |
|  | LIVE ENTERTAINMENT AND MUSIC | 60 | 12.6\% | 23.9\% |
|  | SYMPHONY OR ORCHESTRA | 20 | 4.2\% | 8.0\% |
|  | LIVE THEATER SUCH AS PLAYS AND MUSICALS | 50 | 10.5\% | 20.0\% |
|  | MOVIE THEATERS | 22 | 4.6\% | 8.8\% |
|  | DOWNTOWN EVENTS SUCH AS ART GALLERIES, CONCERTS, SPECIAL EVENTS | 72 | 15.0\% | 28.5\% |
|  | SPORTING EVENTS; <br> RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES; PARKS | 40 | 8.4\% | 16.0\% |
|  | OR SOMETHING ELSE? (SPECIFY) | 22 | 4.5\% | 8.6\% |
|  | CHILD, YOUTH, FAMILY ACTIVITIES, PROGRAMS (added code) | 48 | 9.9\% | 18.8\% |
|  | THINGS HERE NEED TO STAY OPEN LATER (added code) | 6 | 1.2\% | 2.3\% |
| Total |  | 479 | 100.0\% | 189.6\% |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ - multiple responses accepted

How important is it for the county to focus on improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways in the next few years?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very important | 445 | 40.6 | 41.1 | 41.1 |
|  | Somewhat important | 362 | 33.1 | 33.5 | 74.6 |
|  | Not too important | 196 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 92.7 |
|  | Not important at all | 80 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1082 | 98.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T THINK BOARD <br> SHOULD FOCUS <br> RESOURCES ON <br> TRANSPORTATION | 3 | . 3 |  |  |
|  | DON'T KNOW | 9 | . 9 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 14 | 1.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How important is it for the county to focus on improving or building roads in the next few years?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very important | 651 | 59.4 | 60.4 | 60.4 |
|  | Somewhat important | 296 | 27.0 | 27.4 | 87.8 |
|  | Not too important | 97 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 96.8 |
|  | Not important at all | 35 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1079 | 98.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T THINK BOARD <br> SHOULD FOCUS <br> RESOURCES ON <br> TRANSPORTATION | 6 | . 6 |  |  |
|  | DON'T KNOW | 9 | . 8 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 2 | . 2 |  |  |
|  | Total | 17 | 1.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving commuter bus service in the next few years?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very important | 474 | 43.2 | 45.6 | 45.6 |
|  | Somewhat important | 339 | 30.9 | 32.6 | 78.2 |
|  | Not too important | 126 | 11.5 | 12.1 | 90.3 |
|  | Not important at all | 101 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1039 | 94.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T THINK BOARD SHOULD FOCUS RESOURCES ON TRANSPORTATION | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | DON'T KNOW | 54 | 5.0 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 2 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 57 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How important is it for the county to focus on providing rail transit service in the next few

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Very important | 593 | 54.1 | 55.5 | 55.5 |
|  | Somewhat important | 239 | 21.8 | 22.3 | 77.8 |
|  | Not too important | 120 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 89.0 |
|  | Not important at all | 118 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1069 | 97.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T THINK BOARD <br> SHOULD FOCUS <br> RESOURCES ON <br> TRANSPORTATION | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | DON'T KNOW | 25 | 2.2 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 27 | 2.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving local bus service in the

| next few years? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Very important | 365 | 33.3 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
|  | Somewhat important | 357 | 32.6 | 33.9 | 68.6 |
|  | Not too important | 194 | 17.7 | 18.4 | 87.0 |
|  | Not important at all | 138 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1054 | 96.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T THINK BOARD <br> SHOULD FOCUS <br> RESOURCES ON <br> TRANSPORTATION | 0 | . 0 |  |  |
|  | DON'T KNOW | 40 | 3.6 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 2 | . 2 |  |  |
|  | Total | 42 | 3.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

Do you use public transportation?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Yes | 287 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.2 |
|  | No | 807 | 73.6 | 73.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1094 | 99.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DK/REF | 2 | . 2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

What kind of public transportation do you use?

|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| What kind of public transportation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | BUS | 192 | 49.2\% | 67.4\% |
|  | TRAIN | 21 | 5.3\% | 7.3\% |
|  | METRO | 156 | 40.0\% | 54.8\% |
|  | Taxi/hired car/limo | 3 | 0.9\% | 1.2\% |
|  | Car pool/slugging | 1 | 0.2\% | 0.2\% |
|  | Other | 17 | 4.4\% | 6.1\% |
| Total |  | 389 | 100.0\% | 137.0\% |

a - multiple responses accepted

Why don't you use public transportation?

${ }^{\text {a }}$ - multiple responses accepted

Are there types of County facilities that you would like to see more of throughout the County, or are there enough County facilities in your opinion?

|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of <br> Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| Are there types of County facilities that you would like to see more of throughout the county, or are there enough County facilities, in your opinion? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | THERE ARE ENOUGH | 641 | 52.9\% | 63.5\% |
|  | ANIMAL SHELTER | 5 | 0.4\% | 0.5\% |
|  | COMMUNITY CENTER | 35 | 2.9\% | 3.5\% |
|  | DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES RESIDENTIAL FACILITY | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
|  | FIRE/RESCUE STATION | 7 | 0.6\% | 0.7\% |
|  | GOVERNMENT OFFICES | 1 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
|  | HEALTH CLINIC | 12 | 1.0\% | 1.2\% |
|  | LIBRARY | 33 | 2.7\% | 3.3\% |
|  | MENTAL HEALTH RESIDENTIAL FACILITY | 5 | 0.5\% | 0.5\% |
|  | PARK AND RIDE LOT | 5 | 0.4\% | 0.5\% |
|  | PARKS, ACTIVE(EX: <br> ATHLETIC FIELDS, <br> INCLUDING BASEBALL, <br> SOFTBALL,\& SOCCER) | 93 | 7.7\% | 9.2\% |
|  | PARKS, PASSIVE (EX: <br> NATURE PRESERVES, <br> HIKING TRAILS, ETC.) | 81 | 6.7\% | 8.0\% |
|  | RECREATION CENTER | 108 | 8.9\% | 10.7\% |
|  | RECYCLING DROP-OFF CENTER | 4 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% |
|  | SENIOR CENTER | 12 | 1.0\% | 1.1\% |
|  | SHERIFF STATION | 14 | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
|  | TEEN CENTER | 50 | 4.2\% | 5.0\% |
|  | OTHER | 103 | 8.5\% | 10.2\% |
| Total |  | 1211 | 100.0\% | 120.0\% |

[^9]| Respondent's gender* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |
|  | MALE | 560 | 51.1 | 51.1 | 51.1 |  |
|  | FEMALE | 536 | 48.9 | 48.9 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 1096 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

*Unweighted data

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | YES | 59 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
|  | NO | 1005 | 91.7 | 94.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1064 | 97.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW | 2 | . 2 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 30 | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Total | 32 | 2.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | African American or Black | 50 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
|  | Asian | 71 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 12.3 |
|  | White or Caucasian | 850 | 77.6 | 86.1 | 98.4 |
|  | American Indian, Native American, or Alaskan Native | 9 | . 8 | . 9 | 99.3 |
|  | Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 7 | . 6 | . 7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 987 | 90.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | MULTI-RACIAL | 12 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | OTHER [SPECIFY] | 12 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | HISPANIC ONLY: PLEASE PROMPT (BELOW) BEFORE SELECTING THIS | 32 | 2.9 |  |  |
|  | DON'T <br> KNOW/REFUSED/NO <br> ANSWER | 53 | 4.8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 109 | 9.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

*Unweighted data

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Less than 9th grade | 7 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |
|  | 9th-12th, but did not finish high school | 13 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
|  | High school graduate or G.E.D. | 114 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 12.3 |
|  | Some college but no degree | 136 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 24.8 |
|  | 2 year college degree/A.A.IA.S. | 77 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 31.8 |
|  | 4 year college degree/B.A./B.S. | 354 | 32.3 | 32.6 | 64.4 |
|  | Some graduate work | 26 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 66.8 |
|  | Completed Masters or professional degree | 322 | 29.4 | 29.7 | 96.4 |
|  | Advanced graduate work or Ph.D. | 39 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1087 | 99.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | REFUSED | 8 | . 7 |  |  |
|  | System | 1 | . 1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 9 | . 9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

What is the range that best describes your annual household income from all sources in $\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \boldsymbol{?}$
That would be before taxes and other deductions

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Less than 10 thousand? $[\$ 0 \text {-- \$9,999] }$ | 16 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  | 10 to 30 thousand? [\$10,000 -- \$29,999] | 43 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 6.9 |
|  | 30 to 50 thousand? [\$30,000 -- \$49,999] | 75 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 15.6 |
|  | 50 to 75 thousand? [\$50,000 -- \$74,999] | 70 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 23.7 |
|  | 75 to 100 thousand? [\$75,000 -- \$99,999] | 122 | 11.2 | 14.3 | 38.0 |
|  | 100 to 125 thousand? [\$100,000 -- \$124,999] | 126 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 52.7 |
|  | 125 to 150 thousand? <br> [\$125,000 -- \$149,999] | 104 | 9.5 | 12.1 | 64.8 |
|  | 150 to 175 thousand? <br> [\$150,000 -- \$174,999] | 90 | 8.2 | 10.5 | 75.3 |
|  | 175 to 200 thousand? [\$175,000 -- \$199,999] | 53 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 81.4 |
|  | Over 200 thousand? [\$200,000 + ] | 159 | 14.5 | 18.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 858 | 78.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Excluded | DON'T KNOW/NO <br> ANSWER/REFUSED | 236 | 21.5 |  |  |
|  | System | 2 | . 2 |  |  |
|  | Total | 238 | 21.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0 |  |  |

# Appendix C: Results by Rural/Non-Rural Status 

## Cross-tabulation tables

Cross-tabulation tables count survey cases in categories defined by two variables simultaneously. For example, how many residents in the survey live in the rural area and use public transportation? How many live in the non-rural area and use public transportation? How many live in the rural area and don't use public transportation? How many live in the non-rural area and don't use public transportation? This would be a cross-tabulation table of use of public transportation and rural/non-rural status.
In appendices $C$ through E, the survey questions are compared by three demographic variables: rural/non-rural status, age of the respondent and race/ethnicity of the respondent. In these tables, the percentages add up to 100 within each demographic category (that is, within each column of the table). A total percentage is also displayed. These cross-tabulation tables allow the reader to compare the responses of, for instance, Asian Americans to those of Whites.
In these cross-tabulation tables, " $u N$ " indicates the number of residents who answered a particular way. This number can be used as an indication of how reliable the percentages are. If the unweighted total N for the question is small, it may not be wise to generalize the percentages to the larger population. The definition of "small" depends on the user's tolerance for error. Questions answered by fewer than 25 or 30 residents in total should not be generalized to the county population, and perhaps fewer than 50 cases would serve as a threshold for more conservative users.

The " $w \mathrm{~N}$ " column indicates the weighted count of residents who answered a particular way. The " $w \%$ " column indicates the weighted percentage of residents who answered a particular way, within categories displayed across the top of the table. This allows for comparisons across, for example, residents in the rural and non-rural areas of the county.
As in Appendix B, however, the cross-tabulation tables for the multiple response variables are more difficult to interpret. In Appendices C through F, for multiple response variables the statistics for the individual responses show the number of "votes" each response received and the percentage of residents who "voted" that way. Because residents can select more than one response, these percentages can total to more than 100 . The total lines for multiple response items in Appendices C through F, however, will show the number of residents who responded and a total percent of 100 .
Note that the total responses to questions may vary across cross-tabulation appendices because different numbers of respondents may have declined to provide information for the different cross-tabulation variables.

|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Which of the following housing types do you live in? | Townhouse or duplex | 11 | 8 | 5.0\% | 194 | 238 | 25.3\% | 205 | 245 | 22.4\% |
|  | Single family home | 246 | 145 | 93.2\% | 527 | 578 | 61.6\% | 773 | 723 | 66.1\% |
|  | Apartment or condo | 3 | 3 | 1.7\% | 110 | 122 | 13.0\% | 113 | 125 | 11.4\% |
|  | Other (SPECIFY) |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0.1\% | 3 | 1 | 0.1\% |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 834 | 939 | 100.0\% | 1094 | 1095 | 100.0\% |
| How long have you lived in Loudoun County? | One year or less | 9 | 6 | 3.9\% | 53 | 63 | 6.7\% | 62 | 69 | 6.3\% |
|  | Two to four years | 19 | 12 | 7.9\% | 112 | 138 | 14.7\% | 131 | 150 | 13.7\% |
|  | Five to nine years | 45 | 31 | 19.9\% | 214 | 277 | 29.5\% | 259 | 308 | 28.1\% |
|  | Ten to fourteen years | 42 | 36 | 23.0\% | 167 | 175 | 18.7\% | 209 | 211 | 19.3\% |
|  | Fifteen to nineteen years | 34 | 19 | 12.0\% | 108 | 110 | 11.7\% | 142 | 128 | 11.7\% |
|  | Twenty years or longer | 111 | 52 | 33.3\% | 179 | 175 | 18.7\% | 290 | 227 | 20.8\% |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 833 | 939 | 100.0\% | 1093 | 1095 | 100.0\% |
| Did you move to Loudoun County from somewhere else? | Yes | 235 | 141 | 90.1\% | 791 | 869 | 92.4\% | 1026 | 1010 | 92.1\% |
|  | No | 26 | 15 | 9.9\% | 44 | 71 | 7.6\% | 70 | 86 | 7.9\% |
| Total |  | 261 | 156 | 100.0\% | 835 | 940 | 100.0\% | 1096 | 1096 | 100.0\% |
| In what city or county did you live before moving to | Alexandria City, VA | 6 | 2 | 1.7\% | 19 | 12 | 1.4\% | 25 | 15 | 1.5\% |
|  | Arlington County, VA | 5 | 2 | 1.7\% | 22 | 22 | 2.5\% | 27 | 24 | 2.4\% |


|  |  |  |  | ural/non | status |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rural |  |  | n-rural |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas and Manassas Park) | 4 | 4 | 2.6\% | 26 | 31 | 3.6\% | 30 | 35 | 3.5\% |
|  | Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities) | 83 | 45 | 31.8\% | 327 | 380 | 44.2\% | 410 | 424 | 42.5\% |
|  | Frederick County, MD | 3 | 1 | 0.9\% | 4 | 4 | 0.4\% | 7 | 5 | 0.5\% |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 6 | 7 | 5.1\% | 30 | 25 | 2.9\% | 36 | 32 | 3.2\% |
|  | Washington, DC | 6 | 3 | 1.9\% | 5 | 3 | 0.4\% | 11 | 6 | 0.6\% |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 15 | 7 | 5.2\% | 42 | 31 | 3.6\% | 57 | 38 | 3.8\% |
|  | A city or county outside the Metro DC area | 105 | 68 | 49.0\% | 306 | 352 | 40.9\% | 411 | 420 | 42.0\% |
| Total |  | 233 | 140 | 100.0\% | 781 | 860 | 100.0\% | 1014 | 999 | 100.0\% |
| What single factor influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County? | Schools or community services | 19 | 15 | 10.9\% | 62 | 78 | 9.1\% | 81 | 93 | 9.3\% |
|  | Housing affordability | 38 | 24 | 18.1\% | 210 | 222 | 25.8\% | 248 | 246 | 24.8\% |
|  | Location of work site | 62 | 34 | 25.7\% | 240 | 277 | 32.2\% | 302 | 312 | 31.3\% |
|  | Taxes | 2 | 1 | 0.6\% | 13 | 8 | 1.0\% | 15 | 9 | 0.9\% |
|  | Quality of overall community | 5 | 2 | 1.4\% | 43 | 49 | 5.7\% | 48 | 51 | 5.1\% |
|  | Family considerations | 51 | 30 | 22.5\% | 127 | 138 | 16.0\% | 178 | 168 | 16.9\% |
|  | Rural character/beauty | 42 | 24 | 17.8\% | 32 | 36 | 4.2\% | 74 | 60 | 6.0\% |
|  | Other (specify) | 10 | 4 | 2.9\% | 55 | 52 | 6.0\% | 65 | 56 | 5.6\% |
| Total |  | 229 | 133 | 100.0\% | 782 | 861 | 100.0\% | 1011 | 995 | 100.0\% |
| How safe do you feel in your neighborhood | Very safe | 197 | 121 | 77.7\% | 555 | 601 | 64.0\% | 752 | 723 | 66.0\% |
|  | Safe | 60 | 34 | 21.5\% | 268 | 320 | 34.0\% | 328 | 353 | 32.3\% |
|  | Unsafe | 4 | 1 | 0.8\% | 8 | 15 | 1.6\% | 12 | 16 | 1.5\% |
|  | Very unsafe |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0.3\% | 3 | 3 | 0.2\% |
| Total |  | 261 | 156 | 100.0\% | 834 | 939 | 100.0\% | 1095 | 1095 | 100.0\% |
| Why do you feel unsafe? | Gangs |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 2.7\% | 1 | 0 | 2.6\% |
|  | Break-ins | 1 | 0 | 22.4\% | 4 | 7 | 39.8\% | 5 | 7 | 38.7\% |


|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | Street Crimes |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3.2\% | 1 | 1 | 3.0\% |
|  | Lack of street patrol |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5.2\% | 1 | 1 | 4.9\% |
|  | Traffic issues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Car damage/theft | 1 | 0 | 22.6\% | 2 | 5 | 27.5\% | 3 | 5 | 27.2\% |
|  | Crime is everywhere/not just here |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 7.4\% | 1 | 1 | 6.9\% |
|  | Just higher crime rate here | 2 | 1 | 55.0\% |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3.5\% |
|  | Youth crime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drug crime |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 7.4\% | 1 | 1 | 6.9\% |
|  | Other | 1 | 0 | 27.3\% | 5 | 5 | 28.9\% | 6 | 6 | 28.8\% |
| Total |  | 4 | 1 | 100.0\% | 11 | 18 | 100.0\% | 15 | 19 | 100.0\% |
| Including yourself, all adults and all children, how many people live in your household? | 1 | 21 | 9 | 5.7\% | 107 | 86 | 9.2\% | 128 | 95 | 8.7\% |
|  | 2 | 94 | 44 | 28.1\% | 236 | 210 | 22.4\% | 330 | 254 | 23.2\% |
|  | 3 | 39 | 19 | 12.0\% | 135 | 174 | 18.6\% | 174 | 193 | 17.6\% |
|  | 4 | 57 | 45 | 28.7\% | 228 | 283 | 30.2\% | 285 | 328 | 30.0\% |
|  | 5 | 37 | 31 | 19.5\% | 92 | 137 | 14.6\% | 129 | 167 | 15.3\% |
|  | 6 | 10 | 8 | 4.9\% | 28 | 35 | 3.7\% | 38 | 43 | 3.9\% |
|  | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0.6\% | 6 | 8 | 0.9\% | 8 | 9 | 0.8\% |
|  | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0.5\% | 1 | 4 | 0.5\% | 2 | 5 | 0.5\% |
| Total |  | 261 | 156 | 100.0\% | 833 | 938 | 100.0\% | 1094 | 1094 | 100.0\% |
| Is respondent employed...? | Full-Time | 140 | 94 | 67.5\% | 479 | 550 | 64.1\% | 619 | 643 | 64.6\% |
|  | Part-Time | 28 | 16 | 11.7\% | 77 | 103 | 12.1\% | 105 | 120 | 12.0\% |
|  | Not Employed | 31 | 13 | 9.4\% | 124 | 144 | 16.8\% | 155 | 157 | 15.7\% |
|  | (Vol) Retired | 42 | 16 | 11.4\% | 111 | 60 | 7.0\% | 153 | 76 | 7.6\% |
| Total |  | 241 | 139 | 100.0\% | 791 | 857 | 100.0\% | 1032 | 996 | 100.0\% |
| In which of the following counties or cities is the respondent employed? | Loudoun County, VA | 95 | 62 | 55.4\% | 228 | 268 | 41.8\% | 323 | 330 | 43.8\% |
|  | Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities) | 31 | 18 | 15.9\% | 188 | 219 | 34.1\% | 219 | 237 | 31.4\% |
|  | Washington, DC | 15 | 13 | 11.9\% | 40 | 48 | 7.5\% | 55 | 61 | 8.1\% |
|  | Arlington County, VA | 2 | 1 | 0.8\% | 30 | 39 | 6.1\% | 32 | 40 | 5.3\% |


|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | Alexandria City, VA | 2 | 1 | 1.0\% | 5 | 4 | 0.6\% | 7 | 5 | 0.6\% |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas) | 3 | 1 | 0.9\% | 3 | 10 | 1.5\% | 6 | 11 | 1.4\% |
|  | Frederick County, MD | 1 | 1 | 1.2\% | 3 | 1 | 0.2\% | 4 | 3 | 0.4\% |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 3 | 2 | 1.4\% | 15 | 16 | 2.5\% | 18 | 17 | 2.3\% |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 6 | 9 | 8.5\% | 14 | 17 | 2.6\% | 20 | 26 | 3.5\% |
|  | A city or county outside the Metro DC area | 8 | 3 | 3.1\% | 17 | 20 | 3.2\% | 25 | 24 | 3.2\% |
| Total |  | 166 | 112 | 100.0\% | 543 | 642 | 100.0\% | 709 | 754 | 100.0\% |
| In which of the following counties or cities is this person employed? (All employed persons) | Loudoun County, VA | 201 | 128 | 95.3\% | 469 | 602 | 71.2\% | 670 | 730 | 74.5\% |
|  | Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities) | 67 | 37 | 27.2\% | 387 | 478 | 56.6\% | 454 | 515 | 52.6\% |
|  | Washington, DC | 21 | 19 | 13.9\% | 78 | 99 | 11.8\% | 99 | 118 | 12.1\% |
|  | Arlington County, VA | 6 | 3 | 2.2\% | 46 | 60 | 7.0\% | 52 | 62 | 6.4\% |
|  | Alexandria City, VA | 5 | 2 | 1.5\% | 11 | 13 | 1.5\% | 16 | 15 | 1.5\% |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas) | 4 | 2 | 1.2\% | 8 | 15 | 1.8\% | 12 | 17 | 1.7\% |
|  | Frederick County, MD | 4 | 3 | 2.0\% | 4 | 3 | 0.4\% | 8 | 6 | 0.6\% |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 5 | 3 | 2.0\% | 26 | 33 | 3.9\% | 31 | 35 | 3.6\% |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 15 | 19 | 14.1\% | 45 | 57 | 6.7\% | 60 | 76 | 7.7\% |
|  | A city or county outside the Metro DC area | 20 | 14 | 10.6\% | 35 | 43 | 5.1\% | 55 | 58 | 5.9\% |
| Total |  | 210 | 135 | 100.0\% | 680 | 844 | 100.0\% | 890 | 979 | 100.0\% |
| How many members of your household telecommute or work full time in a business based in your home? | 0 | 86 | 58 | 69.6\% | 199 | 242 | 63.6\% | 285 | 300 | 64.7\% |
|  | 1 | 29 | 17 | 20.5\% | 82 | 118 | 30.9\% | 111 | 135 | 29.1\% |
|  | 2 | 8 | 7 | 7.8\% | 17 | 16 | 4.3\% | 25 | 23 | 5.0\% |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1.7\% | 2 | 4 | 1.2\% | 3 | 6 | 1.3\% |
|  | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0.4\% |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.1\% |
| Total |  | 125 | 83 | 100.0\% | 300 | 381 | 100.0\% | 425 | 464 | 100.0\% |



|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | W\% | uN | wN | W\% |  |  |  |
|  | County Website | 98 | 66 | 43.3\% | 348 | 395 | 42.5\% | 446 | 461 | 42.6\% |
|  | Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, YouTude) | 51 | 32 | 21.3\% | 152 | 177 | 19.1\% | 203 | 210 | 19.4\% |
|  | Alert Loudoun (Subscription to receive county news and information) | 76 | 53 | 35.0\% | 217 | 251 | 27.1\% | 293 | 305 | 28.2\% |
|  | Public Libraries | 61 | 34 | 22.5\% | 174 | 182 | 19.5\% | 235 | 216 | 20.0\% |
|  | Parks and Recreation brochure | 84 | 49 | 32.3\% | 250 | 278 | 29.9\% | 334 | 327 | 30.3\% |
|  | Public meetings | 37 | 23 | 15.1\% | 112 | 108 | 11.6\% | 149 | 131 | 12.1\% |
|  | Word of mouth | 96 | 58 | 38.2\% | 315 | 330 | 35.6\% | 411 | 389 | 35.9\% |
|  | Other | 27 | 13 | 8.7\% | 106 | 129 | 13.9\% | 133 | 143 | 13.2\% |
| Total |  | 259 | 152 | 100.0\% | 821 | 929 | 100.0\% | 1080 | 1081 | 100.0\% |
| In what newspapers do you get information about Loudoun County programs and services? | LOUDOUN TIMESMIRROR | 123 | 78 | 64.5\% | 372 | 402 | 67.2\% | 495 | 479 | 66.8\% |
|  | LEESBURG TODAY | 141 | 78 | 64.7\% | 191 | 199 | 33.4\% | 332 | 277 | 38.6\% |
|  | WASHINGTON POST | 56 | 26 | 21.3\% | 199 | 174 | 29.1\% | 255 | 199 | 27.8\% |
|  | PATCH.COM | 3 | 1 | 1.0\% | 4 | 5 | 0.8\% | 7 | 6 | 0.8\% |
|  | BLUE RIDGE LEADER | 29 | 15 | 12.4\% | 2 | 2 | 0.3\% | 31 | 17 | 2.3\% |
|  | CONNECTION | 2 | 3 | 2.3\% | 7 | 8 | 1.4\% | 9 | 11 | 1.5\% |
|  | PURCELLVILLE GAZETTE | 84 | 47 | 39.3\% | 6 | 3 | 0.6\% | 90 | 51 | 7.1\% |
|  | Other | 17 | 10 | 8.2\% | 91 | 85 | 14.2\% | 108 | 95 | 13.2\% |
| Total |  | 209 | 120 | 100.0\% | 575 | 598 | 100.0\% | 784 | 718 | 100.0\% |
| How many of the school age children in your household attend public school? | 0 | 11 | 8 | 10.6\% | 34 | 45 | 11.3\% | 45 | 53 | 11.2\% |
|  | 1 | 30 | 18 | 24.1\% | 119 | 154 | 38.6\% | 149 | 172 | 36.3\% |
|  | 2 | 36 | 27 | 35.3\% | 117 | 131 | 32.8\% | 153 | 157 | 33.2\% |
|  | 3 | 20 | 21 | 28.5\% | 37 | 56 | 14.0\% | 57 | 77 | 16.3\% |
|  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1.5\% | 9 | 13 | 3.3\% | 11 | 14 | 3.0\% |
| Total |  | 99 | 75 | 100.0\% | 316 | 398 | 100.0\% | 415 | 473 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| In the past two years, have you used the public schools? | Have used | 122 | 87 | 56.2\% | 405 | 486 | 51.7\% | 527 | 573 | 52.4\% |
|  | Have not used | 138 | 68 | 43.8\% | 429 | 453 | 48.3\% | 567 | 521 | 47.6\% |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 834 | 939 | 100.0\% | 1094 | 1095 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Schools | Very satisfied | 70 | 54 | 62.3\% | 220 | 265 | 54.7\% | 290 | 319 | 55.9\% |
|  | Satisfied | 43 | 27 | 30.7\% | 150 | 179 | 37.1\% | 193 | 206 | 36.1\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 6 | 3 | 3.5\% | 25 | 27 | 5.7\% | 31 | 31 | 5.3\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1 | 2 | 2.1\% | 6 | 10 | 2.0\% | 7 | 11 | 2.0\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 1 | 1 | 1.4\% | 3 | 3 | 0.6\% | 4 | 4 | 0.7\% |
| Total |  | 121 | 87 | 100.0\% | 404 | 484 | 100.0\% | 525 | 571 | 100.0\% |
| Parks and Recreation | Have used | 169 | 100 | 64.2\% | 588 | 679 | 72.4\% | 757 | 779 | 71.3\% |
|  | Have not used | 91 | 56 | 35.8\% | 244 | 258 | 27.6\% | 335 | 314 | 28.7\% |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 832 | 938 | 100.0\% | 1092 | 1094 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Parks and Recreation | Very satisfied | 97 | 55 | 55.3\% | 333 | 387 | 57.0\% | 430 | 442 | 56.7\% |
|  | Satisfied | 64 | 41 | 41.4\% | 233 | 257 | 37.9\% | 297 | 298 | 38.3\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 5 | 3 | 3.0\% | 16 | 28 | 4.1\% | 21 | 31 | 4.0\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1 | 0 | 0.3\% | 2 | 1 | 0.2\% | 3 | 2 | 0.2\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 0.9\% | 4 | 6 | 0.8\% |
| Total |  | 167 | 99 | 100.0\% | 588 | 679 | 100.0\% | 755 | 779 | 100.0\% |
| The Public Libraries | Have used | 202 | 118 | 75.9\% | 605 | 670 | 71.4\% | 807 | 788 | 72.0\% |
|  | Have not used | 58 | 38 | 24.1\% | 228 | 268 | 28.6\% | 286 | 306 | 28.0\% |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 833 | 938 | 100.0\% | 1093 | 1094 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Libraries | Very satisfied | 149 | 91 | 77.2\% | 419 | 460 | 68.7\% | 568 | 551 | 70.0\% |
|  | Satisfied | 49 | 25 | 21.4\% | 165 | 186 | 27.8\% | 214 | 211 | 26.8\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 2 | 1 | 0.5\% | 15 | 21 | 3.1\% | 17 | 21 | 2.7\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1 | 1 | 0.6\% |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.1\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 1 | 0 | 0.3\% | 4 | 3 | 0.4\% | 5 | 3 | 0.4\% |
| Total |  | 202 | 118 | 100.0\% | 603 | 669 | 100.0\% | 805 | 787 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | UN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Sheriff Station | Have used | 69 | 43 | 27.5\% | 178 | 222 | 23.7\% | 247 | 265 | 24.2\% |
|  | Have not used | 192 | 113 | 72.5\% | 655 | 716 | 76.3\% | 847 | 830 | 75.8\% |
| Total |  | 261 | 156 | 100.0\% | 833 | 939 | 100.0\% | 1094 | 1095 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Sheriff Station | Very satisfied | 35 | 21 | 48.2\% | 89 | 97 | 43.7\% | 124 | 118 | 44.4\% |
|  | Satisfied | 24 | 16 | 37.3\% | 77 | 105 | 47.3\% | 101 | 121 | 45.7\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | 1 | 3.0\% | 4 | 4 | 2.0\% | 7 | 6 | 2.2\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 4 | 3 | 6.3\% | 6 | 11 | 5.0\% | 10 | 14 | 5.2\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 3 | 2 | 5.1\% | 2 | 4 | 1.9\% | 5 | 6 | 2.4\% |
| Total |  | 69 | 43 | 100.0\% | 178 | 222 | 100.0\% | 247 | 265 | 100.0\% |
| Building and Development Services | Have used | 57 | 35 | 22.6\% | 94 | 93 | 10.1\% | 151 | 128 | 11.9\% |
|  | Have not used | 202 | 120 | 77.4\% | 727 | 825 | 89.9\% | 929 | 945 | 88.1\% |
| Total |  | 259 | 155 | 100.0\% | 821 | 918 | 100.0\% | 1080 | 1073 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Building and Development Services | Very satisfied | 25 | 14 | 40.9\% | 39 | 34 | 36.2\% | 64 | 48 | 37.5\% |
|  | Satisfied | 22 | 10 | 28.4\% | 42 | 49 | 52.7\% | 64 | 59 | 46.1\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | 4 | 12.5\% | 8 | 6 | 6.0\% | 11 | 10 | 7.8\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 6 | 6 | 18.2\% | 2 | 2 | 2.2\% | 8 | 8 | 6.6\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 2.9\% | 3 | 3 | 2.1\% |
| Total |  | 56 | 34 | 100.0\% | 94 | 93 | 100.0\% | 150 | 127 | 100.0\% |
| Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Mangement Services | Have used | 61 | 36 | 22.8\% | 152 | 163 | 17.3\% | 213 | 198 | 18.1\% |
|  | Have not used | 200 | 121 | 77.2\% | 683 | 777 | 82.7\% | 883 | 898 | 81.9\% |
| Total |  | 261 | 156 | 100.0\% | 835 | 940 | 100.0\% | 1096 | 1096 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Management Services | Very satisfied | 46 | 28 | 79.5\% | 132 | 129 | 79.3\% | 178 | 157 | 79.3\% |
|  | Satisfied | 12 | 6 | 17.0\% | 17 | 29 | 17.8\% | 29 | 35 | 17.7\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | 1 | 3.6\% | 2 | 1 | 0.6\% | 5 | 2 | 1.1\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2.3\% | 1 | 4 | 1.9\% |
| Total |  | 61 | 36 | 100.0\% | 152 | 163 | 100.0\% | 213 | 198 | 100.0\% |
| Mental Health, Substance Abuse Services, and Developmental Services | Have used | 6 | 3 | 2.1\% | 36 | 49 | 5.2\% | 42 | 52 | 4.8\% |
|  | Have not used | 254 | 152 | 97.9\% | 798 | 890 | 94.8\% | 1052 | 1043 | 95.2\% |


