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## 2001 <br> Survey of Loudoun Residents

A telephone survey of 1,001 Loudoun County residents was conducted in May 2001, to update demographic information and obtain opinions about key issues. Similar surveys were conducted in 1995, 1997, and 1999 affording the opportunity to analyze changes over time for a few specific variables. Key findings are as follows:

## Demographics

Respondents indicated that Loudoun's basic demographic profile is changing. Loudoun County is becoming more ethnically diverse and more affluent. More than a third of the respondents have lived in Loudoun for three years or less. Historically, new residents have moved from Fairfax County. In 2001, the proportion of new Loudoun residents from areas outside the Washington Metro region (40 percent) just exceeded Fairfax's share ( 39 percent). Respondents indicated that their major reason for relocating to Loudoun was location of work site, followed by housing affordability, and Loudoun's rural character.

Opinions about key issues
Of the surveyed households:

- 98 percent felt safe in their neighborhoods.
- 80 percent believed Loudoun County providesgood value for the tax dollar.
- 93 percent rated Loudoun's quality of life as good or excellent.
- 67 percent identified Loudoun's single biggest problem as growth and development (down from 71 percent in 1999).
- The second leading issue identified as Loudoun County's biggest problem was traffic/transportation. Over 17 percent of the households identified traffic/transportation as the central issue (up from 12 percent in 1999).
- 33 percent of households surveyed felt that the single best thing about

Loudoun was its rural character and countryside.

## Growth Management

Of the surveyed households:
-- 79 percent felt that the pace of residential development was too
fast.
-- Over 84 percent supported efforts by the Board of Supervisors to manage growth in Loudoun County.

The most supported initiatives were
-- Requiring developers to pay more of the capital cost (such as schools, roads, parks, and other public facilities) associated with new developments.
-- Expanded options for mass transit in Loudoun County such as bus or rail transit.
-- Purchase of development right from landowners on a voluntary basis to preserve open space.
-- Reducing the land area available for residential development or the number of homes that are allowed per acre.

The least supported initiative was:
-- Requiring medians, speed bumps, curves or designs to slow traffic on major roads like Rt. 50 or neighborhood roads like Cascades Parkway.

## Section I. Introduction

Purpose and Scope
In May 2001, the County Administrator commissioned a telephone survey of a random sample of 1,001 households in Loudoun County. There were several principal purposes for conducting a scientific survey of Loudoun County residents at that time: to collect opinion data relevant to revising Loudoun County's Comprehensive Plan; to update key demographic characteristics about Loudoun County residents that were obtained in similar surveys conducted in 1995, 1997, and 1999; and to provide the Board of Supervisors with additional input from residents regarding key issues and programs as it addresses strategic initiatives and plans for Loudoun County.

## Methodology

The 2001 Survey of Loudoun Residents was designed to be a telephone survey of approximately 10 minutes duration that would provide key demographic and attitudinal information. The same marketing research firm that conducted the 1995, 1997 and 1999 Surveys of Loudoun Residents -- FGI, Inc. of Chapel Hill, North Carolina -- was retained to conduct the telephone survey of Loudoun households in May 2001.

The survey instrument, based in part on previous surveys, was modified to reflect current information needs, and was further reviewed by FGI's statistical experts. Upon completion of the survey, FGI provided the data directly to Loudoun County for tabulation and analysis.

The survey solicited responses from a sample of 1,001 Loudoun County households. This represents approximately 1.7 percent of all households in Loudoun County and indicates that the data should be within $+/-3$ percent at the 95 percent confidence level. However, because the number of respondents may differ for each question, the statistical validity of responses may vary among questions. More care is needed in interpreting these responses.

FGI, Inc. has indicated that they were able to achieve an extremely high percent cooperation level from Loudoun residents. This indicates a strong willingness on the part of Loudoun County citizens to communicate with County government and the Board of Supervisors about important issues.

## Report Organization

The focus of this report is to summarize key responses about reactions to Loudoun County growth and related management initiatives, and to highlight important information that may be of critical use to the Board of Supervisors in its initiation of policies that may affect Loudoun's land use and fiscal future.

This report is organized in four sections which reflect the key purposes of the survey. Section I introduces the report and discusses background and methodology. Section II provides a brief overview of key demographic characteristics of survey respondents. Sections III and IV may be of particular interest to the Board of Supervisors as it proceeds with land use and fiscal planning, and growth management efforts. Section III summarizes general opinions on how residents feel about Loudoun. Section IV reports on opinions held by residents focused on growth and the potential options for growth management initiatives. The survey's conclusions are discussed in Section V. A copy of the complete survey instrument is provided in Appendix A. Tables showing frequency tabulations for key questions are provided in Appendix B. Appendix C provides a comparison of responses to key questions from the 1995, 1997, 1999, and 2001 surveys.

