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## About The NCS ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

The National Community Survey ${ }^{T M}$ (The NCS ${ }^{T M}$ ) report is about the "livability" of Loudoun County. The phrase "livable community" is used here to evoke a place that is not simply habitable, but that is desirable. It is not only where people do live, but where they want to live.

Great communities are partnerships of the government, private sector, communitybased organizations and residents, all geographically connected. The NCS captures residents' opinions considering ten central facets of a community:

- Economy
- Mobility
- Community Design
- Utilities
- Safety
- Natural Environment
- Parks and Recreation
- Health and Wellness
- Education, Arts and Culture
- Inclusivity and Engagement


The Community Livability Report provides the opinions of a representative sample of 1,468 residents of Loudoun County. The margin of error around any reported percentage is $3 \%$ for all respondents and the response rate for the 2020 survey was $19 \%$. The full description of methods used to garner these opinions can be found in the Technical Appendices provided under separate cover.

## Overview of Results

## Residents continue to rate the quality of life in Loudoun County highly.

About 9 in 10 Loudoun County residents gave excellent or good ratings to the overall quality of life in the County and Loudoun County as a place to live and to raise children. About 85\% gave favorable marks to the overall image or reputation of the County, which was higher than the national average. At least 8 in 10 respondents would recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asked and planned to remain in the County for the next five years. These ratings were similar to those observed in 2020 and to national benchmarks.

## Economy garners strong ratings, though affordability in the County is an issue.

Ratings within the facet of Economy for Loudoun County tended to be exceptional and higher than the national averages. More than 8 in 10 residents gave excellent or good reviews to the overall economic health of the County and the overall quality of business and service establishments in the County (an increase since 2018), and about 8 in 10 were pleased with the variety of business and service establishments, shopping opportunities, and Loudoun County as a place to visit and to work. About 7 in 10 positively rated economic development and employment opportunities. All of these ratings were higher than the national benchmarks. However, similarly to 2018, only 2 in 10 residents gave favorable evaluations to cost of living and the availability of affordability quality housing. $81 \%$ of respondents thought it was essential or very important for Loudoun County to focus on cost of living/cost of housing in the next two years.

## Community Design presents an opportunity for the County.

Respondents indicated the facet of Community Design as relatively higher in importance and lower in quality than the other facets of community livability. While ratings within this facet were similar to national averages, there were several changes observed between 2018 and 2020. Ratings increased for the overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas ( $61 \%$ excellent or good), code enforcement ( $60 \%$ ), and land use, planning, and zoning ( $43 \%$ ), while decreases were seen for the overall appearance of the County ( $80 \%$ ), public places where people want to spend time (62\%), and the overall quality of new development in Loudoun County (55\%).

## COVID-19 has had a likely impact on 2020 ratings.

When interpreting this year's survey results, it is important to keep in mind that some ratings changes since 2018 may be explained at least in part by the coronavirus crisis. Examples of relevant ratings changes over time include opportunities to attend special events and festivals, cultural/arts/music activities, opportunities to participate in community matters, traffic flow on major streets, and ease of travel by car, among others.

## Facets of Livability

Every jurisdiction must balance limited resources while meeting resident needs and striving to optimize community livability. To this end, it is helpful to know what aspects of the community are most important to residents and which they perceive as being of higher or lower quality. It is especially helpful to know when a facet of livability is considered of high importance but rated as lower quality, as this should be a top priority to address.

To help guide County staff and officials with decisions on future resource allocation, resident ratings of the importance of services were compared to their ratings of the quality of these services. To identify the services perceived by residents to have relatively lower quality at the same time as relatively higher importance, all services were ranked from highest perceived quality to lowest perceived quality and from highest perceived importance to lowest perceived importance. Some services were in the top half of both lists (higher quality and higher importance); some were in the top half of one list but the bottom half of the other (higher quality and lower importance or lower quality and higher importance); and some services were in the bottom half of both lists.

Services receiving quality ratings of excellent or good by 79\% or more of respondents were considered of "higher quality" and those with ratings lower than 79\% were considered to be of "lower quality." Services were classified as "more important" if they were rated as essential or very important by $79 \%$ or more of respondents. Services were rated as "less important" if they received a rating of less than $79 \%$. This classification uses the median ratings for quality and importance to divide the services in half.

The quadrants in the figure on the following page show which community facets were given higher or lower importance ratings (up-down) and which had higher or lower quality ratings (right-left). Services categorized as higher in importance and lower in quality may warrant further investigation to see if changes to their delivery are necessary.


## FIGURE 1: QUALITY OF FACETS OF LIVABILITY- SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% |
| Overall quality of the transportation system in Loudoun County | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 50\% |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 61\% |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 84\% |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 89\% |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 79\% |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 77\% |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 79\% |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 66\% |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 57\% |

FIGURE 2: IMPORTANCE OF FACETS OF LIVABILITY- SUMMARY

| Percent essential or very important | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 86\% |
| Overall quality of the transportation system in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 76\% |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 79\% |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 83\% |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 86\% |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 85\% |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 75\% |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 74\% |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 70\% |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 65\% |

Legend
$\uparrow \uparrow$ Much higher $\quad \uparrow$ Higher $\quad \leftrightarrow$ Similar $\quad \downarrow$ Lower $\quad \downarrow \downarrow$ Much lower $\quad$ * Not available

## Quality of Life

## Measuring community livability starts with assessing the quality of life of those who live there, and ensuring that the community is attractive, accessible, and welcoming to all.

## Overall Quality of Life in Loudoun County

FIGURE 3: QUALITY OF LIFE IN LOUDOUN COUNTY



FIGURE 4: QUALITY OF LIFE IN LOUDOUN COUNTY - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to 2020 | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $85 \%$ |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $87 \%$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $90 \%$ |

FIGURE 5: RECOMMEND LOUDOUN COUNTY - SUMMARY

| Percent very or somewhat likely | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to 2020 | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $85 \%$ |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $83 \%$ |

[^0]
## Governance

Strong local governments produce results that meet the needs of residents while making the best use of available resources, and are responsive to the present and future needs of the community as a whole.

## Overall Confidence in Loudoun County Government



24\%

FIGURE 6: GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE AND SERVICES


FIGURE 7: GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE AND SERVICES - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 57\% |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 55\% |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 59\% |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 58\% |
| Being honest | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 60\% |
| Being open and transparent to the public | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 58\% |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 58\% |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming resident involvement | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 54\% |
| Treating all residents fairly | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 60\% |
| Treating residents with respect | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 68\% |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 88\% |
| Public information services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 74\% |
| Quality of services provided by the County of Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 74\% |
| Quality of services provided by the Federal Government | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 43\% |

## Economy

Local governments work together with private and nonprofit businesses, and with the community at large, to foster sustainable growth, create jobs, and promote a thriving local economy.

## Overall Economic Health of Loudoun County



What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months?

|  | $\square$ Very positive | $\square$ Somewhat positive | $\square$ Neutral | $\square$ Somewhat negative | $\square$ Very negative |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |

FIGURE 8: ECONOMIC HEALTH


FIGURE 9: ECONOMIC HEALTH - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% |
| Economic development | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 70\% |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 85\% |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | $\uparrow$ | * | 79\% |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 67\% |
| Shopping opportunities | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 78\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 80\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 79\% |
| Employment opportunities | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 67\% |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 20\% |

FIGURE 10: ECONOMIC IMPACT - SUMMARY

| Percent very or somewhat positive | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to 2020 | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $27 \%$ |

FIGURE 11: HOUSING COST - SUMMARY
Percent for whom housing costs are NOT 30\% or more of household income
NOT experiencing housing costs stress

| Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to 2020 | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $74 \%$ |

## Mobility

## The ease with which residents can

 move about their communities, whether for commuting, leisure, or recreation, plays a major role in the quality of life for all who live, work and play in the community.

FIGURE 12: MOBILITY IN LOUDOUN COUNTY


FIGURE 13: USE OF ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION MODES


FIGURE 14: MOBILITY IN LOUDOUN COUNTY - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the transportation system in Loudoun County | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 50\% |
| Traffic flow on major streets | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 48\% |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 74\% |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 26\% |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 40\% |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 53\% |
| Ease of public parking | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 64\% |
| Bus or transit services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 46\% |
| Traffic enforcement | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 70\% |
| Traffic signal timing | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 60\% |
| Street repair | $\uparrow$ | * | 63\% |
| Street cleaning | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 72\% |
| Street lighting | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 62\% |
| Snow removal | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 72\% |
| Sidewalk maintenance | $\uparrow$ | * | 71\% |

FIGURE 15: USE OF ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION MODES - SUMMARY

| Percent who did this in past $\mathbf{1 2}$ months | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public transportation instead <br> of driving | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $19 \%$ |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving <br> alone | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $31 \%$ |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $50 \%$ |

## Community Design

A well-designed community enhances the quality of life for its residents by encouraging smart land use and zoning, ensuring that affordable housing is accessible to all, and providing access to parks and other green spaces.

FIGURE 16: COMMUNITY DESIGN


FIGURE 17: COMMUNITY DESIGN - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 61\% |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 80\% |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 92\% |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 55\% |
| Well-planned residential growth | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 46\% |
| Well-planned commercial growth | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 45\% |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 61\% |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 54\% |
| Public places where people want to spend time | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 62\% |
| Variety of housing options | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 53\% |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 22\% |
| Land use, planning, and zoning | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 43\% |
| Code enforcement | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 60\% |

## Utilities

Services such as water, gas, electricity, and internet access play a vital role in ensuring the physical and economic health and well-being of the communities they serve.

FIGURE 18: UTILITES


FIGURE 19: UTILITES - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to 2020 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ rating |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $*$ | $*$ | $84 \%$ |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | $\leftrightarrow$ | $*$ | $60 \%$ |  |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | $\leftrightarrow$ | $*$ | $89 \%$ |  |
| Garbage collection | $\leftrightarrow$ | $*$ | $79 \%$ |  |
| Drinking water | $\leftrightarrow$ | $*$ | $84 \%$ |  |
| Sewer services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $*$ | $89 \%$ |  |
| Storm water management | $\uparrow$ | $*$ | $85 \%$ |  |
| Utility billing |  |  | $77 \%$ |  |

$\uparrow \uparrow$ Much higher $\uparrow$ Higher $\leftrightarrow$ Similar $\quad \downarrow$ Lower $\downarrow \downarrow$ Much lower * Not available

## Safety

Overall Feeling of Safety in Loudoun County

## Public safety is often the most important task facing local governments. All residents should feel safe and secure in their neighborhoods and in the greater community, and providing robust Safety-related services is essential to neighborhoods and in the greater community, and providing robust Safety-related services is essential to neighborhoods and in the greater community, and providing robust Safety-related services is essential to residents' quality of life.

FIGURE 20: SAFETY IN LOUDOUN COUNTY


FIGURE 21: SAFETY-RELATED SERVICES - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ <br> to $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | ( |

FIGURE 22: FEELINGS OF SAFETY- SUMMARY

| Percent who feel very or somewhat safe | Comparison to benchmark | Change 2018 to 2020 | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your neighborhood during the day | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 97\% |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 95\% |
| From property crime | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 85\% |
| From violent crime | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 90\% |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 92\% |

## Natural Environment

The natural environment plays a vital role in the health and well-being of residents. The natural spaces in which residents live and experience their communities has a direct and profound effect on quality of life.

FIGURE 23: NATURAL ENVIRONMENT


FIGURE 24: NATURAL ENVIRONMENT - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | ( |

## Parks and Recreation

"There are no communities that pride themselves on their quality of life, promote themselves as a desirable location for businesses to relocate, or maintain that they are environmental stewards of their natural resources, without such communities having a robust, active system of parks and recreation programs for public use and enjoyment." - National Recreation and Park Association

Overall Quality of Parks and Recreation
Opportunities in Loudoun County

## FIGURE 25: PARKS AND RECREATION



FIGURE 26: PARKS AND RECREATION - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 77\% |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 75\% |
| County parks | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 81\% |
| Recreational opportunities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 73\% |
| Recreation programs or classes | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 72\% |
| Recreation centers or facilities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 76\% |
| Fitness opportunities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 76\% |

Legend
$\uparrow \uparrow$ Much higher $\quad \uparrow$ Higher $\quad \leftrightarrow$ Similar $\quad \downarrow$ Lower $\quad \downarrow \downarrow$ Much lower * Not available

## Health and Wellness



Health and Wellness Opportunities


FIGURE 27: HEALTH AND WELLNESS


FIGURE 28: HEALTH AND WELLNESS - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ <br> to $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 2020 rating |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $79 \%$ |
| Health services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $78 \%$ |  |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $71 \%$ |  |
| Availability of preventive health services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $75 \%$ |  |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $51 \%$ |  |
| Availability of affordable quality food | $\leftrightarrow$ |  | $70 \%$ |  |

FIGURE 29: PERSONAL HEALTH - SUMMARY

|  | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to 2020 | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In very good to excellent health | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $94 \%$ |

## Education, Arts, and Culture

Participation in the arts, in educational opportunities, and in cultural activities is linked to increased civic engagement, greater social tolerance, and enhanced enjoyment of the local community.

Overall Opportunities for Education, Culture, and the Arts In Loudoun County


FIGURE 30: EDUCATION, ARTS AND CULTURE


FIGURE 31: EDUCATION, ARTS AND CULTURE - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to 2020 | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $66 \%$ |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $50 \%$ |
| Opportunities to attend special events and festivals | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $60 \%$ |
| Community support for the arts | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $51 \%$ |
| Public library services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $91 \%$ |
| Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $39 \%$ |
| K-12 education | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $76 \%$ |
| Adult educational opportunities |  |  | $60 \%$ |

## Inclusivity and Engagement

Inclusivity refers to a cultural and environmental feeling of belonging; residents who feel invited to participate within their communities feel more included, involved, and engaged than those who do not.

FIGURE 32: INCLUSIVITY AND ENGAGEMENT


FIGURE 33: INCLUSIVITY AND ENGAGEMENT - SUMMARY

| Percent excellent or good | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 57\% |
| Sense of community | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 60\% |
| Sense of civic/community pride | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 59\% |
| Neighborliness of Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 59\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 89\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 41\% |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 65\% |
| Making all residents feel welcome | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 71\% |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | $\uparrow$ | * | 75\% |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 73\% |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents | $\leftrightarrow$ | * | 62\% |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 61\% |
| Opportunities to volunteer | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 73\% |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 63\% |

FIGURE 34: RESIDENTS' PARTICIPATION LEVELS


FIGURE 35: RESIDENTS' PARTICIPATION IN LAST 12 MONTHS- SUMMARY

| Percent who had done each in last 12 months | Comparison to benchmark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } 2018 \\ \text { to } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contacted Loudoun County for help or information | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 54\% |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials to express your opinion | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 33\% |
| Attended a local public meeting | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 32\% |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 45\% |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 44\% |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 24\% |
| Voted in your most recent local election | $\uparrow$ | * | 90\% |

FIGURE 36: RESIDENTS' GENERAL USE OF TECHNOLOGY- SUMMARY

| Percent who report doing each at least a few times a week | Comparison to <br> benchmark | Change 2018 <br> to 2020 | 2020 rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, <br> laptop or tablet computer | $\leftrightarrow$ | $*$ | * |

$\uparrow \uparrow$ Much higher $\uparrow$ Higher $\quad \leftrightarrow$ Similar $\quad \downarrow$ Lower $\quad \downarrow \downarrow$ Much lower * Not available

## Special Topics

FIGURE 37: FREQUENCY OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION USE
Thinking about the past 12 months, first, please indicate about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation.


FIGURE 38: TYPE OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION USE
If your household has used any of these, please indicate for what reasons they were used.


FIGURE 39: HOUSEHOLD IMPACTS OF COVID-19
In what ways has the COVID-19 pandemic impacted you or other members of your household? Check all that apply.


Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.

FIGURE 40: IMPORTANCE OF COUNTY ISSUES
First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years.


## FIGURE 41 IMPORTANCE OF COUNTY ISSUES

First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years.
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## About the Demographic Comparisons

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (The NCS ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. Communities conducting The NCS can choose from a number of optional services to customize the reporting of survey results. Loudoun County's Comparisons by Demographic Subgroups is part of a larger project for the County and additional reports are available under separate cover. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by xxlistvarsused.

## Understanding the Tables

For most of the questions, one number appears for each question. Responses have been summarized to show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who participated in an activity at least once. It should be noted that when a table that does include all responses (not a single number) for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

The subgroup comparison tables contain the crosstabulations of survey questions by selected respondent characteristics. Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a $5 \%$ probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant.

Each column in the following tables is labeled with a letter for each subgroup being compared. The "Overall" column, which shows the ratings for all respondents, also has a column designation of " $(\mathrm{A})$ ", but no statistical tests were done for the overall rating.

For each pair of subgroups ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an uppercase letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no uppercase letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table 1 on the following page, respondents who had lived in Loudoun County for 11 years or more (C) or who had annual household income of $\$ 100,000$ or less (A) were less likely to recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asked than residents who had lived in the County for 10 years or less (A and B) or who earned $\$ 100,000$ or more ( $B$ and C). In another example, residents who were white alone, not Hispanic (A) tended to give higher ratings to the overall quality of life in Loudoun County, and were more likely to remain in Loudoun County for the next five years, than residents who were Hispanic and/or another race (B).

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat likely) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | 85\% | 88\% | 84\% | 86\% | 84\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 86\% | 82\% | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 84\% | 85\% |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 87\% | 90\% | 86\% | 88\% | 86\% | 87\% | 86\% | 90\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | 87\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | 89\% | 94\% | 90\% | 90\% | 91\% | 90\% | 89\% | 93\% | 88\% | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 92\% | 92\% | 89\% | 90\% |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 86\% | 85\% | 86\% | 84\% | 88\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 84\% | 85\% |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | 79\% | 84\% | 84\% | 81\% | 84\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 77\% | 83\% |
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TABLE 2: GOVERNANCE

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overal <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 60\% | 55\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | 57\% | 60\% | 63\% | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 57\% |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 55\% | 55\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 52\% | 49\% | 55\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | 55\% |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 60\% | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 59\% | 56\% | 59\% | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 59\% |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 61\% | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 57\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 58\% |
| Being honest | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 61\% | 63\% | 68\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 60\% |
| Being open and transparent to the public | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 57\% | 59\% | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | 58\% |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | 59\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | 58\% | 58\% | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | 58\% |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming resident involvement | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 50\% | 55\% | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 53\% | 54\% | 60\% | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 54\% |
| Treating all residents fairly | 61\% | 62\% | 58\% | 61\% | 59\% | 63\% | 58\% | 61\% | 54\% | 61\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 60\% |
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| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Treating residents with respect | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 71\% | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | 70\% | 64\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 68\% |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 87\% | 90\% | 88\% | 88\% | 88\% | 90\% | 87\% | 89\% | 86\% | 84\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 88\% |
| Public information services | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 73\% | 75\% | 70\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 74\% |
| Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | 76\% | 73\% | 77\% | 72\% | 76\% | 75\% | 76\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | 74\% |
| The Federal Government | 43\% | 45\% | 43\% | 45\% | 42\% | 35\% | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 36\% | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | 44\% | 43\% |

TABLE 3: ECONOMY

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat positive) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 86\% | 91\% | 87\% | 89\% | 86\% | 86\% | 86\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 87\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 87\% |
| Economic development | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | 73\% | 68\% | 73\% | 61\% | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 70\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat positive) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overal <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 86\% | 87\% | 84\% | 86\% | 84\% | 85\% | 84\% | 86\% | 80\% | 86\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 85\% |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 81\% | 79\% | 79\% | 81\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | 79\% |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas | 65\% | 70\% | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 67\% |
| Shopping opportunities | 82\% | 77\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 80\% | 85\% | 80\% | 77\% | 78\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 80\% | 82\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 81\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 80\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 79\% |
| Employment opportunities | 69\% | 68\% | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 69\% | 59\% | 61\% | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | 67\% |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 21\% | 19\% | 19\% | $\begin{gathered} 23 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 16\% | 10\% | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 22\% | 16\% | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 19\% | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 24\% | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 28\% | 22\% | 25\% | 22\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% |
| NOT under housing cost stress | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 10\% | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | 74\% |
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TABLE 4: MOBILITY

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, yes in the last 12 months) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | 46\% | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 45\% | 50\% | 48\% | 54\% | 52\% | 54\% | 56\% | 51\% | 49\% | 50\% |
| Traffic flow on major streets | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 44\% | 50\% | 46\% | 47\% | 45\% | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 48\% | 56\% | 50\% | 45\% | 48\% |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | 73\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | 72\% | 76\% | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 75\% | 74\% |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 26\% | 24\% | 26\% | 28\% | 23\% | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 25\% | 23\% | 33\% | 32\% | 32\% | 25\% | 26\% | 26\% |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 36\% | 41\% | 40\% | 45\% | 38\% | 40\% | 46\% | 46\% | 47\% | 39\% | 43\% | 40\% |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | 52\% | 53\% | 51\% | 51\% | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | 53\% | 53\% |
| Ease of public parking | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 60\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 65\% | 62\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 64\% | 64\% |
| Bus or transit services | 45\% | 44\% | 46\% | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | 42\% | 34\% | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 41\% | 46\% |
| Traffic enforcement | 73\% | 68\% | 69\% | 69\% | 71\% | 74\% | 69\% | 69\% | 70\% | 67\% | 71\% | 70\% | 71\% | 70\% |
| Traffic signal timing | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 58\% | 62\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 57\% | 62\% | 53\% | 62\% | 61\% | 58\% | 60\% |
| Street repair | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 61\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 64\% | 63\% |
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| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, yes in the last 12 months) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 149,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Street cleaning | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 67\% | 72\% | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 69\% | 70\% | 72\% | 74\% | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% |
| Street lighting | 66\% | 65\% | 60\% | 64\% | 60\% | 61\% | 62\% | 65\% | 54\% | 55\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | 62\% |
| Snow removal | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 70\% | 74\% | 69\% | 72\% | 74\% | 62\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | 72\% |
| Sidewalk maintenance | 75\% | 73\% | 69\% | 73\% | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | 68\% | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | 71\% |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public transportation instead of driving | 20\% | 21\% | 17\% | 19\% | 18\% | 17\% | 19\% | 18\% | 16\% | 16\% | 20\% | 17\% | 21\% | 19\% |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 29\% | 35\% | 30\% | 29\% | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 23\% | 25\% | 27\% | 33\% | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 47\% | 51\% | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 42\% | 41\% | 46\% | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 47\% | 50\% |

TABLE 5: COMMUNITY DESIGN

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 62\% | 61\% | 61\% | 61\% | 62\% | 62\% | 61\% | 67\% | 61\% | 63\% | 61\% |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | 80\% | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 78\% | 79\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 90\% | 94\% | 92\% | 91\% | 93\% | 90\% | 92\% | 93\% | 90\% | 88\% | 91\% | 92\% | 90\% | 92\% |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 56\% | 54\% | 57\% | 55\% | 54\% | 50\% | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 52\% | 55\% |
| Well-planned residential growth | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 39\% | 48\% | 44\% | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 44\% | 46\% | 48\% | 52\% | 56\% | 45\% | 48\% | 46\% |
| Well-planned commercial growth | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 46\% | 39\% | 48\% | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 41\% | 44\% | 48\% | 55\% | 48\% | 44\% | 46\% | 45\% |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 61\% | 62\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 62\% | 62\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 63\% | 61\% |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 54\% | 55\% | 55\% | 54\% | 55\% | 53\% | 54\% | 61\% | 55\% | 55\% | 54\% |
| Public places where people want to spend time | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 58\% | 62\% | 62\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 61\% | 58\% | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 62\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Variety of housing options | 55\% | 54\% | 51\% | 55\% | 50\% | 50\% | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 46\% | 40\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 55\% | 53\% |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 24\% | 16\% | $\begin{gathered} 23 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 10\% | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 21\% | 24\% | 22\% |
| Land use, planning and zoning | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 44\% | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 40\% | 40\% | 44\% | 52\% | 48\% | 44\% | 42\% | 43\% |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 57\% | 62\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 56\% | 61\% | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | 59\% | 60\% |