|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 834 | 939 | 100.0\% | 1094 | 1095 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services | Very satisfied | 4 | 2 | 63.6\% | 14 | 17 | 34.9\% | 18 | 19 | 36.6\% |
|  | Satisfied | 1 | 0 | 13.7\% | 13 | 15 | 30.8\% | 14 | 16 | 29.8\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  | 5 | 8 | 16.4\% | 5 | 8 | 15.4\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1 | 1 | 22.7\% | 3 | 5 | 10.5\% | 4 | 6 | 11.2\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 7.5\% | 1 | 4 | 7.0\% |
| Total |  | 6 | 3 | 100.0\% | 36 | 49 | 100.0\% | 42 | 52 | 100.0\% |
| Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | Have used | 12 | 5 | 3.3\% | 39 | 49 | 5.2\% | 51 | 54 | 5.0\% |
|  | Have not used | 246 | 149 | 96.7\% | 794 | 887 | 94.8\% | 1040 | 1036 | 95.0\% |
| Total |  | 258 | 154 | 100.0\% | 833 | 935 | 100.0\% | 1091 | 1090 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | Very satisfied | 6 | 3 | 51.9\% | 13 | 17 | 35.4\% | 19 | 20 | 36.9\% |
|  | Satisfied | 2 | 1 | 12.5\% | 14 | 19 | 38.0\% | 16 | 19 | 35.7\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | 2 | 35.6\% | 7 | 6 | 12.2\% | 10 | 8 | 14.3\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 9.9\% | 4 | 5 | 9.0\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4.5\% | 1 | 2 | 4.1\% |
| Total |  | 11 | 5 | 100.0\% | 39 | 49 | 100.0\% | 50 | 54 | 100.0\% |
| Health Services | Have used | 32 | 22 | 14.1\% | 87 | 116 | 12.5\% | 119 | 138 | 12.8\% |
|  | Have not used | 225 | 132 | 85.9\% | 736 | 809 | 87.5\% | 961 | 941 | 87.2\% |
| Total |  | 257 | 154 | 100.0\% | 823 | 925 | 100.0\% | 1080 | 1079 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Health Services | Very satisfied | 12 | 7 | 33.0\% | 46 | 63 | 57.1\% | 58 | 70 | 53.2\% |
|  | Satisfied | 13 | 9 | 43.9\% | 35 | 46 | 41.2\% | 48 | 55 | 41.6\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | 2 | 8.7\% | 4 | 2 | 1.7\% | 7 | 4 | 2.9\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 3 | 3 | 14.4\% |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 2.3\% |
| Total |  | 31 | 21 | 100.0\% | 85 | 111 | 100.0\% | 116 | 132 | 100.0\% |
| Animal Services | Have used | 63 | 37 | 23.6\% | 123 | 147 | 15.7\% | 186 | 184 | 16.8\% |
|  | Have not used | 197 | 119 | 76.4\% | 711 | 792 | 84.3\% | 908 | 911 | 83.2\% |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 834 | 939 | 100.0\% | 1094 | 1095 | 100.0\% |



|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | YOUTH ACTIVITIES (TOO FEW, GANG ... |  |  |  | 12 | 15 | 1.8\% | 12 | 15 | 1.6\% |
|  | TAXES (TOO HIGH, TAX WRONG THINGS) | 51 | 28 | 19.1\% | 99 | 126 | 15.1\% | 150 | 154 | 15.7\% |
|  | TRAFFIC/TRANSPORTA TION (ROADS TOO CROWDED, LACK OF PUBLIC TRANS.) | 73 | 47 | 32.5\% | 295 | 335 | 40.0\% | 368 | 383 | 38.9\% |
|  | INADEQUATE SERVICES (NOT ENOUGH, NOT RIGHT KIND) | 7 | 4 | 2.8\% | 31 | 27 | 3.2\% | 38 | 31 | 3.1\% |
|  | (GENERAL) GOVERNMENT | 13 | 6 | 4.2\% | 22 | 24 | 2.8\% | 35 | 30 | 3.0\% |
|  | ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION | 4 | 4 | 2.7\% | 14 | 14 | 1.6\% | 18 | 18 | 1.8\% |
|  | OTHER (PLEASE LIST) | 24 | 13 | 8.9\% | 97 | 96 | 11.5\% | 121 | 109 | 11.1\% |
|  | COST OF LIVING OR HOUSING; NO JOBS (added code) | 3 | 1 | 0.8\% | 25 | 34 | 4.1\% | 28 | 35 | 3.6\% |
|  | INCREASE OF CRIME; SAFETY ISSUES (added code) | 2 | 1 | 0.9\% | 10 | 12 | 1.4\% | 12 | 13 | 1.3\% |
| Total |  | 242 | 146 | 100.0\% | 750 | 837 | 100.0\% | 992 | 982 | 100.0\% |
| What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County? (Up to 2 answers accepted) | RURAL/OPEN/COUNTRY | 113 | 68 | 45.0\% | 141 | 142 | 16.2\% | 254 | 210 | 20.4\% |
|  | PEOPLE/FRIENDLY/PER SONAL | 27 | 15 | 10.3\% | 77 | 84 | 9.6\% | 104 | 100 | 9.7\% |
|  | LOCATION/PROXIMITY (TO SHOPPING, TO MAJOR CITY, ETC.) | 33 | 24 | 15.9\% | 177 | 188 | 21.4\% | 210 | 212 | 20.6\% |
|  | NEIGHBORHOOD/COMM UNITY (SIZE, DESIGN, OFFERINGS) | 25 | 15 | 10.2\% | 139 | 153 | 17.4\% | 164 | 168 | 16.4\% |
|  | BEAUTY/SCENIC VIEWS | 32 | 18 | 11.8\% | 58 | 58 | 6.6\% | 90 | 76 | 7.3\% |



|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| What would be your reason for leaving? (Respondent can pick one) | RETIREMENT | 7 | 3 | 6.4\% | 58 | 44 | 17.1\% | 65 | 47 | 15.7\% |
|  | LACK OF AGERESTRICTED HOUSING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LACK OF ASSISTED LIVING FACILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LACK OF HOSPITAL AND EMERGENCY CARE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING | 3 | 1 | 2.2\% | 8 | 7 | 2.8\% | 11 | 8 | 2.7\% |
|  | COST OF LIVING | 13 | 9 | 23.3\% | 36 | 47 | 18.4\% | 49 | 57 | 19.0\% |
|  | LOCAL TAXES | 14 | 8 | 19.5\% | 18 | 21 | 8.2\% | 32 | 29 | 9.8\% |
|  | LACK OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION |  |  |  | 7 | 10 | 3.9\% | 7 | 10 | 3.3\% |
|  | LACK OF RECREATION AND ENTERTAINMENT | 1 | 1 | 1.8\% | 5 | 5 | 2.1\% | 6 | 6 | 2.0\% |
|  | OTHER (SPECIFY) | 28 | 19 | 46.9\% | 110 | 122 | 47.6\% | 138 | 141 | 47.5\% |
| Total |  | 66 | 40 | 100.0\% | 242 | 257 | 100.0\% | 308 | 297 | 100.0\% |
| Are there sufficient nighttime activities in Loudoun County for members of your household? | YES | 158 | 92 | 61.5\% | 528 | 569 | 63.8\% | 686 | 661 | 63.4\% |
|  | NO | 64 | 41 | 27.0\% | 210 | 253 | 28.4\% | 274 | 294 | 28.2\% |
|  | NO OPINION / DON'T CARE | 29 | 17 | 11.5\% | 60 | 70 | 7.9\% | 89 | 87 | 8.4\% |
| Total |  | 251 | 150 | 100.0\% | 798 | 892 | 100.0\% | 1049 | 1042 | 100.0\% |
| What nighttime activity is missing in Loudoun County? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | FINE DINING | 13 | 7 | 19.7\% | 34 | 39 | 17.8\% | 47 | 46 | 18.0\% |
|  | INTERNATIONAL DINING | 7 | 3 | 8.1\% | 13 | 18 | 8.1\% | 20 | 20 | 8.1\% |
|  | BARS \& NIGHTCLUBS | 8 | 6 | 17.8\% | 43 | 66 | 30.3\% | 51 | 72 | 28.6\% |
|  | LIVE ENTERTAINMENT AND MUSIC | 15 | 10 | 28.8\% | 46 | 51 | 23.2\% | 61 | 60 | 23.9\% |
|  | SYMPHONY OR ORCHESTRA | 3 | 1 | 3.8\% | 22 | 19 | 8.7\% | 25 | 20 | 8.0\% |



|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving commuter bus service in the next few years? | Very important | 80 | 48 | 32.7\% | 338 | 425 | 47.7\% | 418 | 474 | 45.6\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 87 | 59 | 39.7\% | 263 | 280 | 31.4\% | 350 | 339 | 32.6\% |
|  | Not too important | 39 | 22 | 14.8\% | 102 | 104 | 11.6\% | 141 | 126 | 12.1\% |
|  | Not important at all | 38 | 19 | 12.8\% | 89 | 82 | 9.2\% | 127 | 101 | 9.7\% |
| Total |  | 244 | 148 | 100.0\% | 792 | 891 | 100.0\% | 1036 | 1039 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on providing rail transit service in the next few years? | Very important | 103 | 57 | 37.1\% | 467 | 536 | 58.5\% | 570 | 593 | 55.5\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 48 | 31 | 20.5\% | 185 | 208 | 22.6\% | 233 | 239 | 22.3\% |
|  | Not too important | 40 | 31 | 20.1\% | 82 | 89 | 9.7\% | 122 | 120 | 11.2\% |
|  | Not important at all | 61 | 34 | 22.3\% | 84 | 84 | 9.1\% | 145 | 118 | 11.0\% |
| Total |  | 252 | 152 | 100.0\% | 818 | 917 | 100.0\% | 1070 | 1069 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving local bus service in the next few years? | Very important | 69 | 42 | 28.2\% | 250 | 323 | 35.7\% | 319 | 365 | 34.7\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 79 | 49 | 33.1\% | 278 | 308 | 34.0\% | 357 | 357 | 33.9\% |
|  | Not too important | 49 | 33 | 22.4\% | 154 | 160 | 17.7\% | 203 | 194 | 18.4\% |
|  | Not important at all | 47 | 24 | 16.4\% | 117 | 113 | 12.5\% | 164 | 138 | 13.0\% |
| Total |  | 244 | 149 | 100.0\% | 799 | 905 | 100.0\% | 1043 | 1054 | 100.0\% |
| Do you use public transportation | Yes | 51 | 31 | 19.7\% | 211 | 256 | 27.3\% | 262 | 287 | 26.2\% |
|  | No | 209 | 125 | 80.3\% | 622 | 682 | 72.7\% | 831 | 807 | 73.8\% |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 833 | 938 | 100.0\% | 1093 | 1094 | 100.0\% |
| What public transportation do you use? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | BUS | 33 | 21 | 68.0\% | 129 | 171 | 67.3\% | 162 | 192 | 67.4\% |
|  | TRAIN | 8 | 7 | 21.5\% | 13 | 14 | 5.5\% | 21 | 21 | 7.3\% |
|  | METRO | 27 | 16 | 53.2\% | 123 | 139 | 55.0\% | 150 | 156 | 54.8\% |
|  | VAN POOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Taxi/hired car/limo |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 1.4\% | 5 | 3 | 1.2\% |
|  | Government/nonprofit transportation service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Car pool/slugging |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.3\% | 1 | 1 | 0.2\% |
|  | Other | 3 | 2 | 6.8\% | 12 | 15 | 6.0\% | 15 | 17 | 6.1\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 31 | 100.0\% | 210 | 254 | 100.0\% | 261 | 284 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | W\% |  |  |  |
| Why don't you use public transportation? <br> (Respondent can pick all that apply) | TAKES TOO MUCH TIME, TOO INEFFICIENT WITH TIME, WAIT TIME | 21 | 11 | 8.5\% | 90 | 80 | 11.9\% | 111 | 91 | 11.4\% |
|  | DOESN'T GO WHERE I NEED IT TO GO | 60 | 32 | 26.1\% | 163 | 199 | 29.5\% | 223 | 232 | 29.0\% |
|  | I HAVE TO GO TOO FAR FROM HOME TO GET TO IT | 39 | 28 | 22.4\% | 87 | 114 | 16.8\% | 126 | 141 | 17.7\% |
|  | I NEED FLEXIBILITY TO LEAVE WORK FOR ERRANDS OR IN AN EMERGENCY | 20 | 11 | 8.7\% | 66 | 66 | 9.7\% | 86 | 77 | 9.6\% |
|  | IT COSTS TOO MUCH | 3 | 6 | 4.8\% | 15 | 14 | 2.0\% | 18 | 20 | 2.5\% |
|  | SAFETY ISSUES | 2 | 1 | 0.8\% | 9 | 7 | 1.1\% | 11 | 8 | 1.1\% |
|  | OTHER (SPECIFY) | 7 | 5 | 4.4\% | 87 | 68 | 10.1\% | 94 | 73 | 9.2\% |
|  | HAVE A CAR (added code) | 40 | 26 | 20.6\% | 101 | 125 | 18.4\% | 141 | 150 | 18.8\% |
|  | RETIRED/UNEMPLOYED /CARPOOL (added code) | 17 | 10 | 7.9\% | 35 | 34 | 5.1\% | 52 | 44 | 5.5\% |
|  | WORK FROM HOME/WORK IS TOO CLOSE TO HOME (added code) | 9 | 4 | 3.6\% | 25 | 27 | 4.1\% | 34 | 32 | 4.0\% |
|  | THERE IS NONE AVAILABLE/NO INFORMATION (added code) | 17 | 9 | 7.6\% | 33 | 41 | 6.1\% | 50 | 51 | 6.4\% |
| Total |  | 208 | 125 | 100.0\% | 610 | 675 | 100.0\% | 818 | 800 | 100.0\% |
| Are there types of County facilities that you would like to see more of | THERE ARE ENOUGH | 156 | 84 | 56.9\% | 504 | 557 | 64.6\% | 660 | 641 | 63.5\% |
|  | ANIMAL SHELTER | 1 | 1 | 0.3\% | 5 | 5 | 0.6\% | 6 | 5 | 0.5\% |
|  | COMMUNITY CENTER | 4 | 2 | 1.4\% | 21 | 33 | 3.8\% | 25 | 35 | 3.5\% |


|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES RESIDENTIAL FACILITY | 2 | 1 | 0.4\% | 2 | 1 | 0.1\% | 4 | 2 | 0.2\% |
|  | FIRE/RESCUE STATION | 1 | 1 | 0.5\% | 4 | 6 | 0.7\% | 5 | 7 | 0.7\% |
|  | GOVERNMENT OFFICES |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.1\% | 2 | 1 | 0.1\% |
|  | HEALTH CLINIC | 2 | 1 | 0.7\% | 12 | 11 | 1.2\% | 14 | 12 | 1.2\% |
|  | LIBRARY | 5 | 5 | 3.1\% | 28 | 29 | 3.3\% | 33 | 33 | 3.3\% |
|  | MENTAL HEALTH RESIDENTIAL FACILITY | 2 | 5 | 3.1\% | 2 | 1 | 0.1\% | 4 | 5 | 0.5\% |
|  | PARK AND RIDE LOT | 5 | 2 | 1.6\% | 4 | 3 | 0.3\% | 9 | 5 | 0.5\% |
|  | PARKS, ACTIVE(EX: <br> ATHLETIC FIELDS, <br> INCLUDING BASEBALL, <br> SOFTBALL,\& SOCCER) | 23 | 17 | 11.7\% | 68 | 75 | 8.8\% | 91 | 93 | 9.2\% |
|  | PARKS, PASSIVE (EX: NATURE PRESERVES, HIKING TRAILS, ETC.) | 14 | 11 | 7.2\% | 53 | 70 | 8.1\% | 67 | 81 | 8.0\% |
|  | RECREATION CENTER | 20 | 14 | 9.3\% | 77 | 94 | 10.9\% | 97 | 108 | 10.7\% |
|  | RECYCLING DROP-OFF CENTER | 2 | 1 | 0.8\% | 3 | 2 | 0.3\% | 5 | 4 | 0.4\% |
|  | RESPITE CENTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SENIOR CENTER | 6 | 4 | 2.4\% | 12 | 8 | 0.9\% | 18 | 12 | 1.1\% |
|  | SHERIFF STATION | 1 | 0 | 0.2\% | 7 | 14 | 1.6\% | 8 | 14 | 1.4\% |
|  | TEEN CENTER | 5 | 2 | 1.5\% | 33 | 48 | 5.6\% | 38 | 50 | 5.0\% |
|  | OTHER | 27 | 20 | 13.6\% | 71 | 83 | 9.6\% | 98 | 103 | 10.2\% |
| Total |  | 244 | 148 | 100.0\% | 767 | 861 | 100.0\% | 1011 | 1009 | 100.0\% |
| Respondent's gender | Male | 137 | 77 | 49.2\% | 423 | 458 | 48.7\% | 560 | 535 | 48.8\% |
|  | Female | 124 | 79 | 50.8\% | 412 | 482 | 51.3\% | 536 | 561 | 51.2\% |
| Total |  | 261 | 156 | 100.0\% | 835 | 940 | 100.0\% | 1096 | 1096 | 100.0\% |
| Do you consider yourself to be of Hispanic or Latino origin? | YES | 11 | 7 | 4.6\% | 48 | 99 | 10.9\% | 59 | 106 | 10.0\% |
|  | NO | 239 | 144 | 95.4\% | 766 | 816 | 89.1\% | 1005 | 960 | 90.0\% |



|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | W\% |  |  |  |
| Age of respondent (recoded) | 18 to 24 | 14 | 12 | 8.7\% | 37 | 77 | 9.1\% | 51 | 88 | 9.0\% |
|  | 25 to 34 | 15 | 12 | 8.7\% | 78 | 166 | 19.7\% | 93 | 178 | 18.2\% |
|  | 35 to 64 | 148 | 91 | 67.3\% | 496 | 528 | 62.7\% | 644 | 619 | 63.3\% |
|  | 65+ | 61 | 21 | 15.3\% | 139 | 71 | 8.5\% | 200 | 92 | 9.4\% |
| Total |  | 238 | 136 | 100.0\% | 750 | 842 | 100.0\% | 988 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| the range that best describes your annual household income from all sources in 2010? That would be before taxes and other deductions | Less than 10 thousand? [\$0 -- \$9,999] | 4 | 3 | 2.2\% | 6 | 13 | 1.8\% | 10 | 16 | 1.9\% |
|  | 10 to 30 thousand? [\$10,000 -- \$29,999] | 9 | 4 | 3.6\% | 28 | 39 | 5.2\% | 37 | 43 | 5.0\% |
|  | 30 to 50 thousand? [\$30,000 -- \$49,999] | 11 | 4 | 3.6\% | 54 | 71 | 9.5\% | 65 | 75 | 8.7\% |
|  | 50 to 75 thousand? [\$50,000 -- \$74,999] | 16 | 10 | 8.7\% | 63 | 60 | 8.1\% | 79 | 70 | 8.2\% |
|  | 75 to 100 thousand? <br> [\$75,000 -- \$99,999] | 33 | 20 | 17.5\% | 80 | 102 | 13.8\% | 113 | 122 | 14.3\% |
|  | 100 to 125 thousand? <br> [\$100,000 -- \$124,999] | 42 | 30 | 26.1\% | 84 | 96 | 12.9\% | 126 | 126 | 14.7\% |
|  | 125 to 150 thousand? <br> [\$125,000 -- \$149,999] | 16 | 6 | 5.2\% | 88 | 98 | 13.2\% | 104 | 104 | 12.1\% |
|  | 150 to 175 thousand? <br> [\$150,000 -- \$174,999] | 14 | 6 | 5.0\% | 70 | 84 | 11.3\% | 84 | 90 | 10.5\% |
|  | 175 to 200 thousand? <br> [\$175,000 -- \$199,999] | 13 | 10 | 9.1\% | 40 | 42 | 5.7\% | 53 | 53 | 6.2\% |
|  | Over 200 thousand? [\$200,000 + ] | 38 | 22 | 18.9\% | 128 | 138 | 18.5\% | 166 | 159 | 18.6\% |
| Total |  | 196 | 114 | 100.0\% | 641 | 744 | 100.0\% | 837 | 858 | 100.0\% |

# Appendix D: <br> Results by Age 

## Cross-tabulation tables

Cross-tabulation tables count survey cases in categories defined by two variables simultaneously. For example, how many residents in the survey live in the rural area and use public transportation? How many live in the non-rural area and use public transportation? How many live in the rural area and don't use public transportation? How many live in the non-rural area and don't use public transportation? This would be a cross-tabulation table of use of public transportation and rural/non-rural status.
In appendices $C$ through $E$, the survey questions are compared by three demographic variables: rural/non-rural status, age of the respondent and race/ethnicity of the respondent. In these tables, the percentages add up to 100 within each demographic category (that is, within each column of the table). A total percentage is also displayed. These cross-tabulation tables allow the reader to compare the responses of, for instance, Asian Americans to those of Whites.
In these cross-tabulation tables, "uN" indicates the number of residents who answered a particular way. This number can be used as an indication of how reliable the percentages are. If the unweighted total N for the question is small, it may not be wise to generalize the percentages to the larger population. The definition of "small" depends on the user's tolerance for error. Questions answered by fewer than 25 or 30 residents in total should not be generalized to the county population, and perhaps fewer than 50 cases would serve as a threshold for more conservative users.

The "wN" column indicates the weighted count of residents who answered a particular way.
The "w\%" column indicates the weighted percentage of residents who answered a particular way, within categories displayed across the top of the table. This allows for comparisons across, for example, residents in the rural and non-rural areas of the county.
As in Appendix B, however, the cross-tabulation tables for the multiple response variables are more difficult to interpret. In Appendices C through F, for multiple response variables the statistics for the individual responses show the number of "votes" each response received and the percentage of residents who "voted" that way. Because residents can select more than one response, these percentages can total to more than 100. The total lines for multiple response items in Appendices C through F, however, will show the number of residents who responded and a total percent of 100.

Note that the total responses to questions may vary across cross-tabulation appendices because different numbers of respondents may have declined to provide information for the different cross-tabulation variables.

|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | $65+$ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Which of the following housing types do you live in? | Townhouse or duplex | 11 | 24 | 27.0\% | 33 | 68 | 38.4\% | 120 | 119 | 19.3\% | 19 | 13 | 13.9\% | 183 | 225 | 23.0\% |
|  | Single family home | 35 | 55 | 62.3\% | 40 | 72 | 40.4\% | 484 | 443 | 71.5\% | 139 | 63 | 68.3\% | 698 | 633 | 64.7\% |
|  | Apartment or condo | 5 | 9 | 10.7\% | 20 | 38 | 21.2\% | 39 | 56 | 9.1\% | 40 | 16 | 17.1\% | 104 | 119 | 12.2\% |
|  | Other (SPECIFY) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.1\% | 2 | 1 | 0.7\% | 3 | 1 | 0.1\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 988 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| How long have you lived in Loudoun County? (recoded) | 0-3 years | 6 | 9 | 10.4\% | 30 | 49 | 27.8\% | 71 | 78 | 12.5\% | 33 | 21 | 22.8\% | 140 | 157 | 16.1\% |
|  | 4-10 years | 11 | 17 | 19.0\% | 37 | 80 | 45.1\% | 242 | 267 | 43.0\% | 44 | 20 | 22.4\% | 334 | 384 | 39.3\% |
|  | More than 10 years | 34 | 62 | 70.6\% | 26 | 48 | 27.1\% | 331 | 275 | 44.4\% | 121 | 50 | 54.8\% | 512 | 436 | 44.6\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 198 | 91 | 100.0\% | 986 | 977 | 100.0\% |
| Did you move to Loudoun County from somewhere else? | Yes | 37 | 67 | 75.8\% | 81 | 155 | 87.1\% | 621 | 590 | 95.3\% | 183 | 86 | 93.4\% | 922 | 898 | 91.8\% |
|  | No | 14 | 21 | 24.2\% | 12 | 23 | 12.9\% | 23 | 29 | 4.7\% | 17 | 6 | 6.6\% | 66 | 80 | 8.2\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 988 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| In what city or county did you live before moving to Loudoun County? | Alexandria City, VA | 2 | 2 | 2.3\% | 2 | 2 | 1.2\% | 14 | 9 | 1.5\% | 6 | 2 | 2.3\% | 24 | 14 | 1.6\% |
|  | Arlington County, VA |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 4.0\% | 17 | 15 | 2.6\% | 5 | 2 | 1.9\% | 25 | 23 | 2.6\% |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas and Manassas Park) |  |  |  | 4 | 8 | 5.5\% | 22 | 23 | 3.9\% | 2 | 1 | 0.9\% | 28 | 32 | 3.6\% |
|  | Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities) | 11 | 19 | 28.5\% | 33 | 73 | 47.9\% | 246 | 236 | 40.4\% | 69 | 32 | 37.8\% | 359 | 361 | 40.5\% |
|  | Frederick County, MD |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.8\% | 3 | 3 | 0.5\% | 3 | 1 | 1.1\% | 7 | 5 | 0.6\% |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 1 | 2 | 3.3\% | 1 | 1 | 0.9\% | 21 | 20 | 3.4\% | 5 | 2 | 2.0\% | 28 | 25 | 2.8\% |
|  | Washington, DC |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 0.5\% | 4 | 1 | 1.5\% | 8 | 4 | 0.5\% |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 1 | 1 | 2.0\% | 3 | 2 | 1.4\% | 37 | 26 | 4.5\% | 11 | 5 | 6.3\% | 52 | 35 | 3.9\% |
|  | A city or county outside the Metro DC area | 21 | 42 | 63.9\% | 32 | 58 | 38.2\% | 252 | 250 | 42.8\% | 77 | 40 | 46.1\% | 382 | 390 | 43.9\% |
| Total |  | 36 | 66 | 100.0\% | 79 | 153 | 100.0\% | 616 | 585 | 100.0\% | 182 | 86 | 100.0\% | 913 | 890 | 100.0\% |
| What single factor influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County? | Schools or community services | 4 | 4 | 7.0\% | 7 | 11 | 6.9\% | 57 | 61 | 10.3\% | 4 | 2 | 2.4\% | 72 | 78 | 8.7\% |
|  | Housing affordability | 3 | 9 | 14.4\% | 21 | 47 | 30.2\% | 163 | 144 | 24.7\% | 35 | 15 | 18.0\% | 222 | 215 | 24.3\% |
|  | Location of work site | 10 | 20 | 32.8\% | 27 | 48 | 30.7\% | 196 | 196 | 33.4\% | 44 | 22 | 26.0\% | 277 | 286 | 32.2\% |
|  | Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 8 | 1.3\% | 3 | 1 | 1.2\% | 14 | 9 | 1.0\% |
|  | Quality of overall community |  |  |  | 3 | 8 | 5.0\% | 28 | 34 | 5.8\% | 13 | 5 | 6.1\% | 44 | 47 | 5.3\% |
|  | Family considerations | 16 | 28 | 45.1\% | 19 | 35 | 22.6\% | 77 | 67 | 11.5\% | 52 | 26 | 30.4\% | 164 | 156 | 17.6\% |
|  | Rural character/beauty | 1 | 0 | 0.7\% | 2 | 2 | 1.5\% | 45 | 40 | 6.8\% | 15 | 8 | 9.9\% | 63 | 51 | 5.7\% |
|  | Other (specify) |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 3.2\% | 38 | 36 | 6.2\% | 15 | 5 | 6.1\% | 55 | 46 | 5.2\% |
| Total |  | 34 | 62 | 100.0\% | 81 | 155 | 100.0\% | 615 | 585 | 100.0\% | 181 | 85 | 100.0\% | 911 | 887 | 100.0\% |
| How safe do you feel in your neighborhood | Very safe | 35 | 58 | 65.8\% | 72 | 136 | 76.3\% | 441 | 397 | 64.2\% | 140 | 61 | 65.9\% | 688 | 652 | 66.7\% |
|  | Safe | 15 | 29 | 32.7\% | 21 | 42 | 23.7\% | 191 | 204 | 33.1\% | 58 | 31 | 33.5\% | 285 | 306 | 31.3\% |
|  | Unsafe | 1 | 1 | 1.5\% |  |  |  | 8 | 14 | 2.3\% | 2 | 1 | 0.7\% | 11 | 16 | 1.6\% |
|  | Very unsafe |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0.4\% |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0.3\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 643 | 618 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 987 | 977 | 100.0\% |