## Section II. For certain key demographic variables, such as household characteristics, Demographic Characteristics of Respondents racial composition and mobility, the most current and reliable source of data available is the 2000 Census. According to the Census Bureau, Loudoun County's population has grown by 97 percent from 1990 to 2000. <br> Survey research provides an opportunity to collect key demographic information for comparison with historic trends and for bench marking with projections. Many of the demographic questions in the survey were also asked in the 1995, 1997, and 1999 Survey of Loudoun Residents. This provides a baseline for evaluating trends, as well as a measure for assessing the validity of survey responses. <br> Location of Respondents <br> One-half of the households surveyed lived in the eastern zip codes of Ashburn, Sterling, Potomac Falls, South Riding, Dulles, Chantilly, and Great Falls. Over 27 percent lived in the Leesburg zip code area and the remaining 22 percent resided in the other western Loudoun zip codes. This distribution is reasonably consistent with other population estimates of Loudoun County. In addition, this is proportionate to the distribution of respondents who participated in the 1995, 1997, and 1999 surveys.

## Household Characteristics

The average size of surveyed households equaled 3.0 persons per household. This is higher than the 2.8 persons per household reported by the 2000 Census for Loudoun County, but lower than the average family size of 3.2 persons per household reported by the Census.

## Racial/ Ethnic Characteristics

Eighty-five percent of the respondents classified their race as white. This is lower than in previous surveys and slightly higher than current 2000 Census counts, which report an 83 percent white population. The black population represented 6.4 percent of the respondents and nearly three percent of respondents were Hispanic -- slightly less than 2000 Census counts of seven percent and six percent respectively. Asians account for nearly five percent of the respondents -- a response rate that approximates 2000 Census counts.

## Income

Over 22 percent of households surveyed reported an annual household income between $\$ 75,000$ and $\$ 100,000$. Over 40 percent reported a household income greater than $\$ 100,000$. This is significantly higher than incomes reported in the 1995, 1997, and 1999 surveys.

Slightly more than 0.5 percent of the respondents reported incomes of less than $\$ 10,000$ and nearly six percent of households surveyed indicated that their household income was between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 30,000$. In 1999 these two segments accounted for nine percent of the distribution; by 2001 this percentage declined to just over six percent.

## Housing Characteristics

Nearly 66 percent of households surveyed lived in single -family detached housing, 24 percent lived in townhomes or duplex units, and 10 percent lived in multi-family units. The current estimate of housing unit distribution is 56 percent single -family detached, 25 percent townhomes, and 19 percent multifamily. Higher than Census counts for home ownership patterns (79.4 percent), 88 percent of total respondents own their home. Ownership is highest ( 95 percent) for households in single -family detached homes. According to the survey, 65 percent of households living in multifamily units rent their units and 11 percent of households living in townhomes are renters.

## Length of Residence

Slightly more than 34 percent of households surveyed have lived in Loudoun for three years or less. Less than a one-third have lived in Loudoun from four to ten years and nearly 35 percent have lived in Loudoun for more than ten years.

## Prior Residence

Only eight percent of survey respondents were born in Loudoun County. Of the remaining 92 percent who moved to Loudoun County from other areas, nearly 36 percent relocated from Fairfax County. Thirty-seven percent of respondents relocated from areas outside the Washington metro region. These finding are consistent with the 1999 survey.

Section III. General Opinions of Loudoun Residents

In conducting its annual review of Loudoun County's fiscal plan, the Board of Supervisors actively seeks input from individuals who live and pay taxes in Loudoun County. The Board of Supervisors receives comments from the public in many different ways, including public meetings and hearings, the telephone comment line, e-mail, and personal correspondence and conversations.

A scientific telephone survey of a random sample of households is an excellent way for Loudoun County policy makers to obtain an accura te representation of opinions and priorities held by residents of different parts of the county. The results of this survey promise to be another useful means for the Board of Supervisors to gauge public opinion in conducting its review of the county fiscal plan.

The following discussion summarizes responses to several questions that address residents' opinions related to key elements concerning living in Loudoun and important issues facing Loudoun County.

## Reason for Locating to Loudoun

Several factors we re found to be highly influential in decisions to locate in Loudoun County. From 1995 to 2001, the top two factors influencing the decision to locate in Loudoun continue to be location of work site and housing affordability. Over 32 percent of the respondents sited location of work site and 24 percent sited housing affordability as important factors. These responses reflect the growing importance of Loudoun's employment base as well as Loudoun's competitive housing prices. In addition, over 16 percent of respondents indicated that they were influenced by an appreciation for the rural character of Loudoun.

Responses to this question differed by location of residents. For 41 percent of households in central Loudoun, location of work site was the most important location factor. Housing affordability was the most important factor for 31 percent of respondents in eastern Loudoun zip codes and rural character was most important for 34 percent of respondents from western Loudoun.

Over 98 percent of respondents indicated that they "felt safe" or "very safe" in their neighborhoods. The findings in 2001 are consistent with these other survey years. The 2001 Survey differed from previous year findings in this aspect -- the percentage of respondents who felt "very safe" exceeded 61 percent - in prior years this percentage had ranged from 52 to 57 percent.

## Overall Quality of Life

Respondents measured the quality of life in Loudoun very positively. Ninetythree percent indicated that they would rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County as "excellent" or "good."

Value for the Tax Dollar
Eighty percent of respondents indicated that Loudoun County provides good value for the tax dollar. A greater proportion of respondents from eastern Loudoun zip codes felt they received good value from their tax dollar (88 percent), compared with 69 percent from western Loudoun zip codes.