TABLE 6: UTILITIES

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | 86\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 85\% | 82\% | 84\% | 85\% | 81\% | 78\% | 84\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 82\% | 84\% |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 56\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 59\% | 58\% | 54\% | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | 62\% | 60\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | 89\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 87\% | 89\% | 88\% | 90\% | 88\% | 89\% | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | 89\% |
| Garbage collection | 80\% | 83\% | 78\% | 79\% | 79\% | 81\% | 77\% | 82\% | 81\% | 76\% | 78\% | 81\% | 77\% | 79\% |
| Drinking water | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 85\% | 82\% | 85\% | 83\% | 84\% | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 84\% |
| Sewer services | 90\% | 91\% | 88\% | 90\% | 88\% | 88\% | 88\% | 92\% | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 89\% |
| Storm water management (storm drainage, dams, levees, etc.) | 86\% | 89\% | 84\% | 85\% | 85\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 84\% | 84\% | 88\% | 89\% | 87\% | 84\% | 85\% |
| Utility billing | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | 79\% | 75\% | 74\% | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | 77\% |

TABLE 7: SAFETY

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years <br> or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 90\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 87\% | 88\% | 90\% | 88\% | 89\% | 90\% | 84\% | 88\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 89\% |
| Police/Sheriff services | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 86\% | 87\% | 88\% | 87\% | 87\% | 89\% | 86\% | 85\% | 88\% | 87\% | 87\% | 87\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{T M}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Crime prevention | 85\% | 88\% | 83\% | 85\% | 85\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 82\% | 85\% |
| Animal control | 83\% | 85\% | 85\% | 84\% | 86\% | 83\% | 86\% | 85\% | 78\% | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 85\% |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 96\% | 95\% | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% | $\begin{gathered} 97 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | 93\% | 95\% | $\begin{gathered} 97 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 90\% | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 95\% | 93\% | 95\% |
| Fire services | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 98\% | 95\% | 96\% | 97\% | 98\% | 96\% | 97\% | 95\% | 95\% | 98\% | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% |
| Fire prevention and education | 91\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 88\% | 88\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 92\% | 90\% | 87\% | 87\% | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 91\% | 88\% | 90\% |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 75\% | 77\% | 77\% | 76\% | 73\% | 73\% | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 77\% | 74\% | 76\% |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 97\% | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 96\% | 97\% | 97\% | 95\% | 98\% | 97\% | 91\% | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 97\% | 96\% | 97\% |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 93\% | 94\% | 96\% | 96\% | 95\% | 94\% | 92\% | 93\% | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 96\% | 94\% | 95\% |
| From property crime | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 84\% | 86\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 83\% | 85\% |
| From violent crime | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 88\% | 88\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 89\% | 81\% | 87\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 91\% | 88\% | 90\% |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | 94\% | 90\% | 92\% | 90\% | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 90\% | 94\% | 92\% | 91\% | 88\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 92\% | 93\% | 92\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{T M}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report
TABLE 8: NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overal <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | 81\% | 77\% | 77\% | 80\% | 81\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 80\% | 78\% | 79\% |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | 86\% | 86\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 83\% | 84\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 88\% | 84\% | 86\% |
| Water resources (beaches, lakes, ponds, riverways, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 59\% | 54\% | 59\% | 55\% | 61\% | 57\% | 54\% | 54\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 57\% |
| Air quality | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 87\% | 83\% | 87\% | 85\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | 85\% | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 86\% |
| Preservation of natural areas (open space, farmlands, and greenbelts) | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 54\% | 59\% | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 53\% | 55\% | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 60\% | 58\% |
| Loudoun County open space | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 53\% | 58\% | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 54\% | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| Recycling | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | 64\% | 67\% | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 66\% | 64\% | 61\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | 66\% |
| Yard waste pick-up | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 72\% | 75\% | 74\% | 77\% | 75\% | 73\% | 69\% | 73\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | 75\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report
TABLE 9: PARKS AND RECREATION

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$150,000 or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 79\% | 75\% | 76\% | 77\% | 81\% | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 77\% |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 74\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 70\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | 73\% | 75\% |
| County parks | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 79\% | 81\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 82\% | 75\% | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 81\% |
| Recreational opportunities | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 74\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 73\% | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 73\% |
| Recreation programs or classes | 74\% | 76\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | 72\% | 60\% | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 72\% |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 79\% | 75\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 79\% | 75\% | 76\% | 66\% | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 76\% |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 73\% | 79\% | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 73\% | 73\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 76\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{T M}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report
TABLE 10: HEALTH AND WELLNESS

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, excellent/very good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 77\% | 83\% | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 79\% |
| Health services | 79\% | 76\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 76\% | 77\% | 81\% | 66\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 78\% |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | 68\% | 73\% | 69\% | 69\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | 62\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 71\% |
| Availability of preventive health services | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 77\% | 74\% | 75\% | 75\% | 77\% | 62\% | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | 75\% |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | 48\% | 54\% | 49\% | 53\% | 50\% | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 33\% | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 45\% | 51\% |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 70\% | 74\% | 68\% | 71\% | 68\% | 69\% | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 70\% |
| Please rate your overall health. | 76\% | 77\% | 73\% | 74\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | 74\% |

TABLE 11: EDUCATION, ARTS, AND CULTURE

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 66\% | 64\% | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 68\% | 69\% | 64\% | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 66\% |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 53\% | 45\% | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 46\% | 50\% | 49\% | 47\% | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 46\% | 50\% |
| Community support for the arts | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 49\% | 54\% | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 48\% | 51\% | 54\% | 51\% | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 48\% | 51\% |
| Availability of affordable quality childcare/preschool | 41\% | 44\% | 37\% | 40\% | 38\% | 32\% | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 32\% | 30\% | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 41\% | 37\% | 39\% |
| K-12 education | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 76\% |
| Adult educational opportunities | 62\% | 57\% | 60\% | 60\% | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 61\% | 59\% | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 56\% | 60\% |
| Opportunities to attend special events and festivals | 61\% | 56\% | 61\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 60\% | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 60\% | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 60\% |
| Public library services | 91\% | 89\% | 92\% | 92\% | 90\% | 91\% | 91\% | 92\% | 88\% | 89\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 93\% | 90\% | 91\% |

## TABLE 12: INCLUSIVITY AND ENGAGEMENT

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 59\% | 56\% | 56\% | 58\% | 56\% | 55\% | 57\% | 59\% | 53\% | 51\% | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 57\% |
| Sense of community | 61\% | 63\% | 58\% | 60\% | 59\% | 57\% | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 60\% | 60\% | 61\% | 59\% | 60\% |
| Sense of civic/community pride | 62\% | 63\% | 57\% | 60\% | 58\% | 59\% | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | 55\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | 59\% |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County | 62\% | 61\% | 57\% | 60\% | 58\% | 58\% | 58\% | 63\% | 53\% | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | 59\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | 90\% | 88\% | 89\% | 88\% | 90\% | 91\% | 87\% | 90\% | 90\% | 91\% | 91\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 89\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | 36\% | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 34\% | $\begin{gathered} 42 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 33\% | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 39\% | 42\% | 42\% | 39\% | 41\% |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 63\% | 68\% | 65\% | 64\% | 65\% | 63\% | 66\% | 66\% | 57\% | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | 62\% | 65\% |
| Making all residents feel welcome | 70\% | 73\% | 71\% | 72\% | 69\% | 73\% | 70\% | 72\% | 66\% | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 70\% | 71\% |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | 73\% | 74\% | 76\% | 76\% | 73\% | 71\% | 75\% | 78\% | 70\% | 74\% | 77\% | 76\% | 74\% | 75\% |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds | 73\% | 76\% | 72\% | 73\% | 73\% | 69\% | 74\% | 75\% | 68\% | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 74\% | 73\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents (elderly, disabled, homeless, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 63\% | 60\% | 62\% | 60\% | 64\% | 55\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 62\% |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 58\% | 63\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 61\% | 55\% | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 61\% |
| Opportunities to volunteer | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | 71\% | 73\% |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 63\% | 60\% | 64\% | 65\% | 60\% | 66\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 63\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., yes in the last 12 months) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email, or web) for help or information | 54\% | 52\% | 54\% | 55\% | 52\% | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | 53\% | 51\% | 55\% | 51\% | 54\% |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email, or web) to express your opinion | 28\% | 35\% | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 30\% | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 31\% | 35\% | 29\% | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 17\% | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 33\% | 31\% | 33\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., yes in the last 12 months) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.) | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 34 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 29\% | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 28\% | 20\% | 24\% | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 32\% | 33\% | 32\% |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 37\% | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 31\% | 35\% | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 27\% | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 40\% | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 31\% | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 33\% | 37\% | 40\% | 44\% | 42\% | 44\% |
| Campaigned or advocated for a local issue, cause, or candidate | 26\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 25\% | 20\% | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 25\% | 23\% | 23\% | 24\% | 25\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| Voted in your most recent local election | 85\% | 86\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 92\% | 89\% | 87\% | 90\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 90\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Demographic Subgroup Report
TABLE 14: ONLINE ENGAGEMENT

| Percent rating positively (e.g., at least once every few weeks) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 149,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer | 98\% | 100\% | 98\% | 97\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | 98\% | 97\% | 96\% | 94\% | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 89\% | 91\% | 94\% | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 96\% | 98\% | 97\% |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc. | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 81\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | 80\% | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% |
| Use or check email | 99\% | 100\% | 99\% | 98\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 97\% | 97\% | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% |
| Share your opinions online | 34\% | 40\% | 33\% | 32\% | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 34\% | 36\% | 33\% | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 26\% | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 32\% | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 35\% |
| Shop online | 67\% | 69\% | 67\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 50\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | 66\% | 67\% |

## TABLE 15: COMMUNITY FOCUS AREAS

| Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 87\% | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 86\% | 87\% | 87\% | 85\% | 86\% |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County | 77\% | 79\% | 75\% | 79\% | 74\% | 75\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 80\% | 78\% | 79\% | 74\% | 76\% |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | 76\% | 81\% | 81\% | 81\% | 78\% | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 80\% | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 77\% | 79\% |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | 82\% | 85\% | 82\% | 84\% | 81\% | 80\% | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 83\% | 81\% | 83\% | 81\% | 83\% |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 84\% | 85\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 87\% | 85\% | 84\% | 86\% | 89\% | 89\% | 86\% | 84\% | 86\% | 87\% | 86\% |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 83\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | 84\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 70\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 76\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | 74\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 75\% |
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| Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important) | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 71\% | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 71\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | 77\% | 77\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 71\% | 71\% | 69\% | 69\% | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 71\% | 70\% |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 64\% | 64\% | 66\% | 65\% | 65\% | 62\% | 66\% | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 63\% | 65\% | 64\% | 65\% |

TABLE 16: FREQUENCY OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION USE

| Thinking about the past 12 months, first, please indicate about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation. (Percent "used at all"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 149,999 \end{aligned}$ | \$150,000 or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Bus | 15\% | 11\% | 12\% | 9\% | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 12\% | 14\% | 11\% | 9\% | 11\% | 12\% | 11\% | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 13\% |
| Metrorail | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 30\% | 33\% | 32\% | 36\% | 34\% | 35\% | 32\% | 28\% | 29\% | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 35\% | 33\% | 34\% |
| Train, such as MARC | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & \text { A B } \end{aligned}$ | 3\% | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 5\% |
| Van pool | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & \text { B C } \end{aligned}$ | 2\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% |
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| Thinking about the past 12 months, first, please indicate about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation. (Percent "used at all"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | 6 to 10 years | 11 <br> years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Car pool | 25\% | 29\% | 23\% | 21\% | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 16\% | 21\% | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 26\% | 24\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Taxi/hired cab/Uber/Lyft | 44\% | 51\% | 47\% | 46\% | 47\% | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 36\% | 39\% | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 44\% | 49\% | 46\% |
| Gov't/nonprofit service (e.g., Dept. of Family Services, Volunteer Caregivers) | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 10\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 5\% |
| Bike/walking trails | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 88\% | 84\% | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 76\% | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 87\% | 85\% | 86\% |

TABLE 17: IMPORTANCE OF COUNTY ISSUES

| First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 <br> years <br> or <br> less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 <br> years <br> or <br> more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ <br> or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Growth/development | 59\% | 55\% | 60\% | 60\% | 57\% | 55\% | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 61\% | 59\% |
| Schools | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 81\% | 83\% | 86\% | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 84\% |
| Crime/drug use | 75\% | 73\% | 76\% | 74\% | 76\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% |
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| First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than $\$ 100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 149,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 150,000$ or more | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 74\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 76\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 73\% | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 82\% | 77\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% |
| Employment opportunities | 73\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 77\% | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 77\% | 75\% | 79\% | 76\% |
| Traffic | 76\% | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 77\% | 75\% | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 76\% | 77\% | 79\% | 81\% | 80\% |
| Road maintenance | 76\% | 75\% | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 74\% | 78\% | 77\% | 78\% | 77\% |
| Trails/bike paths | 54\% | 54\% | 53\% | 54\% | 53\% | 51\% | 56\% | 50\% | 54\% | 52\% | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 53\% |
| Increased broadband access | 70\% | 63\% | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 68\% | 72\% | 71\% | 73\% | 66\% | 69\% | 67\% |
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| Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. (Percent "yes"). | Length of residency |  |  | Children in household |  | Age |  |  | Annual household income |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } \\ 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 11 years or more | No | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Less than \$100,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 149,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) | (C) | (A) | (B) |  |
| Growth/development | 25\% | 20\% | 22\% | 23\% | 22\% | 26\% | 22\% | 20\% | 20\% | 17\% | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 23\% | 23\% | 22\% |
| Schools | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 54\% | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 47\% | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | 55\% | 57\% |
| Crime/drug use | 44\% | 43\% | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 39\% | 41\% | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 32\% | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 42\% |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | 45\% | 49\% | 44\% | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | 46\% | 42\% | 49\% | 47\% | 52\% | 48\% | 45\% | 47\% |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 30\% | 34\% | 36\% | 34\% | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 30\% | 29\% | 41\% | 45\% | 36\% | 37\% | 33\% | 35\% |
| Employment opportunities | 32\% | 28\% | 27\% | 31\% | 27\% | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \text { B C } \end{gathered}$ | 26\% | 26\% | 32\% | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 27\% | 28\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| Traffic | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 44\% | 45\% | 48\% | 45\% | 45\% | 46\% | 50\% | 36\% | 33\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 47\% |
| Road maintenance | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 46\% | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 47\% | 49\% | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 45\% | 50\% |
| Trails/bike paths | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 41\% | 35\% | 39\% | 40\% | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 40\% | 35\% | 36\% | 34\% | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 34\% | 39\% |
| Increased broadband access | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 31\% | 36\% | 33\% | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 32\% | 34\% | 36\% | 37\% | 38\% | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 31\% | 34\% |
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## About the Geographic Comparisons

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (The NCS $^{\text {TM }}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. Communities conducting The NCS can choose from a number of optional services to customize the reporting of survey results. Loudoun County's Comparisons by Geographic Subgroups is part of a larger project for the County and additional reports are available under separate cover. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by County District.

Eight districts were tracked for comparison and the number of completed surveys for each are in the figure below. The number of households selected to receive the survey in each District was proportionate to the total number of households in each District.

FIGURE 1: GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

| District | Number of Completed Surveys |
| :--- | :---: |
| Algonkian | 139 |
| Ashburn | 245 |
| Blue Ridge | 250 |
| Broad Run | 193 |
| Catoctin | 216 |
| Dulles | 138 |
| Leesburg | 179 |
| Sterling | 108 |

FIGURE 2: LOCATION OF SURVEY RECIPIENTS


## Understanding the Tables

For most of the questions, one number appears for each question. Responses have been summarized to show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who participated in an activity at least once. It should be noted that when a table that does include all responses (not a single number) for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

The subgroup comparison tables contain the crosstabulations of survey questions by District. Chisquare or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a $5 \%$ probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant.

Each column in the following tables is labeled with a letter for each subgroup being compared. The "Overall" column, which shows the ratings for all respondents, also has a column designation of " $(\mathrm{A})$ ", but no statistical tests were done for the overall rating.

For each pair of subgroup ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an uppercase letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no uppercase letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table 1 on the following page, respondents in Ashburn (B) were significantly more likely than those in all other districts (A, C, D, E, F, G, and H) to recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asked, as denoted by the "A C D E F G H" listed in the cell of the ratings for Ashburn. As another example, in Table 2, residents in Catoctin (E) were significantly less likely than those in all other districts to give positive ratings to overall confidence in County government, as denoted by the " $E$ " in each cell for all other districts.

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat likely) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 79\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 85\% |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 79\% | 87\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | 92\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 89\% | 90\% | 86\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 89\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks | 85\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { A C D E F G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 83\% | 85\% |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | 81\% | 84\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 84\% | 78\% | 83\% | 80\% | 83\% | 83\% |


| TABLE 2: GOVERNANCE |
| :--- |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) |
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| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian <br> (A) | Ashburn <br> (B) | Blue <br> Ridge <br> (C) | Broad Run (D) | Catoctin <br> (E) | Dulles <br> (F) | Leesburg <br> (G) | Sterling <br> (H) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Being honest | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { CE } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 45\% | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & \text { C E H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | 60\% |
| Being open and transparent to the public | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { ACE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 58\% |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | 54\% | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | 51\% | 67\% <br> ACEH | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { A C E } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 52\% | 58\% |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming resident involvement | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | 54\% |
| Treating all residents fairly | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & \text { C E G } \end{aligned}$ | 55\% | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & \text { C E G } \end{aligned}$ | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { C E G } \end{gathered}$ | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 60\% |
| Treating residents with respect | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { C E G } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { C E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 68\% |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 85\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 88\% | 88\% | 87\% | 88\% | 87\% | 86\% | 88\% |
| Public information services | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A C E H } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | 74\% |
| Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 74\% |
| The Federal Government | 37\% | 47\% | 39\% | 47\% | 46\% | 43\% | 43\% | 46\% | 43\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat positive) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 84\% | 87\% |
| Economic development | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { ACE H } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A C E H } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { AE H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A C E H } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 70\% |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { ACE F } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 92\% <br> ACEF | 85\% |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & \text { CE F } \end{aligned}$ | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { C E F G } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & \text { CE F } \end{aligned}$ | 79\% |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { A C F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { A C F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A C E F H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% |
| Shopping opportunities | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 76\% | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 78\% | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { AEH } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 80\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% |
| Employment opportunities | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | 61\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A C F } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 19\% | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 19\% | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ \text { A C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 12\% | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 17\% | 18\% | 20\% |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ \text { EH } \end{gathered}$ | 26\% | 19\% | 27\% | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ \text { EH } \end{gathered}$ | 17\% | 27\% |
| NOT under housing cost stress | 72\% | 71\% | 74\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { A B D G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 76\% | 74\% |

TABLE 4: MOBILITY

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, yes in the last 12 months) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County | 40\% | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \text { ACE F } \end{gathered}$ | 48\% | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | 41\% | 48\% | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 50\% |
| Traffic flow on major streets | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \text { A C E F H } \end{gathered}$ | 41\% | 63\% <br> ACEFH | 32\% | 41\% | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 48\% |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 67\% | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & \text { CE F } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \% \\ & \text { CE F } \end{aligned}$ | 74\% |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 18\% | 26\% | 18\% | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 22\% | 24\% | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & \text { A C E } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 26\% |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | 26\% | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { A B C E F } \end{gathered}$ | 31\% | 34\% | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 40\% |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 35\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% |
| Ease of public parking | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 78\% <br> ACEFG | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% |
| Bus or transit services | 42\% | 40\% | 44\% | 48\% | 46\% | 48\% | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { A B C H } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 46\% |
| Traffic enforcement | 62\% | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { A C F H } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A C F H } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% <br> ACFH | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A C F H } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 70\% |
| Traffic signal timing | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 59\% | 59\% | 58\% | 58\% | 68\% <br> ACEFH | 50\% | 60\% |
| Street repair | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & \text { C E F } \end{aligned}$ | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { CEFH } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | 63\% |
| Street cleaning | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { CEH } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | 59\% | 72\% |
| Street lighting | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { FH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { FH } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { A B C F H } \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | 62\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, yes in the last 12 months) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Snow removal | 75\% | 73\% | 71\% | 77\% | 70\% | 67\% | 73\% | 66\% | 72\% |
| Sidewalk maintenance | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { CH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & \text { CH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | 71\% |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public transportation instead of driving | 15\% | 18\% |  | 21\% | 14\% | 14\% | 17\% | 20\% | 19\% |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 26\% | 27\% | 31\% | 35\% | 33\% | 33\% | 31\% | 28\% | 31\% |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 45\% | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & \text { A C E } \end{aligned}$ | 41\% | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 39\% | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { ACD E F } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% |

TABLE 5: COMMUNITY DESIGN

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A CE F G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \\ \text { GH } \end{gathered}$ | 51\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | 61\% |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | 74\% | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & \text { ACE } \end{aligned}$ | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 80\% |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & \text { F G H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 88\% | 82\% | 92\% |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 40\% | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 55\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Well-planned residential growth | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & \text { C E G } \end{aligned}$ | 38\% | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & \text { C E G } \end{aligned}$ | 33\% | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { CE } \end{gathered}$ | 39\% | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & \text { C E G } \end{aligned}$ | 46\% |
| Well-planned commercial growth | 38\% | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 33\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 37\% | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & \text { A C E } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 45\% |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% <br> ACEGH | 54\% | 72\% <br> CEGH | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 49\% | 59\% | 61\% |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 54\% | 45\% | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { A B C D } \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 54\% |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { ACDEF } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 62\% |
| Variety of housing options | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ \text { C G } \end{gathered}$ | 45\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { C E G } \end{gathered}$ | 47\% | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & \text { C E G } \end{aligned}$ | 44\% | 52\% | 53\% |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 18\% | 19\% | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ \text { G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ \text { A B G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 18\% | 12\% | 22\% |
| Land use, planning and zoning | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 31\% | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 43\% |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { F H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & \text { C F H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { FH } \end{gathered}$ | 39\% | 60\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & \text { C E H } \end{aligned}$ | 70\% | 84\% |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ C E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 60\% |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | 84\% | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | 78\% | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 89\% |
| Garbage collection | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 79\% |
| Drinking water | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | 76\% | 84\% |
| Sewer services | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 87\% | 86\% | 89\% |
| Storm water management (storm drainage, dams, levees, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { B C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% |
| Utility billing | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { EH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 77\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { A E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { A E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { A E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 89\% |
| Police/Sheriff services | 83\% | 90\% | 87\% | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 86\% | 86\% | 89\% | 87\% |
| Crime prevention | 80\% | 92\% <br> AEFGH | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | 85\% |
| Animal control | 84\% | 86\% | 87\% | 86\% | 85\% | 81\% | 86\% | 82\% | 85\% |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 89\% | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 90\% | 95\% |
| Fire services | 95\% | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & \text { E F G } \end{aligned}$ | 97\% | 95\% | 95\% | 94\% | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | 96\% |
| Fire prevention and education | 92\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | 92\% | 89\% | 87\% | 87\% | 87\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 70\% | 76\% | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { AE } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 76\% |
| In your neighborhood during the day | $\begin{gathered} 97 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 90\% | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 97\% |
| In Loudoun County"s downtown/commercial areas during the day | 93\% | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 90\% | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \text { A E G } \end{gathered}$ | 93\% | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 95\% |
| From property crime | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { AH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { A G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { AH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { AH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | 85\% |
| From violent crime | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 96 \% \\ \text { A E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \% \\ \text { A E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \text { A G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { A E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | 90\% |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | 85\% | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \% \\ \text { A E G } \end{gathered}$ | 87\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | 92\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A D E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { EH } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% | 70\% | 79\% |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & \text { C E H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & \text { C E H } \end{aligned}$ | 79\% | 94\% <br> CEGH | 80\% | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & \text { C E H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { CH } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | 86\% |
| Water resources (beaches, lakes, ponds, riverways, etc.) | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { CE G } \end{gathered}$ | 49\% | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & \text { C E G } \end{aligned}$ | 49\% | 57\% | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { CE G } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% |
| Air quality | 78\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 83\% | 86\% |
| Preservation of natural areas (open space, farmlands, and greenbelts) | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 46\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 57\% | 58\% |
| Loudoun County open space | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | 55\% | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 58\% | 57\% |
| Recycling | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% |
| Yard waste pick-up | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { AEH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { AE H } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { AE H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { AEH } \end{gathered}$ | 59\% | 75\% |


| TABLE 9: PARKS AND RECREATION |
| :--- |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| County parks | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { C D E F } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 77\% | 74\% | 77\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 81\% |
| Recreational opportunities | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 72\% | 72\% | 71\% | 73\% |
| Recreation programs or classes | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 71\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { ACDE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & \text { A C E } \end{aligned}$ | 78\% | 76\% |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 76\% |
| TABLE 10: HEALTH AND WELLNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, excellent/very good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A C E F } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 79\% |
| Health services | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 78\% |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { AEFH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A C E F G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | 71\% |
| Availability of preventive health services | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A E F H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | 84\% AEFH | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | 75\% |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 48\% | 46\% | 51\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, excellent/very good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 69\% | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & \text { CEF } \end{aligned}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A C E F } \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ C \text { E } \end{gathered}$ | 70\% |
| Please rate your overall health. | 76\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { D G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { D G } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { D G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | 72\% | 74\% |
| TABLE 11: EDUCATION, ARTS, AND CULTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling | (A) |
|  |  | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 52\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 40\% | 49\% | 48\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% |
| Community support for the arts | 44\% | 53\% | 51\% | 51\% | 52\% | 51\% | 54\% | 55\% | 51\% |
| Availability of affordable quality childcare/preschool | 33\% | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ G \end{gathered}$ | 34\% | 58\% <br> ACEFGH | 38\% | 41\% | 30\% | 34\% | 39\% |
| K-12 education | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A C E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 84\% <br> ACEGH | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 67\% | 71\% | 76\% |
| Adult educational opportunities | 55\% | 71\% <br> ACEFG | 48\% | 71\% <br> ACEFG | 55\% | 57\% | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 60\% |
| Opportunities to attend special events and festivals | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { A C F } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { A C F } \end{gathered}$ | 62\% | 60\% |
| Public library services | 90\% | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { D E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 88\% | 85\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { D E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 91\% |