|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | Washington, DC | 8 | 17 | 19.9\% | 8 | 17 | 9.8\% | 70 | 70 | 12.1\% | 5 | 2 | 5.7\% | 91 | 107 | 12.1\% |
|  | Arlington County, VA | 4 | 3 | 3.7\% | 6 | 16 | 9.0\% | 33 | 35 | 6.1\% | 5 | 3 | 6.2\% | 48 | 57 | 6.4\% |
|  | Alexandria City, VA | 1 | 1 | 1.3\% | 5 | 8 | 4.4\% | 9 | 5 | 0.9\% |  |  |  | 15 | 14 | 1.6\% |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas) | 1 | 2 | 2.6\% | 1 | 1 | 0.6\% | 8 | 12 | 2.1\% | 1 | 0 | 0.8\% | 11 | 16 | 1.8\% |
|  | Frederick County, MD |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 6 | 1.0\% |  |  |  | 8 | 6 | 0.6\% |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 3 | 6 | 7.0\% | 3 | 9 | 5.0\% | 19 | 17 | 3.0\% | 3 | 1 | 2.6\% | 28 | 33 | 3.7\% |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 9 | 15 | 17.9\% | 7 | 10 | 5.7\% | 35 | 38 | 6.6\% | 6 | 4 | 9.9\% | 57 | 67 | 7.6\% |
|  | A city or county outside the Metro DC area | 3 | 2 | 2.9\% | 4 | 9 | 5.0\% | 40 | 37 | 6.4\% | 3 | 2 | 5.5\% | 50 | 51 | 5.7\% |
| Total |  | 47 | 85 | 100.0\% | 89 | 174 | 100.0\% | 602 | 582 | 100.0\% | 69 | 41 | 100.0\% | 807 | 882 | 100.0\% |
| How many members of | 0 | 17 | 31 | 61.3\% | 28 | 47 | 68.1\% | 199 | 189 | 64.5\% | 19 | 8 | 64.0\% | 263 | 275 | 64.7\% |
| your household | 1 | 6 | 15 | 28.4\% | 8 | 18 | 26.5\% | 80 | 88 | 30.3\% | 6 | 3 | 27.6\% | 100 | 125 | 29.3\% |
| telecommute or work full | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 5.4\% | 19 | 14 | 4.9\% | 2 | 1 | 8.4\% | 22 | 19 | 4.5\% |
| time in a business based | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10.3\% |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.2\% |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 1.4\% |
| in your home? | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.1\% |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.1\% |
| Total |  | 25 | 51 | 100.0\% | 37 | 69 | 100.0\% | 300 | 292 | 100.0\% | 27 | 12 | 100.0\% | 389 | 425 | 100.0\% |
| Are you a federal | YES |  |  |  | 10 | 14 | 10.5\% | 80 | 74 | 14.5\% | 6 | 3 | 8.9\% | 96 | 91 | 13.4\% |
| employee? | NO | 1 | 1 | 100.0\% | 61 | 121 | 89.5\% | 454 | 436 | 85.5\% | 39 | 27 | 91.1\% | 555 | 586 | 86.6\% |
| Total |  | 1 | 1 | 100.0\% | 71 | 136 | 100.0\% | 534 | 510 | 100.0\% | 45 | 30 | 100.0\% | 651 | 676 | 100.0\% |
| Is any other member of | YES | 4 | 9 | 11.7\% | 8 | 26 | 18.0\% | 54 | 54 | 12.4\% | 3 | 2 | 10.2\% | 69 | 92 | 13.4\% |
| your household a federal employee? | NO | 42 | 71 | 88.3\% | 64 | 119 | 82.0\% | 396 | 384 | 87.6\% | 46 | 20 | 89.8\% | 548 | 594 | 86.6\% |
| Total |  | 46 | 81 | 100.0\% | 72 | 145 | 100.0\% | 450 | 438 | 100.0\% | 49 | 22 | 100.0\% | 617 | 686 | 100.0\% |
| How are federal | Better |  |  |  | 20 | 42 | 44.0\% | 184 | 170 | 45.4\% | 11 | 4 | 17.6\% | 215 | 217 | 43.7\% |
| expenditures for your own | Worse |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 4.0\% | 40 | 40 | 10.6\% | 8 | 10 | 41.4\% | 51 | 54 | 10.9\% |
| job security | Don't matter | 1 | 1 | 100.0\% | 29 | 50 | 52.0\% | 168 | 164 | 43.9\% | 16 | 10 | 41.1\% | 214 | 226 | 45.5\% |
| Total |  | 1 | 1 | 100.0\% | 52 | 96 | 100.0\% | 392 | 374 | 100.0\% | 35 | 25 | 100.0\% | 480 | 496 | 100.0\% |
| Do you have access to the | Yes | 49 | 87 | 98.2\% | 89 | 168 | 94.6\% | 623 | 596 | 96.2\% | 176 | 77 | 84.0\% | 937 | 928 | 94.9\% |
| internet in your home? | No | 2 | 2 | 1.8\% | 4 | 10 | 5.4\% | 21 | 24 | 3.8\% | 24 | 15 | 16.0\% | 51 | 49 | 5.1\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 988 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| How do you access the Internet? | Dial-up connection using a phone line and modem | 1 | 1 | 1.3\% |  |  |  | 17 | 11 | 1.8\% | 14 | 4 | 6.2\% | 32 | 16 | 1.8\% |
|  | Comcast cable | 11 | 12 | 14.1\% | 26 | 52 | 31.4\% | 120 | 114 | 19.7\% | 37 | 14 | 19.4\% | 194 | 191 | 21.3\% |
|  | DSL | 1 | 0 | 0.5\% | 10 | 17 | 10.1\% | 59 | 53 | 9.2\% | 14 | 5 | 6.9\% | 84 | 75 | 8.3\% |
|  | Fiber (such as OpenBand or Verizon) | 14 | 29 | 34.7\% | 29 | 50 | 30.3\% | 282 | 268 | 46.6\% | 65 | 35 | 49.1\% | 390 | 383 | 42.7\% |
|  | Satellite (such as DirecWay) | 1 | 2 | 2.0\% |  |  |  | 25 | 12 | 2.0\% | 11 | 4 | 4.9\% | 37 | 17 | 1.9\% |
|  | Wireless (such as Loudoun Broadband, Skynet, Verolan or Loudoun Wireless) | 23 | 47 | 55.3\% | 24 | 49 | 29.7\% | 117 | 128 | 22.3\% | 35 | 13 | 18.1\% | 199 | 237 | 26.4\% |
|  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0.3\% | 2 | 1 | 0.9\% | 4 | 2 | 0.2\% |
| Total |  | 47 | 84 | 100.0\% | 87 | 165 | 100.0\% | 605 | 575 | 100.0\% | 166 | 72 | 100.0\% | 905 | 897 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | $65+$ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | UN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| In which of the following ways do you receive information about Loudoun County programs and services? | Newspapers (Online or hard copy) | 31 | 55 | 62.7\% | 54 | 98 | 54.9\% | 461 | 419 | 69.0\% | 169 | 77 | 84.1\% | 715 | 649 | 67.2\% |
|  | Radio | 9 | 11 | 12.6\% | 14 | 20 | 11.0\% | 143 | 130 | 21.5\% | 38 | 20 | 21.9\% | 204 | 181 | 18.8\% |
|  | Television | 9 | 14 | 15.8\% | 18 | 24 | 13.3\% | 167 | 154 | 25.4\% | 69 | 37 | 40.3\% | 263 | 229 | 23.7\% |
|  | County Website | 16 | 23 | 25.9\% | 42 | 84 | 47.0\% | 283 | 266 | 43.8\% | 57 | 31 | 34.1\% | 398 | 403 | 41.8\% |
|  | Social Media (Facebook, <br> Twitter, LinkedIn, <br> YouTude) | 11 | 11 | 12.7\% | 21 | 41 | 22.8\% | 124 | 116 | 19.1\% | 25 | 14 | 15.0\% | 181 | 182 | 18.8\% |
|  | Alert Loudoun (Subscription to receive county news and information) | 13 | 27 | 30.1\% | 19 | 30 | 16.6\% | 213 | 205 | 33.8\% | 27 | 16 | 17.5\% | 272 | 277 | 28.8\% |
|  | Public Libraries | 8 | 13 | 14.5\% | 13 | 21 | 12.0\% | 144 | 135 | 22.3\% | 47 | 23 | 24.8\% | 212 | 192 | 19.9\% |
|  | Parks and Recreation brochure | 8 | 11 | 12.8\% | 23 | 33 | 18.4\% | 221 | 226 | 37.3\% | 48 | 22 | 24.1\% | 300 | 293 | 30.3\% |
|  | Public meetings | 3 | 7 | 7.4\% | 4 | 4 | 2.2\% | 93 | 84 | 13.9\% | 30 | 15 | 16.6\% | 130 | 110 | 11.4\% |
|  | Word of mouth | 22 | 33 | 37.1\% | 31 | 54 | 30.1\% | 248 | 225 | 37.1\% | 74 | 39 | 42.4\% | 375 | 350 | 36.3\% |
|  | Other | 8 | 14 | 15.7\% | 11 | 20 | 11.5\% | 81 | 89 | 14.7\% | 24 | 10 | 10.6\% | 124 | 133 | 13.8\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 632 | 607 | 100.0\% | 199 | 92 | 100.0\% | 975 | 965 | 100.0\% |
| In what newspapers do you get information about Loudoun County programs and services? | LOUDOUN TIMESMIRROR | 23 | 45 | 81.2\% | 38 | 74 | 75.3\% | 303 | 279 | 66.6\% | 88 | 44 | 57.4\% | 452 | 441 | 68.0\% |
|  | LEESBURG TODAY | 8 | 13 | 22.8\% | 18 | 26 | 26.9\% | 201 | 176 | 42.0\% | 79 | 34 | 44.5\% | 306 | 249 | 38.4\% |
|  | WASHINGTON POST | 9 | 12 | 22.6\% | 7 | 15 | 15.8\% | 132 | 121 | 28.8\% | 87 | 34 | 44.1\% | 235 | 183 | 28.1\% |
|  | PATCH.COM | 1 | 1 | 0.9\% | 1 | 1 | 1.2\% | 3 | 4 | 0.9\% | 1 | 0 | 0.4\% | 6 | 5 | 0.8\% |
|  | BLUE RIDGE LEADER | 2 | 2 | 2.9\% | 5 | 2 | 2.3\% | 18 | 9 | 2.0\% | 4 | 1 | 1.5\% | 29 | 14 | 2.1\% |
|  | CONNECTION |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 0.8\% |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 0.5\% |
|  | PURCELLVILLE GAZETTE | 4 | 3 | 5.1\% | 1 | 1 | 0.5\% | 53 | 33 | 7.8\% | 26 | 9 | 11.7\% | 84 | 45 | 6.9\% |
|  | Other | 4 | 5 | 9.1\% | 6 | 8 | 7.8\% | 67 | 66 | 15.8\% | 23 | 11 | 14.8\% | 100 | 90 | 13.9\% |
| Total |  | 31 | 55 | 100.0\% | 54 | 98 | 100.0\% | 461 | 419 | 100.0\% | 169 | 77 | 100.0\% | 715 | 649 | 100.0\% |
| How many of the school age children in your household attend public school? | 0 | 3 | 3 | 8.4\% | 2 | 2 | 2.5\% | 38 | 45 | 12.4\% | 1 | 0 | 11.8\% | 44 | 49 | 10.7\% |
|  | 1 | 12 | 21 | 60.0\% | 19 | 39 | 61.3\% | 113 | 108 | 30.1\% | 2 | 1 | 25.3\% | 146 | 169 | 36.6\% |
|  | 2 | 6 | 8 | 23.9\% | 7 | 8 | 13.0\% | 134 | 137 | 38.1\% | 3 | 2 | 62.9\% | 150 | 155 | 33.7\% |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6.3\% | 6 | 15 | 23.3\% | 47 | 56 | 15.6\% |  |  |  | 55 | 73 | 15.8\% |
|  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1.5\% |  |  |  | 10 | 14 | 3.8\% |  |  |  | 11 | 14 | 3.1\% |
| Total |  | 24 | 35 | 100.0\% | 34 | 63 | 100.0\% | 342 | 360 | 100.0\% | 6 | 3 | 100.0\% | 406 | 461 | 100.0\% |
| In the past two years, have you used the public schools? | Have used | 35 | 61 | 68.6\% | 36 | 63 | 35.7\% | 378 | 375 | 60.6\% | 33 | 22 | 23.5\% | 482 | 521 | 53.3\% |
|  | Have not used | 16 | 28 | 31.4\% | 57 | 115 | 64.3\% | 266 | 244 | 39.4\% | 166 | 70 | 76.5\% | 505 | 456 | 46.7\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 199 | 92 | 100.0\% | 987 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Schools | Very satisfied | 19 | 32 | 52.3\% | 25 | 44 | 68.7\% | 207 | 216 | 57.7\% | 22 | 13 | 59.6\% | 273 | 305 | 58.5\% |
|  | Satisfied | 11 | 21 | 34.7\% | 10 | 19 | 29.5\% | 141 | 129 | 34.5\% | 8 | 7 | 31.4\% | 170 | 176 | 33.8\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | 5 | 7.8\% |  | 1 | 1.8\% | 22 | 21 | 5.7\% | 2 | 2 | 7.3\% | 28 | 29 | 5.5\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 2.0\% |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 1.5\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 2 | 3 | 5.1\% |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.1\% | 1 | 0 | 1.6\% | 4 | 4 | 0.8\% |
| Total |  | 35 | 61 | 100.0\% | 36 | 63 | 100.0\% | 377 | 375 | 100.0\% | 33 | 22 | 100.0\% | 481 | 521 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | UN | WN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Parks and Recreation | Have used | 36 | 65 | 74.0\% | 68 | 130 | 73.0\% | 476 | 459 | 74.2\% | 106 | 50 | 54.5\% | 686 | 704 | 72.1\% |
|  | Have not used | 14 | 23 | 26.0\% | 25 | 48 | 27.0\% | 166 | 159 | 25.8\% | 94 | 42 | 45.5\% | 299 | 272 | 27.9\% |
| Total |  | 50 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 642 | 618 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 985 | 976 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Parks and Recreation | Very satisfied | 19 | 38 | 58.4\% | 43 | 75 | 57.6\% | 277 | 270 | 59.0\% | 64 | 29 | 59.1\% | 403 | 413 | 58.7\% |
|  | Satisfied | 17 | 27 | 41.6\% | 24 | 55 | 42.2\% | 181 | 162 | 35.4\% | 37 | 16 | 31.2\% | 259 | 259 | 36.9\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 19 | 4.2\% | 3 | 4 | 8.9\% | 16 | 24 | 3.4\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.2\% | 2 | 1 | 0.3\% |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 0.2\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 1.1\% | 1 | 0 | 0.8\% | 3 | 5 | 0.8\% |
| Total |  | 36 | 65 | 100.0\% | 68 | 130 | 100.0\% | 475 | 458 | 100.0\% | 105 | 50 | 100.0\% | 684 | 703 | 100.0\% |
| The Public Libraries | Have used | 41 | 74 | 84.1\% | 61 | 105 | 59.1\% | 482 | 453 | 73.3\% | 136 | 61 | 66.2\% | 720 | 693 | 71.0\% |
|  | Have not used | 9 | 14 | 15.9\% | 32 | 73 | 40.9\% | 160 | 165 | 26.7\% | 64 | 31 | 33.8\% | 265 | 283 | 29.0\% |
| Total |  | 50 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 642 | 618 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 985 | 976 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Libraries | Very satisfied | 28 | 46 | 62.7\% | 46 | 84 | 79.5\% | 330 | 309 | 68.2\% | 107 | 47 | 76.5\% | 511 | 485 | 70.0\% |
|  | Satisfied | 10 | 23 | 31.0\% | 15 | 22 | 20.5\% | 139 | 129 | 28.6\% | 26 | 12 | 20.3\% | 190 | 186 | 26.9\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | 5 | 6.3\% |  |  |  | 10 | 13 | 2.9\% | 2 | 2 | 2.6\% | 15 | 19 | 2.8\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 0.4\% | 1 | 0 | 0.6\% | 4 | 2 | 0.3\% |
| Total |  | 41 | 74 | 100.0\% | 61 | 105 | 100.0\% | 482 | 453 | 100.0\% | 136 | 61 | 100.0\% | 720 | 693 | 100.0\% |
| Sheriff Station | Have used | 15 | 27 | 30.7\% | 20 | 42 | 23.8\% | 163 | 155 | 25.0\% | 23 | 8 | 8.2\% | 221 | 232 | 23.7\% |
|  | Have not used | 36 | 61 | 69.3\% | 73 | 136 | 76.2\% | 481 | 465 | 75.0\% | 177 | 85 | 91.8\% | 767 | 746 | 76.3\% |
|  |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 988 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Sheriff Station | Very satisfied | 6 | 9 | 31.7\% | 8 | 12 | 27.3\% | 87 | 79 | 51.2\% | 11 | 4 | 50.1\% | 112 | 103 | 44.5\% |
|  | Satisfied | 5 | 9 | 33.0\% | 12 | 31 | 72.7\% | 63 | 62 | 40.2\% | 9 | 3 | 39.1\% | 89 | 105 | 45.3\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 2.0\% | 1 | 0 | 3.6\% | 5 | 3 | 1.4\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 2 | 4 | 15.7\% |  |  |  | 7 | 9 | 6.1\% | 1 | 0 | 3.6\% | 10 | 14 | 6.0\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 2 | 5 | 19.6\% |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.5\% | 1 | 0 | 3.6\% | 5 | 6 | 2.8\% |
| Total |  | 15 | 27 | 100.0\% | 20 | 42 | 100.0\% | 163 | 155 | 100.0\% | 23 | 8 | 100.0\% | 221 | 232 | 100.0\% |
| Building and Development Services | Have used | 3 | 3 | 3.8\% | 11 | 21 | 12.2\% | 104 | 85 | 14.1\% | 21 | 9 | 10.0\% | 139 | 119 | 12.4\% |
|  | Have not used | 47 | 84 | 96.2\% | 80 | 152 | 87.8\% | 531 | 518 | 85.9\% | 176 | 82 | 90.0\% | 834 | 836 | 87.6\% |
| Total |  | 50 | 87 | 100.0\% | 91 | 173 | 100.0\% | 635 | 603 | 100.0\% | 197 | 91 | 100.0\% | 973 | 955 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Building and Development Services | Very satisfied | 1 | 2 | 50.3\% | 5 | 8 | 35.6\% | 44 | 32 | 37.3\% | 9 | 3 | 35.0\% | 59 | 44 | 37.2\% |
|  | Satisfied | 2 | 2 | 49.7\% | 3 | 8 | 40.3\% | 44 | 40 | 46.6\% | 10 | 4 | 48.6\% | 59 | 54 | 45.7\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1.3\% | 8 | 9 | 10.2\% | 1 | 0 | 3.8\% | 10 | 9 | 7.8\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 22.8\% | 6 | 4 | 4.1\% |  |  |  | 8 | 8 | 7.0\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1.8\% | 1 | 1 | 12.6\% | 3 | 3 | 2.2\% |
| Total |  | 3 | 3 | 100.0\% | 11 | 21 | 100.0\% | 104 | 85 | 100.0\% | 21 | 9 | 100.0\% | 139 | 119 | 100.0\% |
| Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Mangement Services | Have used | 6 | 6 | 6.9\% | 19 | 39 | 22.1\% | 118 | 115 | 18.6\% | 43 | 19 | 20.5\% | 186 | 179 | 18.4\% |
|  | Have not used | 45 | 82 | 93.1\% | 74 | 139 | 77.9\% | 526 | 504 | 81.4\% | 157 | 73 | 79.5\% | 802 | 798 | 81.6\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 988 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Management Services | Very satisfied | 4 | 4 | 65.9\% | 16 | 33 | 84.7\% | 95 | 86 | 75.1\% | 40 | 17 | 91.1\% | 155 | 141 | 78.6\% |
|  | Satisfied | 2 | 2 | 34.1\% | 3 | 6 | 15.3\% | 18 | 23 | 20.3\% | 3 | 2 | 8.9\% | 26 | 33 | 18.5\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 1.3\% |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 0.8\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | 4 | 3.3\% |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2.1\% |


|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | UN | wN | w\% | UN | WN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 6 | 6 | 100.0\% | 19 | 39 | 100.0\% | 118 | 115 | 100.0\% | 43 | 19 | 100.0\% | 186 | 179 | 100.0\% |
| Mental Health, Substance Abuse Services, and Developmental Services | Have used | 4 | 3 | 3.7\% | 6 | 15 | 8.6\% | 24 | 27 | 4.3\% | 4 | 1 | 1.5\% | 38 | 47 | 4.8\% |
|  | Have not used | 47 | 85 | 96.3\% | 87 | 163 | 91.4\% | 619 | 592 | 95.7\% | 195 | 90 | 98.5\% | 948 | 930 | 95.2\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 643 | 619 | 100.0\% | 199 | 92 | 100.0\% | 986 | 977 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services | Very satisfied | 2 | 2 | 50.9\% | 3 | 6 | 42.5\% | 12 | 11 | 39.9\% | 1 | 0 | 24.5\% | 18 | 19 | 41.0\% |
|  | Satisfied | 2 | 2 | 49.1\% | 1 | 1 | 7.6\% | 6 | 7 | 26.4\% | 2 | 1 | 50.4\% | 11 | 11 | 22.5\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 25.9\% | 2 | 3 | 11.8\% | 1 | 0 | 25.2\% | 4 | 7 | 16.0\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 21.9\% |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 12.6\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 24.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 7.8\% |
| Total |  | 4 | 3 | 100.0\% | 6 | 15 | 100.0\% | 24 | 27 | 100.0\% | 4 | 1 | 100.0\% | 38 | 47 | 100.0\% |
| Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | Have used | 2 | 3 | 3.8\% | 5 | 12 | 6.6\% | 28 | 26 | 4.2\% | 10 | 5 | 5.9\% | 45 | 46 | 4.8\% |
|  | Have not used | 49 | 85 | 96.2\% | 88 | 166 | 93.4\% | 613 | 589 | 95.8\% | 190 | 87 | 94.1\% | 940 | 927 | 95.2\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 641 | 614 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 985 | 973 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | Very satisfied |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 41.4\% | 12 | 8 | 32.7\% | 4 | 2 | 42.8\% | 18 | 15 | 33.7\% |
|  | Satisfied | 1 | 1 | 34.2\% | 2 | 5 | 45.0\% | 8 | 10 | 38.1\% | 3 | 1 | 26.6\% | 14 | 18 | 38.3\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 13.6\% | 5 | 4 | 15.0\% | 1 | 0 | 7.5\% | 7 |  | 12.7\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 14.2\% | 1 | 1 | 23.0\% | 4 | 5 | 10.5\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 1 | 2 | 65.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4.8\% |
| Total |  | 2 | 3 | 100.0\% | 5 | 12 | 100.0\% | 28 | 26 | 100.0\% | 9 | 5 | 100.0\% | 44 | 46 | 100.0\% |
| Health Services | Have used | 14 | 24 | 28.0\% | 14 | 30 | 16.9\% | 62 | 62 | 10.2\% | 19 | 6 | 7.1\% | 109 | 123 | 12.8\% |
|  | Have not used | 35 | 62 | 72.0\% | 78 | 147 | 83.1\% | 573 | 546 | 89.8\% | 178 | 85 | 92.9\% | 864 | 840 | 87.2\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 87 | 100.0\% | 92 | 177 | 100.0\% | 635 | 608 | 100.0\% | 197 | 91 | 100.0\% | 973 | 963 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Health Services | Very satisfied | 6 | 12 | 48.6\% | 11 | 21 | 71.0\% | 29 | 29 | 50.7\% | 9 | 3 | 44.8\% | 55 | 65 | 55.1\% |
|  | Satisfied | 8 | 12 | 51.4\% | 3 | 9 | 29.0\% | 25 | 26 | 45.1\% | 8 | 3 | 44.8\% | 44 | 50 | 42.3\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 3.7\% | 1 | 0 | 5.3\% | 5 | 2 | 2.1\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.5\% | 1 | 0 | 5.1\% | 2 | 1 | 0.5\% |
| Total |  | 14 | 24 | 100.0\% | 14 | 30 | 100.0\% | 59 | 57 | 100.0\% | 19 | 6 | 100.0\% | 106 | 117 | 100.0\% |
| Animal Services | Have used | 15 | 26 | 29.6\% | 15 | 29 | 16.2\% | 115 | 106 | 17.1\% | 26 | 9 | 9.8\% | 171 | 169 | 17.3\% |
|  | Have not used | 36 | 62 | 70.4\% | 77 | 149 | 83.8\% | 528 | 513 | 82.9\% | 174 | 83 | 90.2\% | 815 | 807 | 82.7\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 92 | 177 | 100.0\% | 643 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 986 | 977 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Animal Services | Very satisfied | 10 | 22 | 82.9\% | 9 | 17 | 57.7\% | 66 | 66 | 62.6\% | 13 | 5 | 54.6\% | 98 | 109 | 64.5\% |
|  | Satisfied | 5 | 4 | 17.1\% | 4 | 8 | 27.4\% | 37 | 31 | 29.0\% | 10 | 3 | 35.5\% | 56 | 46 | 27.2\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 13.3\% | 6 | 4 | 4.2\% | 2 | 1 | 6.9\% | 9 | 9 | 5.2\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.9\% |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.2\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1.5\% | 4 | 2 | 2.3\% | 1 | 0 | 3.0\% | 6 | 3 | 1.9\% |
| Total |  | 15 | 26 | 100.0\% | 15 | 29 | 100.0\% | 115 | 106 | 100.0\% | 26 | 9 | 100.0\% | 171 | 169 | 100.0\% |
| Senior Services | Have used |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2.5\% | 27 | 19 | 3.0\% | 49 | 21 | 22.3\% | 77 | 44 | 4.5\% |
|  | Have not used | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 92 | 174 | 97.5\% | 617 | 601 | 97.0\% | 151 | 72 | 77.7\% | 911 | 934 | 95.5\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 988 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Senior Services | Very satisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 11 | 61.2\% | 34 | 12 | 56.7\% | 49 | 23 | 53.0\% |
|  | Satisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 100.0\% | 6 | 3 | 15.3\% | 10 | 7 | 34.8\% | 17 | 14 | 33.0\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 9.6\% | 2 | 1 | 3.3\% | 4 | 2 | 5.7\% |


|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3.9\% |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1.7\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 10.0\% | 3 | 1 | 5.2\% | 6 | 3 | 6.7\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 100.0\% | 27 | 19 | 100.0\% | 49 | 21 | 100.0\% | 77 | 44 | 100.0\% |
| Agree or disagree: The county provides good value for the tax dollar (revised answer categories for 2012) | Strongly Agree | 9 | 14 | 17.0\% | 16 | 41 | 24.9\% | 146 | 135 | 23.3\% | 52 | 25 | 30.2\% | 223 | 215 | 23.6\% |
|  | Somewhat Agree | 29 | 52 | 62.6\% | 58 | 99 | 60.2\% | 351 | 344 | 59.3\% | 85 | 39 | 47.4\% | 523 | 534 | 58.7\% |
|  | Somewhat Disgree | 8 | 16 | 19.1\% | 7 | 17 | 10.1\% | 68 | 59 | 10.2\% | 29 | 14 | 16.7\% | 112 | 105 | 11.6\% |
|  | Strongly Disagree | 1 | 1 | 1.3\% | 4 | 8 | 4.8\% | 40 | 42 | 7.2\% | 13 | 5 | 5.6\% | 58 | 55 | 6.1\% |
| Total |  | 47 | 83 | 100.0\% | 85 | 165 | 100.0\% | 605 | 580 | 100.0\% | 179 | 82 | 100.0\% | 916 | 910 | 100.0\% |
| What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County? (Up to 2 answers accepted) | GROWTH/DEVELOPMEN T (TOO MUCH, TOO FAST, NOT PLANNED WELL) | 11 | 18 | 24.9\% | 16 | 28 | 19.0\% | 175 | 158 | 27.6\% | 39 | 15 | 18.7\% | 241 | 219 | 25.1\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCHOOLS (TOO } \\ & \text { CROWDED, NOT } \\ & \text { ENOUGH, NOT SAFE } \\ & \text { ENOUGH) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 4 | 5.5\% | 4 | 7 | 4.5\% | 69 | 69 | 12.2\% | 15 | 9 | 10.7\% | 90 | 89 | 10.2\% |
|  | YOUTH ACTIVITIES (TOO FEW, GANG ... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 13 | 2.3\% | 2 | 1 | 0.8\% | 10 | 14 | 1.6\% |
|  | TAXES (TOO HIGH, TAX WRONG THINGS) | 8 | 21 | 29.7\% | 14 | 25 | 16.7\% | 80 | 78 | 13.6\% | 37 | 13 | 16.3\% | 139 | 137 | 15.7\% |
|  | TRAFFIC/TRANSPORTA TION (ROADS TOO CROWDED, LACK OF PUBLIC TRANS.) | 12 | 22 | 30.5\% | 31 | 69 | 46.9\% | 222 | 220 | 38.5\% | 66 | 29 | 35.5\% | 331 | 340 | 39.0\% |
|  | INADEQUATE SERVICES (NOT ENOUGH, NOT RIGHT KIND) | 3 | 5 | 6.8\% |  |  |  | 16 | 13 | 2.3\% | 10 | 7 | 8.9\% | 29 | 25 | 2.9\% |
|  | (GENERAL) GOVERNMENT | 2 | 2 | 2.2\% |  |  |  | 19 | 15 | 2.6\% | 8 | 10 | 11.6\% | 29 | 26 | 3.0\% |
|  | ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.2\% | 10 | 11 | 1.9\% | 3 | 1 | 1.3\% | 15 | 14 | 1.6\% |
|  | OTHER (PLEASE LIST) | 3 | 1 | 1.8\% | 17 | 32 | 21.6\% | 67 | 59 | 10.4\% | 24 | 9 | 10.9\% | 111 | 101 | 11.6\% |
|  | COST OF LIVING OR HOUSING; NO JOBS (added code) | 3 | 7 | 10.0\% | 2 | 2 | 1.6\% | 16 | 21 | 3.7\% | 3 | 1 | 1.1\% | 24 | 31 | 3.6\% |
|  | INCREASE OF CRIME; SAFETY ISSUES (added code) |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.9\% | 9 | 11 | 1.8\% |  |  |  | 10 | 12 | 1.4\% |
| Total |  | 39 | 71 | 100.0\% | 78 | 147 | 100.0\% | 596 | 571 | 100.0\% | 177 | 82 | 100.0\% | 890 | 871 | 100.0\% |
| What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County? (Up to 2 answers accepted) | RURAL/OPEN/COUNTRY | 9 | 11 | 12.2\% | 12 | 24 | 14.6\% | 144 | 118 | 20.4\% | 61 | 31 | 35.1\% | 226 | 183 | 20.0\% |
|  | PEOPLE/FRIENDLY/PER SONAL | 6 | 11 | 12.7\% | 7 | 14 | 8.2\% | 59 | 58 | 10.1\% | 26 | 11 | 12.1\% | 98 | 93 | 10.2\% |
|  | LOCATION/PROXIMITY (TO SHOPPING, TO MAJOR CITY, ETC.) | 14 | 28 | 31.8\% | 20 | 40 | 23.9\% | 109 | 95 | 16.5\% | 43 | 20 | 23.4\% | 186 | 183 | 19.9\% |
|  | NEIGHBORHOOD/COMM UNITY (SIZE, DESIGN, OFFERINGS) | 6 | 9 | 10.6\% | 10 | 19 | 11.6\% | 99 | 102 | 17.7\% | 32 | 18 | 20.3\% | 147 | 149 | 16.2\% |
|  | BEAUTY/SCENIC VIEWS | 6 | 11 | 12.1\% | 3 | 10 | 6.1\% | 49 | 37 | 6.4\% | 21 | 8 | 9.7\% | 79 | 66 | 7.2\% |


|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | SCHOOLS (QUALITY, SAFETY, ETC.) | 5 | 6 | 7.1\% | 12 | 22 | 13.1\% | 79 | 81 | 14.0\% | 5 | 2 | 2.5\% | 101 | 111 | 12.1\% |
|  | SAFEISAFETY (OF COUNTY, COMMUNITIES) | 5 | 12 | 13.8\% | 7 | 13 | 8.0\% | 48 | 56 | 9.7\% | 10 | 4 | 4.7\% | 70 | 86 | 9.3\% |
|  | GOOD VALUE FOR THE TAX DOLLAR |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.7\% | 4 | 2 | 0.4\% | 1 | 0 | 0.3\% | 6 | 4 | 0.4\% |
|  | ENVIRONMENT/CLEANLI NESS | 1 | 2 | 2.6\% | 10 | 19 | 11.2\% | 33 | 35 | 6.1\% | 11 | 5 | 5.7\% | 55 | 61 | 6.7\% |
|  | AFFORDABILITY | 1 | 4 | 5.0\% | 3 | 6 | 3.7\% | 12 | 10 | 1.7\% |  |  |  | 16 | 20 | 2.2\% |
|  | OTHER (LIST BELOW) | 4 | 7 | 7.8\% | 10 | 19 | 11.4\% | 61 | 61 | 10.5\% | 19 | 11 | 12.8\% | 94 | 98 | 10.6\% |
|  | JOB, WORK: ACCESS TO THRU ROAD SYSTEM (added code) |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.0\% | 6 | 7 | 1.1\% | 4 | 1 | 1.6\% | 12 | 10 | 1.0\% |
|  | SERVICES; AMENITIES; ACTIVITIES; PROGRAMS (added code) | 1 | 4 | 4.2\% | 4 | 10 | 6.2\% | 21 | 21 | 3.7\% | 9 | 4 | 4.4\% | 35 | 39 | 4.2\% |
|  | QUALITY OF LIFE; QUIET; BALANCE OF URBAN/RURAL/SUBURB (added code) | 1 | 1 | 1.3\% | 2 | 2 | 1.0\% | 12 | 13 | 2.2\% | 2 | 1 | 0.6\% | 17 | 16 | 1.8\% |
| Total |  | 50 | 87 | 100.0\% | 86 | 166 | 100.0\% | 609 | 578 | 100.0\% | 189 | 87 | 100.0\% | 934 | 918 | 100.0\% |
| How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County? | Excellent | 29 | 50 | 56.0\% | 40 | 81 | 45.5\% | 318 | 306 | 49.4\% | 99 | 40 | 43.7\% | 486 | 477 | 48.8\% |
|  | Good | 21 | 37 | 41.5\% | 48 | 83 | 47.0\% | 280 | 259 | 41.9\% | 87 | 47 | 51.2\% | 436 | 427 | 43.7\% |
|  | Fair | 1 | 2 | 2.4\% | 4 | 13 | 7.5\% | 42 | 47 | 7.5\% | 13 | 4 | 4.7\% | 60 | 66 | 6.8\% |
|  | Poor |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7 | 1.2\% | 1 | 0 | 0.4\% | 5 | 8 | 0.8\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 92 | 177 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 987 | 977 | 100.0\% |
| In ten years, do you see yourself living in | Your current residence | 2 | 2 | 1.8\% | 36 | 71 | 41.9\% | 303 | 290 | 51.2\% | 121 | 63 | 76.9\% | 462 | 425 | 47.1\% |
|  | A different residence in Loudoun County | 22 | 39 | 45.3\% | 34 | 60 | 35.4\% | 96 | 115 | 20.2\% | 18 | 7 | 8.4\% | 170 | 220 | 24.4\% |
|  | Outside Loudoun County | 25 | 45 | 52.9\% | 19 | 38 | 22.6\% | 197 | 162 | 28.6\% | 32 | 12 | 14.6\% | 273 | 258 | 28.5\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 86 | 100.0\% | 89 | 168 | 100.0\% | 596 | 566 | 100.0\% | 171 | 82 | 100.0\% | 905 | 902 | 100.0\% |
| What would be your reason for leaving? (Respondent can pick one) | RETIREMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 37 | 22.8\% | 9 | 4 | 33.0\% | 61 | 41 | 15.8\% |
|  | LACK OF AGERESTRICTED HOUSING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LACK OF ASSISTED LIVING FACILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LACK OF HOSPITAL AND EMERGENCY CARE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3.0\% | 7 | 6 | 3.4\% | 1 | 0 | 2.3\% | 9 | 7 | 2.7\% |
|  | COST OF LIVING | 1 | 4 | 8.1\% | 5 | 9 | 23.4\% | 33 | 36 | 22.4\% | 3 | 1 | 11.1\% | 42 | 50 | 19.5\% |
|  | LOCAL TAXES |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 5.9\% | 22 | 18 | 11.2\% | 3 | 1 | 7.4\% | 27 | 21 | 8.2\% |
|  | LACK OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 1.7\% |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 1.0\% |
|  | LACK OF RECREATION AND ENTERTAINMENT | 1 | 1 | 2.6\% | 1 | 2 | 5.7\% | 3 | 2 | 1.2\% |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 2.1\% |
|  | OTHER (SPECIFY) | 23 | 41 | 89.4\% | 10 | 24 | 62.0\% | 76 | 60 | 37.3\% | 16 | 6 | 46.3\% | 125 | 130 | 50.6\% |


|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | WN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 25 | 45 | 100.0\% | 19 | 38 | 100.0\% | 196 | 161 | 100.0\% | 32 | 12 | 100.0\% | 272 | 256 | 100.0\% |
| Are there sufficient nighttime activities in Loudoun County for members of your household? | YES | 27 | 53 | 59.8\% | 55 | 101 | 60.2\% | 396 | 362 | 61.8\% | 135 | 63 | 74.2\% | 613 | 578 | 62.5\% |
|  | NO | 23 | 35 | 40.2\% | 26 | 53 | 31.5\% | 177 | 170 | 29.0\% | 27 | 11 | 13.4\% | 253 | 269 | 29.1\% |
|  | NO OPINION / DON'T CARE |  |  |  | 7 | 14 | 8.3\% | 43 | 54 | 9.2\% | 28 | 11 | 12.4\% | 78 | 78 | 8.4\% |
| Total |  | 50 | 88 | 100.0\% | 88 | 168 | 100.0\% | 616 | 585 | 100.0\% | 190 | 85 | 100.0\% | 944 | 926 | 100.0\% |
| What nighttime activity is missing in Loudoun County? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | FINE DINING | 2 | 2 | 8.9\% | 2 | 6 | 11.8\% | 32 | 31 | 21.3\% | 4 | 1 | 13.7\% | 40 | 41 | 17.5\% |
|  | INTERNATIONAL DINING |  |  |  | 2 | 7 | 13.3\% | 15 | 13 | 8.6\% | 2 | 1 | 7.2\% | 19 | 20 | 8.5\% |
|  | BARS \& NIGHTCLUBS | 15 | 23 | 83.3\% | 7 | 13 | 26.2\% | 24 | 30 | 20.3\% | 1 | 0 | 3.6\% | 47 | 66 | 28.4\% |
|  | LIVE ENTERTAINMENT AND MUSIC | 5 | 8 | 29.5\% | 2 | 4 | 7.6\% | 44 | 44 | 30.0\% | 7 | 2 | 24.8\% | 58 | 58 | 25.0\% |
|  | SYMPHONY OR ORCHESTRA |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 5.1\% | 16 | 14 | 9.7\% | 5 | 2 | 25.2\% | 23 | 19 | 8.2\% |
|  | LIVE THEATER SUCH AS PLAYS AND MUSICALS | 2 | 3 | 10.8\% | 4 | 6 | 11.3\% | 32 | 38 | 25.7\% | 5 | 2 | 21.0\% | 43 | 48 | 20.7\% |
|  | MOVIE THEATERS | 1 | 1 | 4.0\% | 3 | 5 | 10.8\% | 10 | 12 | 8.1\% | 3 | 1 | 13.0\% | 17 | 19 | 8.4\% |
|  | DOWNTOWN EVENTS <br> SUCH AS ART <br> GALLERIES, CONCERTS, SPECIAL EVENTS | 2 | 3 | 9.9\% | 9 | 23 | 47.2\% | 40 | 34 | 23.1\% | 10 | 4 | 45.9\% | 61 | 64 | 27.6\% |
|  | SPORTING EVENTS; RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES; PARKS | 2 | 2 | 7.7\% | 5 | 8 | 16.0\% | 26 | 28 | 19.0\% | 2 | 1 | 10.3\% | 35 | 39 | 16.7\% |
|  | OR SOMETHING ELSE? (SPECIFY) | 2 | 2 | 5.6\% | 1 | 4 | 8.9\% | 16 | 14 | 9.4\% | 4 | 1 | 14.1\% | 23 | 21 | 9.0\% |
|  | CHILD, YOUTH, FAMILY ACTIVITIES, PROGRAMS (added code) | 3 | 7 | 25.4\% | 5 | 7 | 13.6\% | 27 | 31 | 21.5\% | 3 | 2 | 19.9\% | 38 | 47 | 20.2\% |
|  | THINGS HERE NEED TO STAY OPEN LATER (added code) | 1 | 1 | 4.0\% |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 1.6\% |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 1.5\% |
| Total |  | 19 | 28 | 100.0\% | 24 | 49 | 100.0\% | 153 | 146 | 100.0\% | 22 | 10 | 100.0\% | 218 | 233 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways in the next few years? | Very important | 18 | 41 | 46.3\% | 38 | 75 | 42.2\% | 246 | 262 | 42.8\% | 61 | 27 | 30.6\% | 363 | 405 | 41.9\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 21 | 27 | 30.4\% | 33 | 54 | 30.1\% | 217 | 199 | 32.6\% | 65 | 38 | 43.1\% | 336 | 318 | 32.9\% |
|  | Not too important | 8 | 14 | 16.0\% | 16 | 39 | 22.1\% | 124 | 114 | 18.6\% | 34 | 12 | 13.6\% | 182 | 179 | 18.5\% |
|  | Not important at all | 4 | 6 | 7.3\% | 6 | 10 | 5.5\% | 52 | 37 | 6.0\% | 31 | 11 | 12.7\% | 93 | 64 | 6.7\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 639 | 611 | 100.0\% | 191 | 88 | 100.0\% | 974 | 966 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on improving or building roads in the next few years? | Very important | 17 | 24 | 27.4\% | 54 | 105 | 60.1\% | 407 | 404 | 65.9\% | 105 | 45 | 51.2\% | 583 | 578 | 60.0\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 23 | 46 | 52.0\% | 30 | 52 | 29.6\% | 154 | 135 | 22.1\% | 54 | 29 | 32.8\% | 261 | 262 | 27.2\% |
|  | Not too important | 9 | 17 | 18.8\% | 5 | 15 | 8.6\% | 57 | 56 | 9.1\% | 16 | 6 | 6.6\% | 87 | 93 | 9.7\% |
|  | Not important at all | 2 | 2 | 1.8\% | 3 | 3 | 1.6\% | 19 | 17 | 2.8\% | 15 | 8 | 9.5\% | 39 | 30 | 3.1\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 92 | 174 | 100.0\% | 637 | 612 | 100.0\% | 190 | 88 | 100.0\% | 970 | 963 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on - | Very important | 19 | 39 | 44.2\% | 35 | 84 | 47.4\% | 240 | 255 | 44.4\% | 77 | 35 | 41.7\% | 371 | 413 | 44.7\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 21 | 34 | 38.3\% | 36 | 56 | 31.5\% | 210 | 190 | 33.2\% | 57 | 27 | 32.8\% | 324 | 308 | 33.3\% |