A high proportion (87 percent) of respondents who have relocated to Loudoun from Fairfax County indicated that they received good value for their tax dollar in Loudoun County. Although a smaller percentage (80 percent) from areas outside the metro region felt they received good value for their tax dollar, this is significantly higher than reported in previous surveys.

It appears that many respondents equated Loudoun's quality of life with the value they received from their tax dollar -- 91 percent of respondents who rated Loudoun's quality of life as excellent also felt they received good value for their tax dollar.

## Biggest Problem Facing Loudoun

Not surprisingly, responses to this question were overwhelmingly oriented toward issues of growth. Almost 67 percent of all respondents indicated they felt growth and development was the greatest problem in Loudoun (down from over 70 percent in 1999). An additional 17 percent indicated that traffic and transportation was the biggest problem (up from 12 percent in 1999).

Nearly 79 percent of respondents from western Loudoun zip codes cited growth and development as the biggest problem facing Loudoun, while 61 percent of respondents from eastern Loudoun zip codes felt growth was the major issue.

## Best Thing About Loudoun

Nearly 33 percent of the respondents felt that the single best thing about Loudoun County was it rural character, open space and countryside. Other positive attributes of Loudoun County as indicated in the survey included location and proximity to shopping and the city (16 percent), beauty/scenic views and size ( 10 percent), and the size, design and offerings of Loudoun's neighborhoods and communities ( 10 percent).

More than 50 percent of respondents living in western Loudoun zip codes identified Loudoun's best feature as the rural character, open space and countryside followed by 15 percent who identified Loudoun's beauty/scenic views. The top two choices of respondents living in eastern Loudoun zip codes were rural character, open space and countryside (26 percent) and close proximity to shopping and other amenities (20 percent).

Section IV. Opinions on Growth

In response to the Board of Supervisors' request, the 2001 survey was modified to include ten new questions on the subjects of growth and growth management. The responses to these questions served to aid the development of the revised Comprehensive Plan.

Pace of Residential Development

Of the 1,001 respondents, 979 replied to the question: "Do you feel that the pace of residential development in Loudoun County is too fast, too slow or about right?" Seventy-nine percent described the pace as "too fast." From east to west the "too fast" responses ranged from 73 percent to 91 percent.

A respondent's tenure in Loudoun County had some bearing on his views regarding the pace of development. Almost 69 percent of those who have lived in Loudoun for less than four years felt that the pace of development was "too fast" compared to the 87 percent of respondents who had lived in Loudoun for over ten years.

## General Growth Management Efforts

Over 84 percent of the respondents said they supported the "efforts of the Board of Supervisors to manage growth in Loudoun County."

Specific Growth Management Initiatives
Eight of the growth-related questions assessed the respondents' support/opposition to potential growth management initiatives. Growth management initiatives that require developers to pay more capital costs and expand mass transit options were among the best-received. The least favored initiatives included slowing traffic, requiring cluster development, and creating a north-south transportation corridor connecting Maryland and Virginia.

The most supported initiatives are presented first.

## (1) Requiring Developers to Pay

Of the eight initiatives researched, "requiring developers to pay more of the capital costs (such as schools, roads, parks and other public facilities) that are
associated with the new developments" received more support (89 percent) than any other initiative. Of the 987 respondents, 72 percent strongly supported the initiative with another 17 percent somewhat supportive. Only six percent opposed it.

Leesburg area respondents indicated the greatest support for this initiative. Nearly 92 percent expressed strong to moderate support.

Respondents from western Loudoun zip codes were distinctive for responding at polar extremes. This region expressed both the strongest support (81 percent) and strongest opposition (nearly 4 percent) for the initiative. The greatest opposition (both strong and moderate), just over 7 percent, was from respondents with western Loudoun zip codes.

## (2) Mass Transit

"Expanded options for mass transit in Loudoun County, such as bus or rail transit" was the second ranking initiative in terms of respondent support. Of the 992 respondents, over 61 percent strongly supported the initiative with another 23 percent somewhat supportive. Only 9 percent opposed it.

Respondents from eastern Loudoun zip codes expressed the greatest support for this initiative. Nearly 87 percent expressed strong to moderate support.

Respondents from western Loudoun zip codes expressed the least support (81 percent).

## (3) Purchase of Development Rights

976 respondents indicated their level of support or opposition to this initiative for managing growth: "purchase of development rights (PDR) by the county from landowners on a voluntary basis to preserve open space." Nearly 81 percent of the respondents gave support to this initiative with nearly 50 percent strongly supporting it. A little over 10 percent opposed the initiative.

Respondents from western Loudoun zip codes indicated the greatest support for the PDR program; over 84 percent expressed strong to moderate support.

## (4) Reducing the Number of Homes

988 respondents indicated their level of support or opposition to this initiative for managing growth: "reducing the land area available for residential development or the number of homes that are allowed per acre." Over 76 percent of the respondents gave support to this initiative with 51 percent strongly supporting it. Less than 16 percent opposed the initiative.

Respondents from western Loudoun zip codes indicated the greatest support for this initiative; over 82 percent expressed strong to moderate support.