## TABLE 12: INCLUSIVITY AND ENGAGEMENT

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad Run | Catocti <br> n | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 39\% | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { AEH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { AEH } \end{gathered}$ | 48\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { A E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | 49\% | 57\% |
| Sense of community | 55\% | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { A B E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { A B E H } \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | 53\% | 60\% |
| Sense of civic/community pride | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { A E H } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { AE H } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { A E H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ \text { A C E H } \end{gathered}$ | 49\% | 59\% |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County | 55\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | 59\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 80\% | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 89\% |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | 39\% | 54\% <br> ACEFGH | 36\% | 54\% <br> ACEFGH | 35\% | 33\% | 38\% | 33\% | 41\% |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { A E G } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ \text { A E G } \end{gathered}$ | 58\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \end{gathered}$ | 59\% | 68\% | 65\% |
| Making all residents feel welcome | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { A E G } \end{gathered}$ | 55\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 71\% |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \end{gathered}$ | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 74\% | 73\% |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents (elderly, disabled, homeless, etc.) | 51\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { AEGH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $76 \%$ <br> ACEGH | 49\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { A E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | 55\% | 62\% |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 56\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ \text { A C F } \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 55\% | 62\% | 63\% | 61\% |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 72\% | 72\% | 65\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 73\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian |  | Ashburn |  | Blue <br> Ridge |  | Broad Run | Catocti <br> n | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) |  |  |  |  | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 68\% |  |  |  |  | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | 62\% | 63\% | 69\% | 63\% |
| TABLE 13: PARTICIPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., yes in the last 12 months) |  | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
|  |  | Algo | kian | Ashburn |  | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling | (A) |
|  |  |  |  | (B) |  | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email, or web) for help or information |  | 51\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |  | 45\% | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \text { ABCDE } \\ \text { FH } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | 54\% |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email, or web) to express your opinion |  | $24 \%$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \text { AF } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \text { A F } \end{gathered}$ | 30\% | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ \text { A D F H } \end{gathered}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ \text { A F } \end{gathered}$ | 28\% | 33\% |
| Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.) |  | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \text { D F G } \end{gathered}$ | 26\% | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ \text { B D F G } \end{gathered}$ | 23\% | 27\% | 33\% | 32\% |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting |  | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { D H } \end{gathered}$ | 34\% | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ D \end{gathered}$ | 37\% | 45\% |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County |  | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ |  | 42\% |  | 40\% | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \mathrm{CH} \end{gathered}$ | 41\% | $\begin{gathered} 45 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 30\% | 44\% |
| Campaigned or advocated for a local issue, cause, or candidate |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ \text { CD F G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ |  | 21\% | 23\% | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \text { ACD F G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 24\% |
| Voted in your most recent local election |  | 84\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 90\% | 90\% | 89\% | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { A F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 90\% |

## TABLE 14: ONLINE ENGAGEMENT

| Percent rating positively (e.g., at least once every few weeks) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer | 98\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \mathrm{G} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | 96\% | 97\% | 99\% |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | 97\% | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 94\% | 96\% | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 96\% | 95\% | 97\% |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, etc. | 84\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { B G H } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 85\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \text { B D G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 78\% | 77\% | 83\% |
| Use or check email | 98\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { G H } \end{gathered}$ | 97\% | 97\% | 99\% |
| Share your opinions online | 29\% | 38\% | 34\% | 29\% | 36\% | 34\% | 36\% | 41\% | 35\% |
| Shop online | 66\% | 61\% | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { A B D E G } \end{gathered}$ | 65\% | 66\% | 71\% | 65\% | 67\% | 67\% |

TABLE 15: COMMUNITY FOCUS AREAS

| Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { A E G H } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 84\% | 87\% | 84\% | 79\% | 86\% |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 76\% |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { EH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { EH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { EH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { E H } \end{gathered}$ | 66\% | 79\% |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { B E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & \text { B E H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & \text { B E H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | 83\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important) | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { B C D E G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 86\% | 86\% | 86\% | 82\% | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 86\% | 86\% |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ \text { D H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ \text { D E G H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 79\% | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ H \end{gathered}$ | 83\% | 76\% | 85\% |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { E F } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | 76\% | 68\% | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \text { E F } \end{gathered}$ | 73\% | 75\% |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 73\% | 74\% | 70\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | 74\% |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 71\% | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & \text { CEH } \end{aligned}$ | 64\% | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & \text { C E H } \end{aligned}$ | 65\% | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ \mathrm{CH} \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 70\% |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & \text { C F H } \end{aligned}$ | 63\% | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 68 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | 65\% |

TABLE 16: FREQUENCY OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION USE

| Thinking about the past 12 months, first, please indicate about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation. (Percent "used at all"). | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling | (A) |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Bus | 6\% | 11\% | $\begin{gathered} 18 \% \\ \text { A B E } \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | 8\% | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | 11\% | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ \text { A E } \end{gathered}$ | 13\% |
| Metrorail | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ \text { A B C E } \\ \text { G H } \end{gathered}$ | 26\% | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ \text { E G } \end{gathered}$ | 22\% | 32\% | 34\% |
| Train, such as MARC | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 6\% | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ \text { A B G } \end{gathered}$ | 6\% | 4\% | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 5\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| Thinking about the past 12 months, first, please indicate about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation. (Percent "used at all"). | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Van pool | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | 2\% | 3\% |
| Car pool | 22\% | 21\% | 24\% | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ \text { A B C G } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 24\% | 28\% | 23\% | 20\% | 25\% |
| Taxi/hired cab/Uber/Lyft | 43\% | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ \text { A C E G } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 42\% | 49\% | 46\% |
| Gov't/nonprofit service (e.g., Dept. of Family Services, Volunteer Caregivers) | 2\% | 7\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ \text { ACDE } \\ F \end{gathered}$ | 5\% |
| Bike/walking trails | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & \text { E F H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 85\% | 79\% | 83\% | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 81\% | 86\% |
| TABLE 17: IMPORTANCE OF COUNTY ISSUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall(A) |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue Ridge | Broad Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Growth/development | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ \text { A G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 57\% | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ \text { A G } \end{gathered}$ | 53\% | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 59\% |
| Schools | $\begin{gathered} 89 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 87 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 84\% |
| Crime/drug use | 86\% <br> BCEFG | 72\% | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B F G } \end{gathered}$ | 74\% | 68\% | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { F G } \end{gathered}$ | 75\% |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% \\ \text { C F } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 65\% | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & \text { C E F } \end{aligned}$ | 75\% | 75\% |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 82\% | 81\% | 75\% | 82\% | 78\% | 81\% | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 81\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Employment opportunities | $\begin{gathered} 84 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 77\% | 73\% | 77\% | 75\% | 75\% | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% |
| Traffic | 74\% | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A B G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ \text { B G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \% \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \% \\ \text { A B G } \end{gathered}$ | 72\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| Road maintenance | 66\% | 69\% | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A B G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { A B G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ \text { A B } \end{gathered}$ | 77\% |
| Trails/bike paths | 48\% | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ \text { AE F } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ \text { ACE F } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 42\% | 47\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { AEF } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | 53\% |
| Increased broadband access | 64\% | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ \text { A D F } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | 54\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | 63\% | $\begin{gathered} 71 \% \\ \text { D H } \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | 67\% |

TABLE 18: WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR COUNTY ISSUES

| Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. (Percent "yes"). | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
| Growth/development | 12\% | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 20\% | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 22\% |
| Schools | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ C E \end{gathered}$ | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ C \text { E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & \text { C E H } \end{aligned}$ | 53\% | 57\% |
| Crime/drug use | 40\% | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 34\% | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | 33\% | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ C \text { E } \end{gathered}$ | 42\% |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 35\% | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \text { A C E F } \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | 43\% | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ \text { ACEF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 47\% |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ C E \end{gathered}$ | 21\% | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 24\% | 46\% | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & \text { CD E } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & \text { CD E } \end{aligned}$ | 35\% |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Geographic Subgroup Report

| Indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. (Percent "yes"). | District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algonkian | Ashburn | Blue <br> Ridge | Broad <br> Run | Catoctin | Dulles | Leesburg | Sterling |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BCD } \\ E \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Employment opportunities | 26\% | 28\% | 19\% | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ \text { C E } \end{gathered}$ | 21\% | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ \text { A B C } \\ \text { E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ \text { A C E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ C E \end{gathered}$ | 29\% |
| Traffic | 36\% | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 43\% | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ \text { A C E } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | 44\% | 47\% |
| Road maintenance | 42\% | 51\% | 42\% | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ \text { A C } \end{gathered}$ | 46\% | 52\% | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & \text { A C E } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | 50\% |
| Trails/bike paths | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & \text { C E F } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | 25\% | 35\% | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & \text { C E F } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \\ E \end{gathered}$ | 39\% |
| Increased broadband access | 32\% | 35\% | 33\% | 29\% | 36\% | 31\% | 44\% ACDF | 34\% | 34\% |
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## About Trends Over Time

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (The NCS $^{T M}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions considering ten central facets of a community:

- Economy
- Mobility
- Community Design
- Utilities
- Safety
- Natural Environment
- Parks and Recreation
- Health and Wellness
- Education, Arts and Culture
- Inclusivity and Engagement

This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2020 ratings for Loudoun County to its previous survey results in 2018. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

## Understanding the Tables

Trend data for Loudoun County represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines ${ }^{1}$. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than five percentage points between the 2018 and 2020 surveys, otherwise the comparisons between 2018 and 2020 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, the benchmark comparisons for the current survey results are presented for reference.

Overall, ratings in Loudoun County for 2020 generally remained stable. Of the 106 items for which comparisons were available, 64 items were rated similarly in 2018 and 2020, 24 items showed a decrease in ratings and 18 showed an increase in ratings. It is important to note that the COVID19 pandemic of 2020 is likely to have effected residents' 2020 ratings; this context should be kept in mind while evaluating changes over time. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Ratings increases were noted in 2020 for several aspects of Mobility, including traffic enforcement, traffic flow on major streets, ease of travel by car in the County, ease of public parking, and others. Rates of use of public transportation and carpooling declined from 2018 to 2020.
- Several ratings changes were noted in the facet of Community Design: ratings increased for land use, planning, and zoning, code enforcement, and the overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas, while decreases were seen for the overall quality of new development in Loudoun County, the overall appearance of the County, and public places where people want to spend time.
- In Parks and Recreation, respondents' 2020 scores for availability of paths and walking trails increased substantially (17\%), but decreased for County parks, recreation centers of facilities, and recreation programs or classes.
- Other declines from 2018 to 2020 were noted for various aspects of Education, Arts, and Culture (e.g., K-12 education and overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts) and Inclusivity and Engagement (e.g., opportunities to participate in community matters and opportunities to volunteer).

[^1]| Quality of Life Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat likely) | 2018 | 2020 |  | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | 88\% |  |  | Similar | Higher |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 87\% |  |  | Similar | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | 92\% |  |  | Similar | Similar |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks | 88\% |  |  | Similar | Similar |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | 87\% |  |  | Similar | Similar |
| Table 2: Governance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governance Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) |  | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government |  | 55\% | 57\% | Similar | Similar |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking |  | 50\% | 55\% | Similar | Similar |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County |  | 55\% | 59\% | Similar | Similar |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community |  | 54\% | 58\% | Similar | Similar |
| Being honest |  | 55\% | 60\% | Similar | Similar |
| Being open and transparent to the public |  | NA | 58\% | NA | Similar |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community |  | NA | 58\% | NA | Similar |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming resident involvement |  | 54\% | 54\% | Similar | Similar |
| Treating all residents fairly |  | 57\% | 60\% | Similar | Similar |
| Treating residents with respect |  | NA | 68\% | NA | Similar |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees |  | 80\% | 88\% | Higher | Similar |
| Public information services |  | 80\% | 74\% | Lower | Similar |
| Quality of services provided by the County of Loudoun County |  | 81\% | 74\% | Lower | Similar |
| Quality of services provided by the Federal Government |  | 44\% | 43\% | Similar | Similar |

Table 3: Economy

| Economy Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat positive) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 85\% | 87\% | Similar | Higher |
| Economic development | 71\% | 70\% | Similar | Higher |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 78\% | 85\% | Higher | Higher |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | NA | 79\% | NA | Higher |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas | 66\% | 67\% | Similar | Higher |
| Shopping opportunities | 80\% | 78\% | Similar | Higher |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 79\% | 80\% | Similar | Higher |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 76\% | 79\% | Similar | Higher |
| Employment opportunities | 66\% | 67\% | Similar | Higher |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 18\% | 20\% | Similar | Lower |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | 36\% | 27\% | Lower | Similar |
| NOT experiencing housing costs stress | 69\% | 74\% | Similar | Similar |
| Table 4: Mobility |  |  |  |  |
| Mobility Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, yes in the last 12 months) | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| Overall quality of the transportation system in Loudoun County | 54\% | 50\% | Similar | Lower |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 28\% | 48\% | Higher | Similar |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | 49\% | 74\% | Higher | Similar |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 19\% | 26\% | Higher | Similar |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | 36\% | 40\% | Similar | Similar |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 47\% | 53\% | Higher | Similar |
| Ease of public parking | 57\% | 64\% | Higher | Similar |
| Bus or transit services | 47\% | 46\% | Similar | Similar |
| Traffic enforcement | 63\% | 70\% | Higher | Similar |
| Traffic signal timing | NA | 60\% | NA | Similar |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Trends Over Time

| Mobility Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, yes in the last 12 months) | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street repair | NA | 63\% | NA | Higher |
| Street cleaning | NA | 72\% | NA | Similar |
| Street lighting | NA | 62\% | NA | Similar |
| Snow removal | NA | 72\% | NA | Similar |
| Sidewalk maintenance | NA | 71\% | NA | Higher |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public transportation instead of driving | 24\% | 19\% | Lower | Similar |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 39\% | 31\% | Lower | Lower |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 42\% | 50\% | Higher | Similar |

In 2018, 'Overall quality of the transportation system' was 'Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit'. Differences in ratings may be at least partially attributable to wording changes.

Table 5: Community Design

| Community Design Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas | 55\% | 61\% | Higher | Similar |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | 87\% | 80\% | Lower | Similar |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 89\% | 92\% | Similar | Similar |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | 62\% | 55\% | Lower | Similar |
| Well-planned residential growth | NA | 46\% | NA | Similar |
| Well-planned commercial growth | NA | 45\% | NA | Similar |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | NA | 61\% | NA | Similar |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community | NA | 54\% | NA | Similar |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 78\% | 62\% | Lower | Similar |
| Variety of housing options | 54\% | 53\% | Similar | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 23\% | 22\% | Similar | Lower |
| Land use, planning, and zoning | 36\% | 43\% | Higher | Similar |
| Code enforcement | 54\% | 60\% | Higher | Similar |

Table 6: Utilities

| Utilities Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County | NA | 84\% | NA | Similar |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | NA | 60\% | NA | Similar |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | NA | 89\% | NA | Similar |
| Garbage collection | NA | 79\% | NA | Similar |
| Drinking water | NA | 84\% | NA | Similar |
| Sewer services | NA | 89\% | NA | Similar |
| Storm water management | NA | 85\% | NA | Higher |
| Utility billing | NA | 77\% | NA | Similar |
| Table 7: Safety |  |  |  |  |
| Safety Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 90\% | 89\% | Similar | Similar |
| Police/Sheriff services | 86\% | 87\% | Similar | Similar |
| Crime prevention | 81\% | 85\% | Similar | Higher |
| Animal control | 76\% | 85\% | Higher | Higher |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 94\% | 95\% | Similar | Similar |
| Fire services | 96\% | 96\% | Similar | Similar |
| Fire prevention and education | 86\% | 90\% | Similar | Similar |
| Emergency preparedness | 78\% | 76\% | Similar | Similar |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 96\% | 97\% | Similar | Similar |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | 94\% | 95\% | Similar | Similar |
| From property crime | NA | 85\% | NA | Similar |
| From violent crime | NA | 90\% | NA | Similar |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | NA | 92\% | NA | Similar |

Table 8: Natural Environment

| Natural Environment Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | $76 \%$ | $79 \%$ | Similar | Similar |
| Water resources | $87 \%$ | $86 \%$ | Similar | Similar |
| Air quality | NA | $57 \%$ | NA | Similar |
| Preservation of natural areas | $83 \%$ | $86 \%$ | Similar | Similar |
| Loudoun County open space | $46 \%$ | $58 \%$ | Higher | Similar |
| Recycling | $51 \%$ | $57 \%$ | Higher | Similar |
| Yard waste pick-up | $73 \%$ | $66 \%$ | Lower | Similar |

Table 9: Parks and Recreation

| Parks and Recreation Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | NA | $77 \%$ | NA | Similar |
| County parks | $58 \%$ | $75 \%$ | Higher | Similar |
| Recreational opportunities | $87 \%$ | $81 \%$ | Lower | Similar |
| Recreation programs or classes | $75 \%$ | $73 \%$ | Similar | Similar |
| Recreation centers or facilities | $82 \%$ | $72 \%$ | Lower | Similar |
| Fitness opportunities | $84 \%$ | $76 \%$ | Lower | Similar |

Table 10: Health and Wellness

| Health and Wellness Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, excellent/very good) | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 78\% | 79\% | Similar | Similar |
| Health services | 80\% | 78\% | Similar | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 73\% | 71\% | Similar | Similar |
| Availability of preventive health services | 76\% | 75\% | Similar | Similar |
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| Inclusivity and Engagement Items <br> Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | NA | 75\% | NA | Higher |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds | NA | 73\% | NA | Similar |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents | NA | 62\% | NA | Similar |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 72\% | 61\% | Lower | Similar |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 79\% | 73\% | Lower | Similar |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 70\% | 63\% | Lower | Similar |

## Table 13: Participation

| Participation Items <br> Percent having done each in last 12 months, or having done each a few times a week or more | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contacted Loudoun County for help or information | 46\% | 54\% | Higher | Similar |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials to express your opinion | 29\% | 33\% | Similar | Higher |
| Attended a local public meeting | 36\% | 32\% | Similar | Higher |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 29\% | 45\% | Higher | Much higher |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 51\% | 44\% | Lower | Similar |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 28\% | 24\% | Similar | Similar |
| Voted in your most recent local election | NA | 90\% | NA | Higher |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop or tablet computer | NA | 99\% | NA | Similar |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | NA | 97\% | NA | Similar |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, etc. | NA | 83\% | NA | Similar |
| Use or check email | NA | 99\% | NA | Similar |
| Share your opinions online | NA | 35\% | NA | Similar |
| Shop online | NA | 67\% | NA | Higher |

Table 14: Focus Areas

| Focus Areas <br> Percent rating each as "essential" or "very important" |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2020 | 2020 rating compared to 2018 | Comparison to benchmark |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 90\% | 86\% | Similar | Similar |
| Overall quality of the transportation system in Loudoun County | 91\% | 76\% | Lower | Similar |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas | 80\% | 79\% | Similar | Similar |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County | NA | 83\% | NA | Similar |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 92\% | 86\% | Lower | Similar |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | 86\% | 85\% | Similar | Similar |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | NA | 75\% | NA | Similar |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 74\% | 74\% | Similar | Similar |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 81\% | 70\% | Lower | Similar |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 75\% | 65\% | Lower | Lower |

In 2018, 'Overall quality of the transportation system' was 'Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit'. Differences in ratings may be at least partially attributable to wording changes.
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## Appendix A: Complete Survey Responses

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey. For questions that included a "don't know" response option, two tables for that question are provided: the first that excludes the "don't know" responses, and the second that includes those responses. The percent of respondents giving a particular response is shown followed by the number of respondents (denoted with " $\mathrm{N}=$ ").