|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | Not too important | 8 | 10 | 11.5\% | 8 | 11 | 6.4\% | 91 | 79 | 13.7\% | 19 | 12 | 14.0\% | 126 | 112 | 12.1\% |
|  | Not important at all | 3 | 5 | 6.0\% | 13 | 26 | 14.6\% | 68 | 50 | 8.7\% | 28 | 10 | 11.5\% | 112 | 91 | 9.8\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 92 | 178 | 100.0\% | 609 | 574 | 100.0\% | 181 | 84 | 100.0\% | 933 | 924 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on providing rail transit service in the next few years? | Very important | 18 | 34 | 38.7\% | 47 | 98 | 54.9\% | 340 | 347 | 57.9\% | 103 | 52 | 59.5\% | 508 | 530 | 55.7\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 14 | 22 | 24.7\% | 21 | 44 | 24.7\% | 144 | 135 | 22.5\% | 37 | 18 | 20.2\% | 216 | 218 | 22.9\% |
|  | Not too important | 13 | 20 | 22.1\% | 13 | 17 | 9.4\% | 70 | 63 | 10.5\% | 16 | 7 | 7.7\% | 112 | 106 | 11.1\% |
|  | Not important at all | 6 | 13 | 14.6\% | 12 | 19 | 10.9\% | 78 | 55 | 9.2\% | 32 | 11 | 12.6\% | 128 | 98 | 10.3\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 632 | 599 | 100.0\% | 188 | 87 | 100.0\% | 964 | 952 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving local bus service in the next few years? | Very important | 15 | 32 | 36.4\% | 32 | 78 | 44.8\% | 179 | 192 | 32.1\% | 62 | 24 | 29.7\% | 288 | 325 | 34.7\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 18 | 28 | 31.7\% | 27 | 45 | 25.7\% | 224 | 218 | 36.5\% | 54 | 28 | 34.3\% | 323 | 318 | 33.9\% |
|  | Not too important | 13 | 19 | 21.7\% | 17 | 25 | 14.5\% | 125 | 119 | 20.0\% | 31 | 14 | 17.1\% | 186 | 177 | 18.9\% |
|  | Not important at all | 4 | 9 | 10.3\% | 13 | 26 | 15.0\% | 93 | 68 | 11.4\% | 33 | 15 | 18.9\% | 143 | 118 | 12.6\% |
| Total |  | 50 | 87 | 100.0\% | 89 | 174 | 100.0\% | 621 | 597 | 100.0\% | 180 | 81 | 100.0\% | 940 | 939 | 100.0\% |
| Do you use public transportation | Yes | 18 | 27 | 30.9\% | 22 | 51 | 28.6\% | 161 | 155 | 25.1\% | 33 | 16 | 16.9\% | 234 | 249 | 25.5\% |
|  | No | 33 | 61 | 69.1\% | 71 | 127 | 71.4\% | 481 | 463 | 74.9\% | 167 | 77 | 83.1\% | 752 | 727 | 74.5\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 642 | 618 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 986 | 977 | 100.0\% |
| What public transportation do you use? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | BUS | 15 | 25 | 90.2\% | 18 | 40 | 78.0\% | 87 | 91 | 59.4\% | 26 | 12 | 80.3\% | 146 | 168 | 68.0\% |
|  | TRAIN | 1 | 1 | 4.1\% | 1 | 1 | 2.2\% | 13 | 10 | 6.7\% | 2 | 1 | 6.7\% | 17 | 14 | 5.5\% |
|  | METRO | 6 | 6 | 20.6\% | 12 | 31 | 60.3\% | 102 | 90 | 58.9\% | 16 | 8 | 48.3\% | 136 | 134 | 54.3\% |
|  | VAN POOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Taxi/hired car/limo | 1 | 1 | 4.1\% |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1.3\% | 1 | 0 | 2.2\% | 5 | 3 | 1.4\% |
|  | Government/nonprofit transportation service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Car pool/slugging |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other | 2 | 5 | 19.0\% | 2 | 3 | 5.0\% | 8 | 8 | 5.3\% | 1 | 0 | 2.2\% | 13 | 16 | 6.5\% |
| Total |  | 18 | 27 | 100.0\% | 22 | 51 | 100.0\% | 160 | 153 | 100.0\% | 33 | 16 | 100.0\% | 233 | 247 | 100.0\% |
| Why don't you use public transportation? <br> (Respondent can pick all that apply) | TAKES TOO MUCH TIME, TOO INEFFICIENT WITH TIME, WAIT TIME | 1 | 1 | 1.8\% | 7 | 12 | 9.3\% | 84 | 66 | 14.3\% | 7 | 3 | 4.2\% | 99 | 82 | 11.4\% |
|  | DOESN'T GO WHERE I NEED IT TO GO | 5 | 11 | 18.8\% | 21 | 37 | 29.0\% | 156 | 159 | 34.8\% | 21 | 8 | 10.1\% | 203 | 215 | 29.9\% |
|  | I HAVE TO GO TOO FAR FROM HOME TO GET TO IT | 4 | 7 | 12.1\% | 12 | 24 | 19.2\% | 62 | 73 | 15.9\% | 35 | 20 | 26.8\% | 113 | 125 | 17.3\% |
|  | I NEED FLEXIBILITY TO LEAVE WORK FOR ERRANDS OR IN AN EMERGENCY | 4 | 6 | 9.0\% | 5 | 9 | 6.9\% | 59 | 49 | 10.7\% | 15 | 8 | 11.2\% | 83 | 72 | 9.9\% |
|  | IT COSTS TOO MUCH | 1 | 2 | 2.7\% | 1 | 1 | 1.0\% | 13 | 12 | 2.6\% | 1 | 4 | 5.0\% | 16 | 19 | 2.6\% |
|  | SAFETY ISSUES | 1 | 1 | 1.8\% |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 1.2\% | 5 | 2 | 2.3\% | 11 | 8 | 1.2\% |
|  | OTHER (SPECIFY) | 1 | 0 | 0.7\% | 10 | 11 | 8.8\% | 46 | 45 | 9.8\% | 24 | 8 | 11.1\% | 81 | 65 | 9.0\% |
|  | HAVE A CAR (added code) | 15 | 26 | 43.0\% | 12 | 28 | 22.0\% | 62 | 60 | 13.1\% | 42 | 20 | 27.2\% | 131 | 134 | 18.6\% |
|  | RETIRED/UNEMPLOYED /CARPOOL (added code) |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 6.2\% | 22 | 23 | 5.0\% | 20 | 8 | 10.8\% | 48 | 39 | 5.4\% |



|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | UN | wN | w\% | UN | WN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | American Indian,Native American, or Alaskan Native | 2 | 5 | 5.8\% |  |  |  | 5 | 8 | 1.3\% | 1 | 0 | 0.3\% | 8 | 13 | 1.4\% |
|  | Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander |  |  |  | 2 | 7 | 4.0\% | 3 | 6 | 1.0\% | 1 | 1 | 0.9\% | 6 | 14 | 1.4\% |
|  | MULTI-RACIAL |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.2\% | 10 | 27 | 4.5\% | 1 | 0 | 0.3\% | 12 | 28 | 2.9\% |
|  | OTHER [SPECIFY] | 2 | 4 | 4.0\% | 1 | 0 | 0.2\% | 7 | 19 | 3.1\% | 1 | 1 | 0.8\% | 11 | 23 | 2.4\% |
|  | HISPANIC ONLY: PLEASE PROMPT (BELOW) BEFORE SELECTING THIS | 3 | 4 | 4.9\% | 7 | 14 | 8.0\% | 21 | 34 | 5.6\% |  |  |  | 31 | 52 | 5.4\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 90 | 174 | 100.0\% | 620 | 600 | 100.0\% | 195 | 90 | 100.0\% | 956 | 952 | 100.0\% |
| What is the highest level of education you completed? | Less than 9th grade | 1 | 0 | 0.5\% | 1 | 2 | 1.1\% | 5 | 4 | 0.7\% | 2 | 1 | 0.6\% | 9 | 7 | 0.7\% |
|  | 9th-12th, but did not finish high school | 3 | 5 | 5.3\% |  |  |  | 5 | 7 | 1.1\% | 4 | 1 | 1.5\% | 12 | 13 | 1.3\% |
|  | High school graduate or G.E.D. | 14 | 17 | 19.8\% | 11 | 24 | 13.3\% | 49 | 54 | 8.8\% | 23 | 10 | 11.4\% | 97 | 106 | 10.9\% |
|  | Some college but no degree | 18 | 30 | 33.8\% | 11 | 17 | 9.7\% | 50 | 60 | 9.8\% | 22 | 16 | 18.1\% | 101 | 124 | 12.7\% |
|  | 2 year college degree/A.A. IA.S. | 4 | 10 | 11.0\% | 10 | 21 | 12.0\% | 42 | 34 | 5.5\% | 15 | 6 | 6.3\% | 71 | 71 | 7.2\% |
|  | 4 year college degree/B.A. /B.S. | 7 | 15 | 17.3\% | 33 | 54 | 30.5\% | 237 | 235 | 38.0\% | 52 | 20 | 22.1\% | 329 | 324 | 33.3\% |
|  | Some graduate work |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2.2\% | 25 | 17 | 2.7\% | 12 | 5 | 5.9\% | 40 | 26 | 2.7\% |
|  | Completed Masters or professional degree | 4 | 11 | 12.3\% | 21 | 48 | 26.7\% | 200 | 188 | 30.5\% | 53 | 24 | 26.6\% | 278 | 271 | 27.8\% |
|  | Advanced graduate work or Ph.D. |  |  |  | 3 | 8 | 4.4\% | 27 | 18 | 2.9\% | 13 | 7 | 7.4\% | 43 | 33 | 3.4\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 640 | 617 | 100.0\% | 196 | 91 | 100.0\% | 980 | 974 | 100.0\% |
| Age of respondent (recoded) | 18 to 24 | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% |
|  | 25 to 34 |  |  |  | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% |
|  | 35 to 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% |  |  |  | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% |
|  | 65+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | 51 | 88 | 100.0\% | 93 | 178 | 100.0\% | 644 | 619 | 100.0\% | 200 | 92 | 100.0\% | 988 | 978 | 100.0\% |
| the range that best describes your annual household income from all sources in 2010? That would be before taxes and other deductions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } 10 \text { thousand? } \\ & \text { [ } \$ 0--\$ 9,999] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 3 | 11 | 7.1\% | 4 | 3 | 0.7\% | 3 | 2 | 2.6\% | 10 | 16 | 2.0\% |
|  | 10 to 30 thousand? [ $\$ 10,000$-- $\$ 29,999$ ] | 4 | 8 | 11.2\% | 3 | 10 | 6.4\% | 12 | 18 | 3.7\% | 17 | 6 | 8.4\% | 36 | 42 | 5.3\% |
|  | 30 to 50 thousand? [\$30,000 -- \$49,999] | 3 | 2 | 3.2\% | 5 | 10 | 6.3\% | 32 | 46 | 9.2\% | 24 | 17 | 22.1\% | 64 | 74 | 9.4\% |
|  | 50 to 75 thousand? [\$50,000 -- \$74,999] | 4 | 8 | 11.9\% | 11 | 16 | 10.9\% | 32 | 32 | 6.5\% | 31 | 11 | 14.9\% | 78 | 68 | 8.6\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \text { to } 100 \text { thousand? } \\ & \text { [ } \$ 75,000-\text { - } \$ 99,999] \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 19 | 28.2\% | 17 | 29 | 19.1\% | 54 | 50 | 10.1\% | 23 | 10 | 12.9\% | 100 | 108 | 13.6\% |
|  | 100 to 125 thousand? [ $\$ 100,000--\$ 124,999]$ | 10 | 15 | 21.2\% | 11 | 22 | 14.9\% | 76 | 70 | 14.1\% | 23 | 10 | 14.0\% | 120 | 117 | 14.8\% |
|  | 125 to 150 thousand? $\$ 125,000$-- $\$ 149,999]$ | 4 | 9 | 12.8\% | 10 | 15 | 9.7\% | 75 | 62 | 12.6\% | 6 | 3 | 4.7\% | 95 | 89 | 11.3\% |


|  |  | Age of respondent (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 64 |  |  | 65+ |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | UN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | 150 to 175 thousand? [\$150,000 -- \$174,999] | 2 | 2 | 2.4\% | 11 | 26 | 17.1\% | 59 | 52 | 10.5\% | 5 | 2 | 2.3\% | 77 | 81 | 10.3\% |
|  | 175 to 200 thousand? <br> [\$175,000 -- \$199,999] |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 3.2\% | 43 | 43 | 8.7\% | 6 | 3 | 3.4\% | 51 | 50 | 6.4\% |
|  | Over 200 thousand? $[\$ 200,000+]$ | 2 | 6 | 9.0\% | 5 | 8 | 5.2\% | 131 | 120 | 24.1\% | 17 | 11 | 14.8\% | 155 | 145 | 18.3\% |
| Total |  | 35 | 68 | 100.0\% | 78 | 151 | 100.0\% | 518 | 497 | 100.0\% | 155 | 75 | 100.0\% | 786 | 791 | 100.0\% |

# Appendix E: <br> Results by Race (Including Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity) 

## Cross-tabulation tables

Cross-tabulation tables count survey cases in categories defined by two variables simultaneously. For example, how many residents in the survey live in the rural area and use public transportation? How many live in the non-rural area and use public transportation? How many live in the rural area and don't use public transportation? How many live in the non-rural area and don't use public transportation? This would be a cross-tabulation table of use of public transportation and rural/non-rural status.
In appendices C through E, the survey questions are compared by three demographic variables: rural/non-rural status, age of the respondent and race/ethnicity of the respondent. In these tables, the percentages add up to 100 within each demographic category (that is, within each column of the table). A total percentage is also displayed. These cross-tabulation tables allow the reader to compare the responses of, for instance, Asian Americans to those of Whites.
In these cross-tabulation tables, "uN" indicates the number of residents who answered a particular way. This number can be used as an indication of how reliable the percentages are. If the unweighted total N for the question is small, it may not be wise to generalize the percentages to the larger population. The definition of "small" depends on the user's tolerance for error. Questions answered by fewer than 25 or 30 residents in total should not be generalized to the county population, and perhaps fewer than 50 cases would serve as a threshold for more conservative users.

The "wN" column indicates the weighted count of residents who answered a particular way.
The " $w \%$ " column indicates the weighted percentage of residents who answered a particular way, within categories displayed across the top of the table. This allows for comparisons across, for example, residents in the rural and non-rural areas of the county.

As in Appendix B, however, the cross-tabulation tables for the multiple response variables are more difficult to interpret. In Appendices C through F, for multiple response variables the statistics for the individual responses show the number of "votes" each response received and the percentage of residents who "voted" that way. Because residents can select more than one response, these percentages can total to more than 100 . The total lines for multiple response items in Appendices C through F, however, will show the number of residents who responded and a total percent of 100 .

Note that the total responses to questions may vary across cross-tabulation appendices because different numbers of respondents may have declined to provide information for the different cross-tabulation variables.

|  |  | Race and ethnicity combined (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | African American or Black |  |  | Asian |  |  | White or Caucasian |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | un | wN | w\% | un | wn | w\% | un | wN | w\% | un | wN | w\% | un | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Which of the following housing types do you live in? | Townhouse or duplex | 13 | 20 | 31.0\% | 21 | 42 | 32.6\% | 139 | 142 | 20.3\% | 16 | 24 | 20.9\% | 8 | 11 | 25.9\% | 197 | 239 | 22.8\% |
|  | Single family home | 25 | 30 | 46.2\% | 45 | 78 | 60.7\% | 620 | 506 | 72.3\% | 28 | 48 | 42.9\% | 17 | 24 | 57.1\% | 735 | 687 | 65.4\% |
|  | Apartment or condo | 11 | 15 | 22.7\% | 5 | 9 | 6.8\% | 72 | 51 | 7.3\% | 18 | 41 | 36.2\% | 3 | 7 | 17.1\% | 109 | 123 | 11.7\% |
|  | Other (SPECIFY) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0.1\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 834 | 700 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1044 | 1050 | 100.0\% |
| How long have you lived in Loudoun County? (recoded) | $0-3$ years | 6 | 8 | 11.9\% | 11 | 18 | 13.6\% | 110 | 108 | 15.5\% | 14 | 21 | 18.8\% | 2 | 4 | 10.4\% | 143 | 159 | 15.2\% |
|  | 4-10 years | 15 | 18 | 28.0\% | 45 | 87 | 67.1\% | 253 | 231 | 33.1\% | 31 | 59 | 52.3\% | 7 | 19 | 45.1\% | 351 | 414 | 39.5\% |
|  | More than 10 years | 28 | 39 | 60.1\% | 15 | 25 | 19.3\% | 468 | 359 | 51.4\% | 17 | 33 | 29.0\% | 19 | 19 | 44.5\% | 547 | 475 | 45.3\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 831 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1041 | 1049 | 100.0\% |
| Did you move to Loudoun County from somewhere else? | Yes | 38 | 44 | 66.9\% | 70 | 126 | 97.3\% | 785 | 657 | 93.9\% | 56 | 96 | 85.1\% | 27 | 42 | 99.4\% | 976 | 965 | 91.9\% |
|  | No | 11 | 22 | 33.1\% | 1 | 3 | 2.7\% | 49 | 43 | 6.1\% | 6 | 17 | 14.9\% | 1 | 0 | 0.6\% | 68 | 85 | 8.1\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 834 | 700 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1044 | 1050 | 100.0\% |
| In what city or county did you live before moving to Loudoun County? | Alexandria City, VA | 1 | 2 | 4.3\% |  |  |  | 21 | 12 | 1.8\% | 1 | 0 | 0.4\% | 1 | 0 | 0.6\% | 24 | 14 | 1.5\% |
|  | Arington County, VA |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2.0\% | 24 | 17 | 2.7\% | 1 | 4 | 4.5\% |  |  |  | 27 | 24 | 2.5\% |
|  | Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas and Manassas Park) | 2 | 1 | 2.6\% | 2 | 3 | 2.5\% | 22 | 23 | 3.5\% | 3 | 6 | 6.6\% |  |  |  | 29 | 34 | 3.5\% |
|  | Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls Church cities) | 14 | 15 | 36.6\% | 36 | 65 | 51.3\% | 311 | 272 | 41.8\% | 22 | 39 | 40.3\% | 9 | 13 | 30.3\% | 392 | 403 | 42.2\% |
|  | Frederick County, MD |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 0.5\% | 1 | 2 | 1.8\% |  |  |  | 7 | 5 | 0.5\% |
|  | Montgomery County, MD | 3 | 4 | 10.6\% | 3 | 5 | 3.8\% | 27 | 17 | 2.6\% | 1 | 4 | 3.9\% | 1 | 1 | 2.5\% | 35 | 31 | 3.2\% |
|  | Washington, DC | 1 | 2 | 4.5\% |  |  |  | , |  | 0.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 4 | 0.4\% |
|  | Other city or county in Metro DC area | 5 | 6 | 14.6\% |  |  |  | 42 | 25 | 3.9\% | 1 | 1 | 0.7\% | 4 | 3 | 7.6\% | 52 | 35 | 3.7\% |
|  | A city or county outside the Metro DC area | 11 | 11 | 26.8\% | 27 | 51 | 40.4\% | 316 | 279 | 42.9\% | 26 | 40 | 41.8\% | 12 | 25 | 59.0\% | 392 | 406 | 42.5\% |
| Total |  | 37 | 42 | 100.0\% | 70 | 126 | 100.0\% | 775 | 650 | 100.0\% | 56 | 96 | 100.0\% | 27 | 42 | 100.0\% | 965 | 956 | 100.0\% |
| What single factor influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County? | Schools or community services | 3 | 5 | 10.8\% | 10 | 15 | 12.2\% | 54 | 50 | 7.7\% | 7 | 14 | 14.3\% | 4 | 3 | 6.7\% | 78 | 86 | 9.1\% |
|  | Housing affordability | 9 | 9 | 20.3\% | 22 | 42 | 33.5\% | 191 | 154 | 23.9\% | 12 | 23 | 24.0\% | 4 | 8 | 19.4\% | 238 | 236 | 24.8\% |
|  | Location of work site | 14 | 17 | 39.6\% | 19 | 33 | 26.1\% | 226 | 207 | 32.1\% | 16 | 24 | 24.9\% | 8 | 17 | 42.9\% | 283 | 298 | 31.3\% |
|  | Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 8 | 1.2\% | 1 | 1 | 0.6\% |  |  |  | 14 | 9 | 0.9\% |
|  | Quality of overall community | 2 | 2 | 5.3\% | 2 | 7 | 5.2\% | 35 | 25 | 3.8\% | 5 | 13 | 13.3\% | 2 | 4 | 9.2\% | 46 | 50 | 5.3\% |
|  | Family considerations | 8 | 8 | 18.4\% | 10 | 19 | 15.4\% | 141 | 120 | 18.6\% | 8 | 13 | 13.6\% | 4 | 3 | 8.6\% | 171 | 164 | 17.3\% |
|  | Rural character/beauty |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1.5\% | 64 | 47 | 7.3\% | 5 | 3 | 3.6\% | 1 | 3 | 8.7\% | 71 | 56 | 5.9\% |
|  | Other (specify) | 2 | 2 | 5.6\% | 6 | 8 | 6.0\% | 47 | 34 | 5.2\% | 2 | 5 | 5.7\% | 3 | 2 | 4.6\% | 60 | 51 | 5.4\% |
| Total |  | 38 | 44 | 100.0\% | 70 | 126 | 100.0\% | 771 | 645 | 100.0\% | 56 | 96 | 100.0\% | 26 | 40 | 100.0\% | 961 | 950 | 100.0\% |
| How safe do you feel in your neighborhood | Very safe | 32 | 45 | 68.5\% | 46 | 85 | 65.9\% | 580 | 478 | $68.3 \%$ | 45 | 70 | 62.2\% | 15 | 20 | 47.8\% | 718 | 698 | 66.6\% |
|  | Safe | 16 | 19 | 29.4\% | 24 | 43 | 33.3\% | 246 | 215 | 30.7\% | 12 | 34 | 29.7\% | 13 | 22 | 52.2\% | 311 | 333 | 31.7\% |
|  | Unsafe | 1 | 1 | 2.0\% | 1 | 1 | 0.8\% | 6 | 6 | 0.9\% | 4 | 8 | 7.1\% |  |  |  | 12 | 16 | 1.6\% |
|  | Very unsafe |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | 0 | 0.1\% | 1 | 1 | 1.1\% |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0.2\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 833 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1043 | 1049 | 100.0\% |
| Why do you feel unsafe? | Gangs |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 7.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 2.7\% |
|  | Break-ins |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 12.6\% | 3 | 7 | 71.1\% |  |  |  | 5 | 7 | 40.7\% |
|  | Street Crimes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 8.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3.1\% |
|  | Lack of street patrol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Traffic issues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Car damage/theft |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5 | 78.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5 | 28.6\% |
|  | Crime is everywhere/not just here | 1 | 1 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 7.3\% |



|  |  | Race and ethnicity combined (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | African American or Black |  |  | Asian |  |  | White or Caucasian |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wn | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN |  | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7.2\% | 6 | 6 | 11.0\% | 16 | 13 | 4.5\% | 1 | 1 | 2.7\% |  |  |  | 25 | 23 | 5.1\% |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 2.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 1.3\% |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.1\% |
| Total |  | 25 | 37 | 100.0\% | 30 | 54 | 100.0\% | 316 | 295 | 100.0\% | 23 | 41 | 100.0\% | 14 | 26 | 100.0\% | 408 | 454 | 100.0\% |
| Are you a federal employee? | YES | 8 | 11 | 26.2\% | 3 | 4 | 3.8\% | 81 | 66 | 14.0\% | 5 | 12 | 13.4\% | 2 | 2 | 5.9\% | 99 | 94 | 13.0\% |
|  | NO | 22 | 31 | 73.8\% | 48 | 91 | 96.2\% | 454 | 407 | 86.0\% | 42 | 78 | 86.6\% | 16 | 24 | 94.1\% | 582 | 630 | 87.0\% |
| Total |  | 30 | 42 | 100.0\% | 51 | 94 | 100.0\% | 535 | 473 | 100.0\% | 47 | 90 | 100.0\% | 18 | 25 | 100.0\% | 681 | 724 | 100.0\% |
| Is any other member of your household a federal employee? | YES | 5 | 12 | 26.4\% | 5 | 5 | 5.1\% | 60 | 74 | 15.4\% | 4 | 8 | 10.5\% |  |  |  | 74 | 99 | 13.7\% |
|  | NO | 24 | 33 | 73.6\% | 43 | 84 | 94.9\% | 446 | 408 | 84.6\% | 35 | 71 | 89.5\% | 17 | 28 | 100.0\% | 565 | 624 | 86.3\% |
| Total |  | 29 | 44 | 100.0\% | 48 | 89 | 100.0\% | 506 | 482 | 100.0\% | 39 | 80 | 100.0\% | 17 | 28 | 100.0\% | 639 | 723 | 100.0\% |
| How are federal expenditures for your own job security | Better | 8 | 11 | 45.3\% | 15 | 35 | 46.8\% | 180 | 154 | 43.8\% | 16 | 22 | 38.6\% | 4 | 8 | 36.8\% | 223 | 231 | 43.4\% |
|  | Worse |  |  |  | 5 | 12 | 15.4\% | 46 | 37 | 10.4\% | 1 | 4 | 7.5\% | 2 | 5 | 20.7\% | 54 | 57 | 10.8\% |
|  | Don't matter | 10 | 14 | 54.7\% | 16 | 28 | 37.7\% | 174 | 161 | 45.8\% | 17 | 31 | 53.9\% | 8 | 10 | 42.6\% | 225 | 244 | 45.8\% |
| Total |  | 18 | 25 | 100.0\% | 36 | 75 | 100.0\% | 400 | 352 | 100.0\% | 34 | 58 | 100.0\% | 14 | 23 | 100.0\% | 502 | 533 | 100.0\% |
| Do you have access to the internet in your home? | Yes | 45 | 63 | 95.9\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 795 | 672 | 96.2\% | 49 | 90 | 79.8\% | 27 | 42 | 99.4\% | 987 | 997 | 95.0\% |
|  | No | 4 | 3 | 4.1\% |  |  |  | 38 | 27 | 3.8\% | 13 | 23 | 20.2\% | 1 | 0 | 0.6\% | 56 | 53 | 5.0\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 833 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1043 | 1050 | 100.0\% |
| How do you access the Internet? | Dial-up connection using a phone line and modem | 3 | 2 | 2.6\% | 1 | , | 0.7\% | 28 | 12 | 1.8\% |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3.4\% | 34 | 16 | 1.6\% |
|  | Comcast cable | 14 | 22 | 35.5\% | 12 | 29 | 23.3\% | 154 | 118 | 18.2\% | 11 | 21 | 24.0\% | 5 | 5 | 11.6\% | 196 | 194 | 20.2\% |
|  | DSL | 3 | 6 | 9.3\% | 5 | 7 | 5.4\% | 69 | 55 | 8.5\% | 4 | 5 | 6.1\% | 3 | 2 | 3.9\% | 84 | 74 | 7.7\% |
|  | Fiber (such as OpenBand or Verizon) | 15 | 19 | 31.7\% | 31 | 48 | 37.8\% | 340 | 304 | 47.2\% | 19 | 35 | 40.6\% | 8 | 18 | 43.1\% | 413 | 424 | 44.2\% |
|  | Satellite (such as DirecWay) |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1.0\% | 33 | 15 | 2.3\% | 1 | 1 | 0.7\% | 2 | 1 | 1.3\% | 38 | 17 | 1.8\% |
|  | Wireless (such as Loudoun Broadband, Skynet, Verolan or Loudoun Wireless) | 9 | 13 | 20.9\% | 20 | 43 | 34.3\% | 171 | 162 | 25.2\% | 13 | 25 | 29.3\% | 5 | 15 | 36.7\% | 218 | 259 | 27.0\% |
|  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 0.3\% | 1 | 1 | 1.3\% |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 0.3\% |
|  |  | 44 | 61 | 100.0\% | 69 | 126 | 100.0\% | 763 | 645 | 100.0\% | 47 | 87 | 100.0\% | 25 | 41 | 100.0\% | 948 | 960 | 100.0\% |
| In which of the following ways do you receive information about Loudoun County programs and services? | Newspapers (Online or hard copy) | 35 | 46 | 72.1\% | 39 | 69 | 54.9\% | 622 | 489 | 70.4\% | 32 | 53 | 48.2\% | 19 | 28 | 67.1\% | 747 | 686 | 66.2\% |
|  | Radio | 9 | 11 | 17.2\% | 13 | 24 | 19.0\% | 173 | 132 | 19.0\% | 9 | 16 | 15.0\% | 10 | 14 | 33.4\% | 214 | 197 | 19.1\% |
|  | Television | 16 | 15 | 23.2\% | 16 | 27 | 21.7\% | 216 | 161 | 23.2\% | 18 | 27 | 25.0\% | 10 | 14 | 32.6\% | 276 | 245 | 23.6\% |
|  | County Website | 19 | 27 | 42.3\% | 33 | 66 | 52.3\% | 341 | 294 | 42.4\% | 19 | 38 | 34.8\% | 10 | 12 | 27.5\% | 422 | 437 | 42.2\% |
| County programs and services? | Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, Linkedln, YouTude) | 5 | 8 | 11.9\% | 13 | 26 | 21.0\% | 157 | 134 | 19.3\% | 9 | 21 | 19.4\% | 7 | 9 | 22.2\% | 191 | 199 | 19.2\% |
|  | Alert Loudoun (Subscription to receive county news and information) | 11 | 17 | 26.9\% | 15 | 27 | 21.4\% | 234 | 210 | 30.2\% | 8 | 17 | 15.8\% | 11 | 23 | 53.5\% | 279 | 294 | 28.3\% |
|  | Public Libraries | 7 | 8 | 12.8\% | 15 | 30 | 24.0\% | 183 | 135 | 19.5\% | 6 | 14 | 13.3\% | 10 | 15 | 36.1\% | 221 | 203 | 19.6\% |
|  | Parks and Recreation brochure | 12 | 20 | 30.3\% | 15 | 31 | 24.5\% | 265 | 217 | 31.3\% | 13 | 27 | 24.6\% | 10 | 15 | 35.8\% | 315 | 310 | 29.9\% |
|  | Public meetings | 5 | 8 | 12.3\% | 7 | 13 | 10.7\% | 115 | 82 | 11.9\% | 5 | 13 | 12.1\% | 4 | 3 | 7.3\% | 136 | 120 | 11.6\% |
|  | Word of mouth | 18 | 25 | 39.3\% | 20 | 46 | 36.3\% | 325 | 257 | 37.0\% | 18 | 31 | 28.4\% | 8 | 11 | 26.1\% | 389 | 370 | 35.7\% |
|  | Other | 7 | 11 | 17.5\% | 9 | 10 | 8.0\% | 100 | 87 | 12.6\% | 9 | 18 | 16.7\% | 4 | 11 | 27.1\% | 129 | 138 | 13.4\% |
| Total |  | 48 | 64 | 100.0\% | 68 | 126 | 100.0\% | 825 | 695 | 100.0\% | 61 | 109 | 100.0\% | 27 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1029 | 1036 | 100.0\% |
| In what newspapers do you get information about Loudoun County programs and services? | LOUDOUN TIMESMIRROR | 24 | 37 | 78.9\% | 22 | 40 | 57.9\% | 396 | 333 | 68.0\% | 15 | 30 | 57.1\% | 11 | 16 | 56.6\% | 468 | 455 | 66.4\% |
|  | LEESBURG TODAY | 10 | 13 | 28.2\% | 10 | 21 | 30.1\% | 271 | 195 | 40.0\% | 13 | 20 | 37.3\% | 10 | 10 | 36.9\% | 314 | 259 | 37.8\% |
|  | WASHINGTON POST | 10 | 13 | 28.6\% | 9 | 13 | 18.9\% | 215 | 147 | 30.0\% | 6 | 6 | 12.2\% | 7 | 13 | 46.5\% | 247 | 193 | 28.1\% |
|  | PATCH.COM |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 6 | 1.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 6 | 0.9\% |