## (5) Fire and Rescue Consolidation

"The consolidation of the county career and independent volunteer Fire and Rescue services under the control of the county government to ensure adequate coordination, coverage and response time for emergency services" received support of 71 percent of the respondents and was opposed by 13 percent. This one initiative yielded the highest percentage (nearly 16 percent) of "neutral" responses.
(6) North-South Transportation Corridor

Of the 988 respondents who indicated their level of support or opposition to the "creation of a north-south transportation corridor connecting Loudoun County with Maryland, including a bridge crossing of the Potomac River," over 68 percent of the respondents gave support to this initiative. Over 21 percent opposed the initiative.

## (7) Clustering of Buildings to Preserve Open Space

Of the 971 respondents who indicated their levelof support or opposition to:
"Requiring the clustering of buildings to preserve open space," nearly 67
percent of the respondents were supportive. About 18 percent opposed it.
(8) Traffic Slowing

This initiative was the least supported and the most opposed. In fact, this is the only initiative that was more opposed than supported. Of the 985
respondents who indicated their level of support or opposition to "requiring
medians, speed bumps, curves, or designs to slow traffic on major roads like Rt. 50 or ne ighborhood roads like Cascades Parkway," about 43 percent of the respondents supported to this initiative. Nearly 47 percent opposed it.


#### Abstract

Section V. As with the 1995,1997 and 1999 surveys, the 2001 survey develops a Conclusions demographic profile of Loudoun households and provides updated information about opinions of Loudoun residents. Though the profile is diversifying; general opinions from previous surveys are consistent with the 2001 findings. The recurrent theme in Loudoun County is growth. Growth is identified by some as an opportunity, but by many others as the biggest problem facing Loudoun County.


Residents continue to feel safe in their neighborhoods, good about the value of their tax dollars and positive about the quality of their community.

As Loudoun continues to see tremendous population growth, decision makers will continue to consider issues such as quality of life and value for tax dollars as well as explore the feasibility of particular growth management initiatives.

And as Loudoun County continues to attract residents with high expectations for their families and living environment, the County will be challenged to meet the needs and desires of all residents.

## Appendix A. 2001 Survey Instrument

## Appendix A. 2001 Survey of Lo udoun County Residents

## Introduction

Hello, this is $\qquad$ from $\qquad$ We are a national opinion research firm and have been retained by the Loudoun County government to conduct a 5-minute survey of Loudoun County residents. This is not a sales call; we just want your opinions. May I please speak with the male or female head of household 18 years of age or older who is now at home?
[If new person on the phone - re-read intro]

Hello, this is $\qquad$ from $\qquad$ We are a national opinion research firm and have been re tained by the Loudoun County government to conduct a 5-minute survey of Loudoun County residents. This is not a sales call; we just want your opinions. Your answers will be kept confidential and reported in aggregate form only.

1. Are you a resident of Loudoun County?

Yes
No (Terminate) NQ1
Dk Na/RF (Terminate) NQ1
2. What is your zip code? (DO NOT READ LIST) (ACCEPT ONE ANSWER)

| 20105 Aldie | 20152 Chantilly/Fairfax | Other zipcode (TERMINATE \& GO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20107 Arcola | 20158 Hamilton | TO ZIP CLOSE) NQ2 |
| 20117 Middleburg | 20159 Hamilton | Don't Know No Answer/Ref. |
| 20118 Middleburg | 20160 Lincoln | (TERMINATE) NQ2 |
| 20120 Chantilly | 20163 Dulles/Sterling |  |
| 20129 Paeonian Springs | 20164 Sterling |  |
| 20130 W. Loudoun | 20165 Sterling |  |
| 20131 Philomont | 20166 Sterling |  |
| 20132 Purcellville | 20175 Leesburg |  |
| 20134 Purcellville | 20176 Leesburg |  |
| 20135 Bluemont | 20177 Leesburg |  |
| 20141 Round Hill | 20178 Leesburg |  |
| 20142 Round Hill | 20180 Lovettsville |  |
| 20146 Ashburn | 20184 Upperville |  |
| 20147 Ashburn | 20185 Upperville | 20197 Waterford |
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3. Which of the following housing types do you live in? (READ LIST)

Townhouse or duplex
Single-family home
Apartment or condo
Other (SPECIFY)
Don't Know/No Answer/Refused
4. Do you rent or own your home?

Rent
Own
Don't Know No Answer/Refused
5. How many years have you lived in Loudoun County? [WHOLE NUMBERS ONLY] years [ENTER "99" FOR DON'T KNOW : LESS THAN 1 YEAR=1] RANGE 1-98

5a. Did you move to Loudoun County from somewhere else?
Yes
No (GO TO Q8)
DkNA (GO TO Q8)
6. (IF YES IN Q5, ASK) In what city or county did you live before moving to Loudoun County? (DO NOT READ

LIST)
Alexandria City, VA
Frederick County, MD
Arlington County, VA
Montgomery County, MD
Prince William County, VA (includes Manassas and
Manassas Park)
Fairfax County, VA (includes Fairfax and Falls
Church cities)
Washington, DC
Other city or county in Metro DC area
A city or county outside the Metro DC area
Don't Know/No Answer/Refused
7. What single factor most influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County? [DO NOT READ LIST.]