Table 1: Question 1 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Loudoun County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | 50\% | $N=729$ | 40\% | $N=589$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $N=1459$ |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 56\% | $N=818$ | 36\% | $N=521$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | 52\% | $N=671$ | 37\% | $N=477$ | 7\% | $N=96$ | 4\% | $N=47$ | 100\% | $N=1290$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 35\% | $N=407$ | 44\% | $N=504$ | 16\% | $N=188$ | 5\% | $N=59$ | 100\% | $N=1158$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 38\% | $N=530$ | 42\% | $N=596$ | 17\% | $N=237$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 100\% | $N=1412$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | 19\% | $N=230$ | 22\% | $N=264$ | 25\% | $N=306$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=413$ | 100\% | $N=1213$ |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 37\% | $N=543$ | 50\% | $N=727$ | 11\% | $N=155$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Sense of community | 19\% | $N=281$ | 40\% | $N=582$ | 28\% | $N=408$ | 12\% | $N=175$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |

Table 2: Question 1 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Loudoun County. Loudoun County as a place to live | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50\% | $N=729$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=589$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 2\% | $N=24$ | 100\% | $N=1459$ |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=818$ | 36\% | $N=521$ | 7\% | $N=99$ | 2\% | $N=23$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | 52\% | $N=671$ | 37\% | $N=477$ | 7\% | $N=96$ | 4\% | $N=47$ | 100\% | $N=1290$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 35\% | $N=407$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=504$ | 16\% | $N=188$ | 5\% | $N=59$ | 100\% | $N=1158$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 38\% | $N=530$ | 42\% | $N=596$ | 17\% | $N=237$ | 3\% | $N=49$ | 100\% | $N=1412$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | 19\% | $N=230$ | 22\% | $N=264$ | 25\% | $N=306$ | 34\% | $N=413$ | 100\% | $N=1213$ |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 37\% | $N=543$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=727$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 2\% | $N=31$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Sense of community | 19\% | $N=281$ | 40\% | $N=582$ | 28\% | $N=408$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |

Table 3: Question 1 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Loudoun County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=729$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=589$ | 8\% | $N=117$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=1460$ |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 56\% | $N=818$ | 36\% | $N=521$ | 7\% | $N=99$ | 2\% | $N=23$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | 46\% | $N=671$ | 33\% | $N=477$ | 7\% | $N=96$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 11\% | $N=166$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | 28\% | $N=407$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=504$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 4\% | N=59 | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=297$ | 100\% | $N=1455$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | 36\% | $N=530$ | 41\% | $N=596$ | 16\% | $N=237$ | 3\% | $N=49$ | 3\% | $N=39$ | 100\% | $N=1451$ |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | 16\% | $N=230$ | 18\% | $N=264$ | 21\% | $N=306$ | 28\% | $N=413$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=239$ | 100\% | $N=1452$ |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=543$ | 50\% | $N=727$ | 11\% | $N=155$ | 2\% | N=31 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=1457$ |
| Sense of community | 19\% | $N=281$ | 40\% | $N=582$ | 28\% | $N=408$ | 12\% | $N=175$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $N=1463$ |

Table 4: Question 2 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 36\% | $N=499$ | 52\% | $N=726$ | 10\% | $N=144$ | 2\% | $N=32$ | 100\% | $N=1401$ |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 38\% | $N=535$ | 37\% | $N=513$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1391$ |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | 17\% | $N=246$ | 44\% | $N=641$ | 30\% | $N=440$ | 8\% | $N=121$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=464$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=717$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1407$ |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=659$ | 44\% | $N=639$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 100\% | $N=1459$ |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | 31\% | $N=453$ | 48\% | $N=692$ | 15\% | $N=223$ | 5\% | $N=78$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 33\% | $N=465$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=632$ | 19\% | $N=266$ | 4\% | $N=54$ | 100\% | $N=1417$ |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=429$ | 47\% | $N=640$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=244$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1358$ |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 22\% | $N=300$ | 44\% | $N=606$ | 25\% | $N=347$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 100\% | $N=1370$ |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 12\% | $N=172$ | 44\% | $N=621$ | 33\% | $N=454$ | 11\% | $N=149$ | 100\% | $N=1396$ |

Table 5: Question 2 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 34\% | $N=499$ | 50\% | $N=726$ | 10\% | $N=144$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=535$ | 35\% | $N=513$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=246$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=641$ | 30\% | $N=440$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $N=1464$ |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=464$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=717$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 4\% | $N=51$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 45\% | $N=659$ | 44\% | $N=639$ | 10\% | $N=141$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $N=1462$ |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | 31\% | $N=453$ | 47\% | $N=692$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=223$ | 5\% | $N=78$ | 1\% | $N=19$ | 100\% | $N=1465$ |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 32\% | $N=465$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=632$ | 18\% | $N=266$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 3\% | $N=39$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=429$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=640$ | 17\% | $N=244$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 7\% | $N=102$ | 100\% | $N=1460$ |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 21\% | $N=300$ | 42\% | $N=606$ | 24\% | $N=347$ | 8\% | $N=117$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=88$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=172$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=621$ | 31\% | $N=454$ | 10\% | $N=149$ | 5\% | $N=67$ | 100\% | $N=1462$ |

Table 6: Question 3 without "don't know" responses

| Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following. | Very likely |  | Somewhat likely |  | Somewhat unlikely |  | Very unlikely |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks | 46\% | $N=662$ | 40\% | $N=574$ | 8\% | $N=113$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 100\% | $N=1451$ |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | 59\% | $N=844$ | 24\% | $N=337$ | 8\% | $N=121$ | 9\% | $N=129$ | 100\% | $N=1432$ |

Table 7: Question 3 with "don't know" responses

| Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following. | Very likely |  | Somewhat likely |  | Somewhat unlikely |  | Very unlikely |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks | 45\% | $N=662$ | 39\% | $N=574$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years | 58\% | $N=844$ | 23\% | $N=337$ | 8\% | $N=121$ | 9\% | $N=129$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 100\% | $N=1463$ |

Table 8: Question 4 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel: | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Neither safe nor unsafe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 85\% | $N=1237$ | 12\% | $N=178$ | 2\% | $N=24$ | 1\% | $N=20$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=1462$ |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | 69\% | N=976 | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=372$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1422$ |
| From property crime | 42\% | $N=614$ | 43\% | $N=616$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
| From violent crime | 60\% | $N=873$ | 30\% | $N=432$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=81$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | 59\% | $N=851$ | 33\% | $N=480$ | 6\% | $N=83$ | 2\% | $N=24$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=1441$ |

Table 9: Question 4 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel: | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Neither safe nor unsafe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 85\% | $N=1237$ | 12\% | $N=178$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 0\% | $N=3$ | 0\% | $N=1$ | 100\% | $N=1464$ |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day | 67\% | $\mathrm{N}=976$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=372$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $N=1463$ |
| From property crime | 42\% | $N=614$ | 42\% | $N=616$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $N=1465$ |
| From violent crime | 60\% | $N=873$ | 30\% | $N=432$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=81$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $N=1460$ |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | 58\% | $N=851$ | 33\% | $N=480$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 1\% | $N=20$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |

Table 10: Question 5 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate the job you feel the Loudoun County community does at each of the following. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Making all residents feel welcome | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=304$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=662$ | 23\% | $N=313$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 100\% | $N=1362$ |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | 30\% | $N=402$ | 44\% | $N=583$ | 19\% | $N=248$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=88$ | 100\% | $N=1320$ |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds | 28\% | $N=373$ | 44\% | $N=585$ | 21\% | $N=282$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 100\% | $N=1317$ |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents (elderly, disabled, homeless, etc.) | 18\% | $N=196$ | 43\% | $N=460$ | 24\% | $N=261$ | 14\% | $N=149$ | 100\% | $N=1066$ |

Table 11: Question 5 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate the job you feel the Loudoun County community does at each of the following. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Making all residents feel welcome | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=304$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=662$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=313$ | 6\% | $N=83$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 100\% | $N=1465$ |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | 27\% | $N=402$ | 40\% | $N=583$ | 17\% | $N=248$ | 6\% | $N=88$ | 10\% | $N=141$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds | 26\% | $N=373$ | 40\% | $N=585$ | 19\% | $N=282$ | 5\% | $N=76$ | 10\% | $N=146$ | 100\% | $N=1462$ |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents (elderly, disabled, homeless, etc.) | 13\% | N=196 | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=460$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=261$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=149$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=397$ | 100\% | $N=1463$ |

Table 12: Question 6 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following in the Loudoun County community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 33\% | $N=472$ | 52\% | $N=752$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=190$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 100\% | $N=1440$ |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 31\% | $N=443$ | 49\% | $N=697$ | 17\% | $N=245$ | 4\% | $N=51$ | 100\% | $N=1436$ |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas | 23\% | $N=326$ | 44\% | $N=623$ | 26\% | $N=375$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 100\% | $N=1416$ |
| Employment opportunities | 20\% | $N=235$ | 46\% | $N=534$ | 25\% | $N=286$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 100\% | $N=1154$ |
| Shopping opportunities | 35\% | $N=508$ | 43\% | $N=634$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=258$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 17\% | $N=245$ | 40\% | $N=587$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=587$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=545$ | 47\% | $N=684$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=172$ | 3\% | $N=45$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |

Table 13: Question 6 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following in the Loudoun County community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County | 32\% | $N=472$ | 51\% | $N=752$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=190$ | 2\% | $N=26$ | 2\% | $N=24$ | 100\% | $N=1464$ |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Loudoun County | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=443$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=697$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1459$ |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas | 22\% | $N=326$ | 43\% | $N=623$ | 26\% | $N=375$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 3\% | $N=44$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Employment opportunities | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=235$ | 37\% | $N=534$ | 20\% | $N=286$ | 7\% | $N=99$ | 21\% | $N=306$ | 100\% | $N=1460$ |
| Shopping opportunities | 35\% | $N=508$ | 43\% | $N=634$ | 18\% | $N=258$ | 4\% | $N=58$ | 0\% | $N=5$ | 100\% | $N=1462$ |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 17\% | $N=245$ | 40\% | $N=587$ | 40\% | $N=587$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $N=1463$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County | 37\% | $N=545$ | 47\% | $N=684$ | 12\% | $N=172$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 100\% | $N=1465$ |

Table 14: Question 7 without "don't know" responses

| Please also rate each of the following in the Loudoun County community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=136$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=559$ | 35\% | $N=508$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=249$ | 100\% | $N=1452$ |
| Ease of public parking | 19\% | $N=268$ | 45\% | $N=630$ | 28\% | $N=391$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 100\% | $N=1412$ |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | 26\% | $N=375$ | 48\% | $N=699$ | 19\% | $N=276$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 100\% | $N=1451$ |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 6\% | $N=54$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=360$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=332$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=935$ |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ | 27\% | $N=291$ | 36\% | $N=386$ | 23\% | $N=249$ | 100\% | $N=1065$ |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=241$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=479$ | 31\% | $N=429$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=217$ | 100\% | $N=1366$ |
| Well-planned residential growth | 12\% | $N=161$ | 34\% | $N=457$ | 29\% | $N=388$ | 25\% | $N=341$ | 100\% | $N=1347$ |
| Well-planned commercial growth | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 35\% | $N=449$ | 33\% | $N=426$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=289$ | 100\% | $N=1300$ |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=220$ | 46\% | $N=641$ | 28\% | $N=390$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 100\% | $N=1403$ |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ | 40\% | $N=542$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=358$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 100\% | $N=1340$ |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=242$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=625$ | 30\% | $N=420$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 100\% | $N=1398$ |
| Variety of housing options | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 35\% | $N=486$ | 30\% | $N=422$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 100\% | $N=1404$ |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 5\% | $N=67$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=211$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=384$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=612$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1274$ |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ | 41\% | $N=542$ | 32\% | $N=417$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 100\% | $N=1316$ |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | 32\% | $N=472$ | 47\% | $N=689$ | 16\% | $N=234$ | 4\% | $N=59$ | 100\% | $N=1453$ |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=520$ | 50\% | $N=729$ | 12\% | $N=176$ | 2\% | $N=27$ | 100\% | $N=1453$ |
| Water resources (beaches, lakes, ponds, riverways, etc.) | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=574$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=398$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 100\% | $N=1345$ |
| Air quality | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=483$ | 52\% | $N=727$ | 13\% | $N=184$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $N=1409$ |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=455$ | 42\% | $N=600$ | 20\% | $N=277$ | 6\% | $N=81$ | 100\% | $N=1413$ |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | 47\% | $N=652$ | 19\% | $N=261$ | 5\% | $N=69$ | 100\% | $N=1389$ |
| Recreational opportunities | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=328$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=682$ | 23\% | $N=322$ | 4\% | $N=60$ | 100\% | $N=1392$ |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=358$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=645$ | 24\% | $N=350$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1442$ |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ | 47\% | $N=642$ | 23\% | $N=308$ | 6\% | $N=85$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1353$ |
| Availability of preventive health services | 27\% | $N=346$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=635$ | 20\% | $N=261$ | 5\% | $N=60$ | 100\% | $N=1303$ |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=332$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=261$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=903$ |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Technical Appendices

| Please also rate each of the following in the Loudoun County community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 38\% | $N=499$ | 35\% | $N=466$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=192$ | 100\% | $N=1319$ |
| Community support for the arts | 13\% | $N=143$ | 39\% | $N=440$ | 36\% | $N=410$ | 12\% | $N=141$ | 100\% | $N=1134$ |
| Availability of affordable quality childcare/preschool | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 27\% | $N=238$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 27\% | $N=244$ | 100\% | $N=890$ |
| K-12 education | 39\% | $N=451$ | 37\% | $N=431$ | 13\% | $N=155$ | 11\% | $N=124$ | 100\% | $N=1161$ |
| Adult educational opportunities | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=165$ | 42\% | $N=385$ | 30\% | $N=280$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 100\% | $N=921$ |
| Sense of civic/community pride | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ | 45\% | $N=608$ | 29\% | $N=394$ | 11\% | $N=150$ | 100\% | $N=1338$ |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=220$ | 43\% | $N=614$ | 30\% | $N=424$ | 11\% | $N=160$ | 100\% | $N=1417$ |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 15\% | $N=201$ | 46\% | $N=594$ | 30\% | $N=386$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 100\% | $N=1301$ |
| Opportunities to attend special events and festivals | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=248$ | 42\% | $N=555$ | 30\% | $N=394$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 100\% | $N=1328$ |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 51\% | $N=602$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 100\% | $N=1183$ |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=205$ | 46\% | $N=559$ | 28\% | $N=343$ | 9\% | $N=104$ | 100\% | $N=1211$ |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=298$ | 42\% | $N=541$ | 28\% | $N=358$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1291$ |

Table 15: Question 7 with "don't know" responses

| Please also rate each of the following in the Loudoun County community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 9\% | $N=136$ | 38\% | $N=559$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=508$ | 17\% | $N=249$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Ease of public parking | 18\% | $N=268$ | 43\% | $N=630$ | 27\% | $N=391$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $N=1453$ |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | 26\% | $N=375$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=699$ | 19\% | $N=276$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=1454$ |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County | 4\% | $N=54$ | 13\% | $N=188$ | 25\% | $N=360$ | 23\% | $N=332$ | 36\% | $N=522$ | 100\% | $N=1457$ |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 27\% | $N=386$ | 17\% | $N=249$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=389$ | 100\% | $N=1454$ |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | 17\% | $N=241$ | 33\% | $N=479$ | 29\% | $N=429$ | 15\% | $N=217$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=88$ | 100\% | $N=1455$ |
| Well-planned residential growth | 11\% | $N=161$ | 31\% | $N=457$ | 27\% | $N=388$ | 23\% | $N=341$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Well-planned commercial growth | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 31\% | $N=449$ | 29\% | $N=426$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=289$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | 15\% | $N=220$ | 44\% | $N=641$ | 27\% | $N=390$ | 10\% | $N=152$ | 4\% | $N=58$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community | 13\% | $N=188$ | 37\% | $N=542$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=358$ | 17\% | $N=252$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 100\% | $N=1460$ |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Technical Appendices

| Please also rate each of the following in the Loudoun County community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=242$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=625$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=420$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 4\% | N=62 | 100\% | $N=1460$ |
| Variety of housing options | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=252$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=486$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 4\% | N=53 | 100\% | $N=1457$ |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 5\% | $N=67$ | 14\% | $N=211$ | 26\% | $N=384$ | 42\% | $N=612$ | 13\% | $N=183$ | 100\% | $N=1457$ |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County | 12\% | $N=180$ | 37\% | $N=542$ | 29\% | $N=417$ | 12\% | $N=177$ | 10\% | $N=141$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | 32\% | $N=472$ | 47\% | $N=689$ | 16\% | $N=234$ | 4\% | $N=59$ | 0\% | $N=5$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=520$ | 50\% | $N=729$ | 12\% | $N=176$ | 2\% | $N=27$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $N=1454$ |
| Water resources (beaches, lakes, ponds, riverways, etc.) | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 39\% | $N=574$ | 27\% | $N=398$ | 12\% | $N=177$ | 8\% | $N=114$ | 100\% | $N=1459$ |
| Air quality | 33\% | $N=483$ | 50\% | $N=727$ | 13\% | $N=184$ | 1\% | $N=15$ | 3\% | $N=46$ | 100\% | $N=1455$ |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | 31\% | $N=455$ | 41\% | $N=600$ | 19\% | $N=277$ | 6\% | $N=81$ | 3\% | $N=46$ | 100\% | $N=1459$ |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=408$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=652$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=261$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1459$ |
| Recreational opportunities | 23\% | $N=328$ | 47\% | $N=682$ | 22\% | $N=322$ | 4\% | $N=60$ | 5\% | $N=66$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 24\% | $N=358$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=645$ | 24\% | $N=350$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 1\% | $N=20$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=642$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=308$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1458$ |
| Availability of preventive health services | 24\% | $N=346$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=635$ | 18\% | $N=261$ | 4\% | $N=60$ | 11\% | $N=158$ | 100\% | $N=1461$ |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 9\% | $N=131$ | 23\% | $N=332$ | 18\% | $N=261$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ | 38\% | $N=556$ | 100\% | $N=1459$ |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 34\% | $N=499$ | 32\% | $N=466$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=192$ | 10\% | $N=139$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Community support for the arts | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=143$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=440$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=410$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=141$ | 22\% | $N=323$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Availability of affordable quality childcare/preschool | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=238$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=244$ | 39\% | $N=560$ | 100\% | $N=1451$ |
| K-12 education | 31\% | $N=451$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=431$ | 11\% | $N=155$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=299$ | 100\% | $N=1460$ |
| Adult educational opportunities | 11\% | $N=165$ | 27\% | $N=385$ | 19\% | $N=280$ | 6\% | $N=90$ | 37\% | $N=530$ | 100\% | $N=1451$ |
| Sense of civic/community pride | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=608$ | 27\% | $N=394$ | 10\% | $N=150$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 100\% | $N=1455$ |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=220$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=614$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=424$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=160$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1455$ |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=201$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=594$ | 27\% | $N=386$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 11\% | $N=154$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1455$ |
| Opportunities to attend special events and festivals | 17\% | $N=248$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=555$ | 27\% | $N=394$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 9\% | $N=125$ | 100\% | $N=1454$ |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ | 17\% | $N=243$ | 5\% | $N=74$ | 19\% | $N=275$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Technical Appendices

| Please also rate each of the following in the Loudoun County community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 14\% | $N=205$ | 38\% | $N=559$ | 24\% | $N=343$ | 7\% | $N=104$ | 17\% | $N=245$ | 100\% | $N=1455$ |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=298$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=541$ | 25\% | $N=358$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |

Table 16: Question 8

| Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months. | No |  | Yes |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email, or web) for help or information | 46\% | $N=676$ | 54\% | $N=780$ | 100\% | $N=1457$ |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email, or web) to express your opinion | 67\% | $N=981$ | 33\% | $N=476$ | 100\% | $N=1457$ |
| Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.) | 68\% | N=985 | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=469$ | 100\% | $N=1454$ |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 55\% | $N=804$ | 45\% | $N=654$ | 100\% | $N=1457$ |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 56\% | $N=824$ | 44\% | $N=635$ | 100\% | $N=1460$ |
| Campaigned or advocated for a local issue, cause, or candidate | 76\% | $N=1100$ | 24\% | $N=355$ | 100\% | $N=1456$ |
| Voted in your most recent local election | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=142$ | 90\% | $\mathrm{N}=1316$ | 100\% | $N=1458$ |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public transportation instead of driving | 81\% | $N=1185$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=269$ | 100\% | $N=1454$ |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 69\% | $N=1007$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=447$ | 100\% | $N=1453$ |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 50\% | $N=733$ | 50\% | $N=724$ | 100\% | $N=1457$ |

Table 17: Question 9 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public information services | 21\% | $N=260$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=674$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=275$ | 5\% | $N=58$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1268$ |
| Economic development | 20\% | $N=250$ | 50\% | $N=611$ | 23\% | $N=276$ | 7\% | $N=85$ | 100\% | $N=1223$ |
| Traffic enforcement | 20\% | $N=262$ | 50\% | $N=672$ | 22\% | $N=298$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=106$ | 100\% | $N=1338$ |
| Traffic signal timing | 13\% | $N=189$ | 46\% | $N=654$ | 27\% | $N=386$ | 13\% | $N=186$ | 100\% | $N=1415$ |
| Street repair | 19\% | $N=267$ | 44\% | $N=623$ | 26\% | $N=372$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=143$ | 100\% | $N=1406$ |
| Street cleaning | 24\% | $N=321$ | 48\% | $N=629$ | 21\% | $N=275$ | 7\% | $N=98$ | 100\% | $N=1323$ |
| Street lighting | 18\% | $N=250$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=626$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=348$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=184$ | 100\% | $N=1407$ |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\top M}$ - Technical Appendices

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snow removal | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=309$ | 50\% | $N=686$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=279$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 100\% | $N=1385$ |
| Sidewalk maintenance | 20\% | $N=271$ | 51\% | $N=674$ | 21\% | $N=278$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 100\% | $N=1325$ |
| Bus or transit services | 12\% | $N=87$ | 34\% | $N=258$ | 31\% | $N=235$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 100\% | $N=756$ |
| Land use, planning and zoning | 9\% | $N=110$ | 34\% | $N=396$ | 34\% | $N=397$ | 23\% | $N=273$ | 100\% | $N=1175$ |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 18\% | $N=178$ | 43\% | $N=427$ | 28\% | $N=278$ | 12\% | $N=119$ | 100\% | $N=1002$ |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=538$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=280$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ | 100\% | $N=1364$ |
| Garbage collection | 33\% | $N=442$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=631$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=206$ | 6\% | $N=75$ | 100\% | $N=1354$ |
| Drinking water | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=504$ | 47\% | $N=650$ | 13\% | $N=176$ | 4\% | $N=48$ | 100\% | $N=1378$ |
| Sewer services | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=486$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=652$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 2\% | $N=31$ | 100\% | $N=1280$ |
| Storm water management (storm drainage, dams, levees, etc.) | 33\% | $N=415$ | 52\% | $N=657$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=153$ | 2\% | $N=30$ | 100\% | $N=1255$ |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | 38\% | $N=542$ | 51\% | $N=727$ | 9\% | $N=132$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 100\% | $N=1431$ |
| Utility billing | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=397$ | 49\% | $N=684$ | 18\% | $N=246$ | 5\% | $N=73$ | 100\% | $N=1400$ |
| Police/Sheriff services | 48\% | $N=649$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=534$ | 10\% | $N=137$ | 3\% | $N=36$ | 100\% | $N=1356$ |
| Crime prevention | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=513$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=605$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=171$ | 2\% | $N=33$ | 100\% | $N=1322$ |
| Animal control | 38\% | $N=414$ | 47\% | $N=517$ | 13\% | $N=144$ | 2\% | $N=22$ | 100\% | $N=1096$ |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 54\% | $N=597$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=452$ | 4\% | $N=49$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=1109$ |
| Fire services | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=666$ | 38\% | $N=436$ | 3\% | $N=35$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $N=1142$ |
| Fire prevention and education | 44\% | $N=447$ | 46\% | $N=466$ | 9\% | $N=90$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $N=1015$ |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=290$ | 46\% | $N=437$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=167$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=958$ |
| Preservation of natural areas (open space, farmlands, and greenbelts) | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=528$ | 23\% | $N=297$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=247$ | 100\% | $N=1305$ |
| Loudoun County open space | 19\% | $N=251$ | 38\% | $N=507$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=363$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 100\% | $N=1319$ |
| Recycling | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=296$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=133$ | 100\% | $N=1356$ |
| Yard waste pick-up | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=308$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=532$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=88$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1127$ |
| County parks | 32\% | $N=439$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=682$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=211$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 100\% | $N=1380$ |
| Recreation programs or classes | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=278$ | 47\% | $N=522$ | 22\% | $N=246$ | 5\% | $N=60$ | 100\% | $N=1106$ |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Technical Appendices

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 28\% | $N=348$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=600$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ | 5\% | $N=64$ | 100\% | $N=1244$ |
| Health services | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=335$ | 50\% | $N=597$ | 17\% | $N=207$ | 5\% | $N=63$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1202$ |
| Public library services | 52\% | $N=687$ | 39\% | $N=513$ | 8\% | $N=103$ | 1\% | $N=15$ | 100\% | $N=1317$ |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=507$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=653$ | 10\% | $N=126$ | 3\% | $N=33$ | 100\% | $N=1320$ |