|  |  | Race and ethnicity combined (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | African American or Black |  |  | Asian |  |  | White or Caucasian |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | un | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | un | wN | w\% | un | wN | w\% | un | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | BLUE RIDGE LEADER |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 13 | 2.7\% |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1.9\% | 29 | 14 | 2.0\% |
|  | CONNECTION |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 6.3\% | 5 | 4 | 0.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 | 1.2\% |
|  | PURCELLVILLE GAZETTE | 1 | 1 | 1.3\% | 1 | 1 | 1.0\% | 77 | 42 | 8.6\% | 5 | 3 | 5.5\% | 1 | 0 | 1.0\% | 85 | 47 | 6.8\% |
|  | Other | 3 | 2 | 4.0\% | 5 | 5 | 7.1\% | 90 | 77 | 15.7\% | 7 | 8 | 15.5\% |  |  |  | 105 | 92 | 13.4\% |
| Total |  | 35 | 46 | 100.0\% | 39 | 69 | 100.0\% | 622 | 489 | 100.0\% | 32 | 53 | 100.0\% | 19 | 28 | 100.0\% | 747 | 686 | 100.0\% |
| How many of the school age children in your household attend public school? | 0 | 2 | 2 | 11.2\% | 5 | 9 | 13.5\% | 33 | 32 | 10.7\% | 2 | 5 | 9.1\% | 2 | 2 | 15.6\% | 44 | 51 | 11.1\% |
|  | 1 | 4 | 5 | 22.5\% | 20 | 32 | 45.3\% | 102 | 98 | 32.5\% | 11 | 25 | 47.7\% | 3 | 5 | 31.4\% | 140 | 164 | 35.7\% |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 17.1\% | 9 | 16 | 22.5\% | 125 | 113 | 37.3\% | 8 | 15 | 28.2\% | 5 | 8 | 53.0\% | 150 | 155 | 33.6\% |
|  | 3 | 5 | 10 | 49.2\% | 3 | 9 | 12.5\% | 42 | 50 | 16.7\% | 6 | 7 | 14.0\% |  |  |  | 56 | 77 | 16.7\% |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 6.2\% | 8 | 8 | 2.8\% | 1 | 1 | 1.0\% |  |  |  | 10 | 13 | 2.9\% |
| Total |  | 14 | 21 | 100.0\% | 38 | 70 | 100.0\% | 310 | 302 | 100.0\% | 28 | 52 | 100.0\% | 10 | 15 | 100.0\% | 400 | 460 | 100.0\% |
| In the past two years, have you used the public schools? | Have used | 17 | 22 | 34.2\% | 44 | 83 | 63.9\% | 398 | 362 | 51.9\% | 35 | 73 | 64.4\% | 10 | 17 | 38.9\% | 504 | 557 | 53.1\% |
|  | Have not used | 32 | 43 | 65.8\% | 27 | 47 | 36.1\% | 434 | 336 | 48.1\% | 27 | 40 | 35.6\% | 18 | 26 | 61.1\% | 538 | 492 | 46.9\% |
| Thotal |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 832 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1042 | 1049 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Schools | Very satisfied | 11 | 15 | 66.1\% | 18 | 30 | 36.2\% | 224 | 209 | 58.1\% | 24 | 46 | 63.2\% | 7 | 13 | 81.5\% | 284 | 313 | 56.5\% |
|  | Satisfied | 3 | 3 | 11.8\% | 20 | 41 | 50.0\% | 144 | 131 | 36.2\% | 9 | 21 | 29.2\% | 2 | 1 | 3.3\% | 178 | 196 | 35.4\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 2 | 3 | 14.0\% | 3 | 6 | 6.7\% | 23 | 15 | 4.2\% | 1 | 4 | 5.0\% | 1 | 3 | 15.2\% | 30 | 30 | 5.4\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied | 1 | 2 | 8.1\% | 2 | 5 | 5.6\% | 4 | 5 | 1.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 11 | 2.0\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1.4\% | 2 | 1 | 0.2\% | 1 | 2 | 2.6\% |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 0.7\% |
| Total |  | 17 | 22 | 100.0\% | 44 | 83 | 100.0\% | 397 | 361 | 100.0\% | 35 | 73 | 100.0\% | 10 | 17 | 100.0\% | 503 | 555 | 100.0\% |
| Parks and Recreation | Have used | 29 | 44 | 66.9\% | 49 | 93 | 71.9\% | 597 | 522 | 74.8\% | 40 | 74 | 65.3\% | 15 | 25 | 59.6\% | 730 | 758 | 72.3\% |
|  | Have not used | 20 | 22 | 33.1\% | 22 | 36 | 28.1\% | 234 | 176 | 25.2\% | 22 | 39 | 34.7\% | 13 | 17 | 40.4\% | 311 | 290 | 27.7\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 831 | 698 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1041 | 1048 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Parks and Recreation | Very satisfied | 15 | 23 | 52.5\% | 17 | 35 | 37.6\% | 358 | 320 | 61.3\% | 25 | 45 | 60.9\% | 7 | 14 | 54.8\% | 422 | 437 | 57.7\% |
|  | Satisfied | 12 | 20 | 45.4\% | 31 | 55 | 58.7\% | 217 | 177 | 34.0\% | 13 | 20 | 27.3\% | 7 | 11 | 44.1\% | 280 | 283 | 37.3\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 1 | 0 | 0.9\% | 1 | 3 | 3.7\% | 18 | 23 | 4.3\% | 1 | 4 | 5.9\% |  |  |  | 21 | 31 | 4.1\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.3\% |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1.1\% | 3 | 2 | 0.2\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 1 | 1 | 1.2\% |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.1\% | 1 | 4 | 5.9\% |  |  |  | 3 | 5 | 0.7\% |
| Total |  | 29 | 44 | 100.0\% | 49 | 93 | 100.0\% | 596 | 522 | 100.0\% | 40 | 74 | 100.0\% | 15 | 25 | 100.0\% | 729 | 758 | 100.0\% |
| The Public Libraries | Have used | 33 | 49 | 75.0\% | 51 | 94 | 72.6\% | 627 | 519 | 74.2\% | 37 | 65 | 57.1\% | 18 | 27 | 64.4\% | 766 | 753 | 71.8\% |
|  | Have not used | 16 | 16 | 25.0\% | 20 | 35 | 27.4\% | 205 | 180 | 25.8\% | 25 | 49 | 42.9\% | 9 | 15 | 35.6\% | 275 | 295 | 28.2\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 832 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 27 | 41 | 100.0\% | 1041 | 1048 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Libraries | Very satisfied | 24 | 39 | 80.1\% | 29 | 54 | 57.5\% | 449 | 367 | 70.8\% | 26 | 45 | 70.0\% | 12 | 21 | 76.9\% | 540 | 526 | 69.9\% |
|  | Satisfied | 7 | 7 | 14.7\% | 18 | 35 | 36.8\% | 161 | 137 | 26.4\% | 11 | 19 | 30.0\% | 5 | 4 | 16.2\% | 202 | 202 | 26.9\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 2 | 3 | 5.2\% | 3 | 4 | 4.6\% | 11 | 12 | 2.4\% |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 6.9\% | 17 | 21 | 2.8\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1.1\% | 4 | 2 | 0.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 0.4\% |
| Total |  | 33 | 49 | 100.0\% | 51 | 94 | 100.0\% | 625 | 518 | 100.0\% | 37 | 65 | 100.0\% | 18 | 27 | 100.0\% | 764 | 752 | 100.0\% |
| Sheriff Station | Have used | 15 | 22 | 33.5\% | 10 | 19 | 14.9\% | 191 | 179 | 25.6\% | 12 | 25 | 22.5\% | 7 | 10 | 23.7\% | 235 | 256 | 24.4\% |
|  | Have not used | 34 | 44 | 66.5\% | 61 | 110 | 85.1\% | 641 | 520 | 74.4\% | 50 | 88 | 77.5\% | 21 | 32 | 76.3\% | 807 | 793 | 75.6\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 832 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1042 | 1049 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Sheriff Station | Very satisfied | , | 9 | 42.9\% | 2 | 2 | 9.6\% | 102 | 89 | 49.9\% | 6 | , | 33.7\% | 5 | 7 | 72.3\% | 121 | 116 | 45.5\% |
|  | Satisfied | 7 | 11 | 52.1\% | 8 | 17 | 90.4\% | 71 | 69 | 38.7\% | 5 | 13 | 51.4\% | 2 | 3 | 27.7\% | 93 | 114 | 44.6\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 1 | 1 | 2.5\% |  |  |  | 6 | 5 | 2.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 6 | 2.3\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 9 | 5.3\% | 1 | 4 | 14.9\% |  |  |  | 9 | 13 | 5.2\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 1 | 1 | 2.5\% |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 3.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 2.5\% |
| Total |  | 15 | 22 | 100.0\% | 10 | 19 | 100.0\% | 191 | 179 | 100.0\% | 12 | 25 | 100.0\% | 7 | 10 | 100.0\% | 235 | 256 | 100.0\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Building and Development } \\ & \text { Services } \end{aligned}$ | Have used |  | 3 | 5.3\% | 8 | 13 | 10.0\% | 119 | 86 | 12.4\% | 9 | 17 | 16.2\% | 5 | 5 | 11.6\% | 144 | 124 | 12.0\% |
|  | Have not used | 45 | 61 | 94.7\% | 63 | 116 | 90.0\% | 704 | 604 | 87.6\% | 51 | 87 | 83.8\% | 22 | 35 | 88.4\% | 885 | 904 | 88.0\% |
| Total |  | 48 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 823 | 689 | 100.0\% | 60 | 104 | 100.0\% | 27 | 40 | 100.0\% | 1029 | 1028 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Race and ethnicity combined (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Arrican American or Black |  |  | Asian |  |  | White or Caucasian |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wn | w\% |
|  |  | un | wn | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | un | wn | w\% | un | wn | w\% |  |  |  |
| How satisfied are you with: Building and Development Services | Very satisfied | 1 | 2 | 51.8\% | 1 | 2 | 11.9\% | 53 | 36 | 42.8\% | 3 | 3 | 18.1\% | 1 | 2 | 38.6\% | 59 | 44 | 36.2\% |
|  | Satisfied | 1 | 1 | 18.4\% | 7 | 11 | 88.1\% | 47 | 33 | 38.9\% | 4 | 10 | 58.3\% | 3 | 3 | 55.5\% | 62 | 58 | 46.8\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 6.9\% | 1 | 4 | 22.1\% | 1 | 0 | 5.9\% | 11 | 10 | 8.0\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 | 9.5\% | 1 | 0 | 1.6\% |  |  |  | 8 | 8 | 6.8\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service | 1 | 1 | 29.9\% |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 2.2\% |
| Total |  | 3 | 3 | 100.0\% | 8 | 13 | 100.0\% | 118 | 85 | 100.0\% | 9 | 17 | 100.0\% | 5 | 5 | 100.0\% | 143 | 123 | 100.0\% |
| Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Mangement Services | Have used | 14 | 18 | 27.0\% | 7 | 11 | 8.7\% | 176 | 142 | 20.2\% | 7 | 21 | 18.6\% | 4 | 3 | 7.3\% | 208 | 195 | 18.5\% |
|  | Have not used | 35 | 48 | 73.0\% | 64 | 118 | 91.3\% | 658 | 558 | 79.8\% | 55 | 92 | 81.4\% | 24 | 39 | 92.7\% | 836 | 855 | 81.5\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 834 | 700 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1044 | 1050 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Fire, Rescue, and Emergency Management Services | Very satisfied | 14 | 18 | 100.0\% | 4 | 4 | 33.1\% | 148 | 117 | 82.5\% | 5 | 13 | 61.2\% | 3 | 3 | 91.2\% | 174 | 154 | 79.1\% |
|  | Satisfied |  |  |  | 3 | 7 | 66.9\% | 23 | 22 | 15.9\% | 1 | 4 | 20.8\% | 1 | - | 8.8\% | 28 | 35 | 17.8\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 1.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 1.2\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 18.0\% |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1.9\% |
| Total |  | 14 | 18 | 100.0\% | 7 | 11 | 100.0\% | 176 | 142 | 100.0\% | 7 | 21 | 100.0\% | 4 | 3 | 100.0\% | 208 | 195 | 100.0\% |
| Mental Health, Substance Abuse Services, and Developmental Services | Have used | 5 | 9 | 14.1\% | 3 | 6 | 4.7\% | 29 | 29 | 4.2\% | 2 | 2 | 1.8\% | 1 | 2 | 4.3\% | 40 | 49 | 4.6\% |
|  | Have not used | 44 | 56 | 85.9\% | 67 | 122 | 95.3\% | 804 | 670 | 95.8\% | 60 | 111 | 98.2\% | 27 | 41 | 95.7\% | 1002 | 1000 | 95.4\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 70 | 129 | 100.0\% | 833 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1042 | 1049 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services | Very satisfied | 5 | 9 | 100.0\% |  |  |  | 10 | 6 | 20.6\% | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% | 1 | 2 | 100.0\% | 18 | 19 | 39.5\% |
|  | Satisfied |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 74.0\% | 11 | 9 | 31.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 14 | 28.3\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 26.0\% | 4 | 6 | 22.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 8 | 16.6\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 13.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 8.1\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 12.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 7.5\% |
| Total |  | 5 | 9 | 100.0\% | 3 | 6 | 100.0\% | 29 | 29 | 100.0\% | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% | 1 | 2 | 100.0\% | 40 | 49 | 100.0\% |
| Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | Have used | 7 | 10 | 15.7\% | 4 | 5 | 3.9\% | 35 | 31 | 4.4\% | 4 | 8 | 6.7\% |  |  |  | 50 | 53 | 5.1\% |
|  | Have not used | 42 | 55 | 84.3\% | 64 | 120 | 96.1\% | 799 | 669 | 95.6\% | 57 | 104 | 93.3\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 990 | 991 | 94.9\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 68 | 125 | 100.0\% | 834 | 700 | 100.0\% | 61 | 112 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1040 | 1044 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | Very satisfied | 3 | 6 | 56.9\% |  |  |  | 12 | 6 | 21.3\% | 4 | 8 | 100.0\% |  |  |  | 19 | 20 | 37.4\% |
|  | Satisfied | 1 | 1 | 5.3\% | 3 | 3 | 54.1\% | 12 | 16 | 52.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 19 | 36.2\% |
|  | Dissatisfied | 3 | 4 | 37.8\% |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 10.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 13.1\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 15.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 9.1\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 45.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | 4.2\% |
| Total |  | 7 | 10 | 100.0\% | 4 | 5 | 100.0\% | 34 | 30 | 100.0\% | 4 | 8 | 100.0\% |  |  |  | 49 | 53 | 100.0\% |
| Health Services | Have used | 6 | 10 | 16.2\% | 10 | 18 | 13.9\% | 82 | 69 | 10.0\% | 11 | 24 | 21.6\% | 6 | 12 | 29.9\% | 115 | 133 | 12.9\% |
|  | Have not used | 41 | 51 | 83.8\% | 60 | 111 | 86.1\% | 740 | 620 | 90.0\% | 51 | 89 | 78.4\% | 21 | 29 | 70.1\% | 913 | 900 | 87.1\% |
| Total |  | 47 | 61 | 100.0\% | 70 | 128 | 100.0\% | 822 | 689 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 27 | 41 | 100.0\% | 1028 | 1033 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Health Services | Very satisfied | 5 | 5 | 55.6\% | 3 | 3 | 15.0\% | 39 | 36 | 53.6\% | 8 | 21 | 84.2\% | 2 | 5 | 60.8\% | 57 | 70 | 54.7\% |
|  | Satisfied | 1 | 4 | 44.4\% | 7 | 15 | 85.0\% | 33 | 28 | 41.0\% | 3 | , | 15.8\% | 3 | 3 | 39.2\% | 47 | 54 | 42.5\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 4.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 2.4\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.5\% |
| Total |  | 6 | 10 | 100.0\% | 10 | 18 | 100.0\% | 80 | 68 | 100.0\% | 11 | 24 | 100.0\% | 5 | 8 | 100.0\% | 112 | 128 | 100.0\% |
| Animal Services | Have used | 4 | 3 | 5.0\% | 6 | 9 | 7.2\% | 156 | 137 | 19.6\% | 11 | 22 | 19.6\% | 5 | 10 | 23.0\% | 182 | 181 | 17.3\% |
|  | Have not used | 45 | 62 | 95.0\% | 65 | 120 | 92.8\% | 676 | 562 | 80.4\% | 51 | 91 | 80.4\% | 23 | 33 | 77.0\% | 860 | 868 | 82.7\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 832 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1042 | 1049 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Animal Services | Very satisfied | 3 | 3 | 82.9\% | 3 | 6 | 59.4\% | 88 | 84 | 61.2\% | 8 | 16 | 73.3\% | 3 | 9 | 94.4\% | 105 | 117 | 64.8\% |
|  | Satisfied | 1 | 1 | 17.1\% | 2 | 2 | 24.2\% | 52 | 40 | 29.5\% | 3 | 6 | 26.7\% | 1 | 0 | 2.8\% | 59 | 49 | 27.2\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 9 | 6.7\% |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 2.8\% | 10 | 9 | 5.2\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 16.4\% | 1 | 0 | 0.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.1\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 3 | 2.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 1.7\% |


|  |  | Race and ethnicity combined (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | African American or Black |  |  | Asian |  |  | White or Caucasian |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Other |  |  | un | wn | w\% |
|  |  | un | wn | w\% | UN | wn | w\% | un | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 3 | 100.0\% | 6 | 9 | 100.0\% | 156 | 137 | 100.0\% | 11 | 22 | 100.0\% | 5 | 10 | 100.0\% | 182 | 181 | 100.0\% |
| Senior Services | Have used | 6 | 5 | 7.2\% | 3 | 9 | 6.9\% | 77 | 43 | 6.1\% | 2 | 1 | 0.7\% |  |  |  | 88 | 57 | 5.5\% |
|  | Have not used | 43 | 61 | 92.8\% | 68 | 120 | 93.1\% | 757 | 657 | 93.9\% | 60 | 112 | 99.3\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 956 | 993 | 94.5\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 834 | 700 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1044 | 1050 | 100.0\% |
| How satisfied are you with: Senior Services | Very satisfied | 4 | 4 | 75.5\% | 1 | 4 | 40.6\% | 52 | 28 | 65.0\% | 1 | 1 | 67.6\% |  |  |  | 58 | 36 | 62.1\% |
|  | Satisfied | 2 | 1 | 24.5\% | 1 | 4 | 49.1\% | 14 | 9 | 21.4\% | 1 | 0 | 32.4\% |  |  |  | 18 | 15 | 26.1\% |
|  | Dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 7.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 5.3\% |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1.3\% |
|  | Have no opinion of the service |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 10.3\% | 5 | 2 | 4.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 5.1\% |
| Total |  | 6 | 5 | 100.0\% | 3 | 9 | 100.0\% | 77 | 43 | 100.0\% | 2 | 1 | 100.0\% |  |  |  | 88 | 57 | 100.0\% |
| Agree or disagree: The county provides good value for the tax dollar (revised answer categories for 2012) | Strongly Agree | 13 | 21 | 32.1\% | 17 | 25 | 20.6\% | 181 | 148 | 22.9\% | 18 | 29 | 28.5\% | 4 | 6 | 13.7\% | 233 | 229 | 23.4\% |
|  | Somewhat Agree | 27 | 35 | 55.4\% | 39 | 72 | 58.7\% | 442 | 384 | 59.2\% | 29 | 53 | 51.4\% | 17 | 30 | 71.3\% | 554 | 574 | 58.6\% |
|  | Somewhat Disgree | 2 | 1 | 1.9\% | 8 | 19 | 15.3\% | 102 | 80 | 12.4\% | 5 | 10 | 9.3\% | 4 | 6 | 14.2\% | 121 | 116 | 11.8\% |
|  | Strongly Disagree | 5 | 7 | 10.6\% | 4 | 7 | 5.5\% | 47 | 36 | 5.6\% | 6 | 11 | 10.9\% | 1 | 0 | 0.8\% | 63 | 61 | 6.3\% |
|  |  | 47 | 64 | 100.0\% | 68 | 122 | 100.0\% | 772 | 649 | 100.0\% | 58 | 103 | 100.0\% | 26 | 41 | 100.0\% | 971 | 980 | 100.0\% |
| What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County? (Up to 2 answers accepted) | GROWTHIDEVELOPMEN т (тоо мUСН, тоо FAST, NOT PLANNED WELL) | 8 | 14 | 22.6\% | 10 | 23 | 22.5\% | 228 | 179 | 27.4\% | 6 | 12 | 14.9\% | 2 | 4 | 10.1\% | 254 | 232 | 24.7\% |
|  | SCHOOLS (TOO CROWDED, NOT ENOUGH, NOT SAFE ENOUGH) | 6 | 8 | 13.9\% | 9 | 15 | 14.2\% | 77 | 72 | 11.0\% | 5 | 7 | 9.3\% | 2 | 4 | 10.7\% | 99 | 107 | 11.4\% |
|  | YOUTH ACTIVITIES (TOO FEW, GANG . | 1 | 2 | 2.9\% | 1 | 1 | 1.1\% | 7 | ${ }^{5}$ | 0.8\% | 3 | 7 | 8.8\% |  |  |  | 12 | 15 | 1.6\% |
|  | TAXES (TOO HIGH, TAX WRONG THINGS) | 8 | 8 | 13.6\% | 7 | 15 | 14.7\% | 116 | 96 | 14.7\% | 8 | 21 | 26.8\% | 2 | 1 | 3.3\% | 141 | 142 | 15.2\% |
|  | TRAFFIC/TRANSPORTA TION (ROADS TOO CROWDED, LACK OF PUBLIC TRANS.) | 19 | 27 | 44.2\% | 28 | 48 | 46.6\% | 280 | 245 | 37.5\% | 18 | 36 | 45.1\% | 7 | 15 | 35.8\% | 352 | 371 | 39.5\% |
|  | INADEQUATE SERVICES (NOT ENOUGH, NOT RIGHT KIND) | 3 | 1 | 2.5\% | 1 | 1 | 0.7\% | 29 | 24 | 3.6\% |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 8.0\% | 35 | 29 | 3.1\% |
|  | (GENERAL) GOVERNMENT |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.8\% | 27 | 21 | 3.2\% | 1 | 4 | 5.5\% | 1 | 0 | 0.7\% | 31 | 27 | 2.9\% |
|  | ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 12 | 1.8\% |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 8.8\% | 17 | 15 | 1.6\% |
|  | OTHER (PLEASE LIST) | 6 | 8 | 13.5\% | 7 | 15 | 14.6\% | 92 | 71 | 10.9\% | 5 | 5 | 6.8\% | 4 | 4 | 8.8\% | 114 | 103 | 11.0\% |
|  | COST OF LIVING OR HOUSING; NO JOBS (added code) |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2.1\% | 23 | 27 | 4.1\% |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 14.0\% | 27 | 35 | 3.7\% |
|  | INCREASE OF CRIME; SAFETY ISSUES (added code) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 11 | 1.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 11 | 1.2\% |
|  |  | 43 | 61 | 100.0\% | 57 | 104 | 100.0\% | 777 | 653 | 100.0\% | 41 | 79 | 100.0\% | 24 | 41 | 100.0\% | 942 | 938 | 100.0\% |
| What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County? (Up to 2 answers accepted) | RURAL/OPEN/COUNTRY | 7 | 8 | 13.1\% | 10 | 16 | 12.9\% | 219 | 166 | 25.1\% | 5 | 6 | 5.7\% | 5 | 8 | 19.8\% | 246 | 203 | 20.7\% |
|  | PEOPLE/FRIENDLY/PER SONAL | 7 | 13 | 23.1\% | , | 5 | 4.2\% | 77 | 59 | 8.9\% | 8 | 10 | 9.1\% | 5 | 10 | 23.8\% | 101 | 97 | 9.8\% |
|  | LOCATION/PROXIMITY (TO SHOPPING, TO MAJOR CITY, ETC.) | 10 | 12 | 20.0\% | 9 | 19 | 15.8\% | 169 | 147 | 22.2\% | 5 | 16 | 15.4\% | 4 | 8 | 19.9\% | 197 | 202 | 20.5\% |
|  | NEIGHBORHOOD/COMM UNITY (SIZE, DESIGN, OFFERINGS) | 5 | 6 | 9.9\% | 11 | 15 | 12.6\% | 125 | 109 | 16.5\% | 9 | 21 | 19.7\% | 3 | 5 | 13.5\% | 153 | 156 | 15.8\% |
|  | BEAUTY/SCENIC VIEWS | 4 | 7 | 12.2\% | 3 | 10 | 8.0\% | 71 | 48 | 7.3\% | 4 | 3 | 2.9\% | 4 | 5 | 12.5\% | 86 | 73 | 7.4\% |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | and eth | combin | ( (recoded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | African | erican or | Black |  | Asian |  |  | Caucas |  |  | spanic |  |  | Other |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | un | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | un | wn | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | un | wn | w\% |
| What nighttime activity is | FINE DINING | 2 | 5 | 21.8\% | 4 | 7 | 19.0\% | 35 | 27 | 20.4\% | 3 | 3 | 7.8\% |  |  |  | 44 | 42 | 17.4\% |
| missing in Loudoun | INTERNATIONAL DINING | 1 | 1 | 4.7\% | 2 | 5 | 14.7\% | 13 | 6 | 4.4\% | 4 | 8 | 19.4\% |  |  |  | 20 | 20 | 8.4\% |
| County? (Respondent | BARS \& NIGHTCLUBS | 3 | 4 | 17.1\% | 6 | 11 | 32.4\% | 34 | 38 | 28.3\% | 5 | 12 | 27.7\% | 2 | 6 | 62.9\% | 50 | 70 | 29.1\% |
|  | LIVE ENTERTAINMENT AND MUSIC | 5 | 6 | 29.1\% | 3 | 7 | 19.0\% | 44 | 33 | 25.0\% | 5 | 8 | 19.7\% | 1 | 3 | 28.9\% | 58 | 57 | 23.7\% |
|  | SYMPHONY OR ORCHESTRA | 1 | 2 | 8.6\% | 1 | 1 | 1.8\% | 20 | 14 | 10.4\% | 1 | 1 | 3.2\% | 1 | 1 | 8.4\% | 24 | 18 | 7.6\% |
|  | LIVE THEATER SUCH AS PLAYS AND MUSICALS | 2 | 4 | 16.7\% | 2 | 2 | 5.8\% | 34 | 30 | 22.4\% | 5 | 9 | 20.8\% | 1 | 3 | 28.9\% | 44 | 47 | 19.5\% |
|  | MOVIE THEATERS | 1 | 2 | 8.1\% | 1 | 1 | 1.8\% | 9 | 9 | 6.6\% | 5 | 7 | 17.2\% | 1 | 3 | 28.9\% | 17 | 21 | 8.7\% |
|  | DOWNTOWN EVENTS SUCH AS ART GALLERIES, CONCERTS, SPECIAL EVENTS | 4 | 7 | 33.4\% | 7 | 16 | 45.5\% | 50 | 36 | 27.3\% | 5 | 9 | 20.7\% | 2 | 3 | 37.3\% | 68 | 72 | 29.7\% |
|  | SPORTING EVENTS; RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES; PARKS | 2 | 5 | 22.4\% | 5 | 8 | 22.7\% | 24 | 17 | 12.8\% | 5 | 9 | 20.3\% | 1 | 2 | 20.0\% | 37 | 40 | 16.7\% |
|  | OR SOMETHING ELSE? (SPECIFY) | 1 | 4 | 19.9\% |  |  |  | 18 | 12 | 9.3\% | 2 | 2 | 5.6\% |  |  |  | 21 | 19 | 7.9\% |
|  | CHILD, YOUTH, FAMILY ACTIVITIES, PROGRAMS (added code) | 3 | 5 | 21.1\% |  |  |  | 29 | 31 | 23.0\% | 4 | 11 | 25.9\% | 2 | 1 | 8.7\% | 38 | 47 | 19.5\% |
|  | THINGS HERE NEED TO STAY OPEN LATER (added code) | 1 | 1 | 2.5\% |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1.6\% | 1 | 1 | 1.9\% |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 1.4\% |
| Total |  | 14 | 22 | 100.0\% | 18 | 35 | 100.0\% | 165 | 133 | 100.0\% | 23 | 43 | 100.0\% | 6 | 9 | 100.0\% | 226 | 242 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the | Very important | 28 | 38 | 60.1\% | 36 | 63 | 48.8\% | 272 | 251 | 36.2\% | 37 | 65 | 59.7\% | 9 | 12 | 28.0\% | 382 | 429 | 41.3\% |
| county to focus on | Somewhat important | 10 | 18 | 28.2\% | 22 | 38 | 29.2\% | 300 | 246 | 35.4\% | 17 | 28 | 26.0\% | 10 | 16 | 37.9\% | 359 | 346 | 33.3\% |
| walkways and bikeways in | Not too important | 8 | 6 | 10.1\% | 12 | 28 | 21.8\% | 158 | 131 | 18.9\% | 6 | 13 | 11.9\% | 6 | 11 | 25.5\% | 190 | 190 | 18.3\% |
| the next few years? | Not important at all | 2 | 1 | 1.6\% | 1 | 0 | 0.2\% | 91 | 65 | 9.4\% | 1 | 3 | 2.4\% | 3 | 4 | 8.6\% | 98 | 73 | 7.0\% |
| Total |  | 48 | 63 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 821 | 694 | 100.0\% | 61 | 109 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1029 | 1037 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the | Very important | 33 | 46 | 70.2\% | 47 | 77 | 59.5\% | 490 | 412 | 59.7\% | 40 | 76 | 67.9\% | 14 | 18 | 45.9\% | 624 | 628 | 60.7\% |
| county to focus on | Somewhat important | 13 | 18 | 27.1\% | 15 | 28 | 21.9\% | 224 | 200 | 29.0\% | 13 | 18 | 16.4\% | 11 | 18 | 46.8\% | 276 | 282 | 27.3\% |
| roads in the next few | Not too important | 3 | 2 | 2.7\% | 8 | 23 | 17.8\% | 67 | 53 | 7.6\% | 6 | 13 | 11.5\% | 1 | 3 | 6.5\% | 85 | 93 | 9.0\% |
| years? | Not important at all |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.7\% | 38 | 25 | 3.7\% | 2 | 5 | 4.2\% | 1 | 0 | 0.8\% | 42 | 31 | 3.0\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 819 | 690 | 100.0\% | 61 | 112 | 100.0\% | 27 | 39 | 100.0\% | 1027 | 1035 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the | Very important | 33 | 41 | 62.9\% | 48 | 91 | 70.8\% | 266 | 228 | 34.6\% | 37 | 76 | 73.5\% | 11 | 19 | 47.2\% | 395 | 454 | 45.6\% |
| county to focus on | Somewhat important | 10 | 15 | 23.1\% | 17 | 26 | 20.4\% | 289 | 249 | 37.8\% | 14 | 21 | 20.8\% | 8 | 18 | 43.9\% | 338 | 329 | 33.1\% |
| commuter bus service in | Not too important | 1 | 2 | 2.5\% | 4 | 10 | 8.1\% | 124 | 99 | 15.1\% | 7 | 5 | 5.2\% | 2 | 2 | 4.7\% | 138 | 119 | 11.9\% |
| the next few years? | Not important at all | 4 | 7 | 11.5\% | 1 | 1 | 0.7\% | 107 | 83 | 12.6\% | 1 | 1 | 0.6\% | 3 | 2 | 4.2\% | 116 | 93 | 9.4\% |
| Total |  | 48 | 65 | 100.0\% | 70 | 129 | 100.0\% | 786 | 658 | 100.0\% | 59 | 103 | 100.0\% | 24 | 40 | 100.0\% | 987 | 995 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the | Very important | 32 | 41 | 62.6\% | 47 | 79 | 60.8\% | 409 | 351 | 51.3\% | 42 | 85 | 82.0\% | 12 | 17 | 40.5\% | 542 | 572 | 55.9\% |
| county to focus on providing rail transit | Somewhat important | 9 | 17 | 25.6\% | 15 | 34 | 25.9\% | 181 | 153 | 22.4\% | 8 | 10 | 9.8\% | 8 | 16 | 36.8\% | 221 | 229 | 22.4\% |
| service in the next few | Not too important | 3 | 4 | 6.0\% | 6 | 12 | 9.0\% | 102 | 84 | 12.3\% | 4 | 5 | 5.1\% | 4 | 6 | 14.1\% | 119 | 111 | 10.8\% |
| years? | Not important at all | 4 | 4 | 5.7\% | 3 | 6 | 4.3\% | 123 | 96 | 14.0\% | 4 | 3 | 3.2\% | 3 | 4 | 8.6\% | 137 | 112 | 10.9\% |
| Total |  | 48 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 815 | 684 | 100.0\% | 58 | 104 | 100.0\% | 27 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1019 | 1024 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the | Very important | 22 | 24 | 36.3\% | 40 | 78 | 60.0\% | 196 | 170 | 25.5\% | 36 | 64 | 59.1\% | 14 | 20 | 48.7\% | 308 | 355 | 35.2\% |
| county to focus on | Somewhat important | 19 | 30 | 46.4\% | 21 | 35 | 27.3\% | 278 | 240 | 36.1\% | 15 | 26 | 24.3\% | 5 | 11 | 27.9\% | 338 | 343 | 34.0\% |
| providing or improving local bus service in the | Not too important | 4 | 4 | 5.9\% | 7 | 11 | 8.2\% | 172 | 146 | 22.0\% | 8 | 13 | 12.0\% | 4 | 8 | 19.3\% | 195 | 182 | 18.0\% |
| next few years? | Not important at all | 4 | 8 | 11.5\% | 3 | 6 | 4.4\% | 140 | 109 | 16.4\% | 2 | 5 | 4.5\% | 3 | 2 | 4.1\% | 152 | 129 | 12.8\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 786 | 665 | 100.0\% | 61 | 109 | 100.0\% | 26 | 40 | 100.0\% | 993 | 1009 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Race and ethnicity combined (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | African American or Black |  |  | Asian |  |  | White or Caucasian |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | un | wn | w\% | UN | wn | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Do you use public transportation | Yes | 18 | 29 | 44.4\% | 18 | 36 | 28.0\% | 178 | 144 | 20.6\% | 25 | 53 | 47.1\% | 6 | 10 | 24.7\% | 245 | 273 | 26.0\% |
|  | No | 31 | 36 | 55.6\% | 53 | 93 | 72.0\% | 654 | 555 | 79.4\% | 37 | 60 | 52.9\% | 22 | 32 | 75.3\% | 797 | 776 | 74.0\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 832 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1042 | 1049 | 100.0\% |
| What public transportation do you use? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | BUS | 10 | 13 | 44.8\% | 15 | 30 | 83.7\% | 100 | 82 | 58.3\% | 22 | 49 | 92.5\% | 5 | 7 | 65.9\% | 152 | 182 | 67.3\% |
|  | TRAIN | 2 | 1 | 3.8\% | 2 | 4 | 12.1\% | 14 | 11 | 8.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 17 | 6.3\% |
|  | METRO | 12 | 25 | 85.6\% | 7 | 19 | 51.3\% | 113 | 92 | 65.2\% | 7 | 11 | 21.0\% |  |  |  | 139 | 147 | 54.3\% |
|  | VAN POOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Taxihired carlimo |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 1.8\% | 1 | 1 | 1.7\% |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 1.3\% |
|  | Government/nonprofit transportation service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Car pool/slugging |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 13 | 9.5\% | 1 | 0 | 0.5\% | 1 | 4 | 34.1\% | 15 | 17 | 6.4\% |
| Total |  | 18 | 29 | 100.0\% | 18 | 36 | 100.0\% | 177 | 141 | 100.0\% | 25 | 53 | 100.0\% | 6 | 10 | 100.0\% | 244 | 270 | 100.0\% |
| Why don't you use public transportation? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | TAKES TOO MUCH TIME, TOO INEFFICIENT WITH TIME, WAIT TIME | 1 | 1 | 1.8\% | 6 | 8 | 8.3\% | 91 | 61 | 11.1\% | 10 | 17 | 28.2\% | 1 | 2 | 5.7\% | 109 | 88 | 11.4\% |
|  | DOESN'T GO WHERE I NEED IT TO GO | 5 | 6 | 15.5\% | 16 | 31 | 32.9\% | 171 | 154 | 28.1\% | 12 | 22 | 36.6\% | 9 | 12 | 38.2\% | 213 | 225 | 29.2\% |
|  | I HAVE TO GO TOO FAR FROM HOME TO GET TO IT | 3 | 4 | 10.4\% | 13 | 22 | 23.7\% | 95 | 96 | 17.6\% | 5 | 8 | 13.9\% | 2 | 2 | 4.7\% | 118 | 132 | 17.2\% |
|  | I NEED FLEXIBILITY TO LEAVE WORK FOR ERRANDS OR IN AN EMERGENCY | 7 | 4 | 11.0\% | 4 | 7 | 7.4\% | 67 | 50 | 9.2\% | 4 | 8 | 13.9\% | 3 | 7 | 21.2\% | 85 | 76 | 9.9\% |
|  | IT COSTS TOO MUCH | 1 | 1 | 1.5\% | 2 | 3 | 2.8\% | 13 | 15 | 2.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 18 | 2.4\% |
|  | SAFETY ISSUES |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 6 | 1.1\% |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 8.1\% | 11 | 8 | 1.1\% |
|  | OTHER (SPECIFY) | 2 | 1 | 3.6\% | 4 | 5 | 5.5\% | 79 | 56 | 10.3\% | 1 | 1 | 1.9\% | 1 | 4 | 13.6\% | 87 | 68 | 8.9\% |
|  | HAVE A CAR (added code) | 10 | 15 | 40.0\% | 12 | 15 | 16.2\% | 106 | 104 | 19.0\% | 6 | 4 | 7.3\% | 3 | 6 | 19.3\% | 137 | 144 | 18.7\% |
|  | RETIRED/UNEMPLOYED /CARPOOL (added code) | 3 | 4 | 10.7\% | 1 | 1 | 1.0\% | 48 | 39 | 7.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 44 | 5.7\% |
|  | WORK FROM HOME/WORK IS TOO CLOSE TO HOME (added code) | 1 | 1 | 1.5\% | 1 | 0 | 0.3\% | 31 | 30 | 5.5\% |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3.3\% | 34 | 32 | 4.1\% |
|  | THERE IS NONE AVAILABLE/NO INFORMATION (added code) | 1 | 4 | 12.0\% | 7 | 14 | 15.5\% | 32 | 21 | 3.9\% | 3 | 6 | 10.1\% | 3 | 2 | 5.1\% | 46 | 48 | 6.2\% |
| Total |  | 31 | 36 | 100.0\% | 53 | 93 | 100.0\% | 641 | 548 | 100.0\% | 37 | 60 | 100.0\% | 22 | 32 | 100.0\% | 784 | 769 | 100.0\% |
| Are there types of County facilities that you would like to see more of throughout the county, or are there enough County facilities, in your opinion? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | THERE ARE ENOUGH | 26 | 37 | 58.8\% | 37 | 70 | 56.5\% | 516 | 429 | 66.1\% | 28 | 42 | 44.7\% | 21 | 38 | 94.8\% | 628 | 615 | 63.5\% |
|  | ANIMAL SHELTER |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 0.5\% | 1 | 2 | 2.1\% |  |  |  | 6 | 5 | 0.6\% |
|  | COMMUNITY CENTER | 3 | 7 | 11.0\% | 5 | 12 | 9.6\% | 15 | 12 | 1.9\% | 2 | 4 | 4.3\% |  |  |  | 25 | 35 | 3.6\% |
|  | DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES RESIDENTIAL FACILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 0.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 0.2\% |
|  | FIREIRESCUE STATION |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 3.5\% | 3 | 2 | 0.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 0.6\% |
|  | GOVERNMENT OFFICES |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.1\% |
|  | HEALTH CLINIC | 1 | 2 | 3.0\% |  |  |  | 8 | 5 | 0.8\% | 3 | 4 | 4.1\% |  |  |  | 12 | 11 | 1.1\% |
|  | LIBRARY | 2 | 1 | 1.5\% | 2 | 3 | 2.3\% | 24 | 19 | 2.9\% | 3 | 8 | 8.0\% |  |  |  | 31 | 30 | 3.1\% |
|  | MENTAL HEALTH RESIDENTIAL FACILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 0.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 0.6\% |
|  | PARK AND RIDE LOT | 1 | 1 | 0.9\% | 1 | 1 | 0.7\% | 5 | 2 | 0.3\% | 1 | 1 | 0.7\% |  |  |  | 8 | 4 | 0.4\% |