Schools or community services
Housing affordability
Location of work site
Taxes
Quality of overall community

Family considerations
Rural character beauty
Other (specify)

Don't Know/No Answer/Refused
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8. IF YES IN Q5, INSERT BRACKETED TEXT. [Compared to where you lived before moving to Loudoun County], how safe do you feel in your neighborhood, very safe, safe, unsafe, or very unsafe?

Very safe
Safe
Unsafe
Very unsafe
Don't Know No Answer/Refused
9. What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County? (DO NOT READ LIST) (PROBE FOR ONE, ACCEPT TWO)

Growth/development (too much, too fast, not
planned well)
Schools (too crowded, not enough, not safe enough)
Youth activities (too few, gang problems)
Taxes (too high, tax wrong things)
Traffic/Transportation (roads too crowded, lack of public trans.)
10. What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County? (DO NOT READ LIST) (PROBE FOR ONE, ACCEPT TWO)

| Rural/open/country | Safe/safety (of county, communities) |
| :--- | :--- |
| People/friendly/personal | Tax rate |
| Location/proximity (to shopping, to major city, etc.) | Environment/cleanliness |
| Neighborhood/community (size, design, offerings) | Affordability |
| Beauty/scenic views | Other (SPECIFY) |
| Schools (quality, safety, etc.) | $\mathrm{Dk} / \mathrm{Na} /$ Ref |

11. How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County?

Excellent
Good
Fair
Poor
Don't Know/No Answer/Refused

## Appendix A. 2001 Survey of Loudoun County Residents

12. Do you feel the county provides good value for the tax dollar?

Yes
No
Not sure/Don't Know/No Answer/Refused
13. Do you feel that the pace of residential development in Loudoun County is too fast, too slow or about right? Too fast

Too Slow
About right
Don't Know No Answer/Refused
14. For each of the following initiatives, please indicate whether you support or oppose this initiative as a way of managing growth in Loudoun County. Tell me whether you strongly support, somewhat support, neither support nor oppose, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose.
Strongly support
Somewhat support
Neither support nor oppose/neutral/no opinion
Somewhat oppose
Strongly oppose

## Randomize:

Purchase of development rights by the county from landowners on a voluntary basis to preserve open space
Reducing the land area available for residential development or the number of homes that are allowed per acre.
Requiring developers to pay more of the capital costs (such as schools, roads, parks and other public facilities) that are associated with the new developments

Requiring the clustering of buildings to preserve open space
Requiring medians, speed bumps, curves or designs to slow traffic on major roads like Rt. 50 or neighborhood roads like Cascades Parkway.

Expanded options for mass transit in Loudoun County, such as bus or rail transit.
Creation of a north-south transportation corridor connecting Loudoun County with Maryland, including a bridge crossing of the Potomac River.

Consolidation of county career and independent volunteer Fire and Rescue services under the control of the county government to ensure adequate coordination, coverage and response time for emergency services?

## Appendix A. 2001 Survey of Loudoun County Residents

15. In gene ral, do you support or oppose efforts by the Board of Supervisors to manage growth in Loudoun County? Support

Oppose
Don't know No opinion

## DEMOGRAPHICS

16. How many people live in your household?
$\qquad$ People in household [ENTER "99" FOR DON’T KNOW, ENTER 8 IF MORE THAN 8] RANGE 1-8
17. For statistical purposes only, with which racial or ethnic group do you identify? [READ LIST] [MAY ANSWER MORE THAN ONE]

| American Indian [includes Alaskans] | Hispanic [includes persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, |
| :--- | :--- |
| Asian [includes Pakistanis, Indians or Pacific | Central or South American or Spanish origin or |
| Islanders] | culture] |
| Black [includes Jamaicans, Bahamians \& other | White [includes Arabian] |
| Caribbeans or Africans but not Hispanic or Arabian | Don't KnowNo Answer/Refused |
| descent] |  |

18. Please stop me when I reach your total household income before taxes:

| Under $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 75,001$ to $\$ 100,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 10,001$ to $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 100,001$ to $\$ 150,000$ |
| $\$ 30,001$ to $\$ 50,000$ | Over $\$ 150,000$ |
| $\$ 50,001$ to $\$ 75,000$ | Don't Know No Answer/Refused |

19. Record Gender

Male
Female

Thank you very much for your help! ZIP CLOSE: We've filled all of the interviews we need in your zip code. Thank you very much for you help.
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## Appendix B. Frequency Responses

## Zip Code of Respondents

|  | Number of | Percent of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |
| Area | Respondents | Responses |
| Leesburg | 278 | 27.8\% |
| Ashburn | 216 | 21.6\% |
| Sterling | 91 | 9.1\% |
| Potomac Falls | 86 | 8.6\% |
| South Riding | 81 | 8.1\% |
| Purcellville | 74 | 7.4\% |
| Lovettsville | 35 | 3.5\% |
| Hamilton | 28 | 2.8\% |
| Round Hill | 22 | 2.2\% |
| Middleburg | 20 | 2.0\% |
| Dulles | 19 | 1.9\% |
| Waterford | 14 | 1.4\% |
| Aldie | 7 | 0.7\% |
| Bluemont | 5 | 0.5\% |
| Chantilly | 5 | 0.5\% |
| Upperville | 5 | 0.5\% |
| Lincoln | 4 | 0.4\% |
| Paeonian Springs | 4 | 0.4\% |
| Philomont | 4 | 0.4\% |
| Great Falls | 2 | 0.2\% |
| Arcola | 1 | 0.1\% |
| Total | 1,001 | 100.0\% |