Table 18: Question 9 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public information services | 18\% | $N=260$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=674$ | 19\% | $N=275$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=169$ | 100\% | $N=1437$ |
| Economic development | 17\% | $N=250$ | 42\% | $N=611$ | 19\% | $N=276$ | 6\% | $N=85$ | 15\% | $N=219$ | 100\% | $N=1442$ |
| Traffic enforcement | 18\% | $N=262$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=672$ | 21\% | $N=298$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=106$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=107$ | 100\% | $N=1445$ |
| Traffic signal timing | 13\% | $N=189$ | 45\% | $N=654$ | 27\% | $N=386$ | 13\% | $N=186$ | 2\% | $N=27$ | 100\% | $N=1442$ |
| Street repair | 19\% | $N=267$ | 43\% | $N=623$ | 26\% | $N=372$ | 10\% | $N=143$ | 3\% | $N=39$ | 100\% | $N=1445$ |
| Street cleaning | 22\% | $N=321$ | 43\% | $N=629$ | 19\% | $N=275$ | 7\% | $N=98$ | 9\% | $N=125$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
| Street lighting | 17\% | $N=250$ | 43\% | $N=626$ | 24\% | $N=348$ | 13\% | $N=184$ | 3\% | $N=40$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
| Snow removal | 21\% | $N=309$ | 48\% | $N=686$ | 19\% | $N=279$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 4\% | $N=58$ | 100\% | $N=1443$ |
| Sidewalk maintenance | 19\% | $N=271$ | 47\% | $N=674$ | 19\% | $N=278$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 8\% | $N=119$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| Bus or transit services | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 18\% | $N=258$ | 16\% | $N=235$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 48\% | $N=688$ | 100\% | $N=1443$ |
| Land use, planning and zoning | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ | 27\% | $N=396$ | 28\% | $N=397$ | 19\% | $N=273$ | 18\% | $N=267$ | 100\% | $N=1442$ |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 12\% | $N=178$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=427$ | 19\% | $N=278$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ | 30\% | $N=438$ | 100\% | $N=1440$ |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | 20\% | $N=283$ | 37\% | $N=538$ | 19\% | $N=280$ | 18\% | $N=263$ | 6\% | $N=82$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |
| Garbage collection | 31\% | $N=442$ | 44\% | $N=631$ | 14\% | $N=206$ | 5\% | $N=75$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| Drinking water | 35\% | $N=504$ | 45\% | $N=650$ | 12\% | $N=176$ | 3\% | $N=48$ | 5\% | $N=72$ | 100\% | $N=1450$ |
| Sewer services | 34\% | $N=486$ | 45\% | $N=652$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 11\% | $N=162$ | 100\% | $N=1442$ |
| Storm water management (storm drainage, dams, levees, etc.) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=415$ | 45\% | $N=657$ | 11\% | $N=153$ | 2\% | $N=30$ | 13\% | $N=192$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | 37\% | $N=542$ | 50\% | $N=727$ | 9\% | $N=132$ | 2\% | $N=29$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Technical Appendices

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility billing | 27\% | $N=397$ | 47\% | $N=684$ | 17\% | $N=246$ | 5\% | $N=73$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |
| Police/Sheriff services | 45\% | $N=649$ | 37\% | $N=534$ | 9\% | $N=137$ | 2\% | $N=36$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| Crime prevention | 35\% | $N=513$ | 42\% | $N=605$ | 12\% | $N=171$ | 2\% | $N=33$ | 9\% | $N=126$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
| Animal control | 29\% | $N=414$ | 36\% | $N=517$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 24\% | $N=349$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 41\% | $N=597$ | 31\% | $N=452$ | 3\% | $N=49$ | 1\% | $N=10$ | 23\% | $N=339$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
| Fire services | 46\% | $N=666$ | 30\% | $N=436$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 0\% | $N=5$ | 21\% | $N=306$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
| Fire prevention and education | 31\% | $N=447$ | 32\% | $N=466$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 1\% | $N=13$ | 30\% | $N=428$ | 100\% | $N=1443$ |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 20\% | $N=290$ | 30\% | $N=437$ | 12\% | $N=167$ | 4\% | $N=63$ | 33\% | $N=482$ | 100\% | $N=1440$ |
| Preservation of natural areas (open space, farmlands, and greenbelts) | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=233$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=528$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=297$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=247$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1439$ |
| Loudoun County open space | 17\% | $N=251$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=507$ | 25\% | $N=363$ | 14\% | $N=198$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 100\% | $N=1439$ |
| Recycling | 20\% | $N=296$ | 42\% | $N=602$ | 22\% | $N=324$ | 9\% | $N=133$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| Yard waste pick-up | 21\% | $N=308$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=532$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=198$ | 6\% | $N=88$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| County parks | 30\% | $N=439$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=682$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=211$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1445$ |
| Recreation programs or classes | 19\% | $N=278$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=522$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=246$ | 4\% | $N=60$ | 23\% | $N=336$ | 100\% | $N=1442$ |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 24\% | $N=348$ | 42\% | $N=600$ | 16\% | $N=232$ | 4\% | $N=64$ | 14\% | $N=200$ | 100\% | $N=1443$ |
| Health services | 23\% | $N=335$ | 42\% | $N=597$ | 14\% | $N=207$ | 4\% | $N=63$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ | 100\% | $N=1434$ |
| Public library services | 48\% | $N=687$ | 36\% | $N=513$ | 7\% | $N=103$ | 1\% | $N=15$ | 8\% | $N=120$ | 100\% | $N=1437$ |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 35\% | $N=507$ | 45\% | $N=653$ | 9\% | $N=126$ | 2\% | N=33 | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 100\% | $N=1442$ |

Table 19: Question 10 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate the following categories of Loudoun County government performance. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=228$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=587$ | 26\% | $N=367$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=204$ | 100\% | $N=1386$ |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=196$ | 41\% | $N=561$ | 27\% | $N=367$ | 19\% | $N=256$ | 100\% | $N=1380$ |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming resident involvement | 14\% | $N=170$ | 40\% | $N=473$ | 31\% | $N=371$ | 15\% | $N=176$ | 100\% | $N=1189$ |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=240$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=546$ | 24\% | $N=336$ | 18\% | $N=251$ | 100\% | $N=1372$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=228$ | 42\% | $N=580$ | 23\% | $N=315$ | 19\% | $N=258$ | 100\% | $N=1381$ |
| Being honest | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 42\% | $N=534$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=294$ | 17\% | $N=220$ | 100\% | $N=1273$ |
| Being open and transparent to the public | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=236$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=516$ | 23\% | $N=302$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 100\% | $N=1297$ |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | 18\% | $N=235$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=520$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=356$ | 15\% | $N=199$ | 100\% | $N=1310$ |
| Treating all residents fairly | 20\% | $N=246$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=472$ | 24\% | $N=287$ | 16\% | $N=197$ | 100\% | $N=1201$ |
| Treating residents with respect | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=554$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=255$ | 12\% | $N=147$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1265$ |

Table 20: Question 10 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate the following categories of Loudoun County government performance. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | 16\% | $N=228$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=587$ | 25\% | $N=367$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=204$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | 14\% | $N=196$ | 39\% | $N=561$ | 25\% | $N=367$ | 18\% | $N=256$ | 5\% | $N=67$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming resident involvement | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=170$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=473$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=371$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=176$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=253$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1443$ |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | 17\% | $N=240$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=546$ | 23\% | $N=336$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=251$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 16\% | $N=228$ | 40\% | $N=580$ | 22\% | $N=315$ | 18\% | $N=258$ | 5\% | $N=67$ | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
| Being honest | 16\% | $N=225$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=534$ | 20\% | $N=294$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=220$ | 12\% | $N=173$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| Being open and transparent to the public | 16\% | $N=236$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=516$ | 21\% | $N=302$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=243$ | 10\% | $N=147$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | 16\% | $N=235$ | 36\% | $N=520$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=356$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=199$ | 9\% | $N=131$ | 100\% | $N=1441$ |
| Treating all residents fairly | 17\% | $N=246$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=472$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=287$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=197$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ | 100\% | $N=1447$ |
| Treating residents with respect | 21\% | $N=310$ | 38\% | $N=554$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=255$ | 10\% | $N=147$ | 12\% | $N=177$ | 100\% | $N=1441$ |

Table 21: Question 11 without "don't know" responses

| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following? | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=719$ | 19\% | $N=271$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 100\% | $N=1407$ |
| The Federal Government | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=480$ | 35\% | $N=484$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=299$ | 100\% | $N=1380$ |

Table 22: Question 11 with "don't know" responses

| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following? | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=719$ | 19\% | $N=271$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 2\% | $N=35$ | 100\% | $N=1443$ |
| The Federal Government | 8\% | $N=117$ | 33\% | $N=480$ | 33\% | $N=484$ | 21\% | $N=299$ | 5\% | $N=73$ | 100\% | $N=1453$ |

Table 23: Question 12

| Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Loudoun County community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years. | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 48\% | $N=694$ | 38\% | $N=545$ | 12\% | $N=174$ | 2\% | $N=24$ | 100\% | $N=1436$ |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=535$ | 39\% | $N=568$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=312$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | 38\% | $N=546$ | 42\% | $N=597$ | 19\% | $N=277$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $N=1438$ |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ | 41\% | $N=585$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=232$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $N=1437$ |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=694$ | 38\% | $N=552$ | 12\% | $N=170$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 100\% | $N=1442$ |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=615$ | 42\% | $N=605$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=207$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=411$ | 47\% | $N=675$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ | 3\% | $N=37$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=470$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=591$ | 22\% | $N=322$ | 4\% | $N=56$ | 100\% | $N=1439$ |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=457$ | 38\% | $N=554$ | 26\% | $N=373$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 45\% | $N=651$ | 31\% | $N=447$ | 4\% | $N=62$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |

Table 24: Question 13 - Frequency of Use

| Thinking about the past 12 months, first, please indicate about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation. | 2 or more times per week |  | 2-4 times per month |  | Once a month or less |  | Not at all |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | 5\% | $N=72$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 6\% | $N=85$ | 87\% | $N=1243$ | 100\% | $N=1421$ |
| Metrorail | 3\% | $N=43$ | 3\% | $N=46$ | 28\% | $N=401$ | 66\% | $N=940$ | 100\% | $N=1430$ |
| Train, such as MARC | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 1\% | $N=9$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 95\% | $N=1345$ | 100\% | $N=1421$ |
| Van pool | 1\% | $N=10$ | 0\% | $N=4$ | 2\% | $N=30$ | 97\% | $N=1387$ | 100\% | $N=1431$ |
| Car pool | 5\% | $N=67$ | 7\% | $N=103$ | 13\% | $N=178$ | 75\% | $N=1067$ | 100\% | $N=1415$ |
| Taxi/hired cab/Uber/Lyft | 2\% | $N=29$ | 7\% | $N=96$ | 38\% | $N=536$ | 54\% | $N=763$ | 100\% | $N=1425$ |
| Gov't/nonprofit service (e.g., Dept. of Family Services, Volunteer Caregivers) | 1\% | N=12 | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 4\% | N=54 | 95\% | $\mathrm{N}=1349$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1427$ |
| Bike/walking trails | 39\% | $N=558$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=397$ | 19\% | $N=279$ | 14\% | $N=201$ | 100\% | $N=1435$ |

Table 25: Question 13 - Reason for Use

| Thinking about the past 12 months, first, please indicate about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation. | Work |  | Shopping |  | Sporting events |  | Recreation/ entertainment |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | 61\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=255$ |
| Metrorail | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=193$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=53$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=256$ | 100\% | $N=515$ |
| Train, such as MARC | 48\% | $N=66$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 40\% | $N=55$ | 100\% | $N=138$ |
| Van pool | 54\% | $N=58$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 32\% | $N=34$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ |
| Car pool | 41\% | $N=147$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 9\% | $N=31$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=139$ | 100\% | $N=360$ |
| Taxi/hired cab/Uber/Lyft | 27\% | $N=162$ | 9\% | $N=54$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 62\% | $N=372$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=602$ |
| Gov't/nonprofit service (e.g., Dept. of Family Services, Volunteer Caregivers) | 45\% | $N=44$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 6\% | $N=6$ | 32\% | $N=32$ | 100\% | $N=99$ |
| Bike/walking trails | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 91\% | $\mathrm{N}=999$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1092$ |

Table 26: Question 14

| In what ways has the COVID-19 pandemic impacted you or other members of your household? Check all that apply. | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Become unemployed | 14\% | $N=178$ |
| Have had work hours reduced | 21\% | $N=264$ |
| Began or increased teleworking | 74\% | $N=942$ |
| Difficulty paying rent/mortgage | 9\% | $N=114$ |
| Difficulty paying utilities or household bills | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ |
| Lack of technology for work or schooling | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=156$ |
| Accessed food bank services | 3\% | $N=43$ |
| Decreased access to health care services | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=204$ |
| Other | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=303$ |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ as respondents could select more than one option.
Table 27: Question 15 - Importance

| First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth/development | 29\% | $N=409$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=421$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=422$ | 11\% | $N=159$ | 100\% | $N=1410$ |
| Schools | 56\% | $N=801$ | 28\% | $N=407$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=167$ | 4\% | $N=56$ | 100\% | $N=1432$ |
| Crime/drug use | 35\% | $N=503$ | 40\% | $N=578$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 100\% | $N=1436$ |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 32\% | $N=453$ | 43\% | $N=609$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ | 3\% | $N=47$ | 100\% | $N=1425$ |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 47\% | $N=670$ | 34\% | $N=483$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=217$ | 4\% | $N=59$ | 100\% | $N=1429$ |
| Employment opportunities | 33\% | $N=470$ | 44\% | $N=624$ | 20\% | $N=289$ | 3\% | $N=50$ | 100\% | $N=1433$ |
| Traffic | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=601$ | 38\% | $N=540$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=257$ | 3\% | $N=36$ | 100\% | $N=1435$ |
| Road maintenance | 33\% | $N=468$ | 45\% | $N=636$ | 21\% | $N=300$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 100\% | $N=1429$ |
| Trails/bike paths | 19\% | $N=276$ | 34\% | $N=487$ | 39\% | $N=559$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 100\% | $N=1431$ |
| Increased broadband access | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=492$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=469$ | 24\% | $N=337$ | 9\% | $N=136$ | 100\% | $N=1434$ |

Table 28: Question 15 - Willingness to Pay

| Then, indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. | Yes |  | No |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth/development | 22\% | $N=308$ | 78\% | $\mathrm{N}=1072$ | 100\% | $N=1380$ |
| Schools | 57\% | $N=798$ | 43\% | $N=590$ | 100\% | $N=1388$ |
| Crime/drug use | 42\% | $N=590$ | 58\% | $N=807$ | 100\% | $N=1397$ |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime | 47\% | $N=643$ | 53\% | $N=738$ | 100\% | $N=1381$ |
| Cost of living/cost of housing | 35\% | $N=480$ | 65\% | $\mathrm{N}=900$ | 100\% | $N=1379$ |
| Employment opportunities | 29\% | $N=396$ | 71\% | $N=979$ | 100\% | $N=1375$ |
| Traffic | 47\% | $N=642$ | 53\% | $N=734$ | 100\% | $N=1376$ |
| Road maintenance | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=686$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=699$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1385$ |
| Trails/bike paths | 39\% | $N=540$ | 61\% | $\mathrm{N}=840$ | 100\% | $N=1380$ |
| Increased broadband access | 34\% | $N=474$ | 66\% | $\mathrm{N}=903$ | 100\% | $N=1376$ |

Table 29: Question D1 without "don't know" responses

| Thinking about a typical week, how many times do you: | Several times a day |  | Once a day |  | A few times a week |  | Every few weeks |  | Less often or never |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer | 92\% | $N=1342$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ | 2\% | $N=31$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1452$ |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | 92\% | $N=1322$ | 3\% | $N=40$ | 2\% | $N=32$ | 0\% | $N=6$ | 3\% | $N=41$ | 100\% | $N=1442$ |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, etc. | 65\% | N=935 | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=106$ | 4\% | $N=56$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1439$ |
| Use or check email | 90\% | $\mathrm{N}=1299$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=97$ | 2\% | $N=30$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| Share your opinions online | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 6\% | $N=84$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 17\% | $N=241$ | 49\% | $N=692$ | 100\% | $N=1425$ |
| Shop online | 17\% | $N=243$ | 9\% | $N=134$ | 41\% | $N=599$ | 25\% | $N=367$ | 7\% | $N=103$ | 100\% | $N=1446$ |

Table 30: Question D1 with "don't know" responses

| Thinking about a typical week, how many times do you: | Several times a day |  | Once a day |  | A few times a week |  | Every few weeks |  | Less often or never |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer | 92\% | $N=1342$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=59$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1453$ |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | 92\% | $N=1322$ | 3\% | $N=40$ | 2\% | $N=32$ | 0\% | $N=6$ | $3 \%$ | $N=41$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, etc. | 65\% | $\mathrm{N}=935$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=154$ | 7\% | N=106 | 4\% | N=56 | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=187$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1449$ |
| Use or check email | 90\% | $N=1299$ | 7\% | $N=97$ | 2\% | $N=30$ | 0\% | $N=6$ | 1\% | $N=11$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=1444$ |
| Share your opinions online | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 6\% | $N=84$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=183$ | 17\% | $N=241$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=692$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=1445$ |
| Shop online | 17\% | $N=243$ | 9\% | $N=134$ | 41\% | $N=599$ | 25\% | $N=367$ | 7\% | $N=103$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $N=1450$ |

Table 31: Question D2

| Please rate your overall health. | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | Number |  |
| Very good | $31 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=444$ |
| Good | $44 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=636$ |
| Fair | $20 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=289$ |
| Poor | $5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=68$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{F}=15$ |  |

Table 32: Question D3

| What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be: | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very positive | 5\% | $N=78$ |
| Somewhat positive | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=308$ |
| Neutral | 54\% | $N=776$ |
| Somewhat negative | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=219$ |
| Very negative | 5\% | $N=67$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1448$ |
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Table 33: Question D4

| How many years have you lived in Loudoun County? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2 years | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ |
| 2 to 5 years | 20\% | $N=295$ |
| 6 to 10 years | 16\% | $N=226$ |
| 11 to 20 years | 29\% | $N=427$ |
| More than 20 years | 27\% | $N=387$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1454$ |

Table 34: Question D5

| Which best describes the building you live in? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One family house detached from any other houses | $55 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=808$ |
| Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium) | $\mathrm{N}=635$ |  |
| Mobile home | N, |  |
| Other | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=7$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | N |

Table 35: Question D6

|  | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Do you rent or own your home? | $20 \%$ | $N$ |
| Rent | Number |  |
| Own | $80 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=290$ |
| Total | 1174 |  |

## Table 36: Question D7

| About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and homeowners' association (HOA) fees)? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$500 per month | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=27$ |
| \$500 to \$999 per month | 4\% | $N=62$ |
| \$1,000 to \$1,499 per month | 6\% | $N=91$ |
| \$1,500 to \$1,999 per month | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=230$ |
| \$2,000 to \$2,499 per month | 21\% | $N=299$ |
| \$2,500 to \$2,999 per month | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=282$ |
| \$3,000 to \$3,499 per month | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ |
| \$3,500 or more per month | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=245$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1421$ |

Table 37: Question D8

| Do any children 17 or under live in your household? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | $52 \%$ |  |
| Yes | $\mathrm{N}=751$ |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=693$ |  |

Table 38: Question D9

| Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older? | Percent | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | $79 \%$ |  |
| Yes | $N=1144$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{N}=304$ |  |

Table 39: Question D10

| How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all persons living in your household.) | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$25,000 | 3\% | $N=37$ |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999 | 6\% | $N=79$ |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 9\% | $N=120$ |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 13\% | $N=176$ |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 22\% | $N=301$ |
| \$150,000 or more | 48\% | $N=665$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1376$ |

Table 40: Question D11

| Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | 89\% | $N=1274$ |
| Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | 11\% | $N=152$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1426$ |

Table 41: Question D12

| What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.) | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 2\% | $N=24$ |
| Asian, Asian Indian, or Pacific Islander | 10\% | $N=137$ |
| Black or African American | 4\% | $N=56$ |
| White | 83\% | $N=1183$ |
| Other | 18\% | $N=262$ |

[^2]Table 42: Question D13

| In which category is your age? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 to 24 years | 2\% | $N=28$ |
| 25 to 34 years | 24\% | N=351 |
| 35 to 44 years | 23\% | $N=339$ |
| 45 to 54 years | 27\% | N=396 |
| 55 to 64 years | 10\% | $N=145$ |
| 65 to 74 years | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ |
| 75 years or older | 6\% | $N=83$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=1462$ |

Table 43: Question D14

| What is your gender? | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $52 \%$ | Number |
| Male | $47 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=761$ |
| Identify in another way | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=691$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=6$ |

## Appendix B: Benchmark Comparisons

## Comparison Data

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in surveys from over 600 communities whose residents evaluated the same kinds of topics on The National Community Survey. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each community; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. The communities in the database represent a wide geographic and population range. Loudoun County chose to have comparisons made to the entire database and a subset of similar jurisdictions from the database selected by County staff for having characteristics similar to those of Loudoun County.

## Interpreting the Results

Ratings are compared when there are at least five communities in which a similar question was asked. Where comparisons are available, four columns are provided in the table. The first column is Loudoun County's "percent positive." The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe," etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating "yes" or participating in an activity at least once a month. The second column is the rank assigned to Loudoun County's rating among communities where a similar question was asked. The third column is the number of communities that asked a similar question. The final column shows the comparison of Loudoun County's rating to the benchmark.

In that final column, Loudoun County's results are noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark or "similar" to the benchmark, meaning that the average rating given by Loudoun County residents is

| Benchmark Database Characteristics |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Region | Percent |
| New England | $3 \%$ |
| Middle Atlantic | $5 \%$ |
| East North Central | $15 \%$ |
| West North Central | $13 \%$ |
| South Atlantic | $22 \%$ |
| East South Central | $3 \%$ |
| West South Central | $7 \%$ |
| Mountain | $16 \%$ |
| Pacific | $16 \%$ |
| Population | Percent |
| Less than 10,000 | $10 \%$ |
| 10,000 to 24,999 | $22 \%$ |
| 25,000 to 49,999 | $23 \%$ |
| 50,000 to 99,999 | $22 \%$ |
| 100,000 or more | $23 \%$ | statistically similar to or different (greater or lesser) than the benchmark. Being rated as "higher" or "lower" than the benchmark means that Loudoun County's average rating for a particular item was more than 10 points different than the benchmark. If a rating was "much higher" or "much lower," then Loudoun County's average rating was more than 20 points different when compared to the benchmark.