|  |  | Race and ethnicity combined (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | African American or Black |  |  | Asian |  |  | White or Caucasian |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | PARKS, ACTIVE(EX ATHLETIC FIELDS, INCLUDING BASEBALL SOFTBALL,\& SOCCER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 91 | 9.4\% |
|  | PARKS, PASSIVE (EX: NATURE PRESERVES, HIKING TRAILS, ETC.) | 2 | 2 | 3.8\% | 4 | 11 | 9.0\% | 56 | 56 | 8.6\% | 4 | 10 | 10.7\% |  |  |  | 66 | 80 | 8.2\% |
|  | RECREATION CENTER | 4 | 3 | 4.7\% | 10 | 22 | 18.0\% | 74 | 71 | 10.9\% | 4 | 8 | 9.0\% | 1 | 0 | 0.7\% | 93 | 105 | 10.8\% |
|  | RECYCLING DROP-OFF CENTER |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 0.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 0.4\% |
|  | RESPITE CENTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SENIOR CENTER | 1 | 1 | 0.9\% | 1 | 2 | 1.3\% | 13 | 8 | 1.2\% | 1 | 1 | 0.9\% | 2 | 1 | 2.0\% | 18 | 12 | 1.2\% |
|  | SHERIFF STATION |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 9 | 1.4\% | 2 | 3 | 3.1\% |  |  |  | 6 | 12 | 1.2\% |
|  | TEEN CENTER | 8 | 16 | 25.3\% | 2 | 6 | 5.0\% | 26 | 24 | 3.6\% | 1 | 4 | 4.7\% | 1 | 0 | 0.7\% | 38 | 50 | 5.2\% |
|  | OTHER | 4 | 3 | 5.5\% | 11 | 17 | 13.9\% | 64 | 49 | 7.5\% | 10 | 25 | 26.7\% | 3 | 1 | 3.4\% | 92 | 96 | 9.9\% |
| Total |  | 45 | 63 | 100.0\% | 67 | 124 | 100.0\% | 774 | 649 | 100.0\% | 53 | 93 | 100.0\% | 26 | 40 | 100.0\% | 965 | 969 | 100.0\% |
| Respondent's gender | Male | 23 | 29 | 45.0\% | 46 | 84 | 64.6\% | 413 | 324 | 46.3\% | 35 | 60 | 52.7\% | 16 | 19 | 45.6\% | 533 | 516 | 49.1\% |
|  | Female | 26 | 36 | 55.0\% | 25 | 46 | 35.4\% | 421 | 376 | 53.7\% | 27 | 53 | 47.3\% | 12 | 23 | 54.4\% | 511 | 534 | 50.9\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 834 | 700 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1044 | 1050 | 100.0\% |
| Do you consider yourself | YES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 106 | 94.1\% |  |  |  | 59 | 106 | 10.2\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { to be of } \\ & \text { origin? } \end{aligned}$ | No | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 69 | 125 | 100.0\% | 831 | 699 | 100.0\% | 3 | 7 | 5.9\% | 27 | 42 | 100.0\% | 979 | 938 | 89.8\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 69 | 125 | 100.0\% | 831 | 699 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 27 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1038 | 1044 | 100.0\% |
| what category best | Atrican American or Black | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2.0\% |  |  |  | 50 | 68 | 6.5\% |
| describes you? | Asian |  |  |  | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 129 | 12.3\% |
|  | White or Caucasian |  |  |  |  |  |  | 834 | 700 | 100.0\% | 16 | 16 | 14.0\% |  |  |  | 850 | 715 | 68.2\% |
|  | American Indian,Native American, or Alaskan Native |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1.6\% | 8 | 13 | 29.6\% | 9 | 14 | 1.4\% |
|  | Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13 | 11.7\% | 4 | 5 | 11.7\% | 7 | 18 | 1.7\% |
|  | MULTI-RACIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 17 | 15.3\% | 7 | 11 | 25.1\% | 12 | 28 | 2.6\% |
|  | OTHER [SPECIFY] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 9 | 8.3\% |  | 14 | 33.7\% | 12 | 24 | 2.2\% |
|  | HISPANIC ONLY: PLEASE PROMPT SELECTING THIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 53 | 47.1\% |  |  |  | 32 | 53 | 5.0\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 834 | 700 | 100.0\% | 61 | 112 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1043 | 1049 | 100.0\% |
| What is the highest level | Less than 9th grade | 1 | 1 | 0.8\% |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 6 | 5.2\% | , | 0 | 0.6\% | 9 | 7 | 0.7\% |
| of education you completed? | 9th-12th, but did not finish high school |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 6 | 0.9\% | 5 | 7 | 6.0\% |  |  |  | 12 | 13 | 1.2\% |
|  | High school graduate or G.E.D. | 8 | 9 | 13.6\% | 4 | 7 | 5.1\% | 84 | 81 | 11.6\% | 4 | 12 | 10.5\% | 2 | 5 | 12.0\% | 102 | 113 | 10.8\% |
|  | Some college but no degree | 8 | 10 | 15.0\% | 6 | 8 | 6.0\% | 82 | 86 | 12.3\% | 9 | 23 | 20.7\% | 2 | 5 | 10.9\% | 107 | 131 | 12.5\% |
|  | 2 year college degree/A.A. IA.S. | 4 | 7 | 11.2\% | 2 | 5 | 4.1\% | 61 | 42 | 6.1\% | 8 | 16 | 13.9\% | 3 | 6 | 13.9\% | 78 | 77 | 7.3\% |
|  | 4 year college degree/B.A. /B.S. | 13 | 16 | 24.2\% | 21 | 45 | 34.6\% | 282 | 233 | 33.4\% | 16 | 28 | 24.4\% | 16 | 22 | 51.0\% | 348 | 343 | 32.7\% |
|  | Some graduate work | 1 | 2 | 2.8\% | 2 | 2 | 1.4\% | 33 | 20 | 2.8\% | 2 | 1 | 1.2\% | 2 | 1 | 3.0\% | 40 | 26 | 2.5\% |
|  | Completed Masters or professional degree | 11 | 16 | 23.8\% | 31 | 57 | 44.4\% | 243 | 208 | 29.8\% | 12 | 21 | 18.2\% | 1 | 3 | 6.1\% | 298 | 304 | 29.0\% |
|  | Advanced graduate work or Ph.D. | 3 | 6 | 8.5\% | 5 | 6 | 4.4\% | 37 | 23 | 3.2\% |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2.5\% | 46 | 35 | 3.3\% |
| Total |  | 49 | 65 | 100.0\% | 71 | 129 | 100.0\% | 830 | 698 | 100.0\% | 62 | 113 | 100.0\% | 28 | 42 | 100.0\% | 1040 | 1048 | 100.0\% |
| Age of respondent | 18 to 24 | 1 | 1 | 2.2\% | 5 | 12 | 12.0\% | 38 | 62 | 9.6\% | 4 | 5 | 4.6\% | 3 | 8 | 19.9\% | 51 | 88 | 9.3\% |
| (recoded) | 25 to 34 | 6 | 21 | 34.3\% | 8 | 22 | 22.3\% | 61 | 101 | 15.7\% | 12 | 26 | 24.7\% | 3 | 3 | 7.7\% | 90 | 174 | 8.2 |


|  |  | Race and ethnicity combined (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | African American or Black |  |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | un | wn | w\% | un | wn | w\% | UN | wN | w\% | un | wN | w\% | un | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
|  | 35 to 64 | 31 | 34 | 56.4\% | 40 | 63 | 62.5\% | 494 | 404 | 62.5\% | 40 | 73 | 69.4\% | 16 | 28 | 67.4\% | 621 | 601 | 63.1\% |
|  | 65+ | 7 | 4 | 7.1\% | 5 | 3 | 3.2\% | 176 | 79 | 12.2\% | 3 | 1 | 1.3\% | 4 | 2 | 5.1\% | 195 | 90 | 9.4\% |
| Total |  | 45 | 60 | 100.0\% | 58 | 101 | 100.0\% | 769 | 646 | 100.0\% | 59 | 105 | 100.0\% | 26 | 41 | 100.0\% | 957 | 953 | 100.0\% |
| the range that best describes your annual | Less than 10 thousand? [\$0 -- \$9,999] | 2 | 5 | 12.0\% |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.2\% | 5 | 9 | 9.3\% |  |  |  | 9 | 15 | 1.8\% |
| household income from all sources in 2010? That | 10 to 30 thousand? <br> [\$10,000 -- \$29,999] | 1 | 2 | 4.4\% | 3 | 5 | 4.6\% | 24 | 21 | 3.8\% | 7 | 12 | 12.8\% | 1 | 0 | 1.0\% | 36 | 41 | 4.9\% |
| would be before taxes and other deductions | 30 to 50 thousand? [ $\$ 30,000-$ - $\$ 49,999]$ | 7 | 4 | 10.0\% | 5 | 9 | 8.1\% | 40 | 34 | 6.0\% | 9 | 24 | 24.1\% | 3 | 4 | 13.7\% | 64 | 74 | 8.8\% |
|  | 50 to 75 thousand? [ $\$ 50,000-$-- \$74,999] | 1 | 2 | 3.8\% | 5 | 6 | 5.3\% | 65 | 48 | 8.6\% | 5 | 9 | 8.8\% | 2 | 5 | 20.4\% | 78 | 70 | 8.3\% |
|  | 75 to 100 thousand? [ $\$ 75,000$-- $\$ 99,999$ ] | 5 | 5 | 11.9\% | 2 | 1 | 0.8\% | 93 | 89 | 16.0\% | 9 | 19 | 19.7\% | 4 | 8 | 29.1\% | 113 | 122 | 14.5\% |
|  | 100 to 125 thousand? [ $\$ 100,000$-- $\$ 124,999]$ | 6 | 10 | 23.1\% | 13 | 23 | 19.6\% | 102 | 90 | 16.0\% | 1 | 1 | 0.5\% | 2 | 1 | 3.0\% | 124 | 124 | 14.7\% |
|  | 125 to 150 thousand? [\$125,000 -- \$149,999] | 2 | 2 | 5.5\% | 13 | 25 | 21.6\% | 80 | 67 | 12.0\% | 3 | 3 | 3.6\% | 2 | 2 | 7.6\% | 100 | 100 | 11.9\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \text { to } 175 \text { thousand? } \\ & {[\$ 150,000--\$ 174,999]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7 | 16.6\% | 9 | 25 | 22.1\% | 63 | 50 | 9.0\% | 3 | 6 | 5.7\% | 1 | 0 | 1.0\% | 82 | 88 | 10.5\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \text { to } 200 \text { thousand? } \\ & \text { [ } \$ 175,000 \text {-- } \$ 199,999] \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 4.2\% | 4 | 8 | 6.8\% | 42 | 38 | 6.8\% | 4 | 4 | 4.0\% |  |  |  | 51 | 52 | 6.1\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } 200 \text { thousand? } \\ & {[\$ 200,000+]} \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | 8.3\% | 7 | 13 | 11.2\% | 139 | 121 | 21.6\% | 5 | 11 | 11.5\% | 4 | 6 | 24.3\% | 159 | 155 | 18.4\% |
| Total |  | 35 | 43 | 100.0\% | 61 | 115 | 100.0\% | 650 | 560 | 100.0\% | 51 | 98 | 100.0\% | 19 | 27 | 100.0\% | 816 | 842 | 100.0\% |

# Appendix F: <br> Additional Cross-Tabulation Tables 

## Cross-tabulation tables

Cross-tabulation tables count survey cases in categories defined by two variables simultaneously. For example, how many residents in the survey live in the rural area and use public transportation? How many live in the non-rural area and use public transportation? How many live in the rural area and don't use public transportation? How many live in the non-rural area and don't use public transportation? This would be a cross-tabulation table of use of public transportation and rural/non-rural status.
In these cross-tabulation tables, "uN" indicates the number of residents who answered a particular way. This number can be used as an indication of how reliable the percentages are. If the unweighted total N for the question is small, it may not be wise to generalize the percentages to the larger population. The definition of "small" depends on the user's tolerance for error. Questions answered by fewer than 25 or 30 residents in total should not be generalized to the county population, and perhaps fewer than 50 cases would serve as a threshold for more conservative users.

The "wN" column indicates the weighted count of residents who answered a particular way.
The "w\%" column indicates the weighted percentage of residents who answered a particular way, within categories displayed across the top of the table. This allows for comparisons across, for example, residents in the rural and non-rural areas of the county.
As in Appendix B, however, the cross-tabulation tables for the multiple response variables are more difficult to interpret. In Appendices C through F, for multiple response variables the statistics for the individual responses show the number of "votes" each response received and the percentage of residents who "voted" that way. Because residents can select more than one response, these percentages can total to more than 100. The total lines for multiple response items in Appendices C through F, however, will show the number of residents who responded and a total percent of 100 .

Note that the total responses to questions may vary across cross-tabulation appendices because different numbers of respondents may have declined to provide information for the different cross-tabulation variables.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 to 34 |  |  | 35 to 44 |  |  | 45 to 54 |  |  | 55 to 64 |  |  | 65 or older |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wn | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | un | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Are there sufficien nighttime activities in Loudoun County for members of your household? | YES | 82 | 153 | 60.0\% | 117 | 139 | 57.2\% | 164 | 146 | 66.6\% | 115 | 77 | 62.7\% | 135 | 63 | 74.2\% | 613 | 578 | 62.5\% |
|  | NO | 49 | 88 | 34.5\% | 55 | 77 | 31.4\% | 82 | 65 | 29.8\% | 40 | 28 | 22.6\% | 27 | 11 | 13.4\% | 253 | 269 | 29.1\% |
|  | NO OPINION / DON'T CARE | 7 | 14 | 5.5\% | 15 | 28 | 11.4\% | 11 | 8 | 3.6\% | 17 | 18 | 14.7\% | 28 | 11 | 12.4\% | 78 | 78 | 8.4\% |
| Total |  | 138 | 256 | 100.0\% | 187 | 244 | 100.0\% | 257 | 219 | 100.0\% | 172 | 123 | 100.0\% | 190 | 85 | 100.0\% | 944 | 926 | 100.0\% |
| What nightime activity ismissing in LoudounCounty? Respondent canpick all that apply) | FINE DINING | 4 | 8 | 10.7\% | 10 | 12 | 19.8\% | 15 | 14 | 23.0\% | 7 | 5 | 21.1\% | 4 | 1 | 13.7\% | 40 | 41 | 17.5\% |
|  | INTERNATIONAL DINING | 2 | 7 | 8.5\% | 5 | 5 | 7.6\% | 5 | 6 | 10.4\% | 5 | 2 | 6.6\% | 2 | 1 | 7.2\% | 19 | 20 | 8.5\% |
|  | BARS \& NIGHTCLUBS | 22 | 36 | 46.9\% | 8 | 13 | 20.8\% | 12 | 12 | 20.3\% | 4 | 4 | 18.9\% | 1 | 0 | 3.6\% | 47 | 66 | 28.4\% |
|  | LIVE ENTERTAINMENT AND MUSIC | 7 | 12 | 15.5\% | 9 | 13 | 21.0\% | 23 | 20 | 33.2\% | 12 | 11 | 45.1\% | 7 | 2 | 24.8\% | 58 | 58 | 25.0\% |
|  | SYMPHONY OR ORCHESTRA | 2 | 3 | 3.3\% | 4 | 4 | 6.3\% | 6 | 5 | 8.3\% | 6 | 5 | 22.2\% | 5 | 2 | 25.2\% | 23 | 19 | 8.2\% |
|  | LIVE THEATER SUCH AS PLAYS AND MUSICALS | 6 | 9 | 11.1\% | 9 | 17 | 27.6\% | 14 | 12 | 19.3\% | 9 | 9 | 37.4\% | 5 | 2 | 21.0\% | 43 | 48 | 20.7\% |
|  | MOVIE THEATERS | 4 | 6 | 8.3\% | 4 | 5 | 8.3\% | 5 | 6 | 10.1\% | 1 | 1 | 2.4\% | 3 | 1 | 13.0\% | 17 | 19 | 8.4\% |
|  | DOWNTOWN EVENTS <br> SUCH AS ART GALLERIES, CONCERTS, SPECIAL EVENTS | 11 | 26 | 33.7\% | 6 | 11 | 17.8\% | 25 | 19 | 31.6\% | 9 | 4 | 15.1\% | 10 | 4 | 45.9\% | 61 | 64 | 27.6\% |
|  | SPORTING EVENTS; RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES; PARKS | 7 | 10 | 13.0\% | 9 | 14 | 22.2\% | 12 | 9 | 14.3\% | 5 | 5 | 23.0\% | 2 | 1 | 10.3\% | 35 | 39 | 16.7\% |
|  | OR SOMETHING ELSE? (SPECIFY) | 3 | 6 | 7.7\% | 8 | 9 | 14.9\% | 4 | 3 | 4.8\% | 4 | 2 | 6.9\% | 4 | 1 | 14.1\% | 23 | 21 | 9.0\% |
|  | CHILD, YOUTH, FAMILY ACTIVITIES, PROGRAMS (added code) | 8 | 14 | 17.9\% | 6 | 10 | 15.8\% | 16 | 16 | 26.7\% | 5 | 5 | 22.7\% | 3 | 2 | 19.9\% | 38 | 47 | 20.2\% |
|  | THINGS HERE NEED TO STAY OPEN LATER (added code) | 1 | 1 | 1.5\% |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 3.0\% | 1 | 0 | 2.1\% |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 1.5\% |
| Total |  | 43 | 77 | 100.0\% | 44 | 62 | 100.0\% | 73 | 61 | 100.0\% | 36 | 24 | 100.0\% | 22 | 10 | 100.0\% | 218 | 233 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Whether children are present in the household |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No children |  |  | Children |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Are there sufficient nighttime activities in Loudoun County for members of your household? | YES | 394 | 334 | 65.3\% | 292 | 327 | 61.6\% | 686 | 661 | 63.4\% |
|  | NO | 144 | 134 | 26.2\% | 130 | 160 | 30.1\% | 274 | 294 | 28.2\% |
|  | NO OPINION / DON'T CARE | 56 | 43 | 8.5\% | 33 | 44 | 8.3\% | 89 | 87 | 8.4\% |
| Total |  | 594 | 511 | 100.0\% | 455 | 531 | 100.0\% | 1049 | 1042 | 100.0\% |
| What nighttime activity is missing in Loudoun County? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | FINE DINING | 26 | 22 | 19.1\% | 21 | 24 | 17.1\% | 47 | 46 | 18.0\% |
|  | INTERNATIONAL DINING | 10 | 6 | 5.0\% | 10 | 15 | 10.6\% | 20 | 20 | 8.1\% |
|  | BARS \& NIGHTCLUBS | 32 | 41 | 36.2\% | 19 | 31 | 22.3\% | 51 | 72 | 28.6\% |
|  | LIVE ENTERTAINMENT AND MUSIC | 35 | 29 | 25.0\% | 26 | 32 | 23.1\% | 61 | 60 | 23.9\% |
|  | SYMPHONY OR ORCHESTRA | 20 | 16 | 13.7\% | 5 | 5 | 3.3\% | 25 | 20 | 8.0\% |
|  | LIVE THEATER SUCH AS PLAYS AND MUSICALS | 26 | 27 | 23.9\% | 20 | 23 | 16.7\% | 46 | 50 | 20.0\% |
|  | MOVIE THEATERS | 12 | 14 | 12.2\% | 6 | 8 | 5.9\% | 18 | 22 | 8.8\% |
|  | DOWNTOWN EVENTS SUCH AS ART GALLERIES, CONCERTS, SPECIAL EVENTS | 39 | 30 | 26.2\% | 29 | 42 | 30.3\% | 68 | 72 | 28.5\% |
|  | SPORTING EVENTS; RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES; PARKS | 16 | 11 | 9.4\% | 21 | 30 | 21.5\% | 37 | 40 | 16.0\% |
|  | OR SOMETHING ELSE? (SPECIFY) | 11 | 6 | 5.4\% | 13 | 16 | 11.2\% | 24 | 22 | 8.6\% |
|  | CHILD, YOUTH, FAMILY ACTIVITIES, PROGRAMS (added code) | 9 | 12 | 10.3\% | 30 | 36 | 25.9\% | 39 | 48 | 18.8\% |
|  | THINGS HERE NEED TO STAY OPEN LATER (added code) | 5 | 5 | 4.7\% | 1 | 0 | 0.4\% | 6 | 6 | 2.3\% |
| Total |  | 125 | 115 | 100.0\% | 111 | 138 | 100.0\% | 236 | 253 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | In which of the following counties or cities is the responding resident employed? (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Loudoun County, VA |  |  | Fairfax County, VA |  |  | DC/Alexandria/Arlington |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| How important is it for the county to focus on improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways in the next few years? | Very important | 130 | 150 | 46.0\% | 71 | 79 | 33.5\% | 35 | 32 | 30.1\% | 24 | 34 | 41.8\% | 260 | 295 | 39.4\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 102 | 98 | 30.0\% | 90 | 99 | 41.7\% | 35 | 50 | 47.2\% | 29 | 24 | 30.0\% | 256 | 271 | 36.1\% |
|  | Not too important | 58 | 54 | 16.5\% | 41 | 43 | 18.2\% | 20 | 23 | 21.5\% | 13 | 18 | 22.7\% | 132 | 138 | 18.4\% |
|  | Not important at all | 29 | 24 | 7.5\% | 17 | 16 | 6.6\% | 3 | 1 | 1.2\% | 7 | 4 | 5.5\% | 56 | 46 | 6.1\% |
| Total |  | 319 | 326 | 100.0\% | 219 | 237 | 100.0\% | 93 | 105 | 100.0\% | 73 | 81 | 100.0\% | 704 | 749 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on improving or building roads in the next few years? | Very important | 194 | 203 | 62.9\% | 140 | 147 | 62.2\% | 66 | 80 | 76.0\% | 45 | 49 | 60.3\% | 445 | 480 | 64.3\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 80 | 85 | 26.4\% | 58 | 63 | 26.6\% | 21 | 21 | 20.1\% | 19 | 18 | 22.7\% | 178 | 188 | 25.2\% |
|  | Not too important | 27 | 22 | 6.7\% | 17 | 21 | 8.8\% | 3 | 2 | 1.9\% | 5 | 10 | 12.6\% | 52 | 55 | 7.3\% |
|  | Not important at all | 13 | 13 | 4.0\% | 4 | 6 | 2.4\% | 3 | 2 | 1.9\% | 4 | 4 | 4.4\% | 24 | 24 | 3.2\% |
| Total |  | 314 | 323 | 100.0\% | 219 | 237 | 100.0\% | 93 | 105 | 100.0\% | 73 | 81 | 100.0\% | 699 | 746 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving commuter bus service in the next few years? | Very important | 123 | 146 | 46.1\% | 73 | 98 | 43.6\% | 53 | 64 | 61.3\% | 18 | 25 | 33.8\% | 267 | 333 | 46.3\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 106 | 97 | 30.4\% | 79 | 77 | 34.3\% | 25 | 28 | 26.7\% | 27 | 27 | 37.4\% | 237 | 229 | 31.8\% |
|  | Not too important | 44 | 46 | 14.5\% | 31 | 21 | 9.4\% | 8 | 9 | 8.4\% | 11 | 9 | 12.6\% | 94 | 85 | 11.8\% |
|  | Not important at all | 33 | 28 | 8.9\% | 28 | 28 | 12.7\% | 6 | 4 | 3.6\% | 12 | 12 | 16.2\% | 79 | 72 | 10.1\% |
| Total |  | 306 | 318 | 100.0\% | 211 | 224 | 100.0\% | 92 | 105 | 100.0\% | 68 | 73 | 100.0\% | 677 | 720 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on providing rail transit service in the next few years? | Very important | 171 | 178 | 54.3\% | 111 | 132 | 58.5\% | 58 | 72 | 67.4\% | 37 | 35 | 46.4\% | 377 | 417 | 56.7\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 68 | 71 | 21.6\% | 47 | 49 | 21.9\% | 18 | 21 | 20.2\% | 14 | 20 | 26.2\% | 147 | 162 | 22.0\% |
|  | Not too important | 31 | 34 | 10.4\% | 27 | 23 | 10.1\% | 10 | 7 | 7.0\% | 11 | 14 | 17.8\% | 79 | 78 | 10.6\% |
|  | Not important at all | 49 | 45 | 13.6\% | 28 | 21 | 9.5\% | 8 | 6 | 5.4\% | 10 | 7 | 9.6\% | 95 | 79 | 10.7\% |
| Total |  | 319 | 328 | 100.0\% | 213 | 226 | 100.0\% | 94 | 106 | 100.0\% | 72 | 77 | 100.0\% | 698 | 736 | 100.0\% |
| How important is it for the county to focus on providing or improving local bus service in the next few years? | Very important | 106 | 125 | 38.7\% | 50 | 63 | 27.7\% | 25 | 31 | 30.7\% | 18 | 27 | 35.1\% | 199 | 247 | 33.8\% |
|  | Somewhat important | 115 | 105 | 32.5\% | 72 | 80 | 34.8\% | 37 | 47 | 46.3\% | 15 | 11 | 13.8\% | 239 | 243 | 33.1\% |
|  | Not too important | 49 | 48 | 15.0\% | 50 | 51 | 22.1\% | 16 | 13 | 13.0\% | 22 | 26 | 33.1\% | 137 | 138 | 18.9\% |
|  | Not important at all | 43 | 45 | 13.9\% | 39 | 35 | 15.5\% | 13 | 10 | 10.0\% | 14 | 14 | 18.0\% | 109 | 104 | 14.3\% |
| Total |  | 313 | 323 | 100.0\% | 211 | 229 | 100.0\% | 91 | 103 | 100.0\% | 69 | 77 | 100.0\% | 684 | 733 | 100.0\% |
| Do you use public transportation | Yes | 60 | 65 | 19.9\% | 43 | 54 | 22.6\% | 56 | 62 | 58.9\% | 18 | 19 | 23.7\% | 177 | 201 | 26.7\% |
|  | No | 260 | 263 | 80.1\% | 176 | 183 | 77.4\% | 38 | 44 | 41.1\% | 55 | 62 | 76.3\% | 529 | 551 | 73.3\% |
| Total |  | 320 | 328 | 100.0\% | 219 | 237 | 100.0\% | 94 | 106 | 100.0\% | 73 | 81 | 100.0\% | 706 | 752 | 100.0\% |
| What public transportation do you use? (Respondent can pick all that apply) | BUS | 30 | 34 | 51.3\% | 22 | 31 | 58.3\% | 37 | 45 | 71.5\% | 11 | 13 | 80.1\% | 100 | 123 | 62.0\% |
|  | TRAIN | 7 | 9 | 14.1\% | 2 | 3 | 5.8\% | 5 | 3 | 5.0\% | 1 | 0 | 2.8\% | 15 | 16 | 8.0\% |
|  | METRO | 39 | 39 | 59.5\% | 30 | 38 | 70.6\% | 32 | 37 | 58.8\% | 12 | 12 | 73.1\% | 113 | 126 | 63.4\% |
|  | VAN POOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Taxi/hired carlimo | 3 | 3 | 4.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 2.9\% | 4 | 3 | 1.6\% |
|  | Government/nonprofit transportation service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Car pool/slugging |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1.1\% |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.3\% |
|  | Other | 2 | 4 | 6.2\% | 1 | 1 | 1.2\% | 6 | 6 | 9.1\% | 2 | 2 | 11.5\% | 11 | 12 | 6.2\% |
| Total |  | 60 | 65 | 100.0\% | 43 | 54 | 100.0\% | 56 | 62 | 100.0\% | 17 | 17 | 100.0\% | 176 | 198 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | In which of the following counties or cities is the responding resident employed? (recoded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Loudoun County, VA |  |  | Fairfax County, VA |  |  | DC/Alexandria/Arlington |  |  | Other |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| Why don't you use public transportation? <br> (Respondent can pick all that apply) | TAKES TOO MUCH time, TOO INEFFICIENT WITH TIME, WAIT TIME | 39 | 37 | 14.4\% | 37 | 26 | 14.0\% | 7 | 5 | 12.3\% | 12 | 11 | 17.2\% | 95 | 79 | 14.4\% |
|  | DOESN'T GO WHERE I <br> NEED IT TO GO | 64 | 53 | 20.5\% | 68 | 82 | 45.0\% | 13 | 16 | 37.7\% | 23 | 30 | 48.0\% | 168 | 182 | 33.2\% |
|  | I HAVE TO GO TOO FAR FROM HOME TO GET TO IT | 32 | 47 | 18.2\% | 24 | 26 | 14.4\% | 10 | 15 | 35.2\% | 10 | 6 | 10.0\% | 76 | 95 | 17.3\% |
|  | I NEED FLEXIBILITY TO LEAVE WORK FOR ERRANDS OR IN AN EMERGENCY | 30 | 29 | 11.3\% | 26 | 19 | 10.5\% | 5 | 4 | 8.7\% | 5 | 8 | 12.4\% | 66 | 60 | 10.9\% |
|  | IT COSTS TOO MUCH | 2 | 1 | 0.4\% | 4 | 5 | 2.9\% | 3 | 6 | 13.3\% | 1 | 1 | 1.5\% | 10 | 13 | 2.4\% |
|  | SAFETY ISSUES | 2 | 2 | 0.6\% | 1 | 1 | 0.5\% | 1 | 0 | 0.8\% |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 0.5\% |
|  | OTHER (SPECIFY) | 23 | 21 | 7.9\% | 19 | 19 | 10.6\% | 3 | 2 | 5.7\% | 4 | 2 | 3.5\% | 49 | 45 | 8.1\% |
|  | HAVE A CAR (added code) | 43 | 56 | 21.4\% | 14 | 17 | 9.2\% | 6 | 7 | 15.6\% | 5 | 7 | 10.5\% | 68 | 86 | 15.7\% |
|  | RETIRED/UNEMPLOYED ICARPOOL (added code) | 23 | 20 | 7.8\% | 2 | 3 | 1.8\% | 1 | 0 | 1.1\% | 1 | 2 | 4.0\% | 27 | 27 | 4.9\% |
|  | WORK FROM HOME/WORK IS TOO CLOSE TO HOME (added code) | 21 | 16 | 6.1\% | 5 | 3 | 1.7\% | 1 | 1 | 1.8\% | 1 | 1 | 1.3\% | 28 | 21 | 3.8\% |
|  | THERE IS NONE AVAILABLE/NO INFORMATION (added code) | 18 | 18 | 6.9\% | 6 | 8 | 4.5\% | 1 | 1 | 2.4\% | 6 | 7 | 11.0\% | 31 | 34 | 6.2\% |
| Total |  | 256 | 260 | 100.0\% | 175 | 183 | 100.0\% | 38 | 44 | 100.0\% | 55 | 62 | 100.0\% | 524 | 548 | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Rural/non-rural status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  |  | Non-rural |  |  | uN | wN | w\% |
|  |  | uN | wN | w\% | uN | wN | w\% |  |  |  |
| How long have you lived in Loudoun County? | One year or less | 9 | 6 | 3.9\% | 53 | 63 | 6.7\% | 62 | 69 | 6.3\% |
|  | Two to four years | 19 | 12 | 7.9\% | 112 | 138 | 14.7\% | 131 | 150 | 13.7\% |
|  | Five to nine years | 45 | 31 | 19.9\% | 214 | 277 | 29.5\% | 259 | 308 | 28.1\% |
|  | Ten to fourteen years | 42 | 36 | 23.0\% | 167 | 175 | 18.7\% | 209 | 211 | 19.3\% |
|  | Fifteen to nineteen years | 34 | 19 | 12.0\% | 108 | 110 | 11.7\% | 142 | 128 | 11.7\% |
|  | Twenty years or longer | 111 | 52 | 33.3\% | 179 | 175 | 18.7\% | 290 | 227 | 20.8\% |
| Total |  | 260 | 156 | 100.0\% | 833 | 939 | 100.0\% | 1093 | 1095 | 100.0\% |
| Including yourself, all adults and all children, how many people live in your household? | 1 | 21 | 9 | 5.7\% | 107 | 86 | 9.2\% | 128 | 95 | 8.7\% |
|  | 2 | 94 | 44 | 28.1\% | 236 | 210 | 22.4\% | 330 | 254 | 23.2\% |
|  | 3 | 39 | 19 | 12.0\% | 135 | 174 | 18.6\% | 174 | 193 | 17.6\% |
|  | 4 | 57 | 45 | 28.7\% | 228 | 283 | 30.2\% | 285 | 328 | 30.0\% |
|  | 5 | 37 | 31 | 19.5\% | 92 | 137 | 14.6\% | 129 | 167 | 15.3\% |
|  | 6 | 10 | 8 | 4.9\% | 28 | 35 | 3.7\% | 38 | 43 | 3.9\% |
|  | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0.6\% | 6 | 8 | 0.9\% | 8 | 9 | 0.8\% |
|  | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0.5\% | 1 | 4 | 0.5\% | 2 | 5 | 0.5\% |
| Total |  | 261 | 156 | 100.0\% | 833 | 938 | 100.0\% | 1094 | 1094 | 100.0\% |