Location of Respondents
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrl} & & \text { Percent of } \\
& \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\
\text { Respondents }\end{array}
$$ \& Total <br>

Responses\end{array}\right]\)|  | 500 | $50.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Eastern Loudoun | 278 | $27.8 \%$ |
| Leesburg | 223 | $22.3 \%$ |
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Total
$1,001 \quad 100.0 \%$

Household Size

| Persons in household | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 131 | $13.2 \%$ |
| 2 | 306 | $30.7 \%$ |
| 3 | 179 | $18.0 \%$ |
| 4 | 225 | $22.6 \%$ |
| 5 | 110 | $11.0 \%$ |
| 6 | 34 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 7 | 6 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 8 | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | 996 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Average | 3.03 |  |
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## Racial or Ethnic Characteristics

| Group | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | 813 | $84.5 \%$ |
| Black | 62 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Asian | 47 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 28 | $2.9 \%$ |
| American Indian | 12 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Total | 962 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Total Household Income

| Income | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under \$10K | 5 | $0.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 10-30 \mathrm{~K}$ | 49 | $5.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 30-50 \mathrm{~K}$ | 96 | $10.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-75 \mathrm{~K}$ | 181 | $20.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 75-100 \mathrm{~K}$ | 196 | $22.2 \%$ |
| \$100-150K | 212 | $24.0 \%$ |
| Over \$150K | 145 | $16.4 \%$ |
| Total | 884 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Housing Type

| Housing Type | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single Family Home | 658 | $65.7 \%$ |
| Townhouse or Duplex | 235 | $23.5 \%$ |
| Apartment or Condo | 103 | $10.3 \%$ |
| Other | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | 1,001 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Own or Rent

| Occupancy Type | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Own | 871 | $87.5 \%$ |
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| Rent | 124 | $12.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 995 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Did you move to Loudoun County?

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | 919 | $92.0 \%$ |
| No | 80 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Total | 999 | $100.0 \%$ |

Years of Residence

| Years | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 to 3 years | 341 | $34.1 \%$ |
| 4 to 10 years | 313 | $31.3 \%$ |
| More than 10 years | 345 | $34.5 \%$ |
| Total | 999 | $100.0 \%$ |

Prior Residence

| Move from? | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outside WDC Metro Area | 363 | $36.5 \%$ |
| Fairfax County, VA |  |  |
| Always lived in Loudoun County | 356 | $35.8 \%$ |
| Other WDC Metro Area | 71 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Arlington County, VA | 30 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Montgomery County, MD | 26 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Alexandria, VA | 22 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Prince William County, VA |  |  |
| Washington DC | 22 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Frederick County, MD | 14 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Total | 9 | $.9 \%$ |
| ${ }^{*}$ Includes Independent Cites | 995 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Appendix B. Frequency Responses

What single factor most influenced your decision to locate in Loudoun County?

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location of work site | 277 | $32.4 \%$ |
| Housing affordability | 202 | $23.6 \%$ |
| Rural character beauty | 142 | $16.6 \%$ |
| Family considerations | 112 | $13.1 \%$ |
| Quality of overall community | 51 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Schools/community services | 34 | $4.0 \%$ |
| Other | 24 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Taxes | 14 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Total | 856 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Appendix B. Frequency Responses

## How safe do you feel in your neighborhood?

| Level of Safety | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Safe | 613 | $61.4 \%$ |
| Safe | 366 | $36.7 \%$ |
| Unsafe | 15 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Very unsafe | 4 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | 998 | $100.0 \%$ |

## How would you rate the overall quality of life in Loudoun County?

| Rating | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | 414 | $41.5 \%$ |
| Good | 518 | $51.9 \%$ |
| Fair | 61 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Poor | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | 998 | $100.0 \%$ |

Do you feel the County provides good value for the tax dollar?

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Provides Good Value | 728 | $80.4 \%$ |
| Does Not Provide Good Value | 177 | $19.6 \%$ |
| Total | 905 | $100.0 \%$ |

What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County?

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Growth/development | 630 | $66.6 \%$ |
| Traffic/transportation | 164 | $17.3 \%$ |
| Schools | 35 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Taxes | 29 | $3.1 \%$ |

## Appendix B. Frequency Responses

| Adequate services | 18 | $1.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other | 18 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Government | 14 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Affordable housing/rental housing | 11 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Crime/drugs/vandalism | 9 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Youth Activities | 8 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Cost of living | 7 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Increase of cell towers/transmission | 3 | $0.3 \%$ |
| lines/power lines | 946 | $100.0 \%$ |

What single thing do you like best about Loudoun County?

| Characteristics | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rural/open/country | 306 | $32.7 \%$ |
| Location/proximity | 153 | $16.3 \%$ |
| Beauty/Scenic Views | 92 | $9.8 \%$ |
| Neighborhood/community | 92 | $9.8 \%$ |
| People/friendly/personal | 79 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Schools | 43 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Environment/cleanliness | 32 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Safe/Safety | 28 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Affordability | 16 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Other | 16 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Atmosphere/small town atmosphere/family atmosphere | 13 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Privacy/quiet | 13 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Tax Rate | 11 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Nothing | 10 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Facilities/services | 7 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Quality of life | 7 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Historical area/family heritage | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| No single thing/many things | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |

## Appendix B. Frequency Responses

| Opportunities in technology/employment | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low growth/ow traffic | 3 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Total | 936 | $100.0 \%$ |

Do you feel that the pace of residential development in Loudoun County is too fast, too slow or about right?