## National Benchmark Comparisons

Table 44: Quality of Life

| Quality of Life Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun <br> County | $85 \%$ | 72 | 355 | Higher |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun <br> County | $87 \%$ | 140 | 441 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | $90 \%$ | 119 | 385 | Similar |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to <br> someone who asks | $85 \%$ | 176 | 297 | Similar |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next <br> five years | $83 \%$ | 184 | 290 | Similar |

Table 45: Governance

| Governance Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County <br> government | $57 \%$ | 126 | 285 | Similar |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is <br> taking | $55 \%$ | 209 |  | 329 |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to <br> Loudoun County | $59 \%$ | 138 |  | Similar |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the <br> community | $58 \%$ | 147 |  | 392 |

Table 46: Economy

| Economy Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | $87 \%$ | 30 | 283 | Higher |
| Economic development | $70 \%$ | 47 | 293 | Higher |
| Overall quality of business and service <br> establishments in Loudoun County | $85 \%$ | 17 | 286 | Higher |
| Variety of business and service establishments <br> in Loudoun County | $79 \%$ | 7 | 51 | Higher |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas | $67 \%$ | 61 | 264 | Higher |
| Shopping opportunities | $78 \%$ | 32 | 304 | Higher |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | $80 \%$ | 69 | 301 | Higher |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | $79 \%$ | 60 | 366 | Higher |
| Employment opportunities | $67 \%$ | 27 | 318 | Higher |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | $20 \%$ | 247 | 281 | Lower |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | $27 \%$ | 182 | 272 | Similar |
| NOT experiencing housing costs stress | $74 \%$ | 82 | 265 | Similar |

Table 47: Mobility

| Mobility Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the transportation system <br> in Loudoun County | $50 \%$ | 258 | 288 | Lower |
| Traffic flow on major streets | $48 \%$ | 168 | 341 | Similar |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | $74 \%$ | 100 | 317 | Similar |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in <br> Loudoun County | $26 \%$ | 181 |  |  |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | $40 \%$ | 220 | 354 | Similar |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | $53 \%$ | 233 | 317 | Similar |
| Ease of public parking | $64 \%$ | 86 | 318 | Similar |
| Bus or transit services | $46 \%$ | 153 | 251 | Similar |
| Traffic enforcement | $70 \%$ | 120 | 249 | Similar |
| Traffic signal timing | $60 \%$ | 81 | 366 | Similar |
| Street repair | $63 \%$ | 55 | 278 | Similar |
| Street cleaning | $72 \%$ | 94 | 360 | Higher |
| Street lighting | $62 \%$ | 168 | 319 | 339 |
| Snow removal | $72 \%$ | 105 | 278 | Similar |
| Sidewalk maintenance | $71 \%$ | 51 | 316 | Similar |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public | $19 \%$ | 104 | 233 | Higher |
| transportation instead of driving   |  |  |  |  |
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| Mobility Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carpooled with other adults or children <br> instead of driving alone | $31 \%$ | 258 | 266 | Lower |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | $50 \%$ | 190 | 273 | Similar |

Table 48: Community Design

| Community Design Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's <br> residential and commercial areas | $61 \%$ | 136 | 278 | Similar |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | $80 \%$ | 120 | 349 | Similar |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | $92 \%$ | 38 | 318 | Similar |
| Overall quality of new development in <br> Loudoun County | $55 \%$ | 159 | 303 | Similar |
| Well-planned residential growth | $46 \%$ | 41 | 55 | Similar |
| Well-planned commercial growth | $45 \%$ | 32 | 55 | Similar |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | $61 \%$ | 28 | 56 | Similar |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural <br> character of the community | $54 \%$ | 41 | 50 | Similar |
| Public places where people want to spend time | $62 \%$ | 158 | 273 | Similar |
| Variety of housing options | $53 \%$ | 134 | 291 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | $22 \%$ | 255 | 315 | Lower |
| Land use, planning, and zoning | $43 \%$ | 199 | 307 | Similar |
| Code enforcement | $60 \%$ | 81 | 374 | Similar |

Table 49: Utilities

| Utilities Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure <br> in Loudoun County | $84 \%$ | 11 | 52 | Similar |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | $60 \%$ | 18 | 49 | Similar |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | $89 \%$ | 17 | 205 | Similar |
| Garbage collection | $79 \%$ | 228 | 345 | Similar |
| Drinking water | $84 \%$ | 56 | 311 | Similar |
| Sewer services | $89 \%$ | 28 | 313 | Similar |
| Storm water management | $85 \%$ | 13 | 338 | Higher |
| Utility billing | $77 \%$ | 58 | 249 | Similar |

Table 50: Safety

| Safety Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | $89 \%$ | 106 | 363 | Similar |
| Police/Sheriff services | $87 \%$ | 70 | 430 | Similar |
| Crime prevention | $85 \%$ | 53 | 361 | Higher |
| Animal control | $85 \%$ | 5 | 329 | Higher |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | $95 \%$ | 71 | 333 | Similar |
| Fire services | $96 \%$ | 53 | 371 | Similar |
| Fire prevention and education | $90 \%$ | 26 | 294 | Similar |
| Emergency preparedness | $76 \%$ | 41 | 291 | Similar |
| In your neighborhood during the day | $97 \%$ | 69 | 356 | Similar |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial |  |  |  |  |
| areas during the day | $95 \%$ | 111 | 331 | Similar |
| From property crime | $85 \%$ | 19 | 65 | Similar |
| From violent crime | $90 \%$ | 23 | 63 | Similar |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | $92 \%$ | 7 | 52 | Similar |

Table 51: Natural Environment

| Natural Environment Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of natural environment in <br> Loudoun County | $79 \%$ | 153 | 289 | Similar |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | $86 \%$ | 84 | 314 | Similar |
| Water resources | $57 \%$ | 34 | 48 | Similar |
| Air quality | $86 \%$ | 88 | 263 | Similar |
| Preservation of natural areas | $58 \%$ | 173 | 267 | Similar |
| Loudoun County open space | $57 \%$ | 157 | 257 | Similar |
| Recycling | $66 \%$ | 277 | 350 | Similar |
| Yard waste pick-up | $75 \%$ | 161 | 280 | Similar |

Table 52: Parks and Recreation

| Parks and Recreation Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation <br> opportunities | $77 \%$ | 34 | 52 | Similar |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | $75 \%$ | 106 | 319 | Similar |
| County parks | $81 \%$ | 173 | 319 | Similar |
| Recreational opportunities | $73 \%$ | 118 | 303 | Similar |
| Recreation programs or classes | $72 \%$ | 141 | 323 | Similar |
| Recreation centers or facilities | $76 \%$ | 89 | 290 | Similar |
| Fitness opportunities | $76 \%$ | 101 | 269 | Similar |

Table 53: Health and Wellness

| Health and Wellness Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities <br> in Loudoun County | $79 \%$ | 77 | 281 | Similar |
| Health services | $78 \%$ | 64 | 244 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality health <br> care | $71 \%$ | 86 | 277 | Similar |
| Availability of preventive health services | $75 \%$ | 56 | 259 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality mental <br> health care | $51 \%$ | 98 | 254 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality food | $70 \%$ | 95 | 264 | Similar |
| In very good to excellent health | $94 \%$ | 41 | 268 | Similar |

Table 54: Education, Arts, and Culture

| Education, Arts, and Culture Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall opportunities for education, <br> culture, and the arts | $66 \%$ | 145 | 280 | Similar |
| Opportunities to attend <br> cultural/arts/music activities | $50 \%$ | 204 | 302 | Similar |
| Opportunities to attend special events <br> and festivals | $60 \%$ | 187 | 297 | Similar |
| Community support for the arts | $51 \%$ | 32 | 52 | Similar |
| Public library services | $91 \%$ | 57 | 330 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality child <br> care/preschool | $39 \%$ | 197 | 274 | Similar |
| K-12 education | $76 \%$ | 122 | 279 | Similar |
| Adult educational opportunities | $60 \%$ | 111 | 261 | Similar |

Table 55: Inclusivity and Engagement

| Inclusivity and Engagement Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residents' connection and engagement with <br> their community | $57 \%$ | 33 | 52 | Similar |
| Sense of community | $60 \%$ | 191 | 313 | Similar |
| Sense of civic/community pride | $59 \%$ | 33 | 52 | Similar |
| Neighborliness of Loudoun County | $59 \%$ | 186 | 274 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | $89 \%$ | 113 | 382 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | $41 \%$ | 340 | 366 | Lower |
| Openness and acceptance of the community <br> toward people of diverse backgrounds | $65 \%$ | 76 | 308 | Similar |
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| Inclusivity and Engagement Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Making all residents feel welcome | $71 \%$ | 29 | 52 | Similar |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | $75 \%$ | 3 | 52 | Higher |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse <br> backgrounds | $73 \%$ | 8 | 52 | Similar |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents | $62 \%$ | 28 | 52 | Similar |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and <br> activities | $61 \%$ | 150 | 279 | Similar |
| Opportunities to volunteer | $73 \%$ | 124 | 281 | Similar |
| Opportunities to participate in community <br> matters | $63 \%$ | 149 | 287 | Similar |

Table 56: Participation

| Participation Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contacted Loudoun County for help or <br> information | $54 \%$ | 57 | 340 | Similar |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials to <br> express your opinion | $33 \%$ | 11 |  | 272 |
| Attended a local public meeting | $32 \%$ | 26 | 278 | Higher |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public <br> meeting | $45 \%$ | 6 |  | Higher |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity <br> in Loudoun County | $44 \%$ | 86 | 249 | Much higher |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause <br> or candidate | $24 \%$ | 96 | 280 | Similar |
| Voted in your most recent local election | $90 \%$ | 4 | 261 | Similar |
| Access the internet from your home using a <br> computer, laptop or tablet computer | $99 \%$ | 2 | 54 | Higher |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | $97 \%$ | 1 | 52 | Similar |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, <br> Twitter, WhatsApp, etc. | $83 \%$ | 10 | 52 | Similar |
| Use or check email | $99 \%$ | 5 | 52 | Similar |
| Share your opinions online | $35 \%$ | 14 | 52 | Similar |
| Shop online | $67 \%$ | 4 | 52 | Similar |

Table 57: Focus Areas

| Importance Items | Percent essential or very important | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | 86\% | 166 | 261 | Similar |
| Overall quality of the transportation system in Loudoun County | 76\% | 110 | 261 | Similar |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas | 79\% | 53 | 261 | Similar |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County | 83\% | 38 | 52 | Similar |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | 86\% | 211 | 261 | Similar |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County | 85\% | 75 | 261 | Similar |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 75\% | 44 | 52 | Similar |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County | 74\% | 138 | 260 | Similar |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 70\% | 205 | 261 | Similar |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 65\% | 256 | 261 | Lower |

## Communities included in national comparisons

The communities included in Loudoun County's comparisons are listed on the following pages along with their population according to the American Community Survey (ACS) 2017 5-year estimates.
Adams County, CO. ..... 487,850
Airway Heights city, WA ..... 8,017
Albemarle County, VA ..... 105,105
Albert Lea city, MN ..... 17,716
Alexandria city, VA ..... 154,710
Allegan County, MI ..... 114,145
American Canyon city, CA ..... 20,341
Ankeny city, IA ..... 56,237
Ann Arbor city, MI ..... 119,303
Apache Junction city, AZ. ..... 38,452
Arapahoe County, CO. ..... 626,612
Arlington city, TX ..... 388,225
Arvada city, CO ..... 115,320
Asheville city, NC ..... 89,318
Ashland city, OR ..... 20,733
Ashland town, MA ..... 17,478
Ashland town, VA ..... 7,554
Aspen city, CO ..... 7,097
Athens-Clarke County, GA ..... 122,292
Auburn city, AL ..... 61,462
Aurora city, CO ..... 357,323
Austin city, TX ..... 916,906
Avon town, CO ..... 6,503
Avon town, IN ..... 16,479
Avondale city, AZ ..... 81,590
Azusa city, CA ..... 49,029
Bainbridge Island city, WA ..... 23,689
Baltimore city, MD. ..... 619,796
Baltimore County, MD ..... 828,637
Basehor city, KS ..... 5,401
Batavia city, IL ..... 26,499
Battle Creek city, MI ..... 51,505
Bay Village city, OH ..... 15,426
Baytown city, TX ..... 76,205
Beaumont city, CA ..... 43,641
Bellingham city, WA. ..... 85,388
Bend city, OR ..... 87,167
Bethlehem township, PA ..... 23,800
Bettendorf city, IA ..... 35,293
Billings city, MT ..... 109,082
Bloomington city, IN . ..... 83,636
Bloomington city, MN ..... 85,417

| Bo | 220,859 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bonner Springs city, KS. | 7,644 |
| Boulder city, CO .. | 106,271 |
| Bowling Green city, KY | .64,302 |
| Bozeman city, MT | 43,132 |
| Brookline CDP, MA | 59,246 |
| Brooklyn Center city, MN. | 30,885 |
| Brooklyn city, OH. | 10,891 |
| Broomfield city, CO | ...64,283 |
| Brownsburg town, IN | 24,625 |
| Buffalo Grove village, IL | 41,551 |
| Burlingame city, CA. | 30,401 |
| Cabarrus County, NC | 196,716 |
| Cambridge city, MA. | .110,893 |
| Canandaigua city, NY | .10,402 |
| Cannon Beach city, OR | .. 1,517 |
| Cañon City city, CO. | 16,298 |
| Cape Coral city, FL | 173,679 |
| Carlsbad city, CA. | .113,147 |
| Cartersville city, GA | 20,235 |
| Cary town, NC. | .159,715 |
| Castle Rock town, CO | .57,274 |
| Cedar Hill city, TX. | .48,149 |
| Cedar Park city, TX | 70,010 |
| Cedar Rapids city, IA. | 130,330 |
| Celina city, TX . | ....7,910 |
| Centennial city, CO | .108,448 |
| Chandler city, TX. | ... 2,896 |
| Chanhassen city, MN | 25,108 |
| Chapel Hill town, NC | ..59,234 |
| Chardon city, OH. | ...5,166 |
| Charles County, MD | .156,021 |
| Charlotte County, FL | .173,236 |
| Charlottesville city, VA | ..46,487 |
| Chattanooga city, TN. | 176,291 |
| Chautauqua town, NY | ..4,362 |
| Chesterfield County, VA | .335,594 |
| Clayton city, MO | .. 16,214 |
| Clearwater city, FL | .112,794 |
| Clinton city, SC | .... 8,538 |
| Clive city, IA. | .17,134 |
| Clovis city, CA. | 104,411 |
| College Park city, MD. | .32,186 |
| College Station city, TX. | .107,445 |
| Colleyville city, TX. | .25,557 |
| Collinsville city, IL | 24,767 |
| Columbia city, MO | .118,620 |
| Commerce City city, CO. | .52,905 |
| Conshohocken borough, PA. | ...7,985 |
| Coolidge city, AZ | ...12,221 |
| Coon Rapids city, MN | ...62,342 |
| Coral Springs city, FL | .130,110 |
| Coronado city, CA | .24,053 |
| Corvallis city, OR | 56,224 |
| Cottonwood Heights city, UT | .34,214 |
| Coventry Lake CDP, CT. | 2,932 |
| Coventry town, CT. | .. 12,458 |
| Cupertino city, CA | ..60,687 |
| Dacono city, CO.. | ...4,929 |
| Dakota County, MN | 414,655 |
| Dallas city, OR. | .. 15,413 |
| Dallas city, TX. | ..1,300,122 |
| Danvers town, MA . | .....27,527 |
| Danville city, KY . | ... 16,657 |
| Darien city, IL . | ...22,206 |
| Davidson town, NC.. | ....12,325 |

Dayton city, OH ..... 140,939
Dayton town, WY ..... 815
Dearborn city, MI ..... 95,295
Decatur city, GA ..... 22,022
DeLand city, FL ..... 30,315
Delaware city, OH ..... 38,193
Denison city, TX ..... 23,342
Denton city, TX ..... 131,097
Denver city, CO ..... 678,467
Des Moines city, IA ..... 214,778
Des Peres city, MO ..... 8,536
Destin city, FL ..... 13,421
Dothan city, AL ..... 67,784
Dover city, NH ..... 30,901
Dublin city, CA ..... 57,022
Dublin city, OH ..... 44,442
Duluth city, MN ..... 86,066
Durham city, NC ..... 257,232
Durham County, NC ..... 300,865
Dyer town, IN ..... 16,077
Eagan city, MN ..... 66,102
Eagle Mountain city, UT ..... 27,773
Eau Claire city, WI ..... 67,945
Eden Prairie city, MN ..... 63,660
Eden town, VT ..... 1,254
Edgewater city, CO ..... 5,299
Edina city, MN ..... 50,603
Edmond city, OK ..... 89,769
Edmonds city, WA. ..... 41,309
El Cerrito city, CA ..... 24,982
El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles) city, CA ..... 31,409
Elgin city, IL ..... 112,628
Elk Grove city, CA ..... 166,228
Elmhurst city, IL ..... 46,139
Englewood city, CO ..... 33,155
Erie town, CO ..... 22,019
Escambia County, FL ..... 309,924
Estes Park town, CO. ..... 6,248
Euclid city, OH ..... 47,698
Farmers Branch city, TX ..... 33,808
Farmersville city, TX ..... 3,440
Farmington Hills city, MI. ..... 81,235
Fate city, TX ..... 10,339
Fayetteville city, GA ..... 17,069
Fayetteville city, NC ..... 210,324
Ferguson township, PA ..... 18,837
Fernandina Beach city, FL ..... 11,957
Flower Mound town, TX ..... 71,575
Forest Grove city, OR ..... 23,554
Fort Collins city, CO ..... 159,150
Franklin city, TN ..... 72,990
Frederick town, CO ..... 11,397
Fremont city, CA ..... 230,964
Frisco town, CO ..... 2,977
Fruita city, CO ..... 13,039
Gahanna city, OH ..... 34,691
Gaithersburg city, MD. ..... 67,417
Galveston city, TX ..... 49,706
Gardner city, KS ..... 21,059
Germantown city, TN ..... 39,230
Gilbert town, AZ ..... 232,176
Gillette city, WY ..... 31,783
Glen Ellyn village, IL ..... 27,983
Glendora city, CA ..... 51,891
Glenview village, IL ..... 47,066
Golden city, CO ..... 20,365

Grafton village, WI ..... 11,576
Grand Rapids city, MI. ..... 195,355
Grand Traverse County, MI ..... 91,222
Greeley city, CO ..... 100,760
Greenville city, NC ..... 90,347
Greer city, SC. ..... 28,587
Gulf Breeze city, FL ..... 6,251
Gunnison County, CO ..... 16,215
Haltom City city, TX ..... 44,059
Hamilton city, OH ..... 62,216
Hamilton town, MA ..... 7,991
Hampton city, VA ..... 136,255
Hanover County, VA ..... 103,218
Harrisburg city, SD ..... 5,429
Hastings city, MN ..... 22,620
Henderson city, NV ..... 284,817
High Point city, NC ..... 109,849
Highland Park city, IL ..... 29,796
Highlands Ranch CDP, CO ..... 105,264
Homer Glen village, IL ..... 24,403
Honolulu County, HI ..... 990,060
Hopkinton town, MA ..... 16,720
Hoquiam city, WA ..... 8,416
Horry County, SC ..... 310,186
Hudson town, CO ..... 1,709
Huntley village, IL ..... 26,265
Huntsville city, TX ..... 40,727
Hutchinson city, MN ..... 13,836
Hutto city, TX ..... 22,644
Hyattsville city, MD ..... 18,225
Independence city, IA ..... 6,013
Independence city, MO ..... 117,369
Indio city, CA ..... 86,867
Iowa City city, IA ..... 73,415
Issaquah city, WA ..... 35,629
Jackson city, MO ..... 14,690
Jackson County, MI. ..... 158,989
Jefferson Parish, LA ..... 437,038
Jerome city, ID ..... 11,306
Johnson City city, TN ..... 65,598
Johnston city, IA ..... 20,172
Jupiter town, FL ..... 62,373
Kalamazoo city, MI ..... 75,833
Kansas City city, KS ..... 151,042
Kansas City city, MO ..... 476,974
Kent city, WA ..... 126,561
Kerrville city, TX. ..... 22,931
Key West city, FL ..... 25,316
King City city, CA ..... 13,721
Kingman city, AZ ..... 28,855
Kirkland city, WA ..... 86,772
Kirkwood city, MO ..... 27,659
La Mesa city, CA ..... 59,479
La Plata town, MD ..... 9,160
La Vista city, NE ..... 17,062
Lake Forest city, IL ..... 18,931
Lake in the Hills village, IL ..... 28,908
Lake Zurich village, IL ..... 19,983
Lakeville city, MN ..... 61,056
Lakewood city, CO ..... 151,411
Lakewood city, WA ..... 59,102
Lancaster County, SC ..... 86,544
Laramie city, WY ..... 32,104
Larimer County, CO ..... 330,976
Las Cruces city, NM ..... 101,014
Las Vegas city, NM ..... 13,445
Las Vegas city, NV ..... 621,662
Lawrence city, KS ..... 93,954
Lawrenceville city, GA ..... 29,287
Lehi city, UT ..... 58,351
Lenexa city, KS ..... 52,030
Lewisville city, TX ..... 103,638
Libertyville village, IL ..... 20,504
Lincolnwood village, IL ..... 12,637
Lindsborg city, KS ..... 3,313
Little Chute village, WI. ..... 11,006
Littleton city, CO. ..... 45,848
Livermore city, CA ..... 88,232
Lombard village, IL ..... 43,776
Lone Tree city, CO ..... 13,430
Long Grove village, IL ..... 7,980
Longmont city, CO ..... 91,730
Lonsdale city, MN ..... 3,850
Los Alamos County, NM. ..... 18,031
Los Altos Hills town, CA ..... 8,490
Loudoun County, VA ..... 374,558
Louisville city, CO ..... 20,319
Lower Merion township, PA. ..... 58,500
Lynchburg city, VA. ..... 79,237
Lynnwood city, WA ..... 37,242
Manassas city, VA ..... 41,379
Manhattan Beach city, CA ..... 35,698
Manhattan city, KS ..... 55,427
Mankato city, MN ..... 41,241
Maple Grove city, MN ..... 68,362
Maplewood city, MN ..... 40,127
Maricopa County, AZ. ..... 4,155,501
Marin County, CA. ..... 260,814
Marion city, IA ..... 38,014
Mariposa County, CA ..... 17,658
Marshalltown city, IA. ..... 27,440
Marshfield city, WI ..... 18,326
Martinez city, CA ..... 37,902
Marysville city, WA ..... 66,178
Maui County, HI. ..... 164,094
McKinney city, TX ..... 164,760
McMinnville city, OR ..... 33,211
Mecklenburg County, NC. ..... 1,034,290
Menlo Park city, CA ..... 33,661
Menomonee Falls village, WI ..... 36,411
Mercer Island city, WA ..... 24,768
Meridian charter township, MI ..... 41,903
Merriam city, KS ..... 11,259
Mesa city, AZ ..... 479,317
Mesquite city, TX ..... 144,118
Miami city, FL ..... 443,007
Middleton city, WI ..... 18,951
Middletown town, RI ..... 16,100
Milford city, DE ..... 10,645
Milton city, GA ..... 37,556
Minneapolis city, MN ..... 411,452
Minnetrista city, MN. ..... 7,187
Missoula County, MT ..... 114,231
Missouri City city, TX ..... 72,688
Moline city, IL ..... 42,644
Monroe city, MI ..... 20,128
Montgomery city, MN ..... 2,921
Montgomery County, MD ..... 1,039,198
Monticello city, UT ..... 2,599
Montrose city, CO ..... 18,918

| Moorpark city, CA................................................36,060 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| oraga town, CA |  |
| Morristown city, TN |  |
| Morrisville town, NC |  |
| Morro Bay city, CA .............................................. 10,568 |  |
| Moscow city, ID...................................................24,833 |  |
| Mountlake Terrace city, WA .................................20,922 |  |
| Murphy city, | 20,361 |
| Naperville city, IL |  |
| Napoleon city, OH. |  |
| Needham CDP, MA |  |
| Nevada City city, CA |  |
| Nevada County, CA. |  |
| New Braunfels city, TX |  |
| New Brighton city, MN |  |
| New Concord village, O |  |
| New Hope city, MN |  |
| Newport city, R |  |
| Newport News city, VA |  |
| Newton city, IA |  |
| Niles village, IL ....................................................29,823 |  |
| Noblesville city, IN ...............................................59,807 |  |
| Norcross city, GA................................................ 16,474 |  |
| Norfolk city, NE ...................................................24,352 |  |
| North Mankato city, MN .......................................13,583 |  |
| North Port city, FL................................................62,542 |  |
| North Yarmouth town, ME |  |
| Northglenn city, CO............................................38,473 |  |
| Novato city, CA .................................................... 55,378 |  |
| Novi city, MI.. |  |
| O'Fallon city, IL...................................................29,095 |  |
| Oak Park village, IL..............................................52,229 |  |
| Oakdale city, MN ................................................27,972 |  |
| Oklahoma City city, OK.....................................629,191 |  |
| Olmsted County, MN.........................................151,685 |  |
| Orland Park village, IL ...........................................59,161 |  |
| Orleans Parish, LA............................................388,182 |  |
| Oshkosh city, WI.................................................66,649 |  |
| Oswego village, IL...............................................33,759 |  |
| Overland Park city, KS .......................................186,147 |  |
| Paducah city, KY.................................................24,879 |  |
| Palm Beach Gardens city, FL...............................53,119 |  |
| Palm Coast city, FL...............................................82,356 |  |
| Palo Alto city, CA ................................................67,082 |  |
| Palos Verdes Estates city, CA ...............................13,591 |  |
| Panama City Beach city, FL ................................. 12,461 |  |
| Papillion city, NE .................................................19,478 |  |
| Paradise Valley town, AZ.....................................13,961 |  |
| Park City city, UT ..................................................8,167 |  |
| Parker town, CO .................................................51,125 |  |
| Pasco city, WA. |  |
| Pasco County, FL ..............................................498,136 |  |
| Payette city, ID .....................................................7,366 |  |
| Pearland city, TX...............................................113,693 |  |
| Peoria city, IL....................................................115,424 |  |
| Pflugerville city, TX.............................................58,013 |  |
| Philadelphia city, PA.......................................1,569,657 |  |
| Pinehurst village, NC...........................................15,580 |  |
| Piqua city, OH ....................................................20,793 |  |
| Pitkin County, CO ................................................17,747 |  |
| Plano city, TX ...................................................281,566 |  |
| Platte City city, MO................................................4,867 |  |
| Pleasant Hill city, IA ...............................................9,608 |  |
| Pleasanton city, CA .............................................79,341 |  |
| Plymouth city, MN...............................................76,258 |  |
| Port Orange city, FL ............................................60,315 |  |