## Appendix G: T-Tests of Selected Survey Items by Selected Demographics

In the following tables, a mean rating with a superscript indicates that this mean is a significantly higher numerical value (at the $95 \%$ level of confidence) than the mean in the column that is numbered corresponding to the superscript. Note that in this survey, means with higher numerical values reflect less favorable opinions.

In the following example, the mean rating of neighborhood safety by Hispanic/Latino residents 1.47, as indicated in Column 4 - is statistically larger than the mean ratings provided by African Americans, Asian Americans, and Whites, as shown in Columns 1, 2, and 3 respectively. (This means that Hispanic/Latino residents feel more unsafe in their neighborhoods than do African American, Asian American, and White residents.) Similarly, the mean rating of 1.52 from residents of other racial groups ${ }^{1}$ is also statistically larger than the means given by African Americans, Asian Americans, and Hispanic/Latino residents. (The arrows are not shown for those differences so as not to clutter the example too much.) The other paired comparisons, such as that between African Americans and Asian Americans, are too close to one another to be considered statistically different, given the number of cases contributing to each mean and the variability of the data comprising each mean.


These tests were performed using the SPSS Complex Samples module, which accounts for the effects of weighting and stratification.
The following variables are included in this analysis: years lived in Loudoun County (Question 2 in the questionnaire provided in Appendix A), perceptions of neighborhood safety (Question 6), satisfaction with county services (Question 22 [for each of 11 services]), value for the tax dollar (Question 24), overall quality of life (Question 27), and the importance of transportation initiatives (Questions 32-36). Other variables were omitted from these tables because calculating means for them is not appropriate because they are not ordinal.

[^10]|  | Rural or Non-Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural <br> (1) |  | Non-rural (2) |  | Total |  |
|  | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N |
| How many years have you lived in Loudoun County | $17.69{ }^{(2)}$ | 260 | 11.81 | 834 | 13.21 | 1,095 |
| How safe do you feel in your neighborhood | 1.23 | 261 | $1.38{ }^{(1)}$ | 834 | 1.35 | 1,095 |
| Including yourself, all adults and all children, how many people live in your household? | 3.46 | 261 | 3.36 | 834 | 3.38 | 1,095 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Schools | 1.47 | 141 | 1.54 | 428 | 1.53 | 569 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Parks and Recreation Facilities | 1.47 | 168 | 1.47 | 598 | 1.47 | 766 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Libraries | 1.24 | 201 | $1.34{ }^{(1)}$ | 591 | 1.32 | 792 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Sheriff's Office | 1.66 | 70 | 1.67 | 193 | 1.67 | 263 |
| How satisfied are you with: County Building and Development Services | 1.92 | 53 | 1.74 | 80 | 1.81 | 134 |
| How satisfied are you with: Fire and Rescue Services | 1.25 | 59 | 1.27 | 144 | 1.26 | 203 |
| How satisfied are you with: Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services | 1.83 | 6 | 2.02 | 40 | 2.00 | 45 |
| How satisfied are you with: Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | 1.84 | 8 | 1.94 | 42 | 1.92 | 50 |
| How satisfied are you with: Health Services | $2.04{ }^{(2)}$ | 36 | 1.45 | 98 | 1.61 | 134 |
| How satisfied are you with: Animal Services | 1.36 | 59 | 1.43 | 127 | 1.41 | 186 |
| How satisfied are you with: Senior Services | 1.73 | 18 | 1.39 | 40 | 1.50 | 59 |
| Agree or disagree: The county provides good value for the tax dollar (revised answer categories for 2012) | $2.17{ }^{(2)}$ | 238 | 1.99 | 778 | 2.04 | 1,016 |
| How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County? | 1.57 | 259 | 1.61 | 835 | 1.60 | 1,094 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways in the next few years | $2.05{ }^{(2)}$ | 257 | 1.89 | 825 | 1.93 | 1,082 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Improving or building roads in the next few years | $1.67{ }^{(2)}$ | 258 | 1.54 | 821 | 1.57 | 1,080 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing or improving commuter bus service in the next few years | $2.11{ }^{(2)}$ | 247 | 1.82 | 790 | 1.89 | 1,037 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing rail transit service in the next few years | $2.26{ }^{(2)}$ | 254 | 1.69 | 814 | 1.83 | 1,068 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing or improving local bus service in the next few years | $2.30{ }^{(2)}$ | 249 | 2.07 | 804 | 2.12 | 1,053 |


|  | Age Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $18 \text { to } 24$ <br> (1) |  | $25 \text { to } 34$ <br> (2) |  | $35 \text { to } 64$ <br> (3) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65+ \\ & \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N |
| How many years have you lived in Loudoun County | $13.93{ }^{(2)(3)}$ | 88 | 10.50 | 178 | $11.86^{(2)}$ | 619 | $19.94{ }^{(1)(2)(3)}$ | 91 | 12.56 | 977 |
| How safe do you feel in your neighborhood | $1.36{ }^{(2)}$ | 88 | 1.24 | 178 | $1.39^{(2)}$ | 618 | $1.35^{(2)}$ | 92 | 1.35 | 977 |
| Including yourself, all adults and all children, how many people live in your household? | $3.89{ }^{(2)(3)(4)}$ | 88 | $3.35{ }^{(4)}$ | 178 | $3.588^{(2)(4)}$ | 618 | 1.98 | 92 | 3.41 | 977 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Schools | $1.53{ }^{(2)}$ | 58 | 1.33 | 63 | $1.52^{(2)}$ | 375 | 1.47 | 21 | 1.50 | 517 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Parks and Recreation Facilities | 1.42 | 65 | 1.43 | 130 | 1.45 | 453 | 1.49 | 50 | 1.45 | 698 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Libraries | $1.44^{(2)(3)(4)}$ | 74 | 1.20 | 105 | $1.35{ }^{(2)(4)}$ | 451 | 1.26 | 61 | 1.33 | 691 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Sheriff's Office | $2.00^{(3)(4)}$ | 22 | 1.73 | 42 | 1.63 | 154 | 1.59 | 7 | 1.68 | 225 |
| How satisfied are you with: County Building and Development Services | 1.50 | 3 | 2.11 | 21 | 1.81 | 84 | 1.64 | 8 | 1.84 | 116 |
| How satisfied are you with: Fire and Rescue Services | 1.34 | 6 | 1.15 | 39 | $1.33^{(2)(4)}$ | 115 | 1.09 | 19 | 1.26 | 179 |
| How satisfied are you with: Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services | 1.49 | 3 | 1.78 | 12 | $2.16{ }^{(1)}$ | 27 | $2.01{ }^{(1)}$ | 1 | 2.00 | 43 |
| How satisfied are you with: Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | $2.00{ }^{(2)}$ | 1 | 1.72 | 12 | $2.11{ }^{(2)}$ | 26 | 2.11 | 5 | 2.00 | 44 |
| How satisfied are you with: Health Services | $1.51{ }^{(2)}$ | 24 | 1.29 | 30 | $1.54{ }^{(2)}$ | 57 | $1.71^{(2)}$ | 6 | 1.48 | 117 |
| How satisfied are you with: Animal Services | 1.17 | 26 | $1.55{ }^{(1)}$ | 28 | $1.44{ }^{(1)}$ | 103 | $1.51{ }^{(1)}$ | 9 | 1.42 | 166 |
| How satisfied are you with: Senior Services |  |  | $2.00{ }^{(3)(4)}$ | 4 | 1.51 | 17 | 1.44 | 20 | 1.53 | 41 |
| Agree or disagree: The county provides good value for the tax dollar (revised answer categories for 2012) | 2.05 | 83 | 1.95 | 165 | 2.01 | 580 | 1.98 | 82 | 2.00 | 910 |
| How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County? | 1.46 | 88 | $1.62{ }^{(1)}$ | 177 | $1.61{ }^{(1)}$ | 619 | $1.62{ }^{(1)}$ | 92 | 1.60 | 977 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways in the next few years | 1.84 | 88 | 1.91 | 178 | 1.88 | 611 | $2.09{ }^{(1)(2)(3)}$ | 88 | 1.90 | 966 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Improving or building roads in the next few years | $1.95{ }^{(2)(3)(4)}$ | 88 | 1.52 | 174 | 1.49 | 612 | $1.74{ }^{(2)(3)}$ | 88 | 1.56 | 963 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing or improving commuter bus service in the next few years | 1.79 | 88 | 1.88 | 178 | 1.87 | 574 | $1.95{ }^{(1)}$ | 84 | 1.87 | 924 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing rail transit service in the next few years | $2.12{ }^{(2)(3)(4)}$ | 88 | 1.76 | 178 | 1.71 | 599 | 1.73 | 87 | 1.76 | 952 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing or improving local bus service in the next few years | 2.06 | 87 | 2.00 | 174 | 2.11 | 597 | $2.25{ }^{(1)(2)(3)}$ | 81 | 2.09 | 939 |


|  | Race and Ethnicity Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | African American or Black <br> (1) |  | Asian <br> (2) |  | White or Caucasian(3) |  | Hispanic(4) |  | Other (5) |  | Total |  |
|  | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N |
| How many years have you lived in Loudoun County | $16.93^{(2)(3) 44)(5)}$ | 65 | 8.05 | 129 | $13.61{ }^{(2)(4)}$ | 699 | $9.25{ }^{(2)}$ | 113 | $12.59^{(2)(4)}$ | 42 | 12.62 | 1,049 |
| How safe do you feel in your neighborhood | 1.33 | 65 | 1.35 | 129 | 1.33 | 699 | $1.47^{(11)(2)(3)}$ | 113 | $1.52^{(1)(2)(3)}$ | 42 | 1.35 | 1,049 |
| Including yourself, all adults and all children, how many people live in your household? | $3.41^{(5)}$ | 65 | $3.65{ }^{(3) 5)}$ | 129 | $3.30{ }^{(5)}$ | 699 | $3.70^{(3)(5)}$ | 112 | 2.97 | 42 | 3.38 | 1,048 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Schools | 1.64 | 22 | $1.82^{(3)(4)(5)}$ | 81 | 1.49 | 360 | 1.40 | 71 | 1.34 | 17 | 1.53 | 551 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Parks and Recreation Facilities | 1.48 | 43 | $1.66^{(1)(3)(4)(5)}$ | 93 | 1.44 | 521 | 1.42 | 69 | 1.47 | 25 | 1.46 | 752 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Public Libraries | 1.25 | 49 | $1.46^{(1)(3)(4)(5)}$ | 93 | 1.31 | 516 | 1.30 | 65 | 1.30 | 27 | 1.33 | 749 |
| How satisfied are you with: The Sheriff's Office | $1.58^{(5)}$ | 21 | $1.90{ }^{(1)(3)(5)}$ | 19 | $1.62^{(5)}$ | 173 | $1.96{ }^{(1)(3)(5)}$ | 25 | 1.28 | 10 | 1.66 | 249 |
| How satisfied are you with: County Building and Development Services | 1.26 | 2 | $1.88{ }^{(1)}$ | 13 | $1.83{ }^{(1)}$ | 83 | $2.07{ }^{(1)}$ | 17 | $1.67{ }^{(1)}$ | 5 | 1.85 | 120 |
| How satisfied are you with: Fire and Rescue Services | 1.00 | 18 | $1.67^{(1)(3)(5)}$ | 11 | $1.19^{(1)}$ | 142 | $1.75{ }^{(11) 3(5)}$ | 21 | 1.09 | 3 | 1.26 | 195 |
| How satisfied are you with: Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services | 1.00 | 9 | $2.26{ }^{(1)(4)(5)}$ | 6 | $2.32{ }^{(1)(4)(5)}$ | 26 | 1.00 | 2 | 1.00 | 2 | 1.93 | 45 |
| How satisfied are you with: Family Services (affordable housing, counseling, financial assistance) | $1.81{ }^{(4)}$ | 10 | 2.00* | 3 | $2.21{ }^{(1)(2)(4)}$ | 30 | 1.00* | 8 |  |  | 1.94 | 51 |
| How satisfied are you with: Health Services | $1.44{ }^{(4)}$ | 10 | $1.855^{(1)(3)(4)(5)}$ | 18 | $1.53^{(4)}$ | 68 | 1.16 | 24 | $1.39^{(4)}$ | 8 | 1.49 | 128 |
| How satisfied are you with: Animal Services | 1.17 | 3 | $1.73^{(1)(4)(5)}$ | 9 | $1.45^{(1)(4)(5)}$ | 134 | $1.27^{(5)}$ | 22 | 1.08 | 10 | 1.42 | 178 |
| How satisfied are you with: Senior Services | 1.24 | 5 | 1.55 | 8 | 1.43 | 41 | 1.32 | 1 |  |  | 1.43 | 54 |
| Agree or disagree: The county provides good value for the tax dollar (revised answer categories for 2012) | 1.91 | 64 | 2.06 | 122 | 2.01 | 649 | 2.03 | 103 | 2.02 | 41 | 2.01 | 980 |
| How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County? | $1.69{ }^{(3) 55}$ | 65 | $1.70{ }^{(3)(5)}$ | 129 | $1.56{ }^{(5)}$ | 699 | $1.80{ }^{(3)(5)}$ | 113 | 1.39 | 42 | 1.60 | 1,050 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Improving pedestrian walkways and bikeways in the next few years | 1.53 | 63 | $1.73{ }^{(1)(4)}$ | 129 | $2.02{ }^{(1)(2)(4)}$ | 694 | 1.57 | 109 | $2.15{ }^{(1)(2)(4)}$ | 42 | 1.91 | 1,037 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Improving or building roads in the next few years | 1.32 | 65 | $1.60{ }^{(1)}$ | 129 | $1.55{ }^{(1)}$ | 690 | $1.52^{(1)}$ | 112 | $1.62{ }^{(1)}$ | 39 | 1.54 | 1,035 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing or improving commuter bus service in the next few years | $1.63^{(2)(4)}$ | 65 | 1.39 | 129 | $2.06^{(1)(2)(4)(5)}$ | 658 | 1.33 | 103 | $1.66{ }^{(2)(4)}$ | 40 | 1.85 | 995 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing rail transit service in the next few years | $1.55{ }^{(4)}$ | 65 | $1.57{ }^{(4)}$ | 129 | $1.89{ }^{(1)(2)(4)}$ | 684 | 1.29 | 104 | $1.911^{(1)(2)(4)}$ | 42 | 1.77 | 1,024 |
| How important is it for the county to focus on Providing or improving local bus service in the next few years | $1.93^{(2)(4)}$ | 65 | 1.57 | 129 | $2.29{ }^{(1)(2)(4)(5)}$ | 665 | 1.62 | 109 | $1.79^{(2)}$ | 40 | 2.08 | 1,009 |

## Appendix H:

 Methods
## SURVEY AND SAMPLING METHODS

## About the project

In the spring of 2012, Loudoun County contracted with the University of Virginia Center for Survey Research (CSR) to conduct a telephone survey of Loudoun County residents regarding satisfaction with county services and major issues facing the county. This survey represents the latest in a series of surveys that the County has periodically conducted. The 2012 survey was based closely on the last such survey, which was conducted in 2007. For the 2012 survey, questions from the previous survey about childcare and whether the respondent owned or rented their housing were dropped, and the wording for a small number of questions was modified. Questions about transportation priorities, the use of public transportation, and the impact of federal spending on job security were added.
The 2012 survey data extend the county's trend data about residents' satisfaction with life in the county, and may inform discussion and planning for the future.

## Sampling

The project used a "triple-frame" strategy to create a scientific sample that randomly selected landline and cellular telephone numbers from three different sets of telephone numbers, or "frames."

- In the landline Random Digit Dialing (RDD) frame, telephone numbers were randomly created guided by detailed information about the landline telephone system serving the county. This approach reaches unlisted and listed residential numbers, but also nonresidential and non-working numbers.
- In the landline directory-listed household frame, telephone numbers were randomly selected from electronic white pages containing residential telephone numbers.
- In the cell phone frame, telephone numbers were randomly created guided by information about the cellular telephone billing centers serving the county.
Traditionally, RDD landline samples produced a more representative sample of the population than did most other sampling methods because households are selected for contact at random and all households with a working landline telephone can be reached - listed and unlisted residential telephones have equal probability of being included in an RDD study and before the advent of cellular telephones, listed and unlisted landline household often differed demographically and in how they might answer survey questions. However, because of the increased use of cell phones, the rise in households without landline telephone service, and the decreasing efficiency of the RDD approach, leading survey organizations now field telephone surveys that include cell phone samples. Cell phone samples are less efficient to call than landlines (fewer completions per hour) but reach populations that are represented less well in landline samples. CSR was the first academic survey organization in Virginia to include cell phones in its samples.
CSR fielded a cell phone survey in January-February $2008^{2}$ which provided its researchers with an opportunity to develop appropriate procedures, disposition codes, survey questions, and training materials for surveying cell phones. The pilot demonstrated the viability of cell phone surveying and allowed assessment of the costs, which are two to three times higher (per

[^11]interview) than ordinary RDD interviewing. Respondents in the cell phone pilot were offered a cash incentive to complete the interview, in recognition of the fact that some cell phone users incur usage fees if they stay on the phone to complete the interview. The cell phone pilot not only showed the feasibility of cell phone calling, but demonstrated that the demographics of those reached via cell phone are quite different from those currently reachable via landline phone. Cell phone respondents are markedly younger, more likely to be single and nevermarried, more likely to be renters, newcomers to the area, low-income, and members of minority groups (African-American or Hispanic/Latino).
Recent CSR research ${ }^{3}$ has demonstrated that offering incentives to cell phone respondents is no longer necessary to obtain adequate levels of participation. As more cell phone users enroll in "unlimited minutes" plans from their wireless providers, fewer face the prospect of having to pay a higher bill as a result of participating in a survey. Moreover, hard-to-reach groups, such as African Americans and Hispanic/Latino residents, are not disproportionately represented among those who do not have unlimited minutes plans. Based on these findings, incentives were not offered to any participants in the 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents.

The cell phone sampling for this project incorporated two experimental approaches to better target the lists to county boundaries and identify randomly generated numbers in the sample that are not actually in use. Both of these approaches significantly improved the efficiency of the calling compared to sample that we obtained using the normal methods for selecting cell phone numbers. In total, there were three cell phone samples used for this project:

- The Cell Phone A sample was the traditional cell phone frame. It was composed of randomly generated telephone numbers drawn from exchanges in service in cell phone billing centers located in or near Loudoun County.
- The Cell Phone B sample started as a traditional cell phone frame, with randomly generated telephone numbers drawn from exchanges in service in cell phone billing centers located in or near Loudoun County. However, those numbers were then appended with the ZIP code of their billing addresses and a variable that summarized recent calling activity. Using this information, cell phone numbers with billing addresses outside Loudoun County and numbers that had not been used to place telephone calls within the last year were excluded from the calling effort.
- The Cell Phone C sample was a modified version of the traditional cell phone frame. It used a Marketing Systems Group’s proprietary method to fine-tune the geographic targeting of the randomly generated numbers drawn from exchanges in service in cell phone billing centers located in or near Loudoun County.


## Stratification

The sample design for the project also included designating two areas of the county to be represented in the final data - a rural and a non-rural area. This was necessary to insure that enough completed interviews would be obtained in the rural area. About $12.5 \%$ of the county's households are found in the rural area. If the county had been sampled at random, about $12.5 \%$ of the completed interviews would come from households in the rural area. More accurate statistics for the rural area could be created if more completed interviews were obtained there. The goal was to obtain about $25 \%$ of the completed interviews from the rural area (thus, the rural

[^12]area was oversampled by a factor of two). The rural and non-rural areas of the county were defined by ZIP codes. See Figure H-1 for a map of these areas.
The RDD landline sample was divided into two lists of telephone exchanges serving the county: one list of exchanges ringing primarily in the rural area, and one list of exchanges ringing primarily in the non-rural area. Telephone exchanges were assigned to the rural or non-rural areas by analyzing the addresses for all of the listed telephone numbers found in those exchanges and assigning the exchange to the area in which the majority of the listed numbers were located.
The listed landline sample was divided into telephone numbers ringing in the rural area and telephone numbers ringing in the non-rural area by analyzing their addresses and assigning them to the area in which they were physically located.

The cellular telephone sample could not be assigned to rural or non-rural areas prior to drawing the samples. One experimental approach (Cell Phone B) involved appending a ZIP code to the cellular numbers after they were selected, and the other experimental approach (Cell Phone C) did not have that capability.
Residents were asked for their ZIP code at the beginning of the survey. The self-report was taken as the final ZIP code, whether or not it agreed with the ZIP code assigned through the sampling processes.

## Figure H-1: Rural/Non-Rural Area Designations



The cellular telephone samples were purchased from Marketing Systems Group of Fort Washington, PA. The listed telephone samples were purchased from Survey Sampling, Inc. (SSI) of Fairfield, CT. Both are commercial sampling companies that use state-of-the-art methodologies.

## Respondent selection

While past surveys sought to target heads of households, this survey asked first for the youngest male resident of the household who was home at the time of the call, then the youngest female who was home at the time of the call if there was no youngest male or he was unavailable. This approach counters the overrepresentation of females (a common occurrence in telephone surveys), avoids upsetting residents in households where the concept of "head of household" is seen as old-fashioned, and allows for a more complete and generalizable understanding of the county's entire adult population.
This protocol was applied to all households reached via the RDD or listed samples. Cell phone adults, however, were considered to be sampled as individuals. Prior research by others has shown that the percentage of cell phones actively shared by more than one adult is low and that it is very difficult in practice to accomplish a "hand-off" of the cell phone from one adult to another randomly selected user of the phone or member of the household. ${ }^{4}$ Therefore, no withinhousehold selection was attempted in the cell phone interviews for this study.

## Pre-testing

The final draft version of the questionnaire was successfully pre-tested in late April 2012 with 16 completed interviews. CSR and the county reviewed the results. There were no significant changes required as a result of the pre-test. Several questions were added to the questionnaire after the pre-test. These questions were evaluated during a second pre-test in early May 2012 with nine completed interviews. The results were satisfactory. Based on feedback from a resident early in the production interviewing process, a "volunteered" response of "Retired" was added to the question about employment status, to be used when residents volunteered that the reason they were not employed was because they were retired.

## Data Collection

After the questionnaire development and pre-testing tasks were completed, production interviewing began on Tuesday, May 8, 2012 and ended on Sunday, June 10, 2012. The goal was to complete 1,000 interviews with Loudoun residents, with 250 coming from the rural area and 750 from the non-rural area. CSR obtained 897 usable interviews and SSI obtained 199 usable interviews, for a total of 1,096 interviews. There were 261 interviews from the rural area and 835 from the non-rural area. The survey averaged 15 minutes in length.
CSR sub-contracted with SSI to do some of the interviews. All SSI work was conducted with the listed telephone sample. SSI maximized the number of telephone numbers attempted, and this is reflected in the ratio of telephone numbers to completions in the listed sample pool in Table H-1 below, which summarizes the sample purchased and completions obtained from different sample types.

[^13]Table H-1: Summary of Survey Sample Types Used for Production Calling at CSR and SSI

| Phone Type | Sample | Percent of all <br> sample | Completions | Percent of all <br> completions | Ratio (sample: <br> completes) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RDD | 2,078 | $7.95 \%$ | 204 | $18.6 \%$ | $10.2: 1$ |
| Listed | 17,579 | $67.29 \%$ | 676 | $61.7 \%$ | $26.0: 1$ |
| Cell phone A | 2,336 | $8.94 \%$ | 14 | $1.3 \%$ | $166.9: 1$ |
| Cell phone B | 571 | $2.19 \%$ | 73 | $6.7 \%$ | $7.8: 1$ |
| Cell phone C | 3,559 | $13.62 \%$ | 129 | $11.8 \%$ | $27.6: 1$ |
| Total | 26,123 | $100.00 \%$ | 1,096 | $100.00 \%$ | $23.8: 1$ |

Cell phone A = traditional cell phone frame (no additional information appended)
Cell phone $\mathrm{B}=$ cell phone with billing address ZIP code and calling activity flag appended
Cell phone C = cell phone with Marketing Systems Group enhanced billing center targeting

## Interviewing Procedures

CSR conducted the telephone interviews from its Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) Laboratory at the University of Virginia. (SSI conducted its telephone interviews from its interviewing facilities located in Orem, UT.) CATI is a system in which computers are employed to increase the efficiency, accuracy, and flexibility of telephone surveys conducted by trained interviewers. Questions appear on the computer screen in programmed sequence as the interviewer presses the keys on the keyboard to record the respondent's answers. Accurate, instantaneous data entry is assured by the system. The computer system stores the database of telephone numbers and is used to control the sampling process, dial each sampled number, schedule call-backs, and record the disposition of each attempted call. CSR's CATI lab also allows for audio and visual monitoring of calls by lab supervisors.
Households where residents were identified as potentially Spanish-speaking by the general staff of interviewers were contacted again by bilingual CSR interviewers to determine if they were eligible Spanish-speakers and asked if they would be willing to participate in the survey. (For households identified by SSI interviewers as households that might qualify as Spanish-speaking, SSI sent contact information to CSR so they could be attempted in Spanish by CSR.) CSR conducted Spanish-language interviews between May 31 and June 10 and obtained 18 Spanishlanguage completions.
All CSR telephone calls for the study were made from the CSR CATI laboratory under the direct supervision of CSR staff. Numbers were dialed automatically by the WinCATI computer system except for cell phones, which are manually dialed to conform to Federal telecommunications regulations. Calling was done on Sunday through Friday evenings and on Sunday afternoons. Periodically, weekday afternoon and Saturday calling were implemented to ensure full coverage.
The interviewers received at least six hours of training prior to production interviewing. Many had prior interviewing experience on similar studies. Each phone number was given a maximum of 12 call attempts for landlines and 10 for cell phones before it was treated as a "no answer" or "busy" number. Residential phones answered by automatic answering machines were treated as "no answer" calls (although counted separately). With respect to landline phones, CSR interviewers did not leave messages on the answering machines of potential respondents but simply returned the phone number to the sample pool for another calling attempt at a later time. However, cell phone users were left a message on the first attempt so that they would understand the purpose of the call and could arrange for a callback at a convenient time. Answering machine
announcements that identified the phone number as a place of business, however, were recorded as such and not re-attempted on the landline sample. Because many cell phones are used for business and personal reasons, business-messaged phones were re-attempted three times without leaving a message and then automatically finalized as a business. As with landlines, cell phones identified by the person answering as a business were not surveyed.

In order to reduce non-response bias, we conducted "conversion calling." Non-response bias in surveys results when qualified respondents do not complete a survey, usually because they refuse to cooperate. In conversion calling, our most highly trained interviewers call back households in which we previously had someone refuse to take the survey. First, we kept track of the "tone" of initial refusals. "Hard" refusals, those in which people explicitly asked not to be called again or were noticeably agitated or upset about our phone call, were not called back at all. "Soft" refusals, those for which it seemed that we only caught someone at a bad time, were called back and contacted once more after an interval of at least three days.