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Too fast | 775 | $79.2 \%$ |
| About right | 191 | $19.5 \%$ |
| Too slow | 13 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Total | 979 | $100.0 \%$ |

In general, do you support or oppose efforts by the Board of Supervisors to manage growth in Loudoun County?

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Support | 760 | $84.4 \%$ |
| Oppose | 140 | $15.6 \%$ |
| Total | 900 | $100.0 \%$ |

FOR EACH OF THE INITIATIVES, PLEASE INDICATE WHET HER YOU SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THIS INITIATIVE AS A WAY OF MANAGING GROWTH IN LOUDOUN COUNTY:

Requiring developers to pay more of the capital cost (such as schools, roads, parks, and other public facilities) that are associated with the new developments.

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly support | 707 | $71.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | 172 | $17.4 \%$ |
| Neutral | 47 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 33 | $3.3 \%$ |

## Appendix B. Frequency Responses

| Strongly oppose | 28 | $2.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 987 | $100.0 \%$ |

Expanded options for mass transit in Loudoun County such as bus or rail transit.

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly support | 613 | $61.8 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | 231 | $23.3 \%$ |
| Neutral | 62 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 36 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | 50 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Total | 992 | $100.0 \%$ |

Purchase of development rights by the county from landowners on a voluntary basis to preserve open space.

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly support | 481 | $49.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | 309 | $31.7 \%$ |
| Neutral | 87 | $8.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 48 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | 51 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Total | 976 | $100.0 \%$ |

Reducing the land area available for residential development or the number of homes that are allowed per acre.

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly support | 504 | $51.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | 251 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Neutral | 76 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 72 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | 85 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Total | 988 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Appendix B. Frequency Responses

FOR EACH OF THE INITIATIVES, PLEASE INDICATE WHET HER YOU SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THIS INITIATIVE AS A way of managing growth in Loudoun County:

## Consolidation of county career and independent volunteer Fire and Rescue

 services under the control of the county government to ensure adequate coordination, coverage and response time for emergency services.|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly support | 409 | $42.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | 270 | $28.1 \%$ |
| Neutral | 152 | $15.8 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 62 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | 67 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | 960 | $100.0 \%$ |

Creation of a north- south transportation corridor connecting Loudoun County with Maryland, including a bridge crossing of the Potomac River.

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly support | 460 | $46.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | 219 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Neutral | 101 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 75 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | 133 | $13.5 \%$ |
| Total | 988 | $100.0 \%$ |

Requiring clustering of buildings to preserve open space.

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly support | 379 | $39.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | 269 | $27.7 \%$ |
| Neutral | 147 | $15.1 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 110 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | 66 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Total | 971 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Appendix B. Frequency Responses

Requiring medians, speed bumps, curves or designs to slow traffic on major roads like Rt. 50 or neighborhood roads like Cascades Parkway.

|  | Total | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly support | 231 | $23.5 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | 194 | $19.7 \%$ |
| Neutral | 98 | $9.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat oppose | 177 | $18.0 \%$ |
| Strongly oppose | 285 | $28.9 \%$ |
| Total | 985 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Appendix C. Comparison of Selected Responses

1995, 1997, 1999, and 2001 Surveys

## Appendix C. Comparison of Selected Responses

Location of Respondents

|  | Responses |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |
| Leesburg | 248 | 267 | 250 | 278 | 25\% | 27\% | 25\% | 28\% |
| Ashburn | 108 | 143 | 174 | 216 | 11\% | 14\% | 17\% | 22\% |
| Sterling/Dulles/Potomac Falls/Great Falls | 387 | 341 | 322 | 110 | 38\% | $34 \%$ | 32\% | 11\% |
| South Riding/Chantilly/Fairfax | 4 | 35 | 24 | 86 | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 9\% |
| Other | 265 | 214 | 235 | 311 | 26\% | 21\% | 23\% | 31\% |

## Years of Loudoun County Residence

| Responses |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |
| 248 | 256 | 255 | 341 | 25\% | 26\% | 26\% | $34 \%$ |
| 334 | 301 | 337 | 313 | 33\% | 30\% | 34\% | 31\% |
| 425 | 443 | 407 | 345 | 42\% | 44\% | 41\% | 35\% |
| 1,007 | 1,000 | 999 | 999 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |


| Housing Type of Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Responses |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| Type | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |
| Single Family Home | 700 | 644 | 650 | 658 | 69\% | 65\% | 65\% | 66\% |
| Townhouse or Duplex | 201 | 240 | 243 | 235 | 20\% | 24\% | 24\% | 23\% |
| Apartment or Condo | 94 | 101 | 99 | 103 | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Other | 16 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Total | 1,011 | 995 | 999 | 1,001 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Housing Tenure of Respondents