Port St. Lucie city, FL ..... 178,778
Portage city, MI ..... 48,072
Portland city, OR ..... 630,331
Powell city, OH ..... 12,658
Powhatan County, VA ..... 28,364
Prairie Village city, KS ..... 21,932
Pueblo city, CO ..... 109,122
Purcellville town, VA ..... 9,217
Queen Creek town, AZ ..... 33,298
Raleigh city, NC ..... 449,477
Ramsey city, MN ..... 25,853
Raymore city, MO ..... 20,358
Redmond city, OR ..... 28,492
Redmond city, WA ..... 60,712
Redwood City city, CA. ..... 84,368
Reno city, NV ..... 239,732
Richfield city, MN ..... 35,993
Richland city, WA ..... 53,991
Richmond city, CA ..... 108,853
Richmond Heights city, MO ..... 8,466
Rio Rancho city, NM ..... 93,317
River Falls city, WI ..... 15,256
Riverside city, CA ..... 321,570
Roanoke city, VA ..... 99,572
Roanoke County, VA ..... 93,419
Rochester city, NY ..... 209,463
Rock Hill city, SC ..... 70,764
Rockville city, MD ..... 66,420
Roeland Park city, KS ..... 6,810
Rohnert Park city, CA ..... 42,305
Rolla city, MO ..... 20,013
Rosemount city, MN ..... 23,474
Rosenberg city, TX ..... 35,867
Roseville city, MN ..... 35,624
Round Rock city, TX ..... 116,369
Royal Palm Beach village, FL ..... 37,665
Sacramento city, CA. ..... 489,650
Sahuarita town, AZ ..... 28,257
Sammamish city, WA ..... 62,877
San Carlos city, CA ..... 29,954
San Diego city, CA ..... 1,390,966
San Francisco city, CA .....  864,263
San Jose city, CA ..... 1,023,031
San Marcos city, TX ..... 59,935
Sangamon County, IL ..... 198,134
Santa Fe city, NM ..... 82,980
Santa Fe County, NM ..... 147,514
Savage city, MN ..... 30,011
Schaumburg village, IL ..... 74,427
Schertz city, TX ..... 38,199
Scott County, MN ..... 141,463
Scottsdale city, AZ ..... 239,283
Sedona city, AZ ..... 10,246
Sevierville city, TN ..... 16,387
Shakopee city, MN ..... 40,024
Shawnee city, KS ..... 64,840
Shawnee city, OK ..... 30,974
Shoreline city, WA. ..... 55,431
Shoreview city, MN ..... 26,432
Shorewood village, IL ..... 16,809
Sierra Vista city, AZ ..... 43,585
Silverton city, OR ..... 9,757
Sioux Falls city, SD ..... 170,401
Skokie village, IL ..... 64,773
Snoqualmie city, WA ..... 12,944
Snowmass Village town, CO ..... 2,827
Somerset town, MA ..... 18,257
South Bend city, IN. ..... 101,928
South Jordan city, UT ..... 65,523
South Portland city, ME ..... 25,431
Southlake city, TX ..... 30,090
Spearfish city, SD ..... 11,300
Springville city, UT ..... 32,319
St. Augustine city, FL ..... 13,952
St. Charles city, IL ..... 32,730
St. Cloud city, MN ..... 67,093
St. Croix County, WI ..... 87,142
St. Joseph city, MO ..... 76,819
St. Louis County, MN ..... 200,294
St. Lucie County, FL ..... 298,763
State College borough, PA ..... 42,224
Steamboat Springs city, CO ..... 12,520
Sugar Land city, TX. ..... 86,886
Suisun City city, CA. ..... 29,280
Summit County, UT ..... 39,731
Sunnyvale city, CA ..... 151,565
Surprise city, AZ ..... 129,534
Suwanee city, GA ..... 18,655
Tacoma city, WA ..... 207,280
Takoma Park city, MD ..... 17,643
Tempe city, AZ ..... 178,339
Temple city, TX ..... 71,795
Texarkana city, TX ..... 37,222
The Woodlands CDP, TX ..... 109,608
Thousand Oaks city, CA ..... 128,909
Tigard city, OR ..... 51,355
Tinley Park village, IL ..... 57,107
Tracy city, CA ..... 87,613
Trinidad CCD, CO. ..... 10,819
Tualatin city, OR. ..... 27,135
Tulsa city, OK ..... 401,352
Tustin city, CA. ..... 80,007
Twin Falls city, ID ..... 47,340
Unalaska city, AK ..... 4,809
University Heights city, OH ..... 13,201
University Park city, TX ..... 24,692
Urbandale city, IA ..... 42,222
Vail town, CO ..... 5,425
Vernon Hills village, IL ..... 26,084
Victoria city, MN ..... 8,679
Vienna town, VA ..... 16,474
Virginia Beach city, VA ..... 450,057
Walnut Creek city, CA ..... 68,516
Warrensburg city, MO ..... 19,890
Washington County, MN ..... 250,979
Washoe County, NV ..... 445,551
Waunakee village, WI ..... 13,284
Wauwatosa city, WI ..... 47,687
Wentzville city, MO ..... 35,768
West Carrollton city, OH. ..... 12,963
West Chester township, OH ..... 62,804
West Des Moines city, IA ..... 62,999
Western Springs village, IL ..... 13,187
Westerville city, OH ..... 38,604
Westlake town, TX ..... 1,006
Westminster city, CO ..... 111,895
Westminster city, MD ..... 18,557
Wheat Ridge city, CO ..... 31,162
White House city, TN ..... 11,107
Wichita city, KS ..... 389,054
Williamsburg city, VA ..... 14,817
Willowbrook village, IL ..... 8,598
Wilmington city, NC ..... 115,261
Wilsonville city, OR ..... 22,789
Windsor town, CO ..... 23,386
Windsor town, CT ..... 29,037
Winter Garden city, FL ..... 40,799
Woodbury city, MN ..... 67,648
Woodinville city, WA ..... 11,675
Wyandotte County, KS ..... 163,227
Wyoming city, MI ..... 75,124
Yakima city, WA ..... 93,182
York County, VA. ..... 67,196
Yorktown town, IN ..... 11,200
Yorkville city, IL ..... 18,691
Yountville city, CA ..... 2,978

## The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Technical Appendices

## Custom Benchmark Comparisons

Table 58: Quality of Life

| Quality of Life Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun <br> County | $85 \%$ | 1 | 23 | Higher |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun <br> County | $87 \%$ | 6 | 30 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to live | $90 \%$ | 5 | 24 | Similar |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to <br> someone who asks | $85 \%$ | 6 | 16 | Similar |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next <br> five years | $83 \%$ | 9 | 15 | Similar |

Table 59: Governance

| Governance Items | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government | 57\% | 5 | 16 | Similar |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking | 55\% | 11 | 19 | Similar |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County | 59\% | 6 | 25 | Similar |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 58\% | 7 | 17 | Similar |
| Being honest | 60\% | 5 | 15 | Similar |
| Being open and transparent to the public | 58\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | 58\% | NA | NA | NA |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming resident involvement | 54\% | 4 | 17 | Similar |
| Treating all residents fairly | 60\% | 3 | 15 | Similar |
| Treating residents with respect | 68\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees | 88\% | 2 | 23 | Higher |
| Public information services | 74\% | 2 | 14 | Similar |
| Quality of services provided by the County of Loudoun County | 74\% | 9 | 26 | Similar |
| Quality of services provided by the Federal Government | 43\% | 5 | 13 | Similar |

Table 60: Economy

| Economy Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County | $87 \%$ | 2 | 15 | Higher |
| Economic development | $70 \%$ | 3 | 17 | Higher |
| Overall quality of business and service <br> establishments in Loudoun County | $85 \%$ | 1 |  | 14 |
| Variety of business and service establishments <br> in Loudoun County | $79 \%$ | NA | NA | Higher |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas | $67 \%$ | 3 | 10 | NA |
| Shopping opportunities | $78 \%$ | 2 | 14 | Higher |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit | $80 \%$ | 3 | 17 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to work | $79 \%$ | 5 | 22 | Higher |
| Employment opportunities | $67 \%$ | 2 | 19 | Similar |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County | $20 \%$ | 13 | 15 | Higher |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | $27 \%$ | 9 | 13 | Lower |
| NOT experiencing housing costs stress | $74 \%$ | 2 | 13 | Similar |

Table 61: Mobility

| Mobility Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the transportation system <br> in Loudoun County | $50 \%$ | 13 | 16 | Similar |
| Traffic flow on major streets | $48 \%$ | 3 | 19 | Similar |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County | $74 \%$ | 2 | 17 | Higher |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in <br> Loudoun County | $26 \%$ | 8 | 13 | Similar |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County | $40 \%$ | 9 | 18 | Similar |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County | $53 \%$ | 9 | 19 | Similar |
| Ease of public parking | $64 \%$ | 1 | 8 | Higher |
| Bus or transit services | $46 \%$ | 6 | 13 | Similar |
| Traffic enforcement | $70 \%$ | 1 | 18 | Higher |
| Traffic signal timing | $60 \%$ | 1 | 13 | Similar |
| Street repair | $63 \%$ | 1 | 16 | Higher |
| Street cleaning | $72 \%$ | 2 | 13 | Higher |
| Street lighting | $62 \%$ | 4 | 12 | Similar |
| Snow removal | $72 \%$ | 4 | 13 | Similar |
| Sidewalk maintenance | $71 \%$ | 1 | 14 | Higher |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public | $19 \%$ | 7 | 10 | Lower |
| transportation instead of driving |  |  | 13 |  |
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| Mobility Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carpooled with other adults or children <br> instead of driving alone | $31 \%$ | 10 | 12 | Lower |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | $50 \%$ | 6 | 14 | Similar |

Table 62: Community Design

| Community Design Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's <br> residential and commercial areas | $61 \%$ | 5 | 15 | Similar |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County | $80 \%$ | 3 | 18 | Higher |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | $92 \%$ | 1 | 17 | Higher |
| Overall quality of new development in <br> Loudoun County | $55 \%$ | 11 |  | 16 |
| Well-planned residential growth | $46 \%$ | NA | NA | Similar |
| Well-planned commercial growth | $45 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | $61 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural <br> character of the community | $54 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Public places where people want to spend time | $62 \%$ | 5 | 13 | NA |
| Variety of housing options | $53 \%$ | 7 | 16 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | $22 \%$ | 14 | 18 | Similar |
| Land use, planning, and zoning | $43 \%$ | 9 | 15 | Similar |
| Code enforcement | $60 \%$ | 2 | Similar |  |

Table 63: Utilities

| Utilities Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure <br> in Loudoun County | $84 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | $60 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | $89 \%$ | 1 | 5 | Similar |
| Garbage collection | $79 \%$ | 7 | 17 | Similar |
| Drinking water | $84 \%$ | 2 | 16 | Higher |
| Sewer services | $89 \%$ | 1 | 17 | Higher |
| Storm water management | $85 \%$ | 2 | 18 | Higher |
| Utility billing | $77 \%$ | 1 | 10 | Higher |

Table 64: Safety

| Safety Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County | $89 \%$ | 3 | 21 | Higher |
| Police/Sheriff services | $87 \%$ | 1 | 27 | Higher |
| Crime prevention | $85 \%$ | 1 | 19 | Much higher |
| Animal control | $85 \%$ | 1 | 19 | Higher |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | $95 \%$ | 1 | 20 | Similar |
| Fire services | $96 \%$ | 2 | 21 | Similar |
| Fire prevention and education | $90 \%$ | 1 | 14 | Higher |
| Emergency preparedness | $76 \%$ | 1 | 15 | Similar |
| In your neighborhood during the day | $97 \%$ | 2 | 19 | Similar |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial |  |  | 15 | Higher |
| areas during the day $95 \%$ | 3 | 5 | Higher |  |
| From property crime | $85 \%$ | 1 | 5 | Higher |
| From violent crime | $90 \%$ | 1 | NA | NA |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | $92 \%$ | $N A$ |  | 2 |

Table 65: Natural Environment

| Natural Environment Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of natural environment in <br> Loudoun County | $79 \%$ | 4 | 15 | Similar |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County | $86 \%$ | 1 | 17 | Higher |
| Water resources | $57 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Air quality | $86 \%$ | 1 | 12 | Higher |
| Preservation of natural areas | $58 \%$ | 7 | 14 | Similar |
| Loudoun County open space | $57 \%$ | 8 | 14 | Similar |
| Recycling | $66 \%$ | 12 | 20 | Similar |
| Yard waste pick-up | $75 \%$ | 5 | 11 | Similar |

Table 66: Parks and Recreation

| Parks and Recreation Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation <br> opportunities | $77 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | $75 \%$ | 4 | 16 | Higher |
| County parks | $81 \%$ | 7 | 18 | Similar |
| Recreational opportunities | $73 \%$ | 8 | 16 | Similar |
| Recreation programs or classes | $72 \%$ | 6 | 16 | Similar |
| Recreation centers or facilities | $76 \%$ | 4 | 15 | Similar |
| Fitness opportunities | $76 \%$ | 2 | 12 | Similar |

Table 67: Health and Wellness

| Health and Wellness Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities <br> in Loudoun County | $79 \%$ | 4 | 15 | Similar |
| Health services | $78 \%$ | 1 | 12 | Higher |
| Availability of affordable quality health <br> care | $71 \%$ | 2 | 13 | Similar |
| Availability of preventive health services | $75 \%$ | 1 | 11 | Higher |
| Availability of affordable quality mental <br> health care | $51 \%$ | 4 | 11 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality food | $70 \%$ | 3 | 12 | Similar |
| In very good to excellent health | $94 \%$ | 2 | 12 | Similar |

Table 68: Education, Arts, and Culture

| Education, Arts, and Culture Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall opportunities for education, <br> culture, and the arts | $66 \%$ | 8 | 15 | Similar |
| Opportunities to attend <br> cultural/arts/music activities | $50 \%$ | 9 | 16 | Similar |
| Opportunities to attend special events <br> and festivals | $60 \%$ | 5 | 13 | Similar |
| Community support for the arts | $51 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Public library services | $91 \%$ | 2 | 21 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality child <br> care/preschool | $39 \%$ | 6 | 12 | Similar |
| K-12 education | $76 \%$ | 7 | 13 | Similar |
| Adult educational opportunities | $60 \%$ | 4 | 12 | Similar |

Table 69: Inclusivity and Engagement

| Inclusivity and Engagement Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residents' connection and engagement with <br> their community | $57 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Sense of community | $60 \%$ | 6 | 17 | Similar |
| Sense of civic/community pride | $59 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Neighborliness of Loudoun County | $59 \%$ | 6 | 14 | Similar |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children | $89 \%$ | 5 | 23 | Higher |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire | $41 \%$ | 21 | 22 | Lower |
| Openness and acceptance of the community <br> toward people of diverse backgrounds | $65 \%$ | 4 |  |  |
| Making all residents feel welcome | $71 \%$ | NA | NA | Similar |
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| Inclusivity and Engagement Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | $75 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse <br> backgrounds | $73 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents | $62 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and <br> activities | $61 \%$ | 6 | 14 | Similar |
| Opportunities to volunteer | $73 \%$ | 6 | 15 | Similar |
| Opportunities to participate in community <br> matters | $63 \%$ | 5 | 16 | Similar |

Table 70: Participation

| Participation Items | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contacted Loudoun County for help or information | 54\% | 3 | 20 | Higher |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials to express your opinion | 33\% | 1 | 13 | Higher |
| Attended a local public meeting | 32\% | 1 | 14 | Higher |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 45\% | 1 | 13 | Higher |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County | 44\% | 4 | 14 | Similar |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 24\% | 8 | 12 | Similar |
| Voted in your most recent local election | 90\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop or tablet computer | 99\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | 97\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, etc. | 83\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Use or check email | 99\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Share your opinions online | 35\% | NA | NA | NA |
| Shop online | 67\% | NA | NA | NA |

Table 71: Focus Areas

| Importance Items | Percent essential or <br> very important | Rank | Number of <br> communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun <br> County | $86 \%$ | 6 | 11 | Similar |
| Overall quality of the transportation <br> system in Loudoun County | $76 \%$ | 7 |  |  |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun <br> County's residential and commercial <br> areas |  |  |  |  |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure <br> in Loudoun County | $79 \%$ | 2 | Similar |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun <br> County | $83 \%$ | NA |  |  |
| Overall quality of natural environment in <br> Loudoun County | $86 \%$ | 9 | 11 | NA |

## Communities included in custom comparisons

The communities included in Loudoun County's custom comparisons are listed below along with their population according to the American Community Survey (ACS) 2017 5-year estimates.

Marin County, CA ..... 260,814
Mesa city, AZ ..... 479,317
Montgomery County, MD ..... 1,039,198
Naperville city, IL ..... 146,431
Orleans Parish, LA ..... 388,182
Pasco County, FL ..... 498,136
Pearland city, TX ..... 113,693
Raleigh city, NC ..... 449,477
Sacramento city, CA. ..... 489,650
St. Louis County, MN ..... 200,294
The Woodlands CDP, TX ..... 109,608
Thousand Oaks city, CA. ..... 128,909
Tulsa city, OK ..... 401,352
Virginia Beach city, VA ..... 450,057
Washoe County, NV ..... 445,551
Wichita city, KS. ..... 389,054

## Appendix C: Detailed Survey Methods

The National Community Survey ${ }^{T M}$ (The NCS ${ }^{T M}$ ), conducted by National Research Center, Inc., was developed to provide communities an accurate, affordable and easy way to assess and interpret resident opinion about important local topics. Standardization of common questions and survey methods provide the rigor to assure valid results, and each community has enough flexibility to construct a customized version of The NCS.

Results offer insight into residents' perspectives about the community as a whole, including local amenities, services, public trust, resident participation and other aspects of the community in order to support budgeting, land use and strategic planning and communication with residents. Resident demographic characteristics permit comparison to the Census as well as comparison of results for different subgroups of residents. Loudoun County funded this research. Please contact Megan Cox of the Loudoun County Program Evaluation \& Research Department at megan.cox@loudoun.gov if you have any questions about the survey.

## Survey Validity

The question of survey validity has two parts: 1) how can a community be confident that the results from those who completed the questionnaire are representative of the results that would have been obtained had the survey been administered to the entire population? and 2) how closely do the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do?

To answer the first question, the best survey research practices were used for the resources spent to ensure that the results from the survey respondents reflect the opinions of residents in the entire community. These practices include:

- Using a mail-out/mail-back methodology, which typically gets a higher response rate than phone for the same dollars spent. A higher response rate lessens the worry that those who did not respond are different than those who did respond.
- Selecting households at random within the community to receive the survey to ensure that the households selected to receive the survey are representative of the larger community.
- Over-sampling multi-family housing units to improve response from hard-to-reach, lower income or younger apartment dwellers.
- Selecting the respondent within the household using an unbiased sampling procedure; in this case, the "birthday method." The cover letter included an instruction requesting that the respondent in the household be the adult (18 years old or older) who most recently had a birthday, irrespective of year of birth.
- Contacting potential respondents three times to encourage response from people who may have different opinions or habits than those who would respond with only a single prompt.
- Inviting response in a compelling manner (using appropriate letterhead/logos and a signature of a visible leader) to appeal to recipients' sense of civic responsibility.
- Providing a pre-addressed, postage-paid return envelope.
- Offering the survey in Spanish or other language when requested by a given community.
- Weighting the results to reflect the demographics of the population.

The answer to the second question about how closely the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do is more complex. Resident responses to surveys are influenced by a variety of factors. For questions about service quality, residents' expectations for service quality play a role as well as the "objective" quality of the service provided, the way the resident perceives the entire community (that is, the context in which the service is provided), the scale on which the resident is asked to record his or her opinion and, of course, the opinion, itself, that a resident holds about the service. Similarly a resident's report of certain behaviors is colored by what he or she believes is the socially desirable response (e.g., reporting tolerant behaviors toward "oppressed groups," likelihood of voting for a tax increase for services to poor people, use of alternative modes of travel to work besides the single occupancy vehicle), his or her memory of the actual behavior (if it is not a question speculating about future actions, like a vote), his or her confidence that he or she can be honest without suffering any negative consequences (thus the need for anonymity) as well as the actual behavior itself.

How closely survey results come to recording the way a person really feels or behaves often is measured by the coincidence of reported behavior with observed current behavior (e.g., driving habits), reported intentions to behave with observed future behavior (e.g., voting choices) or reported opinions about current community quality with objective characteristics of the community (e.g., feelings of safety correlated with rates of crime). There is a body of scientific literature that has investigated the relationship between reported behaviors and actual behaviors. Well-conducted surveys, by and large, do capture true respondent behaviors or intentions to act with great accuracy. Predictions of voting outcomes tend to be quite accurate using survey research, as do reported behaviors that are not about highly sensitive issues (e.g., family abuse or other illegal or morally sanctioned activities). For self-reports about highly sensitive issues, statistical adjustments can be made to correct for the respondents' tendency to report what they think the "correct" response should be.

Research on the correlation of resident opinion about service quality and "objective" ratings of service quality vary, with some showing stronger relationships than others. NRC's own research has demonstrated that residents who report the lowest ratings of street repair live in communities with objectively worse street conditions than those who report high ratings of street repair (based on road quality, delay in street repair, number of road repair employees). Similarly, the lowest rated fire services appear to be "objectively" worse than the highest rated fire services (expenditures per capita, response time, "professional" status of firefighters, breadth of services and training provided). Resident opinion commonly reflects objective performance data but is an important measure on its own. NRC principals have written, "If you collect trash three times a day but residents think that your trash haul is lousy, you still have a problem."

## Selecting Survey Recipients

"Sampling" refers to the method by which households were chosen to receive the survey. All households within Loudoun County were eligible to participate in the survey. A list of all households within the zip codes serving Loudoun County was purchased from Go-Dog Direct based on updated listings from the United States Postal Service. Since some of the zip codes that serve Loudoun County households may also serve addresses that lie outside of the community, the exact geographic location of each housing unit was compared to community boundaries using the most current municipal boundary file (updated on a quarterly basis) and addresses located outside of Loudoun County boundaries were removed from consideration. Each address identified
as being within County boundaries was further identified as being within one of the eight County Districts.

To choose the 3,000 survey recipients, a systematic sampling method was applied to the list of households previously screened for geographic location. Systematic sampling is a procedure whereby a complete list of all possible households is culled, selecting every Nth one, giving each eligible household a known probability of selection, until the appropriate number of households is selected. Multi-family housing units were selected at a higher rate as residents of this type of housing typically respond at lower rates to surveys than do those in single-family housing units. Figure 1 displays a map of the households selected to receive the survey. In general, because of the random sampling techniques used, the displayed sampling density will closely mirror the overall housing unit density (which may be different from the population density). While the theory of probability assumes no bias in selection, there may be some minor variations in practice (meaning, an area with only 15\% of the housing units might be selected at an actual rate that is slightly above or below that).