Random digit dialing and cell phone sample can include numbers that fall outside the boundaries of Loudoun County. Listed numbers included street address information and could be screened for geographic location within the county. All residents were asked for their ZIP codes at the beginning of the interview so as to place them in the rural or non-rural areas of the county and track progress for meeting the goals for completions in each designated area of the county.
Table H-2 shows key dates in the data collection process.
Table H-2: Survey Data Collection Tasks and Dates

| Task | Date |
| :--- | :---: |
| English interviewing begins at CSR | May 8, 2012 |
| English interviewing begins at SSI | May 27, 2012 |
| English interviewing ends at SSI | May 31, 2012 |
| Spanish interviewing begins at CSR | May 31, 2012 |
| English interviewing ends at CSR | June 3, 2012 |
| Spanish interviewing ends at CSR | June 10, 2012 |
| Data collection closed | June 10, 2012 |

## Weighting

To better represent Loudoun County residents, the data were weighted by type of telephone service, rural or non-rural status (to adjust the rural oversample so as not to bias countywide totals) and four demographic variables: age, race, Hispanic/Latino ethnicity and gender. The population data for the demographic variables was obtained from the 2010 U.S. Decennial Census for residents aged 18 and older. The distribution of households by ZIP code was provided by the Loudoun County Department of Management and Financial Services. See Table H-3 below for a consolidated outline of the weighting scheme.

Table H-3: Weighting scheme

| Weighting topic | Description | Survey variable name <br> (all are aged 18+) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TELEPHONE <br> SERVICE | Estimated distribution of <br> telephone households across <br> landline-only, cell-phone-only and <br> dual user or "overlap" (landline + <br> cell) households | LISTSTATUS2, <br> SEGMENT4 |
| GEOGRAPHY | Designated rural and non-rural <br> areas of the county (2 categories) | RURNON2 |
| AGE | Respondent age (recoded to 5 <br> categories) | AGEFORWT |
| RACE | Respondent race (recoded to 5 <br> categories) | RACEFORWT |
| HISPANIC/LATINO <br> ETHNICITY | Self-reported Hispanic/Latino <br> ethnicity (2 categories) | HISPFORWT |
| GENDER | Respondent gender (2 categories) | RGENDER |

The weighting for telephone service and geography had more impact than did the weighting for the demographic variables impact (the values of the weights for telephone service and geography tended to be larger than they were for the demographic variables). The following sections provide more detail about the weighting process.
Geographic weighting. This procedure was necessary for countywide generalizations because of the over-samples of residents in the rural area of the county. The data are weighted to properly reflect the proportion of households in rural and non-rural areas in the county. The geographic weight is the amount each case would need to be multiplied by in order to have the sample percentage for each area to be equal to its actual proportion. For example, since roughly twice the number of cases were obtained from the rural area as we would expect if we surveyed the county completely at random, cases in the rural area were weighted by about one-half. Cases in the non-rural area were weighted by a little more than one because they were underrepresented. In this survey, the geographic weight was combined with the demographic weights through an iterative process called "raking.
In the 2007 survey, geographic weighting was done by ZIP code. The 2012 weighting was done by rural and non-rural areas, not by individual ZIP code. But the 2012 weighting does bring the data closely in line with the distribution of households by ZIP codes in the county, as demonstrated in Table H-4 below. The table shows the percentage of county households found in each ZIP code compared to the percentage of weighted survey cases found in each ZIP code.

Table H-4: Geographic Weighting

| ZIP <br> Code | Loudoun County <br> Government Estimates, 2012 |  | 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents |  | ZIP type |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households | \% | Responded | \% |  | \% |  |
| 20105 | 4,231 | $3.9 \%$ | 45 | $4.1 \%$ | 35 | $3.2 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20117 | 1,150 | $1.0 \%$ | 7 | $0.7 \%$ | 16 | $1.5 \%$ | Rural |
| 20120 | 17 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20129 | 200 | $0.2 \%$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | 5 | $0.5 \%$ | Rural |
| 20130 | 15 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | Rural |
| 20132 | 5,268 | $4.8 \%$ | 57 | $5.2 \%$ | 97 | $8.9 \%$ | Rural |
| 20135 | 342 | $0.3 \%$ | 4 | $0.4 \%$ | 6 | $0.6 \%$ | Rural |
| 20141 | 1,995 | $1.8 \%$ | 26 | $2.4 \%$ | 46 | $4.2 \%$ | Rural |
| 20147 | 19,576 | $17.8 \%$ | 157 | $14.4 \%$ | 166 | $15.2 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20148 | 10,791 | $9.8 \%$ | 102 | $9.4 \%$ | 78 | $7.2 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20152 | 8,527 | $7.8 \%$ | 58 | $5.3 \%$ | 40 | $3.7 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20158 | 1,424 | $1.3 \%$ | 15 | $1.3 \%$ | 24 | $2.2 \%$ | Rural |
| 20164 | 12,054 | $11.0 \%$ | 90 | $8.3 \%$ | 88 | $8.1 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20165 | 11,526 | $10.5 \%$ | 135 | $12.4 \%$ | 134 | $12.3 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20166 | 3,393 | $3.1 \%$ | 15 | $1.4 \%$ | 14 | $1.3 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20170 | 6 | $0.0 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20175 | 10,111 | $9.2 \%$ | 164 | $15.1 \%$ | 112 | $10.3 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20176 | 15,695 | $14.3 \%$ | 162 | $14.9 \%$ | 159 | $14.6 \%$ | Non-rural |
| 20180 | 2,381 | $2.2 \%$ | 38 | $3.4 \%$ | 49 | $4.5 \%$ | Rural |
| 20184 | 139 | $0.1 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | 3 | $0.3 \%$ | Rural |
| 20197 | 674 | $0.6 \%$ | 6 | $0.6 \%$ | 13 | $1.2 \%$ | Rural |
| 22066 | 313 | $0.3 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | Non-rural |
| Total | 109,827 | $100.0 \%$ | 1,090 | $100.0 \%$ | 1,090 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Demographic weighting. Also known as poststratification weighting, this step was necessary to adjust the unweighted survey data to more closely represent key demographic characteristics of the county. Surveys usually over-represent females, older people, and those with higher socioeconomic status. (In this survey, the method of selecting respondents within the household helped to mitigate the usual over-representation of females, even before weighting.) Demographic weighting can adjust for those distortions. To the extent that answers to survey questions are related to the demographic variables included in the weighting, these adjustments also reduce biases in estimates of what the overall county population would have said in the survey if all of the residents of the county had been included and had responded. Table H-5 shows the effects of demographic weighting in this data file.
Table H-5: Demographic Weighting

|  |  | Survey data Unweighted |  | Survey data Weighted |  | 2010 Decennial Census, population $18+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | u N | u \% | w N | w \% | \% |
| Gender | Male | 560 | 51.1\% | 534.7 | 48.8\% | 48.8\% |
|  | Female | 536 | 48.9\% | 561.3 | 51.2\% | 51.2\% |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0\% | 1096.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Rural/Non-rural status | Rural | 261 | 23.8\% | 156.3 | 14.3\% | 12.4\% |
|  | Non-rural | 835 | 76.2\% | 939.7 | 85.7\% | 87.6\% |
| Total |  | 1096 | 100.0\% | 1096.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Hispanic/ Latino ethnicity | Yes | 59 | 5.4\% | 106.4 | 10.0\% | 11.7\% |
|  | No | 1005 | 91.7\% | 960.0 | 90.0\% | 88.3\% |
| Total |  | 1064 | 100.0\% | 1066.4 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Race | White | 882 | 84.6\% | 768.1 | 73.2\% | 70.1\% |
|  | Asian | 71 | 6.8\% | 129.3 | 12.3\% | 14.6\% |
|  | Black | 50 | 4.8\% | 67.7 | 6.5\% | 7.5\% |
|  | Other | 28 | 2.7\% | 55.9 | 5.3\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Two or more races | 12 | 1.2 | 27.8 | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
| Total |  | 1043 | 100.0\% | 1048.7 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Age | 18-34 | 144 | 14.6\% | 266.4 | 27.2\% | 28.5\% |
|  | 35-44 | 199 | 20.1\% | 262.4 | 26.8\% | 27.2\% |
|  | 45-54 | 263 | 26.6\% | 225.6 | 23.1\% | 22.5\% |
|  | 55-64 | 182 | 18.4\% | 131.3 | 13.4\% | 12.4\% |
|  | 65+ | 200 | 20.2\% | 92.1 | 9.4\% | 9.4\% |
| Total |  | 998 | 100.0\% | 977.9 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Cell phone weighting. Data are weighted on the type of telephone sample from which each case was selected. We oversampled residents with listed landline telephones and under-sampled those with cell phones to control data collection costs. Because more cell phone numbers need to be called to reach a Loudoun County resident, obtaining interviews from people with cell phones is more expensive. We sampled a large enough representation of cell phone respondents so that we could understand their opinions, but we under-represented them so that we could include them in the results at a reasonable cost. Weighting is used to adjust for the disproportionate sampling of the phone segments so that the survey results are not biased. Specifically, the statistical weighting of the survey results was designed to properly represent different types of phone
service in the county's population: cell phone-only cases, landline-only cases, and those with both kinds of telephone service.

Current research on cell phone interviewing is still evolving, and there are no standard, accepted methods for weighting the results of a "dual frame" sample that combines completed interviews from landline samples with completed interviews from cell phone samples. Prof. Guterbock has been working on the development of appropriate methods, and our approach to the current study applies his latest research to the available local data. Here we treat RDD and listed samples as one "landline" sample, thus treating our triple-frame design as a dual-frame sample (cell phone and landline sampling frames).
The heart of the weighting problem is simple: there is no available external source that will tell us the percentage of the County population that has cell phone-only service, landline only, or both. Authoritative data are collected at the national level by the Centers for Disease Control in the National Health Interview Survey, a very large, continuous, in-person data collection focused on health issues. ${ }^{5}$ That survey determines the phone-service status of each household in a representative national sample, and results from as recently as the second half of 2008 are currently available. However, these data are available only at the national or broad regional level. It is doubtful that these broad averages across regions are directly applicable to the county.
The estimation problem is made somewhat more difficult by the fact that rates of survey response are not even across different phone-use segments. That is, cell phone-only adults are much more likely to answer their cell phones than are those who have both kinds of phones. This is understood to reflect differences in telephone behavior between cell phone-only adults and dual-phone users. Cell phone-only adults are presumably more likely to have their phones with them, to have their phones turned on, and to accept calls from unknown numbers than are those who continue to rely on landline phones. For these reasons, the percentage of cell phone-only cases encountered in actual cell phone surveys is much higher than their actual share among all cell phone users. It is probably also the case that landline-only households are somewhat overrepresented within landline samples, as compared to those who have both kinds of phone. The latter group is referred to below as the overlap sample, because the households having both landline and cell phones lie at the intersection of the cell phone frame and the landline frame.

In order to estimate the degree of under-representation of the overlap sample segment in the cell phone sample and in the landline sample, we compared results from the 2007 California Health Interview Survey (a telephone survey combining RDD sample with cell phone-only households) with the results from NHIS for the Western Region of the United States (second-half 2007 results). 6 Using algebraic formulas developed by Prof. Guterbock, we were able to determine the values for two response rate ratios: r1, the ratio of the response rate to cell phone calling in the overlap sample compared to the response rate of cell phone-onlies, and r2, the ratio of the response rate to landline calling in the overlap sample to the response rate of landline-onlies. The NHIS for the Western region reports that the phone-service proportions in the Western region were: $13.2 \%$ cell phone-only, $67.9 \%$ dual-phone (overlap), and $18.9 \%$ landline only. If response rates were equal ( $\mathrm{r} 1=\mathrm{r} 2=1.0$ ), and if California's phone usage is the same as that of the Western region, then the CHIS 2007 would have found $16.3 \%$ of the cell phone completions to be cell phone-onlies. Instead, CHIS 2007 reports $34.6 \%$ percent cell phone-onlies. CHIS should have found $21.7 \%$ landline-onlies in the landline sample, but actually had $32.7 \%$ landline-onlies

[^14]in its landline RDD sample. Applying Guterbock's formulas to these data results in an estimate of $\mathrm{r} 1=.368$ and $\mathrm{r} 2=.598$.

The telephone service weights were determined using final survey data as shown in Table H-6. The "estimated true" values are derived by application of the county-specific estimated values for r1 and r2.
Table H-6: Initial Estimates of County Telephone-service Segments

| Cell only | Cell phone sample |  | Landline sample |  | Combined samples |  |  | Weight$2.951$ | Weighted N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 65 | 30.1\% | 1 | 0.1\% | 66 | 6.0\% |  |  | 195 | 17.8\% |
| Overlap <br> (Both) | 150 | 69.4\% | 800 | 90.9\% | 950 | 86.7\% | 77.89\% | 0.899 | 854 | 77.9\% |
| LL only | 1 | 0.5\% | 79 | 9.0\% | 80 | 7.3\% | 4.34\% | 0.595 | 48 | 4.3\% |
| Total | 216 | 100.0\% | 880 | 100.0\% | 1096 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 1096 | 100.0\% |

A further decision needed to be made about weighting the overlap sample. By design, we did not complete a very large number of cell phone cases because of their greater expense. In theory, if all phones in the county had been called with equal likelihood, we would have reached one half of the overlap sample through their cell phone and one half through their landline. This would call for weighting the portion of the overlap sample reached through cell phone up by a very large weight to bring their share of the overlap to $50 \%$, which could potentially have distorted the results and also increased the "design effect" in the study, reducing the precision of the estimates. We decided to apply a weight of 2.0 to the cell phone cases in our overlap sample, allowing the weight on the landline cases in the overlap sample to take a value that would result in an overall overlap percentage in the weighted sample of $77.9 \%$. Table $\mathrm{H}-7$ shows these weights as applied to the completions in the final sample.
Table H-7: Final Estimates of County Telephone-service Segments

|  | Cell phone <br> sample | Landline <br> sample | Combined <br> samples |  | Est. <br> true | Weight | Weighted N |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell only | 65 | $30.1 \%$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 66 | $6.0 \%$ | $17.77 \%$ | 2.951 | 195 |
| Overlap <br> (Cell) | 150 | $69.4 \%$ | 0 |  | 150 | $13.7 \%$ | $27.37 \%$ | 2.000 | 300 |
| Overlap <br> (LL) | 0 |  | 800 | $90.9 \%$ | 800 | $73.0 \%$ | $50.51 \%$ | 0.692 | 554 |
| LL only | 1 | $0.5 \%$ | 79 | $9.0 \%$ | 80 | $7.3 \%$ | $4.34 \%$ | 0.595 | 48 |
| Total | 216 | $100.0 \%$ | 880 | $100.0 \%$ | 1096 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |  | 1096 |

A more complete description of the cell phone estimation procedures used here, along with algebraic formulas needed to calculate and apply the response rate ratios, is available upon request. ${ }^{7}$

[^15]Listed status weighting. We also weighted the results to accurately represent unlisted landline cases. These are somewhat underrepresented because the directory-listed sample has only a small percentage of unlisted households. To correct for this, we weighted all unlisted landline households reached on either the RDD or EWP (listed) samples so that, in total, they represent 15.0 percent of the landline completions, or 11.9 percent of all completions.

The final step in the weighting process involves "raking," a statistical procedure used to produce combined weights for two or more weighting factors when a joint distribution is not available. The weights so derived were introduced into the Complex Sampling module of SPSS statistical software. This tool allows calculation of a "design effect" for each question in the survey and will be discussed below in terms of sampling error.

## Rates of Response and Completion

Response rate is a way of expressing the proportion of completed interviews against the number of eligible possible contacts. It does not include failures to interview at the number dialed because the number has not been assigned to an eligible participant or is not working. It includes eligible respondents who refuse cooperation and other numbers whose eligibility cannot be determined (busy or never answered numbers). This section of the report covers CSR calling and response data; information available from SSI was not detailed enough for these analyses.
The American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) leads efforts to standardize and document the definitions and calculations of survey response rates. They offer six standardized response rate calculations, designated RR1 through RR6 for "response rate 1" through "response rate 6." Formula RR3 is often used as a good conservative estimate of response rate for telephone surveys.

The true response rate depends on how one estimates the percentage of working residential phones that exist among the many numbers that never answered our numerous call attempts. This is especially significant for our RDD and Cell Phone A samples, where the most conservative estimate excludes partially completed cases and assumes that the percentage of residential households among unreachable numbers is the same as the percentage among those we reached. Using that assumption, RR3 for the RDD sample is $16.8 \%$. But because CSR completed multiple attempts to nearly all of the no-answer numbers and based upon prior experimentation with listed and RDD samples in Virginia, we estimate that these unresolved numbers are less likely to be working residential numbers. We estimate that our true residency rate is $20 \%$ of no-answer numbers and that our true response rate (adjusted RR3) for the RDD sample is closer to $22.5 \%$.
For the cell phone portions of the sample, the estimated response rates (RR3) for each sample type are shown in Table H-8, and the combined response rate (RR3) for all cell phone samples is $10.6 \%$ (RR3) and as with directory-listed sample the adjustment is not used since there are as yet no verified estimates of actual "residency" (that is, the proportion cell phones in the sample that are activated and used in the target geography). The total response rate is the weighted average of the triple-frame components.

Finally, the efficiency of the calling can be expressed in terms of number of completions per hour of calling (CPH). The overall interview production rate was 0.832 interviews per hour. Nationwide declines in telephone interviewing productivity are mostly due to the addition of cell phones and declining rates of RDD productivity. The length of the survey can also affect productivity. For the landline cases the production CPH was 0.96 . Productivity for the three cellular telephone samples varied greatly because of the different methods used. The traditional cell sample (cell sample A) had a very low productivity of 0.13 because many of the numbers
turned out to for residents of Fairfax County. The cell sample with appended ZIP code and calling activity information (Cell Sample B) was quite efficient, with a CPH of 0.94 . The cell sample with enhanced analysis of billing centers (Cell Sample C) was moderately efficient, with a CPH of 0.71 . Table $\mathrm{H}-8$ shows this information.
A total of 26,123 phone numbers were attempted in the production phase of the survey - 14,054 at CSR and 12,069 at SSI. The final disposition of each of the phone numbers attempted by CSR is shown in tables H-9 and H-10, the Sample Disposition Report.
The disposition report is presented in a format that has been recommended as an industry standard by AAPOR. The AAPOR response rates were calculated with the assistance of the Sawtooth WinCATI 4.2 CATI software, based on the full call history of each attempted number. This tool increases the accuracy of the calculation.

CSR completed a total of 903 interviews with self-identified county residents for an overall response rate of 14.3 percent on the CSR calling ${ }^{8}$ (we retained 897 of these CSR-completed interviews for analysis; six were excluded because we were unable to determine whether the resident lived in the rural or non-rural area of the county). Table H-8 shows a summary of the relative productivity for each of the sample types. The interview length includes the total time on the phone with the respondent (from hello to hang-up) plus any processing time an interviewer required to make notes and close the case.
Table H-8: Completion Rates and Completions per Hour by Sample Type (CSR calling)

|  | Completes | Interview <br> Length | Rate/hr | Response Rate <br> (RR3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Random Digit Dialing | 205 | 16 | 0.77 | 22.5 |
| Directory-Listed | 479 | 15 | 1.07 | 15.3 |
| Combined Landline | 684 | 15 | 0.96 | 17.3 |
| Cell Phone A | 16 | 14 | 0.13 | 1.5 |
| Cell Phone B | 75 | 16 | 0.94 | 17.0 |
| Cell Phone C | 128 | 15 | 0.71 | 6.7 |
| Combined Cell Phone | 219 | 15 | 0.59 | 10.6 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{9 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3}$ |

Cell phone A = traditional cell phone frame (no additional information appended)
Cell phone $\mathrm{B}=$ cell phone with billing address ZIP code and calling activity flag appended
Cell phone C = cell phone with Marketing Systems Group enhanced billing center targeting

[^16]Table H-9: Sample Disposition Report (CSR calling)

| Disposition <br> Code | Disposition Description | All <br> Samples <br> Total | Random <br> Digit <br> Dialing | Directory <br> Listed | Cellular <br> (Wireless) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 | Complete | 904 | 205 | 480 | 219 |
| 1200 | Partial | 18 | 3 | 10 | 5 |
| 2110 | Unkn Eligible: Refusal | 1273 | 238 | 625 | 410 |
| 2120 | Eligible: Refusal \& Break-off | 58 | 8 | 27 | 23 |
| 2210 | Unkn Eligible: Resp Never Avail | 167 | 16 | 54 | 97 |
| 2221 | Unkn Eligible: Ans Mach, No Mess | 2751 | 481 | 2008 | 262 |
| 2222 | Unkn Eligible: Ans Mach, Message | 1465 |  |  | 1465 |
| 2310 | Eligible: Dead | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2320 | Ineligible: Phys/Mentally Unable | 13 | 4 | 8 | 1 |
| 2330 | Unkn Eligible: Language Unable | 175 | 16 | 35 | 124 |
| 2340 | Unkn Eligible: Misc. Unable | 6 | 2 | 4 |  |
| 3120 | Busy | 173 | 16 | 40 | 117 |
| 3130 | No Answer | 1088 | 205 | 244 | 639 |
| 3140 | Ans Mach (Don't Know if HU) | 837 | 50 | 414 | 373 |
| 3150 | Technical Phone Problems | 250 | 27 | 34 | 189 |
| 3210 | HU, Unknown Eligible: No Scrnr | 1354 | 125 | 518 | 711 |
| 3220 | HU, Unknown Eligible: Other | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4100 | Out of Sample | 1684 | 164 | 584 | 936 |
| 4200 | Fax/Data Line | 254 | 162 | 85 | 7 |
| 4310 | Non-working Number | 969 | 147 | 155 | 667 |
| 4320 | Disconnected Number | 162 | 23 | 70 | 69 |
| 4410 | Number Changed | 47 | 2 | 11 | 34 |
| 4420 | Cell Phone | N/A |  |  |  |
| 4430 | Call Forwarding | 0 |  |  |  |
| 4510 | Business/Govt/Other Org | 330 | 172 | 80 | 78 |
| 4520 | Institution | 0 |  |  |  |
| 4530 | Group Quarter | 16 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 4700 | No Eligible Respondent | 54 | 8 | 19 | 27 |
| 4800 | Quota Filled | 14053 | 2078 | 5510 | 6465 |
|  | Total telephone numbers used |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table H-10: Response Rate Report (CSR calling)

| AAPOR Standard Rates and Dispositions Summary | OVERALL Ave | Random Digit Dialing | Directory Listed | Landline Ave | Cellular (Wireless) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Residency 1* | 0.422 | 0.148 | 0.606 | 0.480 | 0.353 |
| Estimated Residency 2 | 0.587 | 0.739 | 0.670 | 0.688 | 0.444 |
| Response Rate 1 | 0.112 | 0.171 | 0.134 | 0.143 | 0.066 |
| Response Rate 2 | 0.114 | 0.174 | 0.136 | 0.145 | 0.068 |
| Response Rate 3* | 0.143 | 0.225 | 0.153 | 0.173 | 0.106 |
| Response Rate 4 * | 0.146 | 0.228 | 0.156 | 0.176 | 0.109 |
| Response Rate 5 | 0.206 | 0.265 | 0.205 | 0.219 | 0.171 |
| Response Rate 6 | 0.210 | 0.269 | 0.209 | 0.223 | 0.175 |
| Cooperation Rate 1 | 0.369 | 0.431 | 0.404 | 0.411 | 0.280 |
| Cooperation Rate 2 | 0.377 | 0.437 | 0.412 | 0.419 | 0.286 |
| Cooperation Rate 3 | 0.401 | 0.452 | 0.420 | 0.429 | 0.333 |
| Cooperation Rate 4 | 0.409 | 0.458 | 0.429 | 0.437 | 0.341 |
| Refusal Rate 1 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.145 | 0.152 | 0.093 |
| Refusal Rate 2* | 0.210 | 0.242 | 0.208 | 0.225 | 0.210 |
| Refusal Rate 3 | 0.195 | 0.253 | 0.201 | 0.213 | 0.166 |
| Contact Rate 1 | 0.232 | 0.340 | 0.264 | 0.282 | 0.169 |
| Contact Rate 2 * | 0.285 | 0.391 | 0.294 | 0.331 | 0.231 |
| Contact Rate 3 | 0.358 | 0.489 | 0.366 | 0.394 | 0.300 |
| Complete Interview | 904 | 205 | 480 | 685 | 219 |
| Partial Interview | 18 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 5 |
| Refusal and Break-off | 1331 | 246 | 652 | 898 | 433 |
| Non-contact | 4383 | 497 | 2062 | 2559 | 1824 |
| Other eligible but unable | 194 | 22 | 47 | 69 | 125 |
| Unknown if household | 2348 | 298 | 732 | 1030 | 1318 |
| Unknown if other | 1359 | 127 | 519 | 646 | 713 |
| Ineligible Numbers | 3516 | 680 | 1008 | 1688 | 1828 |
| Total Dialed Attempts | 47894 | 11445 | 18834 | 30279 | 17615 |
| TOTAL | 14053 | 2078 | 5510 | 7588 | 6465 |
| \% of Landline |  | 27.4\% | 72.6\% | 100.0\% |  |
| \% of Overall | 100.0\% | 14.8\% | 39.2\% | 54.0\% | 46.0\% |

[^17]
## Sampling Error and Statistical Testing

Because the survey is based on a probability sample, the results are generalizable to all residents in Loudoun County. The sampling error in this survey for a question answered by all respondents is approximately $\pm 1.6$ percentage points at the $95 \%$ level of confidence.
This means that if 100 iterations of this survey were conducted with samples of this size drawn from Loudoun County, the results obtained in 95 of those 100 surveys would fall in a range of about $\pm 1.6$ percentage points from each other. This calculation includes the estimated effects of weighting and stratification. ${ }^{9}$

The $95 \%$ level of confidence also means that if there were no sources of error in the survey other than sampling error, the results reported here are likely to be within $\pm 1.6$ percentage points of the results that would have been obtained if all Loudoun County households had participated in the survey.

Larger sampling errors are present when analyzing subsets of the sample or questions that were not asked of all respondents; smaller sampling errors are present when a lopsided majority gives the same answer.

For the subset of residents in the rural area, the sampling error is $\pm 4.8$ percentage points. For the subset of residents in the non-rural area, the sampling error is $\pm 2.0$ percentage points.
There are other sources of error found in surveys besides sampling error, such as biases in question wording, misunderstood question wording or survey instructions, systematic data processing errors and so forth. Users of survey data should be mindful that those other types of potential errors, unlike sampling error, may be difficult or impossible to measure.
When comparing the results of the survey among various demographic groups, independent Ttests were used to assess statistical significance. All the T-tests were completed using SPSS Complex Samples, an add-on module for SPSS for Windows ${ }^{\circledR}$, which is used by CSR for data analysis purposes. This module provides more statistical precision with respect to inferences for a population by incorporating the sample design into survey analysis. It also allows the possibility to take into account the design effect, a by-product of post stratification weighting, when conducting the statistical tests.

Statistical significance tests were used primarily to verify the existence of satisfaction differences among various subgroups. We report in these pages differences that yield a "p-value" of .05 or less. A level of .05 indicates that there is only a 5 percent chance that the difference we find is due to sampling error, rather than reflecting a real relationship within the study population. The statistics for evaluating statistical significance were calculated using the SPSS Complex Sampling module and hence take into account the survey "design effect" but this approach does not measure other sources of error that are not related to sampling or weighting, which can occur in any poll or survey.
The design effect is a ratio of the variance of a statistic taking the complex sample design into account, and the variance of the same statistic if we assume that the sample design was a simple random sample of the same size. When the complex survey design increases the variance of the survey statistic of interest relative to a simple design, it reduces the statistical efficiency of the

[^18]data and the design effect is greater than 1 . Design effects greater than 1 are usually found in a clustered survey design or when weighting the data. When the survey design increases the statistical efficiency of the data, the design effect is less than 1 . Design effects less than 1 are usually found in a stratified survey design.

In the 2012 Loudoun County Survey of Residents, the design effect is less than 1 because the statistical inefficiency introduced by the weighting was more than offset by the statistical efficiency of stratifying the sample by the rural and non-rural areas of the county.


[^0]:    1 "Vanpooling" and "carpooling/slugging" were captured as options for those who said they used "public transportation." Many residents may not consider vanpooling and carpooling/slugging to be types of public transportation. Therefore, the survey most likely underestimates the percentages of county residents who vanpool, carpool or slug.
    ${ }^{2}$ The five options were rated independently. The order in which they were presented during the interview was randomized across interviews to avoid response effects related to the order of items on the list.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ If the survey responses to this question were listed in order of household size, the median is the point that is halfway down the list.
    ${ }^{4}$ CSR collected 897 interviews using its in-house capabilities. To ensure full completion of the data collection within a short time frame, CSR subcontracted with Survey Sampling International for an additional 199 interviews. A total of 1,096 unweighted interviews are used in this analysis. The weighted number of cases in this report is also 1,096 .

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Spanish interviewing started on Thursday, May 31 and continued through Sunday, June 10 to provide a reasonable opportunity for Spanish-language respondents to participate. There are 18 Spanishlanguage cases in the dataset.
    ${ }^{6}$ AAPOR formula RR3, see Www.aapor.org for more.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ The calculation also assumes a response distribution of $50-50$. Technically, each item on a survey has its own sampling error, which depends on the total number of responses to the item, the response distribution, the proportion of the total population represented in the responses, and the level of confidence desired. The 5050 response distribution is the "worst case" assumption for that term in the sampling error equation because it yields the largest sampling error, all other terms being held constant.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ CSR collected 897 interviews using its in-house capabilities. To ensure full completion of the data collection within a short time frame, CSR subcontracted with Survey Sampling International for an additional 199 interviews. A total of 1,096 unweighted interviews are used in this analysis. The weighted number of cases in this report is also 1,096 .
    ${ }^{9}$ Spanish interviewing started on Thursday, May 31 and continued through Sunday, June 10 to provide a reasonable opportunity for Spanish-language respondents to participate.
    ${ }^{10}$ AAPOR formula RR3, see www.aapor.org for more.

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ The calculation also assumes a response distribution of 50-50. Technically, each item on a survey has its own sampling error, which depends on the total number of responses to the item, the response distribution, the proportion of the total population represented in the responses, and the level of confidence desired. The 5050 response distribution is the "worst case" assumption for that term in the sampling error equation because it yields the largest sampling error, all other terms being held constant.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ The countywide sampling error in the 2012 survey is $\pm$ 1.6 percentage points. Assuming a similar sampling error for the 2007 survey, the combined uncertainty is 3.2 percentage points. Tests of differences often include error, covariance and other terms that can add to the combined uncertainty, thereby increasing the size of the difference required to reach statistical significance. In addition, each statistic in the survey has its own sampling error. Setting the difference at 5 to 6 percentage points is a conservative estimate designed to take these additional issues into account.

[^7]:    ${ }^{13}$ To be consistent with a summary of the survey results prepared earlier this year, the percentage calculations used here exclude residents who said they were of multiple races, of some race other than the five shown in the graph, or their race was Hispanic/Latino (as opposed to their ethnic identity). The ethnicity/race variable described below and used in Appendix E and Appendix $G$ does not exclude those residents.

[^8]:    ${ }^{15}$ Throughout this report, individuals who fall into the category of "other race" will be identified by the use of quotation marks around the word "other" or the phrase "other race." This is intended to prevent confusion between these residents and members of all racial groups other than a particular group being discussed.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - multiple responses accepted

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this variable, residents who identified themselves as being of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity are classified as Hispanic/Latino regardless of the race with which they identified. The "other" category includes residents who were not Hispanic/Latino and who identified their race as American Indian, Native American, Alaskan Native, Hawaiian, other Pacific Islander, multiracial or some other category not on this list.

[^11]:    2 Abdoulaye Diop, Young-Il Kim, John Lee Holmes, and Thomas M. Guterbock. Prince William County Cell Phone Pilot Survey [A Supplement to the 2007 Citizen Satisfaction Survey]: Summary Report of Results. Center for Survey Research, March 2008.

[^12]:    3 Thomas M. Guterbock, Robin A. Bebel, John Lee P. Holmes, and Peter A Furia. Why We No Longer Need Cell Phone Incentives: Results from Two Telephone Surveys. Center for Survey Research, May 2012.

[^13]:    4 J. Michael Brick, W. Sherman Edwards, and Sunghee Lee."Sampling Telephone Numbers and Adults, Interview Length, and Weighting in The California Health Interview Survey Cell Phone Pilot Study." Public Opinion Quarterly ( 2007) 71: 793-813.

[^14]:    ${ }^{5}$ Steven J. Blumberg and J.V. Luke. "Wireless Substitution: Early Release of Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, July-December 2011." National Center for Health Statistics, June 2012. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/wireless201206.pdf.
    6Thanks to Michael Brick of Westat for sharing some of the preliminary results from CHIS 2007 for this purpose.

[^15]:    7Thomas M. Guterbock. "Estimating Phone Service and Usage Percentages: How to Weight the Data from a Local, Dual-Frame Sample Survey of Cellphone and Landline Telephone Users in the United States." Paper presented at the Annual Meetings of the American Association for Public Opinion Research, Hollywood, Florida, May 14, 2009.

[^16]:    ${ }^{8}$ Calculated according to AAPOR suggested formula RR3 adjusted by comparison of listed and RDD telephone noanswer rates used to estimate the proportion of RDD no-answer numbers that are actually non-working or unassigned numbers, with $e 1=.422$ and $e 2=.587$. We estimated $e 2$ by dividing households determined to be eligible by the N of households overall. The estimate of $e 2$ was also applied to housing units where eligibility could not be determined. We derived $e 1$ by taking the product of $e 2$ and residency rates estimated from our call dispositions. Partial interviews are not counted in the numerator of the RR3 formula.

[^17]:    *Contains CSR adjustment rate for Virginia residency for RDD portion of the sample. Estimated residency rate for cellular (wireless) sample derives from Landline assumptions. No adjustment estimates available for cellular samples at this time.

[^18]:    ${ }^{9}$ The calculation also assumes a response distribution of 50-50. Technically, each item on a survey has its own sampling error, which depends on the total number of responses to the item, the response distribution, the proportion of the total population represented in the responses, and the level of confidence desired. The 50-50 response distribution is the "worst case" assumption for that term in the sampling error equation because it yields the largest sampling error, all other terms being held constant.