Tenure
Rent
Own

| Responses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| 156 | 155 | 132 | 124 |  | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |  |  |
| 156 | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 846 | 836 | 863 | 871 |  | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $88 \%$ |  |

## Appendix C. Comparison of Selected Responses

## Group

American Indian
Asian
Black
Hispanic
White
Total


## Appendix C. Comparison of Selected Responses

| 7 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 6 | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 or More | 1 | 7 | 5 | 5 | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 1,012 | 1,000 | 1,005 | 996 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Average | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix C. Comparison of Selected Responses

| Prior Residence of Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Responses |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| Location | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |
| Alexandria, VA | 27 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Always lived in Loudoun County | 109 | 107 | 114 | 82 | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 8\% |
| Arlington County, VA | 37 | 32 | 38 | 30 | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Fairfax County, VA* | 395 | 359 | 344 | 356 | 39\% | 36\% | 35\% | 36\% |
| Frederick County, MD | 8 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Montgomery County, MD | 25 | 29 | 23 | 26 | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Other WDC Metro City or County | 46 | 83 | 72 | 71 | 5\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Outside WDC Metro Area | 327 | 312 | 339 | 363 | 32\% | 31\% | 34\% | 36\% |
| Prince William County, VA* | 21 | 24 | 16 | 22 | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Washington, DC | 13 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Total | 1,008 | 996 | 993 | 995 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| * Includes Independent Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Reason for Moving to Loudoun Responses |  |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |
| Family considerations | 159 | 111 | 99 | 112 | 18\% | 12\% | 12\% | 13\% |
| Housing affordability | 211 | 209 | 159 | 202 | 24\% | 24\% | 19\% | 24\% |
| Location of work site | 212 | 254 | 248 | 277 | 24\% | 29\% | 29\% | 32\% |
| Other | 84 | 55 | 77 | 24 | 9\% | 6\% | 9\% | 3\% |
| Quality of overall community | 41 | 50 | 53 | 51 | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Rural character | 122 | 135 | 149 | 142 | 14\% | 15\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Schools or community services | 20 | 28 | 41 | 34 | 2\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Taxes | 46 | 47 | 31 | 14 | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| Total | 895 | 889 | 857 | 856 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

How safe do you feel in your neighborhood?

|  | Level of Safety | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |  | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Safe | 528 | 514 | 565 | 613 |  | $53 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Safe | 447 | 446 | 404 | 366 |  | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Unsafe | 22 | 27 | 23 | 15 |  | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Very Unsafe | 6 | 9 | 3 | 4 |  | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Appendix C. Comparison of Selected Responses

Do you feel the County provides good value for the tax dollar?

|  | Responses |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |
| Provides Good Value | 714 | 671 | 755 | 728 | 78\% | 72\% | 82\% | 80\% |
| Does Not Provide Good Value | 197 | 263 | 166 | 177 | 22\% | 28\% | 18\% | 20\% |
| Total | 911 | 934 | 921 | 905 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |



What do you think is the single biggest problem facing Loudoun County?

|  | Responses |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |
| Growth/Development | 523 | 555 | 673 | 630 | 57\% | 57\% | 71\% | 67\% |
| Schools | 47 | * | 56 | 35 | 5\% | * | 6\% | 4\% |
| Youth Activities | 13 | * | 11 | 8 | 1\% | * | 1\% | 1\% |
| Taxes | 5 | 100 | 27 | 29 | 1\% | 10\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Traffic/Transportation | 159 | 187 | 114 | 164 | 17\% | 19\% | 12\% | 17\% |
| Adequate Services | 28 | 106 | 7 | 18 | 3\% | 11\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Government | 12 | 32 | 15 | 14 | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Other | 124 | 0 | 42 | 48 | 14\% | 0\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Total | 911 | 980 | 945 | 946 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

* These categories were combined in 1997


## Appendix C. Comparison of Selected Responses

|  | Responses |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 |
| Rural/Open/Country | NA | 316 | 311 | 306 | NA | 33\% | 37\% | 38\% |
| Location/Proximity | NA | 122 | 138 | 153 | NA | 13\% | 16\% | 19\% |
| Beauty Scenic Views | NA | 103 | 111 | 92 | NA | 11\% | 13\% | 11\% |
| People/Friendly/Personal | NA | 125 | 93 | 79 | NA | 13\% | 11\% | 10\% |
| Neighborhood Community | NA | 122 | 89 | 92 | NA | 13\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| Safe Safety | NA | 65 | 41 | 28 | NA | 7\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Schools | NA | 71 | 35 | 43 | NA | 7\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Environment/Cleanliness | NA | 32 | 26 | 10 | NA | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Tax Rate | NA | 65 | 12 | 11 | NA | 7\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Affordability | NA | 27 | 11 | 16 | NA | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Other | NA | 0 | 69 | 106 | NA | 0\% | 8\% | 13\% |
| Total | NA | 956 | 844 | 803 | NA | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