An individual within each household was selected using the birthday method. The birthday method selects a person within the household by asking the "person whose birthday has most recently passed" to complete the questionnaire. The underlying assumption in this method is that day of birth has no relationship to the way people respond to surveys. This instruction was contained in the cover letter accompanying the questionnaire.

In addition to the scientific, random selection of households, a link to an online "opt-in" survey was publicized and posted to the Loudoun County website. This opt-in survey was identical to the scientific survey and open to all County residents.

Figure 1: Location of Survey Recipients


## Survey Administration and Response

Selected households received three mailings, one week apart, beginning on December 20, 2020. The first mailing was a prenotification postcard announcing the upcoming survey. The next mailing contained a letter from the County Administrator inviting the household to participate, a questionnaire and a postage-paid return envelope. The final mailing contained a reminder letter, another survey and a postage-paid return envelope. The second cover letter asked those who had not completed the survey to do so and those who had already done so to refrain from turning in another survey. The survey was available in English. Both cover letters included a URL through which the residents selected for the mail survey could choose to respond online rather than by mail. The cover letters also contained paragraphs in Spanish instructing participants to complete the Spanish version of the survey online. Loudoun County chose to augment their administration of The NCS with several additional services, including demographic and geographic subgroup comparisons and custom benchmark comparisons. The results of these additional services have been provided under separate cover. Completed surveys were collected over the following seven weeks. The online "opt-in" survey became available to all residents on January 18, 2021 and remained open for two weeks.

About 1\% of the 3,000 surveys mailed were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the remaining 2,971 households that received the survey, 556 completed the survey, providing an overall response rate of $19 \%$. Of the 556 completed surveys, 243 were completed online, and two of the online responses were completed in Spanish. Additionally, responses were tracked by geographic subarea; response rates by District ranged from $15 \%$ to $26 \%$. The response rates were calculated using AAPOR's response rate $\# 2^{1}$ for mailed surveys of unnamed persons. Additionally, 912 residents completed the online opt-in survey, providing a grand total of 1,468 completed surveys.

Table 72: Survey Response Rates by District

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample used | 316 | 312 | 574 | 493 | 278 | 430 | 351 | 246 | 3,000 |
| I=Complete Interviews | 59 | 66 | 93 | 100 | 71 | 63 | 56 | 44 | 552 |
| P=Partial Interviews | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| R=Refusal and break off | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NC=Non Contact | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O=Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UH=Unknown household | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UO=Unknown other | 254 | 242 | 476 | 390 | 204 | 362 | 289 | 198 | 2,415 |
| NE=Not eligible | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 29 |
| Response rate: (I+P)/(I+P)+(R+NC+O) + (UH+UO) | $19 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ |

## Confidence Intervals

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" and accompanying "confidence interval" (or margin of error). A traditional level of confidence, and the one used here, is $95 \%$. The $95 \%$ confidence interval can be any size and quantifies the sampling error or imprecision of the survey results because some residents' opinions are relied on to estimate all residents' opinions. ${ }^{2}$

1 See AAPOR's Standard Definitions for more information:
http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions-(1).aspx
${ }^{2}$ A 95\% confidence interval indicates that for every 100 random samples of this many residents, 95 of the confidence intervals created will include the "true" population response. This theory is applied in practice to mean that the "true" perspective of the target population lies within the confidence interval created for a single survey. For example, if $75 \%$ of residents rate a service as "excellent" or "good," then the $4 \%$ margin of error (for the $95 \%$ confidence interval) indicates that the range of likely responses for the entire community is between $71 \%$ and $79 \%$. This source of uncertainty is called sampling error. In addition to sampling error, other sources of error may affect any survey, including the non-response of residents with opinions different from survey responders. Though standardized on The NCS, on other surveys, differences in question wording, order, translation and data entry, as examples, can lead to somewhat varying results.

The margin of error for the Loudoun County survey is no greater than plus or minus three ${ }^{3}$ percentage points around any given percent reported for all respondents (1,468 completed surveys).

For subgroups of responses, the margin of error increases because the number of respondents for the subgroup is smaller.

## Survey Processing (Data Entry)

Upon receipt, completed surveys were assigned a unique identification number. Additionally, each survey was reviewed and "cleaned" as necessary. For example, a question may have asked a respondent to pick two items out of a list of five, but the respondent checked three; in this case, NRC would use protocols to randomly choose two of the three selected items for inclusion in the dataset.

All surveys then were entered twice into an electronic dataset; any discrepancies were resolved in comparison to the original survey form. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

NRC uses Polco, an online public engagement tool designed primarily for local governments, to collect online survey data. The Polco platform includes many features of online survey tools, but also includes elements tailored to the civic environment. For example, like NRC's mailed surveys, surveys on Polco are presented with the County name, logo (or other image) and a description, so residents understand who is asking for input and why. Optionally, Polco can also verify respondents with local public data to ensure respondents are residents or voters. More generally, an advantage of online programming and data gathering is that it allows for more rigid control of the data format, making extensive data cleaning unnecessary.

## Survey Data Weighting

Upon completion of data collection for both the scientific (probability) and nonscientific open participation online opt-in (non-probability) surveys, data were compared in order to determine whether it was appropriate to combine, or blend, both datasets together. In the case of Loudoun County, characteristics of respondents to the non-probability survey were similar to the probability survey, in both respondent trait and opinion, indicating that the two datasets could be blended. This decision reflects a growing trend in survey research toward integration of traditional scientific probability survey respondents and non-probability survey respondents (opt-in).

The demographic characteristics of the survey respondents were compared to those found in the 2010 Census and American Community Survey estimates for adults in Loudoun County. The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey respondents reflective of the

[^3]larger population of the community. Both survey datasets were weighted independently and then combined into one final dataset. The characteristics used for weighting were housing tenure (rent or own), housing unit type (attached or detached), race, ethnicity, and sex and age. A further adjustment was made such that the scientific and opt-in data were each represented equally in the final dataset. No adjustments were made for design effects. The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the following table.

Table 73: Loudoun County, VA 2020 Weighting Table

| Characteristic | Population Norm | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing |  |  |  |
| Rent home | 22\% | 11\% | 20\% |
| Own home | 78\% | 89\% | 80\% |
| Detached unit* | 54\% | 62\% | 56\% |
| Attached unit* | 46\% | 38\% | 44\% |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White | 70\% | 69\% | 69\% |
| Not white | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| Not Hispanic | 88\% | 95\% | 89\% |
| Hispanic | 12\% | 5\% | 11\% |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |
| Female | 51\% | 57\% | 52\% |
| Male | 49\% | 43\% | 48\% |
| 18-34 years of age | 28\% | 8\% | 26\% |
| 35-54 years of age | 50\% | 43\% | 50\% |
| $55+$ years of age | 22\% | 49\% | 24\% |
| Females 18-34 | 15\% | 5\% | 14\% |
| Females 35-54 | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Females 55+ | 12\% | 27\% | 13\% |
| Males 18-34 | 14\% | 3\% | 12\% |
| Males 35-54 | 25\% | 18\% | 25\% |
| Males 55+ | 10\% | 22\% | 10\% |
| District |  |  |  |
| Algonkian | 11\% | 9\% | 11\% |
| Ashburn | 10\% | 17\% | 14\% |
| Blue Ridge | 20\% | 17\% | 16\% |
| Broad Run | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| Catoctin | 11\% | 15\% | 13\% |
| Dulles | 14\% | 9\% | 12\% |
| Leesburg | 11\% | 12\% | 14\% |
| Sterling | 9\% | 7\% | 7\% |

* U.S. Census Bureau ACS 2017 5-year estimates


## Survey Data Analysis and Reporting

The survey dataset was analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). For the most part, the percentages presented in the reports represent the "percent positive." The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe," "essential" and "very important," etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating "yes" or participating in an activity at least once a month.

On many of the questions in the survey respondents may answer "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix A. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the reports. In other words, the tables and graphs display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item. When a table for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

## Appendix D: Survey Materials

Dear Loudoun County Resident, It won't take much of your time to make a big difference! It is important for County leaders to understand the broader needs of our community so we can keep resident opinions front and center as we move forward together.
Your household has been randomly selected to participate in a survey Loudoun County conducts periodically about your community and the quality of government services. You can go online and complete the survey at:
https://bit.ly/xxplaceholderxx
Please do not share your survey link. This survey is for randomly selected households only. The County will conduct a separate survey that is open to all residents just a few weeks from now. You can also wait a few days for the survey to arrive in the mail.
Thank you for helping create a better County!
Sincerely,

Estimado Residente de Loudoun County, iNo le tomará mucho de su tiempo para marcar una gran diferencia! Es importante para los líderes de la comunidad entender las necesidades más amplias de nuestra comunidad para poder avanzar juntos.
Su hogar ha sido elegido al azar para participar en una encuesta conduce periódicamente por Loudoun County sobre su comunidad y la calidad de servicios gubernamentales. Puede completar la encuesta en línea en español en:

## https://bit.ly/xxplaceholderxx

## Por favor no comparta el enlace de su

encuesta. Esta encuesta es solamente para hogares seleccionados al azar. El Condado conducirá una encuesta separada que está abierta a todos los residentes dentro de unas semanas. También puede esperar unos días hasta que la encuesta llegue por correo.
iGracias por ayudar a crear un Condado mejor! Atentamente,


Tim Hemstreet
County Administrator/Administrador del Condado
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December 2020

Dear Loudoun County Resident:
We want to hear from you! You have been selected at random to participate in the 2020 Loudoun County Community Survey.

This survey will provide an overview of how Loudoun County residents perceive the quality of local government services and how they participate in community life.

Please take a few minutes to fill out and return the enclosed survey or complete it online.

Your participation in this survey is very important - especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed.

## A few things to remember:

- Your responses are completely anonymous.
- In order to hear from a diverse group of residents, the adult 18 years or older in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey.
- You may return the survey by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or complete the survey online at:


## http://bit.ly/xxplaceholder

Please do not share your survey link. This survey is for randomly selected households only. The County will conduct a separate survey that is open to all residents just a few weeks from now.

If you have any questions about the survey please call 703-777-0539.

Thank you for your time and participation! Your perspectives are important to Loudoun County Government, as we make plans for serving you in the future.

Sincerely,

Estimado Residente del Condado de Loudoun:
iQueremos escuchar de usted! Usted ha sido seleccionado al azar para participar en la Encuesta de la Comunidad del Condado de Loudoun del 2020.

Esta encuesta proporcionará una visión general de cómo los residentes del Loudoun County perciben la calidad de los servicios del gobierno local y cómo participan en la vida de la comunidad.

Por favor tome unos minutos para completar y devolver la encuesta adjunta o completarla en línea. Si usted preferiría completar la encuesta en español, por favor siga las instrucciones abajo para acceder a la encuesta en español por medio de la red.

Su participación en esta encuesta es muy importante especialmente porque su hogar es uno de solamente un número pequeño de hogares que se están encuestando.

## Algunas cosas para recordar:

- Sus respuestas son completamente anónimas.
- Para poder escuchar a un grupo diverso de residentes, el adulto de 18 años o más en su hogar que haya celebrado su cumpleaños más recientemente debe completar esta encuesta.
- Puede devolver la encuesta por correo en el sobre pre-pagado adjunto, o completar la encuesta en línea en español en:

http://bit.ly/xxplaceholder

Por favor no comparta el enlace de su encuesta. Esta encuesta es solamente para hogares seleccionados al azar. El Condado conducirá una encuesta separada que está abierta a todos los residentes dentro de unas semanas.

Si tiene alguna pregunta sobre la encuesta por favor llame al 703-777-0539.
iGracias por su tiempo y participación! Sus perspectivas son importantes para el gobierno del condado de Loudoun, mientras hacemos planes para servirle en el futuro.

Atentamente,


Tim Hemstreet
County Administrator/Administrador del Condado

www.loudoun.gov
Office of the County Administrator
1 Harrison Street, S.E., MSC \#2, 5th Floor, P.O. Box 7000, Leesburg, VA 20177-7000
Telephone (703) 777-0200 • Fax (703) 777-0325 • coadmin@loudoun.gov


Tim Hemstreet County Administrator/Administrador del Condado


December 2020

Dear Loudoun County Resident:
Here's a second chance if you haven't already responded to the 2020 Loudoun County Community Survey! (If you completed it and sent it back, we thank you for your time and ask you to recycle this survey. Please do not respond twice.)

We want to hear from you! You have been selected at random to participate in the 2020 Loudoun County Community Survey.

This survey will provide an overview of how Loudoun County residents perceive the quality of local government services and how they participate in community life.

Please take a few minutes to fill out and return the enclosed survey or complete it online.

Your participation in this survey is very important especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed.

## A few things to remember:

- Your responses are completely anonymous.
- In order to hear from a diverse group of residents, the adult 18 years or older in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey.
- You may return the survey by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or complete the survey online at:
http://bit.ly/xxplaceholder
Please do not share your survey link. This survey is for randomly selected households only. The County will conduct a separate survey that is open to all residents just a few weeks from now.

If you have any questions about the survey please call 703-777-0539.

Thank you for your time and participation! Your perspectives are important to Loudoun County Government, as we make plans for serving you in the future.

Sincerely,

Estimado Residente del Condado de Loudoun:
¿Aquí tiene una segunda oportunidad si usted aún no ha respondido a la Encuesta de la Comunidad del Condado de Loudoun del 2020! (Si usted la completó y la devolvió, le damos las gracias por su tiempo y le pedimos que recicle esta encuesta. Por favor no responda dos veces.)
iQueremos escuchar de usted! Usted ha sido seleccionado al azar para participar en la Encuesta de la Comunidad del Condado de Loudoun del 2020.

Esta encuesta proporcionará una visión general de cómo los residentes del Loudoun County perciben la calidad de los servicios del gobierno local y cómo participan en la vida de la comunidad.

Por favor tome unos minutos para completar y devolver la encuesta adjunta o completarla en línea. Si usted preferiría completar la encuesta en español, por favor siga las instrucciones abajo para acceder a la encuesta en español por medio de la red.

Su participación en esta encuesta es muy importante - especialmente porque su hogar es uno de solamente un número pequeño de hogares que se están encuestando.

## Algunas cosas para recordar:

- Sus respuestas son completamente anónimas.
- Para poder escuchar a un grupo diverso de residentes, el adulto de 18 años o más en su hogar que haya celebrado su cumpleaños más recientemente debe completar esta encuesta.
- Puede devolver la encuesta por correo en el sobre pre-pagado adjunto, o completar la encuesta en línea en español en:


## http://bit.ly/xxplaceholder

Por favor no comparta el enlace de su encuesta. Esta encuesta es solamente para hogares seleccionados al azar. El Condado conducirá una encuesta separada que está abierta a todos los residentes dentro de unas semanas.

Si tiene alguna pregunta sobre la encuesta por favor llame al 703-7770539.
iGracias por su tiempo y participación! Sus perspectivas son importantes para el gobierno del condado de Loudoun, mientras hacemos planes para servirle en el futuro.

Atentamente,


Tim Hemstreet

www.loudoun.gov
Office of the County Administrator
1 Harrison Street, S.E., MSC \#2, 5th Floor, P.O. Box 7000, Leesburg, VA 20177-7000
Telephone (703) 777-0200 • Fax (703) 777-0325 • coadmin@loudoun.gov


Tim Hemstreet

## Loudoun County 2020 Community Survey

Please complete this survey if you are the adult (age 18 or older) in the household who most recently had a birthday (the year of birth does not matter). Your responses are anonymous and will be reported in group form only.

## 1. Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Loudoun County.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loudoun County as a place to live ...................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live ................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County as a place to raise children .................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County as a place to work.................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County as a place to visit..................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County as a place to retire................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The overall quality of life in Loudoun County .................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sense of community........................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County.................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County. $\qquad$ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) $\qquad$ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County <br> (water, sewer, storm water, electric/gas) $\qquad$ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County ..................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County............................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities.................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County ...................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture and the arts................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community ....................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

3. Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following.

|  | Very <br> likely | Somewhat <br> likely | Somewhat <br> unlikely | Very <br> unlikely |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recommend living in Loudoun County to someone who asks....... 1 | 2 | 3 | Don't <br> know |  |
| Remain in Loudoun County for the next five years...................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 |

4. Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel:

| Very safe | Somewhat safe | Neither safe nor unsafe | Somewhat unsafe | Very unsafe | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your neighborhood during the day................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| In Loudoun County's downtown/commercial areas during the day. $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| From property crime.......................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| From violent crime.............................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| From fire, flood or other natural disaster ......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

5. Please rate the job you feel the Loudoun County community does at each of the following.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Making all residents feel welcome ............................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds............................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents (elderly, disabled, homeless, etc.).......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

6. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Loudoun County........ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Loudoun County .............. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial areas ........................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Employment opportunities ................................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Shopping opportunities ..................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Cost of living in Loudoun County...................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall image or reputation of Loudoun County ............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

7. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Loudoun County as a whole.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic flow on major streets..................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of public parking............................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of travel by car in Loudoun County ................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Loudoun County ........................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Loudoun County ............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ease of walking in Loudoun County............................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Well-planned residential growth ................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Well-planned commercial growth............................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Well-designed neighborhoods ................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community.......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Public places where people want to spend time......................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Variety of housing options ........................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality housing ................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall quality of new development in Loudoun County .............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall appearance of Loudoun County.................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Cleanliness of Loudoun County .................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Water resources (beaches, lakes, ponds, riverways, etc.) ............................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Air quality................................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of paths and walking trails.................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Recreational opportunities....................................................................................... | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality food........................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality health care.............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of preventive health services ...................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care ................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities .................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Community support for the arts............................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Availability of affordable quality childcare/preschool.................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| K-12 education.......................................................................................................... | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Adult educational opportunities ................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sense of civic/community pride.............................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Neighborliness of residents in Loudoun County.......................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities.......................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to attend special events and festivals ..................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters ...................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds. $\qquad$ .1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

8. Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.

|  | No Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contacted Loudoun County (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information .............................. 1 | 12 |
| Contacted Loudoun County elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion.......... 1 | 12 |
| Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.) $\qquad$ .1 | 12 |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting............................................................................. 1 | 12 |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Loudoun County ............................................................ 1 | 12 |
| Campaigned or advocated for a local issue, cause or candidate.................................................................... 1 | 12 |
| Voted in your most recent local election .......................................................................................................... 1 | 12 |
| Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving.................................................... 1 | 12 |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone ................................................................ 1 | 12 |
| Walked or biked instead of driving.................................................................................................................. 1 | 12 |

## Loudoun County 2020 Community Survey

9. Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Loudoun County.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public information services.................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Economic development......................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Traffic enforcement............................................................................................. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Traffic signal timing .................................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Street cleaning........................................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Street lighting ....................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Snow removal........................................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sidewalk maintenance.......................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bus or transit services ............................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Land use, planning, and zoning......................................................................... | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) ............................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Affordable high-speed internet access .................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Garbage collection................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Drinking water................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Sewer services....................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Storm water management (storm drainage, dams, levees, etc.) ................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility.......................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Utility billing ...................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Police/Sheriff services ............................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Crime prevention................................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Animal control........................................................................................................ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services ............................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Fire services ............................................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Fire prevention and education............................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |


| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preservation of natural areas (open space, farmlands and greenbelts) ...... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Loudoun County open space........................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Recycling............................................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Yard waste pick-up................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| County parks......................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Recreation programs or classes .............................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Recreation centers or facilities .............................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Health services ........................................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Public library services ........................................................................................ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall customer service by Loudoun County employees <br> (Sheriff's deputies, receptionists, planners, etc.) $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

10. Please rate the following categories of Loudoun County government performance.

| Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Loudoun County ....................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The overall direction that Loudoun County is taking................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| The job Loudoun County government does at welcoming resident involvement $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall confidence in Loudoun County government.................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community .............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Being honest......................................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Being open and transparent to the public............................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community ........................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Treating all residents fairly ................................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Treating residents with respect ....................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

11. Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following?

12. Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Loudoun County community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years. Very Somewhat Not at all

|  | Essential | important | important | important |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Loudoun County.. | ..... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Loudoun County. $\qquad$ | ......... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Overall design or layout of Loudoun County's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) ........................................................ 2 3

| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Loudoun County (water, sewer, storm water, electric/gas) $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Loudoun County ......................................................... | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Loudoun County............................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Loudoun County ....................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture and the arts.............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community ........................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

13. Thinking about the past 12 months, first, please indicate about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members used each of the following types of public transportation. Then, if your household has used any of these, please indicate for what reasons they were used.

Frequency of Use

14. In what ways has the COVID-19 pandemic impacted you or other members of your household? Check all that apply. O Become unemployed O Difficulty paying rent/mortgage O Accessed food bank services O Have had work hours reduced O Difficulty paying utilities or household bills O Decreased access to health care services O Began or increased teleworking O Lack of technology for work or schooling O Other
15. First, please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for Loudoun County to focus on each of the following specific issues in the next two years. Then, indicate whether or not you would be willing to incur a tax increase to improve each issue. Importance

| Essential | Very important | Somewhat important | Not at all important | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth/development.......................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Schools................................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Crime/drug use.................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Youth activities/reduction of youth crime.............. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Cost of living/cost of housing.............................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Employment opportunities .................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Traffic................................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Road maintenance ................................................ 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Trails/bike paths................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Increased broadband access .................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |

## Loudoun County 2020 Community Survey

Our last questions are about you and your household.
Again, all of your responses to this survey are completely anonymous and will be reported in group form only.
D1. In general, how many times do you:

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Several } \\ \text { times a day }\end{array}$ | Once a day | A few times | $\begin{gathered} \text { Every } \\ \text { few weeks } \end{gathered}$ | Less often or never | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access the internet from your home using <br> a computer, laptop or tablet computer. $\qquad$ | - | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Access the internet from your cell phone.................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, etc. $\qquad$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Use or check email..................................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Share your opinions online..................................... 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Shop online .............................................................. 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

D2. Would you say that in general your health is:
O Excellent O Very good O Good O Fair O Poor
D3. What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:
O Very positive O Somewhat positive O Neutral O Somewhat negative O Very negative

D4. How many years have you lived in Loudoun County?
O Less than 2 years
O 2-5 years
O 6-10 years
O 11-20 years
O More than 20 years
D5. Which best describes the building you live in?
O One family house detached from any other houses
O Building with two or more homes
(duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium)
O Mobile home
O Other
D6. Do you rent or own your home?
O Rent
O Own
D7. About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and homeowners' association (HOA) fees)?
O Less than \$500
O \$2,000 to \$2,499
O \$500 to \$999
O \$2,500 to \$2,999
O \$1,000 to \$1,499
O \$3,000 to \$3,499
O \$1,500 to \$1,999
O \$3,500 or more

D8. Do any children 17 or under live in your household?
O No O Yes
D9. Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older?
O No O Yes

D10. How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all persons living in your household.)
O Less than \$25,000
O \$75,000 to \$99,999
O $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ O $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$
O \$50,000 to \$74,999 O \$150,000 or more

D11. Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino?
O No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino
O Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino

D12. What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you consider yourself to be.)
American Indian or Alaskan Native
Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander
Black or African American

- White
$\square$ Other
D13. In which category is your age?
O 18-24 years
O 55-64 years
O 25-34 years
O 65-74 years
O 35-44 years
O 75 years or older
O 45-54 years

D14. What is your gender?
O Female
O Male
O Identify in another way


[^0]:    Legend
    $\uparrow \uparrow$ Much higher $\uparrow$ Higher $\quad$ Similar $\quad \downarrow$ Lower $\downarrow \downarrow$ Much lower * Not available

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 2020, The NCS survey was updated to include new and refreshed items. Consequently, some of the trends may be impacted due to wording modifications that could have potentially altered the meaning of the item for the respondent.

[^2]:    Total may exceed 100\% as respondents could select more than one option.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Although this has become the traditional way to describe survey research precision, when opt-in results are blended with scientific results, assumptions about randomness of responses are not the same as when results come only from the random sample. Consequently other terms sometimes are used in place of "confidence interval" or "margin of error," such as "credibility intervals." We hew to the traditional way of describing sample-driven uncertainty while we work with the industry to sort out the best ways to describe these new approaches.

